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#### Abstract

Background: The container breeding species belonging to the genus Aedes (Meigen) are frequently recorded out of their place of origin. Invasive Aedes species are proven or potential vectors of important Arboviruses and their establishment in new areas pose a threat for human and animal health. A new species of exotic mosquito was recorded in 2011 in north-eastern Italy: Aedes (Finlaya) koreicus [Hulecoeteomyia koreica]. The aim of this study was to characterize the biology, the environment and the current distribution of this mosquito in north-eastern Italy. Morphological details useful to discriminate this species from other invasive Aedes mosquitoes are also given (see Additional files). Methods: All possible breeding sites for larval development were monitored. In addition, ovitraps and traps for adults were used to collect eggs and adults. The mosquitoes (larvae and adults) were identified morphologically and molecularly. Environmental data and climatic variables during the period of mosquito activity (from April to October) were considered.

Results: Aedes koreicus was found in 37 municipalities (39.4\%) and was detected in $40.2 \%$ of places and in $37.3 \%$ of larval habitats monitored, in a range of altitude from 173 to 1250 m.a.s.l.. Garden centres were the most common locations (66.7\%), followed by streets/squares (57.1\%), private gardens (46.4\%) and cemeteries (21.1\%) ( $p<0.01$ ). The main larval habitats were catch basins (48.5\%) and artificial water containers (41.8\%). As for Aedes albopictus [Stegomyia albopicta], ovitraps were attractive for adult females resulting in the higher rate of positivity (15/21; $71.4 \%$ ) among breeding sites. The period of Ae. koreicus activity ranged from March 29 to October 29. Conclusion: The species is clearly established in the area and is now overlapping with other vectors such as Ae. albopictus and colonizing areas over 800 m.a.s.l, not yet or sporadically reached by the tiger mosquito. The data collected are essential to assess the risk of colonization of other parts of Italy and Europe, as well as the risk of spreading of pathogens transmitted. These findings stress the importance of implementing entomological surveillance for early detection of invasive species, which is necessary for eradication or limitation of its further spread.
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## Background

The global trade, the increase of travel and the improvement of connection among people, along with climatic and environmental changes, increase the risk of the introduction and adaptation of arthropod vectors to new environments [1]. In particular, the container breeding species belonging to the genus Aedes (Meigen) are frequently recorded out of their place of origin, mainly due to their diapausing eggs that survive to desiccation during the passive transport [2]. Invasive Aedes species are proven or potential vectors of important Arboviruses [3,4] and their establishment in new areas pose a threat for human and animal health
The tribe Aedini has recently been reclassified by authoritative scientists [5-8]; however, in this article, the traditional names have been used in association with new names in parentheses.
Italy is characterized by a temperate climate and an environment that offers many favourable habitats to different species of mosquitoes; therefore invasive Aedes mosquitoes may have the opportunity to establish after their introduction [9]. The Asian tiger mosquito, Aedes albopictus (Skuse) [Stegomyia albopicta] represents the best example of colonization and spreading of an Aedes species in Europe [10]. Since the discovery of the first established population of tiger mosquito in 1991 in north-eastern Italy [11], many local surveillance and control programs were carried out. During the routine monitoring for tiger mosquito in the Veneto Region, in 2011 several Aedes larvae were collected from a catch basin in a small village of the province of Belluno, northern Italy, an area still considered free from Ae. albopictus. Larvae and adults reared in laboratory were then morphologically and molecularly identified as Aedes (Finlaya) koreicus [Hulecoeteomyia koreica] [12,13], a species native of south-east Asia.
After this record, a more intensive surveillance was gradually extended from positive sites in surrounding areas to verify the distribution of this species, to attempt to trace back the possible route of entry and to collect biological data on its life cycle. In this work we report the results of two years of surveillance and the implication for the monitoring and control of a new species, which overlapped with another similar invasive mosquito, Ae. albopictus. A quick guide for the identification of the species, reporting the main morphological features of the Italian population of Ae. koreicus, is included as Additional files, to help personnel involved in the entomological monitoring with a prompt recognition of this species.

## Methods

## Study area

The monitoring started in 2011 from the valley (Valbelluna, Province of Belluno, northern Italy) where the first mosquitoes were found and was extended in the whole Province of

Belluno and in the neighbouring Province of Vicenza, Treviso and Trento in 2012 (Figure 1).
The valley is surrounded by Bellunesi Prealps and Dolomites, extending from north-east to south-west for 50 kilometres with an average elevation of 527.7 m.a.s.l. The area has a sub-continental climate, characterized by temperate climate, with cold and often snowy winters and mild warm summers. The annual rainfall is above $1,000 \mathrm{~mm}$. The Province of Belluno ( $3,600 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) is an area of Veneto Region neighbouring Friuli Venezia Giulia and Trentino Alto Adige regions (Italy) and Austria. The population density in the province is one of the lowest of Italy ( 57.7 inhabitants $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ). The villages are quite small and only two have more than 10,000 inhabitants (Feltre and Belluno). The rest of the area monitored (Province of Vicenza, Treviso and Trento) is characterized by climatic and environmental conditions similar to Valbelluna valley.

## Environmental and climatic data

Environmental data were obtained from Land Use Map (CORINE Land Cover 2006). Vegetation is dominated by Beech (Fagus sylvatica), Downy Oak (Quercus pubescens) and European Hophornbeam (Ostrya carpinifolia). Coniferous and pine forests are present on the mountains. Water bodies and lakes are abundant at the lowest elevation. The area is mainly covered by forest or natural vegetation ( $75.0 \%$ ) and little used for agricultural activities ( $20.2 \%$ ); the water bodies represent $0.5 \%$ of the area and only the $4.3 \%$ of the area is urbanized. The human settlings are small villages composed by country houses with private gardens and public parks, which offer many breeding possibilities to container breeding mosquitoes of the Aedes genus.
Climatic data of the area monitored were obtained from the Meteorological Regional Centre [14] from 4 meteorological stations (Belluno, Feltre, Sospirolo, S. Giustina). Monthly means of minimum, mean and maximum daily temperatures and accumulated monthly precipitation were calculated. The climatic variables of the study area were compared with the data of South Korea [15] where the mosquito is endemic. The broadest possible long-term period was considered to characterize the climate of the areas: from 1994 to 2011 for the study area and from 1981 to 2010 for South Korea.

## Mosquito sampling

All possible breeding sites, such as catch basins, manmade containers, buckets, basin of fountains, tires, vases/flowerpots and natural mosquito larval habitats (tree holes, water in plants, puddles) were checked. The places visited in the villages included private and public places, i.e. gardens, garden centres and florists, tire markets, cemeteries, farms and houses.


Figure 1 Map of the monitored area and sampling sites in north-eastern Italy, 2011-2012. Legend: negative (blue dots) and positive sites (red cross) for the presence of Aedes koreicus.

Aedes eggs were collected with standard ovitraps (black vases filled with 300 ml of water, 8 cm upper diameter) with sticks of masonite ${ }^{\text {mw }}$ as support for oviposition.
Larvae collections were made using a standard larval dipper ( $500 \mathrm{ml}, 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter). The larvae collected were placed in 50 ml plastic tubes and transported alive to the laboratory in a cooler. The adults were collected using BG-Sentinel ${ }^{\text {Tw }}$ traps baited both by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and lure ${ }^{\mathrm{mm}}$, testing also the ability of the traps to catch this species. During larval collection, adults trying to land on the personnel
were also collected. Adults and larvae obtained from the hatching of eggs were examined.
The end of Ae. koreicus activity was defined when there were no more adults, larvae or positive ovitraps.

## Mosquito identification

The larvae collected (either hatched from ovitraps or from direct collections) were stored in $70 \%$ ethanol for further identification. A small number of larvae were reared in the laboratory to obtain adults. The 4th-instar larvae were
clarified in saturated solution of alcohol-phenol for 4-5 h and then transferred in phenol-balsam (adding few drops of alcohol-phenol in Canada balsam) used as mounting medium [16]. The same procedure was applied to examine genitalia of males. The specimens were morphologically identified [12,17-22].
Six females and six males were examined accurately; the legs were clarified, mounted on slides and length of white band measured. Twelve larvae were also examined. The specimens described were collected as larvae during the summer from two villages where only Ae. koreicus was present or obtained from eggs hatched in laboratory.
Particular attention was paid to the morphological features useful to make a correct and rapid identification of larvae and adults of Ae. koreicus (see Additional file 1). Aedes koreicus was compared with the most similar species Aedes (Finlaya) japonicus (Theobald) [Hulecoeteomyia $j$. japonica] $[17,18,23]$ and Ae. albopictus. The comparative study reports only the features that differ among the three species (see Additional file 2). Drawings of morphology and terminology of the characters described are provided (see Additional files 3 and 4). Finally, photographs of $A e$. koreicus are compared to Ae. albopictus to draw attention to their differences (see Additional files 5, 6, 7 and 8).
In case of doubtful morphological identification or findings in new areas, a molecular confirmation was carried out using a PCR targeting the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dehydrogenase (NADH) subunit 4 (ND4) gene and using the primers and protocol suggested by $[13,24]$.

## Statistical analysis

The chi-square test was used to test significance of the differences of Ae. koreicus prevalence (only place/breeding sites monitored more than 10 times) according to the place of collection, larval breeding sites, altitude range. Prevalence distribution of Ae. koreicus was also compared with Ae. albopictus according to altitude.

## Results

## Distribution, habitat and period of activity

The area was monitored from the discovery of the species, on May 24 through September 2011 and from March through October 2012. The altitude of the sampling places ranged from 14 to 1645 m.a.s.l..
A total of 94 municipalities were monitored: 53 in the Province of Belluno (76.8\%) and 41 in other villages in the Province of Vicenza, Treviso and Trento (Table 1). Overall, 290 collections were made ( 241 larval and 15 adult collections and 34 ovitraps) and mosquitoes belonging to different species were found in 213 cases (73.4\%) of all collections; only in four villages no mosquitoes were collected. Overall, 229 different potential aquatic habitats were monitored, i.e. 86 pools of vases (each pool constituted of $30-40$ vases) in cemeteries, 67 water containers

Table 1 Municipalities monitored and positive for Aedes koreicus in 2011-2012 in north-eastern Italy

| Year and <br> provinces | Municipalities <br> monitored/total (\%) | Municip. positive/monitored <br> for Aedes koreicus (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 |  |  |
| Belluno | $23 / 69(33.3)$ | $17 / 23(73.9)$ |
| Treviso | $2 / 95(2.1)$ | $0 / 2(0.0)$ |
| 2012 |  | $23 / 45(51.1)$ |
| Belluno | $45 / 69(65.2)$ | $4 / 18(28.6)$ |
| Treviso | $18 / 95(18.9)$ | $3 / 13(25.0)$ |
| Vicenza | $13 / 121(10.7)$ | $0 / 8(0.0)$ |
| Trento | $8 / 217(3.7)$ | $\mathbf{3 7 / 9 4}(\mathbf{3 9 . 4})$ |
| Total | $94 / 502(18.7)$ |  |

in garden centres, private and public gardens, 33 catch basins in streets or squares.
Ae. koreicus was found in 37 municipalities out of 94 monitored (39.4\%) in a range of altitude between 173 (Valstagna, Province of Vicenza, Lat $45^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 10.72^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; Long $11^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 3.73^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and $1,250 \mathrm{~m}$. a.s.l. (Pedavena, Province of Belluno: Lat $46^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$; Long $11^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ ). Ae. koreicus was detected in $40.2 \%$ of the locations and in $38.0 \%$ of the larval habitats checked. Garden centres were the most common locations (66.7\%), followed by streets/squares (57.1\%), private gardens (46.4\%) and cemeteries (21.1\%) (Table 2).
The main larval habitats found positive for $A e$. koreicus larvae were catch basins (48.5\%) and artificial water containers (41.8\%). As for Ae. albopictus, ovitraps were attractive for adult females resulting in the higher rate of positivity ( $15 / 21 ; 71.4 \%$ ) among breeding sites (Table 2). Larvae were also observed in a turtle tank located inside a house.
Six other mosquito species were identified in 152 collections (59.4\%) (excluding ovitraps) during the survey: Culex pipiens (L.) (42\%), Cx. hortensis (Ficalbi) (2.3\%), Aedes geniculatus (Olivier) [Dahliana geniculata] (0.8\%), Culiseta longiareolata (Macquart) (0.8\%) and Anopheles maculipennis s.l. (Meigen) (0.4\%). Aedes albopictus was found in 57 (23.8\%) out of 225 breeding sites monitored (including ovitraps), even in places not previously colonized.
Out of 113 collections positive for Ae. koreicus, larvae were identified as the only species in 80 cases (71\%) and were associated with Cx. pipiens 17 times (including 1 ovitrap, 15\%), Ae. albopictus 13 times (including 4 ovitraps, $11.5 \%$ ), Cx. hortensis six times (5.3\%) and Ae. geniculatus in one site. In 21 municipalities (22.3\%) both Ae. koreicus and Ae. albopictus were present (Figure 2). Aedes koreicus was more common in locations between $400-600$ m.a.s.l. (71.4\%) whereas $A e$. albopictus was more common in places under 200 m.a. s.l. (61.9\%) (p < 0.01) (Figure 3).

Table 2 Results of the monitoring for Aedes koreicus in 2011-2012 in north-eastern Italy

| Locations | Positive/monitored for Aedes koreicus (\%)* | Breeding sites | Positive/monitored for Ae. koreicus (\%)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cemeteries | 19/90 (21.1) ${ }^{\text {ABC }}$ | Vases | 16/86 (18.6\%) ${ }^{\text {ABC }}$ |
| Garden centres | $12 / 18(66.7)^{\text {A }}$ | Water containers | 28/67 (41.8\%) ${ }^{\text {Ad }}$ |
| Private gardens | 13/28 (46.4) ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | Catch basins | 16/33 (48.5\%) ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |
| Public parks | 2/6 (33.3) | Puddles | 3/4 (75\%) |
| Tire markets | 4/8 (50.0) | Tires | 4/9 (44.4\%) |
| Streets/squares | 20/35 (57.1) ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | Basin of fountains | 5/7 (71.4\%) |
| Depots | 4/5 (80.0) | Ovitraps | 15/21 (71.4\%) ${ }^{\text {Cd }}$ |
| Buildings | 5/7 (71.4) | Dunghill | 0/1 (0.0\%) |
| Farms | 1/4 (25.0) | Tree holes | 0/1 (0.0\%) |
| Forests | 2/3 (66.7) |  |  |
| Total | 82/204 (40.2\%) | Total | 87/229 (38.0\%) |

Positive and surveyed locations for the presence of larval breeding sites and kind of larval breeding sites (in parentheses percentage of positive).
*Significant differences are marked with the same letter (uppercase $=p<0.01$; lowercase $=p<0.05$ )

Ae. koreicus was also well represented at an altitude between 800-1000 m.a.s.l. (28.1\%) and was found in two sites above 1000 m.a.s.l., where Ae. albopictus was absent.
In 2011 the beginning of the Ae. koreicus activity remained unknown as the survey started after the first identification at the end of May; the last adults were collected on September 13, while ovitraps remained positive until October 13. In 2012 the first larvae were caught on March 29 and ovitraps were positive on May 5. The adults were active until the end of September and living larvae were observed until October 29. The period of activity of Ae. albopictus was July 7-September 8 in 2011 and May 23-September 28 in 2012.

The mean temperature at the beginning and at the end of the period of Ae. koreicus activity (April-October) was $10.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mean minimum temperature in the same period was $4.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $6.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperatures in South Korea showed the same trend (mean temperature range $12.2-14.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; minimum temperature range $6.0-9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) while the annual rainfall in Valbelluna is higher than in South Korea ( 1,500 vs. $1,000 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Figure 4).
In August 2012, Ae. koreicus adults were caught by one out of three $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ baited BG-Sentinel traps but not by the two traps with only odorous attractant. Adults were also captured inside a house up to the fourth floor and in 2 of 3 forests where no buildings were present.

## Molecular identification

All doubtful samples were identified by PCR and yielded the expected band of 283 bp . The sequences revealed 99$100 \%$ identity with the Belgium isolate (GenBank:JF430392) and with the South Korea isolates (GenBank:GU22992527). The sequence of the first finding of Ae. koreicus in Italy (May 2011) and two representative sequences of our samples were submitted to GenBank database (GenBank: KC551970, KC551968 and KC551969, respectively).

## Discussion

The results of our survey clearly show that Ae. koreicus is well established in an area of $2600 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of northeastern Italy and confirm the invasive potential of this mosquito [24].

The finding of Ae. koreicus in Italy represents the second incursion in Europe, after a previous report in Belgium [25]. Outside Europe, Ae. koreicus is common in Korea and China and reported in Japan [23,26] and in the Asian part of Russia [27]. However, the current distribution of the species in Asia is unclear due to the lack of recent studies; furthermore Ae. koreicus was considered being a variety of Ae. japonicus and was often confused in the past with similar species [17]. Only recently its distribution in Korea has been defined [21] and the taxonomic position clarified using molecular tools [24].
Alien species need some time to adapt to their new environment and seem to follow a three-step process to settle and expand, i.e. arrival, establishment (population growth and unlikely extinction) and spread (expansion into new areas) $[28,29]$. The current scenario of Ae. koreicus in Italy seems to represent the third phase, characterized by occasional long-distance dispersal and formation of isolated new colonies [28,30]. The probability of establishment and spread of invasive species depends initially on suitable climatic conditions [31], on the availability of aquatic habitats (larval breeding sites) [32-34] and on the presence of suitable hosts. The knowledge of these features is of particular importance to predict their future spread.

Little information on the biology and the behaviour of Ae. koreicus in an area out of the native area is available [25,35]. In the native area it colonizes a wide typology of natural and artificial containers and seems more abundant in urban areas than Ae. japonicus [18]. It can tolerate the cold winter temperature and the first larvae are found early in the spring [17]. The area colonized in


Figure 2 Map of monitored municipalities in north-eastern Italy, 2011-2012. Legend: municipalities positive for the presence of Aedes koreicus, Aedes albopictus and their overlapping areas.

Italy displays air temperatures and freezing days during winter similar to the native South Korea. As opposed to Ae. albopictus, the cold season is not a limiting factor to the establishment and spread of Ae. koreicus, thus extending the risk of invasion all over central Europe.
Based on our observations, Ae. koreicus confirms also to be well adapted to urban settlements. The main sites colonized are garden centres, urban areas (streets, squares, parking lots) and private gardens (flower and kitchen gardens, sets of flowers on the balcony) where it breeds in a variety of artificial man-made containers. Surprisingly
larvae were also found in fountains located into the forest, far from human settlings, indicating that the species is able to complete its life cycle feeding on animals other than humans.

Another important role in the process of settling and spreading for the new species, apart from the climate and the environment, is played by the competition with the pre-existing species [32,33,36-38], which takes place mainly during the aquatic larval phase [33]. Aedes koreicus has arrived in an area in part occupied by indigenous mosquito species mainly belonging to the species $C x$. pipiens,


Figure 3 Distribution of Aedes koreicus and Aedes albopictus according to altitude in north-eastern Italy, 2011-2012.
Cx. hortensis, Ae. geniculatus and Ae. albopictus. The most likely competitor of $A e$. koreicus is surely Ae. albopictus, which uses similar larval habitats for the development and is considered superior to other mosquitoes in resource competition [32,36,37,39-43]. According to our observations, larval coexistence between $A e$. koreicus and $A e$. albopictus is possible but not common. In shared breeding sites, the number of Ae. albopictus larvae was higher than Ae. koreicus during the fall and toward south. The latter species was dominant in cohabitation just in a single case at high altitude. The unusual persistence of Ae. koreicus in artificial containers in the presence of Ae. albopictus may be facilitated by differences in seasonality and colonization to higher altitude areas. Indeed, Ae. koreicus adults hatch in the spring much earlier than Ae. albopictus (March and end of May respectively) leading to larval population of bigger size, as observed for Ae. japonicus and Ae. albopictus [42].
However, in the majority of the cases, Ae. koreicus was the only species found, demonstrating it has preferentially occupied empty niches [44].
The standard ovitraps used for tiger mosquito monitoring and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ baited BG-sentinel trap were shown to be suitable for Ae. koreicus collection. Accordingly, the current surveillance system is now complicated by the presence of two similar species in the same environment, thus requiring well trained personnel for identification.
It was not possible to clearly demonstrate the time of arrival and the route of entry of the mosquito in this part of Italy. Based on the previous monitoring for $A e$. albopictus in the same area [45,46]. Ae. koreicus was apparently absent or undetectable before 2010. However, comparing the size of this area with the area colonized
by Ae. japonicus in central Europe (approx. 2,600 vs. $1,400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), where $A e$. japonicus has been present from at least since 2008 [47], it can be assumed that this species was likely introduced 3-4 years ago.

All the specimens collected (larvae and adults) showed the same morphological and molecular characteristics of the Belgian population and of the Jeju-do Island of Korea population [25], suggesting a possible introduction from one of these locations. The way of introduction was likely through eggs within small containers, tires or plants, as happened in the past for Ae. albopictus [2,29,48,49].
It's interesting to note that this area of north-eastern Italy and in particular the Veneto Region has experienced several invasive mosquito introduction and spread in the past 20 years; in particular, Ae. albopictus in 1990, which spread all over the country in a few years $[9,11], A e$. atropalpus [Georgecraigius atropalpus] in 1996 [50], detected in the Province of Treviso and eradicated, and now Ae. koreicus. This is likely a consequence of the intensive trade of goods in one of the most developed industrial and commercial area of Italy. However, the intensive mosquito monitoring that followed recent vector-borne outbreaks in north Italy [51-53] could have enhanced our probability to detect invasive mosquitoes. Trade of goods and road vehicles movement are also likely the route of local dispersal, as happened with Ae. albopictus [9].

Other aspects of the biology of Ae. koreicus need urgently to be investigated in the next future, such as the feeding behaviour and the vector competence. The people resident in the areas where Ae. koreicus is the exclusive diurnal biting species complained due to mosquito bites (behaviour confirmed by the authors).


Figure 4 Climatic variables of the study area and native area of Aedes koreicus (South Korea). Legend: Monthly means of precipitation (curves: monthly precipitation; lines: trend lines) (a), mean temperature (b) and minimum temperature (c) of the Valbelluna (study area) and South Korea (native area).

Except humans, the host preference spectrum in this area remains unknown.
Little information on Ae. koreicus vector competence is available; while experimental transmission of Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) has been proven [54-56], the virus has not been isolated from wild-caught mosquitoes in Korea [57]. There is also laboratory evidence of its involvement in the parasitic cycle of the dog heartworm Dirofilaria immitis [58], which is endemic in northeastern Italy and mainly transmitted by Culex and Aedes mosquitoes [59,60].
Some arboviruses, such West Nile and Usutu viruses, are endemic in Veneto region $[51,52$ ] and others, such as Chikungunya and Dengue viruses, are regularly introduced by infected humans every year [61]. If Ae. koreicus
will be demonstrated to be competent for some of these viruses, the risk of transmission will be extended in this new colonized area, especially at high altitudes, i.e. in places previously regarded at a negligible risk of animal and human outbreaks.

## Conclusions

The findings of this survey defined horizontal and vertical geographical limits of the area colonized by $A e$. koreicus in northern Italy and reported some biological characteristics of the species, such as period and temperatures of activity and breeding sites preference. These data are important to model the risk of spreading in other part of Italy or Europe and to plan the future control of this invasive species, considering that the eradication of an establish species is unlikely.
Other research is urgently needed, especially to study the host preference spectrum and the vector competence of the species, to define the risk of infection transmission.
This finding, once again, stresses the importance of implementing an integrated entomological surveillance system for early detection of invasive species, which is necessary for eradication or limitation of its further spread.

## Additional files

## Additional file 1: Morphological features of Aedes koreicus.

Description of the main characteristics of adults and larvae of Aedes koreicus, (Italian or Belgian specimens).
Additional file 2: Table S3. A quick guide for the morphological identification of larvae and adults of Aedes koreicus, compared to Aedes albopictus and Aedes japonicus. Table showing the main characteristics of Aedes koreicus (Italian or Belgian specimens), Aedes albopictus and Aedes japonicus (according to [18]).
Additional file 3: Drawings of the main characteristics considered for the identification of female culicinae mosquito. a - general aspect of a female mosquito; $b$ - dorsal and lateral view of thorax. The arrows point only the characteristics useful for the identification of Aedes koreicus and Aedes albopictus.

Additional file 4: Drawings of main characteristics considered for the identification of larvae and male of Aedes species. c -
hypopygium of Aedes; d - head of culicinae larvae; e - lateral view of the distal part of the larval abdomen of Aedes/Ochlerotatus species. The arrows point only the characteristics useful for the identification of Aedes koreicus and Aedes albopictus.
Additional file 5: Comparison between larval features of Aedes albopictus and Aedes koreicus. Difference between Aedes albopictus (a) and Aedes koreicus (b); Figure S5, antennae and antennal setae 1A; Figure S6, comb scales; Figure S7, pecten.
Additional file 6: Comparison between male features of Aedes albopictus and Aedes koreicus. Difference between Aedes albopictus (a) and Aedes koreicus (b); Figure S8, palps and proboscids; Figure S9, hypopygium.

Additional file 7: Comparison between female features of Aedes albopictus and Aedes koreicus. Difference between Aedes albopictus (a) and Aedes koreicus (b); Figure S10, palps and interorbicular space; Figure S11, ornamentation on scutum; Figure S12, abdomen.
Additional file 8: Comparison between legs of Aedes albopictus and Aedes koreicus. Difference between Aedes albopictus (a) and Aedes koreicus (b); Figure S13, 1-2-3 fore, mid and hind legs respectively.
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