

disease (large cell - anaplastic histology, *MYC/MYC-N* gene amplification, *Iso17q* and *TP53* gene mutation) were absent in this tumor samples. We have also achieved 100% PFS in patients with desmoplastic tumor histology and in patients, who were treated with thiphosphamide - based chemotherapy regimen. Molecular - biological characteristics analysis of tumor cells showed a negative effect on PFS of DNMT - positive status (Score 4 and>, by 3 markers) and presence of *MYC-N* gene amplification (SHH molecular subgroup).

MBCL-06. RISK STRATIFICATION IMPROVEMENT OF THE HIT2000 AND I-HIT-MED COHORTS USING MOLECULAR SUBTYPES I-VIII OF GROUP 3/4 MEDULLOBLASTOMAS

Martin Mynarek¹, Denise Obrecht¹, Martin Sill^{2,3}, Florian Selt^{4,4}, Katja von Hoff⁵, David Jones^{2,3}, Dominic Sturm^{2,3}, B.-Ole Juhnke⁶, Jonas Ecker^{2,4}, Torsten Pietsch⁷, Andreas von Deimling^{8,9}, Felix Sahm^{8,9}, Stefan M. Pfister^{2,3}, Olaf Witt^{2,4}, Michael Ludwig Bockmayr¹, Ulrich Schüller^{1,10}, Stefan Rutkowski¹, and Till Milde^{2,4}; ¹Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ²Hopp Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg (KiTZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ³Division of Pediatric Neurooncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁴KiTZ Clinical Trial Unit (ZIPO), Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁵Charite – University Medical Center Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁶University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ⁷Institute of Neuropathology, Brain Tumor Reference Center of the German Society for Neuropathology and Neuroanatomy (DGNN), University of Bonn, DZNE German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Bonn, Germany, ⁸Department of Neuropathology, Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁹Clinical Cooperation Unit Neuropathology, German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ¹⁰Department of Neuropathology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

OBJECTIVE: Molecular subtypes of Group 3/4 medulloblastoma have been identified by unsupervised clustering methods in different studies. We hypothesized that risk stratification using these subtypes I-VIII improves outcome prediction. **PATIENTS AND METHODS:** n=340 patients with Group 3 or Group 4 medulloblastoma defined by DNA methylation array profiling enrolled into the HIT2000 study and HIT-MED registries were subtyped by the Heidelberg Medulloblastoma Classifier. The discovery cohort consisted of n=162 previously published samples, the validation cohort of n=178 newly analyzed samples. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:** n=300/340 (88%) MBs could be assigned to one of the subtypes with confidence (score >0.8; Heidelberg Medulloblastoma classifier). Subtype II, III and V showed a poor PFS and OS and were classified as HR (discovery: 5y-PFS 45% [95%-CI:33–62], 5y-OS 50% [37–67]; validation: 5y-PFS 32% [20–50], 5y-OS 40% [27–61]). Subtypes I, IV, VI-VIII fared better (discovery: 5y-PFS 67% [58–77], 5y-OS 84% [77–91]; Validation: 5y-PFS 70% [58–83], 5y-OS 89% [81–99]). Survival prediction by subtype-based risk assessment was improved compared to Group 3 versus 4 differentiation in both cohorts in univariate and multivariable Cox regression models (PFS:Hazard ratio HR versus LR 2.474, p<0.001; Group 3 versus Group 4 1.842, p=0.003; adjustment for anaplasia, age and metastatic disease). Patients older than 4 with subtype IV tumors (mainly Group 3) treated with radiotherapy achieved a 100% PFS, while subtype V patients (mainly Group 4) had poor survival. **CONCLUSION:** We showed that molecular subtypes I-VIII improved risk stratification of Group 3/4 medulloblastomas. Group 3 subtype IV MB treated with RT had very high cure rates.

MBCL-07. NON-METASTATIC MEDULLOBLASTOMA OF EARLY CHILDHOOD: RESULTS FROM THE PROSPECTIVE CLINICAL TRIAL HIT-2000 AND AN EXTENDED VALIDATION COHORT

Martin Mynarek¹, Katja von Hoff^{1,2}, Torsten Pietsch³, Holger Ottensmeier⁴, Monika Warmuth-Metz⁵, Brigitte Bison⁶, Stefan Pfister^{7,8}, Andrey Korshunov^{9,10}, Tanvi Sharma^{7,11}, Natalie Jaeger^{7,12}, Marina Ryzhova¹³, Olga Zheludkova¹⁴, Andrey Golanov¹⁵, Elisabeth Jane Rushing¹⁶, Martin Hasselblatt¹⁷, Arend Koch¹⁸, Ulrich Schüller^{1,19}, Andreas von Deimling^{9,20}, Felix Sahm^{7,20}, Martin Sill^{7,12}, Markus J. Riemenschneider²¹, Hildegard Dohmen²², Camelia-Maria Monoranu^{23,24}, Clemens Sommer²⁵, Ori Staszewski^{26,27}, Christian Mawrin²⁸, Jens Schittenhelm²⁹, Wolfgang Brück³⁰, Katharina Filipkiewicz^{31,32}, Christian Hartmann³³, Matthias Meinhardt³⁴, Klaus Pietschmann³⁵, Christine Haberler³⁶, Irene Slavc³⁷, Nicolas U. Gerber^{38,39}, Michael Grotzer^{38,39}, Martin Benesch⁴⁰, Paul-Gerhard Schlegel⁴, Frank Deinlein⁴, André O. von Bueren^{41,42}, Carsten Friedrich⁴³, Denise Obrecht¹, Gudrun Fleischhack⁴⁴, Robert Kwicien⁴⁵, Andreas Faldum⁴⁵, Rolf-Dieter Kortmann⁴⁶, Marcel Kool^{7,12}, and Stefan Rutkowski¹;

¹Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Hamburg Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ²Department of Pediatric Oncology, Hematology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Charite – University Medical Center Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ³Institute of Neuropathology, Brain Tumor Reference Center of the German Society for Neuropathology and Neuroanatomy (DGNN), University of Bonn, DZNE German Center for Neurodegenerative Disease, Bonn, Germany, ⁴Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Children's Hospital Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, ⁵Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Hospital Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, ⁶Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Hospital Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, ⁷Hopp Children's Cancer Center at the NCT Heidelberg (KiTZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁸Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁹Clinical Cooperation Unit Neuropathology (B300), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ¹⁰Department of Neuropathology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany, ¹¹Faculty of Biosciences, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany, ¹²Division of Pediatric Neurooncology (B062), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Heidelberg, Germany, ¹³Department of Neuropathology, N.N. Burdenko Neurosurgical Institute, Moscow, Russian Federation, ¹⁴Department of Pediatric Oncology, Russian Scientific Center of Roentgenoradiology, Moscow, Russian Federation, ¹⁵Department of Stereotactic Radiotherapy and Radiosurgery National Medical Research Center of Neurosurgery named after N.N. Burdenko, Moscow, Germany, ¹⁶Institute of Neuropathology, University Medical Center Zurich, Zurich, Germany, ¹⁷Institute of Neuropathology, University Hospital Muenster, Muenster, Germany, ¹⁸Department of Neuropathology, Charite – University Medical Center Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany, ¹⁹Department of Neuropathology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ²⁰Department of Neuropathology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany, ²¹Department of Neuropathology, Regensburg University Hospital, Regensburg, Germany, ²²Institute for Neuropathology, University Hospital Gießen and Marburg, Gießen, Germany, ²³Institute of Pathology, Department of Neuropathology, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, ²⁴Comprehensive Cancer Center (CCC) Mainfranken, Wuerzburg, Germany, ²⁵Institute for Neuropathology, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz, Germany, ²⁶Institute of Neuropathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ²⁷Berta-Ottenstein-Programme for Advanced Clinician Scientists, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ²⁸Institute for Neuropathology, University of Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany, ²⁹Department of Neuropathology, Institute for Pathology and Neuropathology, University Medical Center Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany, ³⁰Institute for Neuropathology, University Medical Center Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany, ³¹Institute of Neurology (Edinger Institute), University Hospital, Frankfurt Am Main, Germany, ³²German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site Frankfurt/Mainz, Heidelberg, Germany; German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ³³Department of Neuropathology, Institute for Pathology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, ³⁴Institute for Pathology, University Medical Center Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University Dresden, Dresden, Germany, ³⁵Department for Radiotherapy, Poliklinik Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany, ³⁶Institute of Neurology, and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ³⁷Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ³⁸Department of Oncology, University Children's Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland, ³⁹Children's Research Centre, University Children's Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland, ⁴⁰Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria, ⁴¹Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, ⁴²Department of Pediatrics, CANSEARCH Research Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, ⁴³Division of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Children's Hospital Rostock, Rostock, Germany, ⁴⁴Paediatric Haematology and Oncology, Paediatrics III, University Hospital of Essen, Essen, Germany, ⁴⁵Institute of Biostatistics and Clinical Research, University of Muenster, Muenster, Germany, ⁴⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

OBJECTIVE: To avoid craniospinal irradiation (CSI) in children younger than four years with non-metastatic medulloblastoma by chemotherapy, intraventricular methotrexate and risk-adapted local radiotherapy. **PATIENTS AND METHODS:** Eighty-seven patients received systemic chemotherapy and intraventricular methotrexate. Until 2006, CSI was reserved for non-response or progression. After 2006, local radiotherapy was introduced for non-responders or classic (CMB), anaplastic or large-cell medulloblastoma (LCA). Infantile SHH-activated medulloblastomas (SHH_INF) were subdivided by DNA-methylation profiling. Survival in SHH_INF subtypes were also assessed in a val-

idation cohort (n=71). RESULTS: Patients with desmoplastic medulloblastoma (DMB) or medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity (MBEN) (n=42) had 93% 5-year PFS, 100% 5-year OS and 93% 5-year CSI-free survival. Patients with CMB/LCA (n=45) had 37% 5y-PFS, 62% 5y-OS and 39% 5y-CSI-free survival. Local radiotherapy did not improve survival in CMB/LCA patients. All DMB/MBEN assessed by DNA methylation profiling belonged to the SHH_INF subgroup. Group 3 patients (5y-PFS 36% [n=14]) relapsed more frequently than SHH_INF (5y-PFS 93% [n=28]) or Group 4 patients (5y-PFS 83% [n=6], p<0.001). SHH_INF split into iSHH-I and iSHH-II subtypes in HIT-2000-BIS4 and the validation cohort, without prognostic impact (5y-PFS: iSHH-I 73% vs. iSHH-II 83%, p=0.25, n=99). Mean IQ was 90 (radiotherapy-free survivors) vs. 74 (patients that received CSI) [p=0.012]. CONCLUSION: Systemic chemotherapy and intraventricular methotrexate led to favorable survival in both iSHH-subtypes of SHH-activated DMB/MBEN with acceptable neurotoxicity. Survival in non-WNT/non-SHH CMB/LCA patients was not improved by local radiotherapy. Survival was more favorable in patients with Group 4 than in patients with Group 3 medulloblastoma.

MBCL-08. INTEGRATIVE MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF PATIENT-MATCHED DIAGNOSTIC AND RELAPSED MEDULLOBLASTOMAS

Rahul Kumar¹, Maximilian Deng², Kyle Smith¹, Anthony Liu¹, Girish Dhall³, Laura Kleese⁴, Daniel Bowers⁴, Murali Chintagumpala⁵, Sarah Leary⁶, Javad Nazarian⁷, Brent Orr¹, Arzu Onar-Thomas¹, Stefan Pfister², Andrey Korshunov², Giles Robinson¹, Amar Gajjar¹, David Jones², Vijay Ramaswamy⁸, and Paul Northcott¹; ¹St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA, ²German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ³Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ⁴University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA, ⁵Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX, USA, ⁶Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA, ⁷Children's National Hospital, Washington, DC, USA, ⁸Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada

INTRODUCTION: The next generation of clinical trials for relapsed medulloblastoma demands a thorough understanding of the clinical behavior of relapsed tumors as well as the molecular relationship to their diagnostic counterparts. METHODS: A multi-institutional molecular cohort of patient-matched (n=126 patients) diagnostic MBs and relapses/subsequent malignancies was profiled by DNA methylation array. Entity, subgroup classification, and genome-wide copy-number aberrations were assigned while parallel next-generation (whole-exome or targeted panel) sequencing on the majority of the cohort facilitated inference of somatic driver mutations. RESULTS: Comprised of WNT (2%), SHH (41%), Group 3 (18%), Group 4 (39%), primary tumors retained subgroup affiliation at relapse with the notable exception of 10% of cases. The majority (8/13) of discrepant classifications were determined to be secondary glioblastomas. Additionally, rare (n=3) subgroup-switching events of Group 4 primary tumors to Group 3 relapses were identified coincident with MYC/MYCN pathway alterations. Amongst truly relapsing MBs, copy-number analyses suggest somatic clonal divergence between primary MBs and their respective relapses with Group 3 (55% of alterations shared) and Group 4 tumors (63% alterations shared) sharing a larger proportion of cytogenetic alterations compared to SHH tumors (42% alterations shared; Chi-square p-value < 0.001). Subgroup- and gene-specific patterns of conservation and divergence amongst putative driver genes were also observed. CONCLUSION: Integrated molecular analysis of relapsed MB discloses potential mechanisms underlying treatment failure and disease recurrence while motivating rational implementation of relapse-specific therapies. The degree of genetic divergence between primary and relapsed MBs varied by subgroup but suggested considerably higher conservation than prior estimates.

MBCL-09. ISOLATED M1 METASTASES IN PEDIATRIC MEDULLOBLASTOMA: IS POSTOPERATIVE RADIOTHERAPY FOLLOWED BY MAINTENANCE CHEMOTHERAPY SUPERIOR TO POSTOPERATIVE SANDWICH-CHEMOTHERAPY AND RADIOTHERAPY?

Denise Obrecht¹, Martin Mynarek¹, Christian Hagel², Robert Kwicien³, Michael Spohn^{2,4}, Michael L. Bockmayr^{1,4}, Brigitte Bison⁵, Monika Warmuth-Metz⁵, Stefan M. Pfister^{6,7}, David T.W. Jones^{6,8}, Dominik Sturm^{6,7}, Andreas von Deimling⁹, Felix Sahm^{9,6}, Katja von Hoff¹⁰, B.-Ole Juhnke¹, Martin Benesch¹¹, Nicolas U. Gerber¹², André O. von Bueren¹³, Carsten Friedrich¹⁴, Rolf-Dieter Kortmann¹⁵, Rudolf Schwarz¹⁶, Torsten Pietsch¹⁷, and Stefan Rutkowski¹; ¹Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ²Department of Neuropathology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ³Institute of Biostatistics and Clinical Research, University of Münster, Münster, Germany, ⁴Research Institute Kinderkrebs-Zentrum Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany, ⁵Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, ⁶Hopp Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg (KiTZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁷Division of

Pediatric Neurooncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁸Pediatric Glioma Research Group, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁹Clinical Cooperation Unit Neuropathology, German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ¹⁰Charité – University Medical Center Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ¹¹Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria, ¹²Department of Oncology, University Children's Hospital, Zürich, Switzerland, ¹³Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, ¹⁴Division of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Children's Hospital Rostock, Rostock, Germany, ¹⁵Department for Radiation Therapy, University Medical Center Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, ¹⁶Department for Radiotherapy, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, ¹⁷Institute of Neuropathology, Brain Tumor Reference Center of the German Society for Neuropathology and Neuroanatomy (DGNN), University of Bonn, DZNE German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Bonn, Germany

BACKGROUND: Impact of isolated spread into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is still not investigated comprehensively for childhood medulloblastoma and the best therapeutic strategy is currently unclear. MATERIAL AND METHODS: Sixty-six patients with isolated M1-MB registered to the HIT-MED-database from 2000–2018 were identified. CSF and MRI were centrally reviewed for all patients. Patients were stratified by age and either treated with upfront craniospinal irradiation (CSI) followed by maintenance chemotherapy (CT) or with postoperative CT and delayed CSI. RESULTS: Forty-nine patients were non-infants ≥ 4 years and seventeen were infants <4 years. Median age was 7.3y (1.1–18.0). 83.3% were histologically classified as CMB, 12.1% as LCA-MB and 4.6% as DMB. Molecular subgroup was Gr.3 in 25.8%, Gr.4 in 28.8%, SHH in 4.5%, WNT in 1.5% and not evaluated for 39.4%. Lumbar puncture was performed on median postoperative day 19 (range: 14–77). Median follow-up for survivors was 7.6y (range: 1.2–15.9). The whole cohort showed a 3y- and 5y-PFS of 68.0(± 6.0) and 60.0(± 6.5)%, while OS was 79.1(± 5.2) and 72.9(± 5.9)%. 10y-OS was 54.4(± 7.5). Patients with upfront CSI had more favourable outcomes (5y-PFS 66.1 vs. 55.8% [p=0.119]; 5y-OS 90.6 vs. 64.5% [p=0.035]). The trend towards improved survival in patients with postoperative CSI was retained when only non-infants were considered (p_{PFS}=0.176, p_{OS}=0.055). M1-persistence occurred exclusively in patients with postoperative CT. CONCLUSION: Isolated M1-MB is rare. Patients without contraindication for CSI appear to benefit from treatment by upfront CSI followed by maintenance CT, while cumulative CT-doses would be reduced compared to sandwich strategies.

MBCL-10. LOCAL RECURRENCE AND SURVIVAL OUTCOMES OF MEDULLOBLASTOMA (MB) IN ADOLESCENT AND YOUNG ADULT PATIENTS (AYA)

Warissara Rongthong, Teeradon Treechairusame, Nan Suntonpong, and Kullathorn Thephamongkhon; Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand

OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study is to evaluate the local recurrence-free survival (LRFs) and overall survival (OS) of MB in AYA patients at our institute. METHOD: Patients 15–39 years old with MB who was sent for post-operative radiation therapy (RT) in 2007 - 2017 at our institute were included. Kaplan-Meier statistics were used to estimate the LRFs and OS. RESULTS: Seven patients were included. The median age at RT was 18.3 years (16.7–28.6 years). Male was more common than female, 5 males vs. 2 females. NTR or GTR was achieved in 71.4% (5 in 7 patients). Only one patient had metastatic disease (M1) and received combined chemotherapy-RT. The rest 6 patients were received RT alone, all were M0. The median craniospinal irradiation (CSI) dose and total RT dose were 36Gy (23.4-46Gy) and 54Gy (54-56Gy), respectively. Five patients had available follow-up MRI brain. Local recurrence (LR) was found in one patient at 4.3 years after finished RT. Her initial treatment was subtotal resection (STR) followed by RT alone; CSI 36 Gy and posterior fossa boost to 55.8Gy. The 2-years and 5-years LRFs were 100% and 66.7%, respectively. Both 2-years and 5-years OS were 100%. The median follow-up time was 7.6 years (0.4–11.5 years). CONCLUSION: Our study shows high 2-years LRFs and OS of post-operative RT alone in AYA MB. Combined chemotherapy-RT should be considered in STR or M1. More number of patients and molecular histopathology subtype reports are still needed to confirm this report.

MBCL-11. TIME TO RADIOTHERAPY IMPACTS SURVIVAL IN PEDIATRIC AND ADOLESCENT NON-METASTATIC MEDULLOBLASTOMA TREATED BY UPFRONT RADIOTHERAPY – A REPORT FROM THE HIT 2000 TRIAL

Stefan Dietzsch¹, Felix Placzek¹, Klaus Pietschmann^{2,1}, André O. von Bueren³, Christiane Matuschek⁴, Albrecht Glück⁵,