Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Materials Today Bio

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/materials-today-bio

Harnessing reactive oxygen/nitrogen species and inflammation: Nanodrugs for liver injury



Min Liu ^{a,b,1}, Qiong Huang ^{a,b,1}, Yan Zhu ^{a,b}, Li Chen ^{c,d}, Yumei Li ^e, Zhicheng Gong ^{a,b,**}, Kelong Ai ^{c,d,*}

^a Department of Pharmacy, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, 410008, China

^b National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, 410008, China

^c Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, Changsha, 410078, China

^d Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Research, Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, Changsha, 410078, China

^e Department of Assisted Reproduction, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, 410008, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: RONS-Scavenging Anti-inflammatory Liver injury Nanozyme Nanocarriers

ABSTRACT

Overall, 12% of the global population (800 million) suffers from liver disease, which causes 2 million deaths every year. Liver injury involving characteristic reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (RONS) and inflammation plays a key role in progression of liver disease. As a key metabolic organ of the human body, the liver is susceptible to injury from various sources, including COVID-19 infection. Owing to unique structural features and functions of the liver, most current antioxidants and anti-inflammatory drugs are limited against liver injury. However, the characteristics of the liver could be utilized in the development of nanodrugs to achieve specific enrichment in the liver and consequently targeted treatment. Nanodrugs have shown significant potential in eliminating RONS and regulating inflammation, presenting an attractive therapeutic tool for liver disease through controlling liver injury. Therefore, the main aim of the current review is to provide a comprehensive summary of the latest developments contributing to our understanding of the mechanisms underlying nanodrugs in the treatment of liver injury via harnessing RONS and inflammation. Meanwhile, the prospects of nanodrugs for liver injury therapy are systematically discussed, which provides a sound platform for novel therapeutic insights and inspiration for design of nanodrugs to treat liver disease.

1. Introduction

Liver injury is one of the main ongoing hot topics of medical research. Recently, the high incidence of liver injury triggered by coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection has attracted the attention of several research groups [1,2]. A large proportion of COVID-19 patients (over 45%) experience liver injury of varying severity, with the mortality rate of severe COVID-19-induced liver injury reported to be as high as 42% [3]. As an essential organ of the human body, the liver plays an irreplaceable role in numerous physiological processes [4–7]. Consequently, the liver is significantly vulnerable to multiple extraneous factors and external stimuli [8–10]. Liver injury is the main factor in pathogenesis of most liver disorders, including hepatitis, acute liver failure, liver fibrosis and liver cancer. Liver disease has a high morbidity rate, with more than 800 million cases reported worldwide (up to 12% of the global population) resulting in about 2 million deaths each year [11,12]. Depending on etiology, liver injury can be classified into injuries caused by exogenous substances (including drug-induced liver injury, alcoholic liver injury, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease), disease or external stimuli (including COVID-19, viral hepatitis, hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI), sepsis, and radiation), and others [12–16]. However, available treatments are limited, mainly involving methods targeting etiology (including diet control, abstinence from alcoholic drink, drug withdrawal, and antiviral and antilipemic therapy) [17–19]. Liver injury can rapidly progress to acute liver failure, fibrosis, or even cancer if not treat effectively [20–22]. In cases where liver injury develops into acute liver failure and cirrhosis, transplantation is the only feasible option. However, only a small percentage of patients benefit from liver

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtbio.2022.100215

Received 11 December 2021; Received in revised form 4 February 2022; Accepted 6 February 2022 Available online 8 February 2022

^{*} Corresponding author. Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, Changsha, 410078, China.

^{**} Corresponding author. Department of Pharmacy, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, 410008, China.

E-mail addresses: gongzhicheng@csu.edu.cn (Z. Gong), aikelong@csu.edu.cn (K. Ai).

¹ Min Liu and Qiong Huang contributed equally to this work.

^{2590-0064/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bynend/40/).

transplantation due to the very limited source of donors [23,24]. A diagram depicting detailed pathogenesis of various forms of liver injury and clinical progression is presented in Fig. 1.

Emerging evidence supports a key role of oxidative stress and inflammation in all liver injury types, among which reactive oxygen/ nitrogen species (RONS) are crucial [25-27] As an important metabolic organ of the human body, the liver requires high levels of energy with comparable energy consumption per unit mass to that of the brain [28]. Therefore, hepatocytes contain a high abundance of mitochondria. When liver injury occurs, excessive RONS are produced from damaged mitochondria. Hepatotoxic compounds, their active metabolites and other factors (such as hypoxia and reoxygenation) interfere with the electron transport chain located in the mitochondrial membrane, leading to excessive RONS production. In addition, inflammation is initiated by liver injury, followed by derivation of RONS mainly from NADPH oxidase 2 (NOX2), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and myeloperoxidase (MPO) in activated inflammatory cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), further dysregulating the redox balance of liver [29-31]. More importantly, persistent inflammation with elevated inflammatory cytokines will lead to extensive hepatic damage and the progression of chronic liver disease, even liver fibrosis [27,32].

Currently available antioxidant and anti-inflammatory drugs have limited efficacy in treatment of liver injury [33,34]. Owing to the unique structure and metabolic functions of liver, the therapeutic effects of almost all antioxidant and anti-inflammatory drugs are limited, occasionally even aggravating liver injury. For example, only 34% of patients who received pioglitazone and 43% of those who received vitamin E partially benefit in a clinical trial of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [35]. In recent years, the latest advances of nanodrugs made in clinical shed a light on the treatment of liver injury. Nanodrugs have recently achieved excellent results as therapeutic agents for various diseases [36-42]. There were several reviews focusing on a kind of nanodrug, a type of liver injury or influence of nanodrugs on liver after retrieving and evaluating relevant articles [43-47], instead of the RONS and inflammation regulatory properties of nanodrugs for all kinds of liver injury. Therefore, the mechanisms associated with RONS and inflammation-mediated liver injury and related documenting collective findings have been summarized in the current review, which would be very valuable in providing a

comprehensive outline and platform for further investigation of therapeutic nanodrugs targeting RONS and inflammation. The therapeutic effects of newly developed nanodrugs on RONS and inflammation are further described specifically. The available nanodrugs are classified into three categories: RONS-scavenging nanodrugs, nanocarriers with antioxidants, and anti-inflammatory nanodrugs (Fig. 2 & Table 1). Finally, the current challenges of nanodrug application in the field of liver injury treatment are discussed.

2. RONS in liver injury

Due to their strong oxidative properties, RONS act as important inflammation mediators and cause damage to cells at high concentrations [30,32]. The representative RONS predominantly include reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide anion radicals $(O_2^{\bullet-})$, hydroxyl radical ($^{\bullet}OH$), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and, hypochlorous acid (HOCl), and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), such as peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) and nitric oxide (NO) [48,49]. For treatment of liver injury, analysis of the mechanisms underlying RONS generation is critical. Among the various factors causing liver injury, RONS are mainly derived from mitochondria and different types of inflammatory cells.

2.1. Mitochondria-derived RONS generation

Liver is one of the organs with the most abundant mitochondria and a high metabolic rate [26,50]. Each hepatocyte contains an estimated 1000–2000 mitochondria, accounting for 18% of the total volume [50-52]. In all eukaryotic cells, mitochondria are the main energy-supplying organelles, producing ATP mainly through oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) in the mitochondrial respiratory chain, also known as mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) [53,54]. Under normal physiological conditions, RONS are produced as a by-product of OXPHOS, requiring the consumption of up to 2% oxygen (O₂) in mitochondria [55]. Following disruption of ETC and hindrance of ATP synthesis, high levels of RONS are formed by electrons and O₂. Therefore, mitochondria may serve as the main source of RONS. Most liver diseases, including alcoholic liver disease, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), drug-induced



Fig. 1. Pathogenesis of various forms of liver injury and clinical characteristics of severe outcomes. As a core organ with important metabolic and detoxification functions, the liver is vulnerable to RONS/inflammationrelated injury owing to significant exposure to harmful substances and stimuli. As illustrated above, viruses, drugs, hemorrhagic shock, and other factors trigger varying degrees of liver injury that can further develop into hepatic cancer, liver cirrhosis and acute liver injury (shown on the right).



Fig. 2. The scope and focus of this article. RONS overproduction and inflammation are the major features of multiple types of liver injury, with known crosstalk between RONS and inflammation. Nanoparticles with RONS-scavenging or inflammation-regulating function could ameliorate liver injury through eliminating RONS and inhibiting inflammation. These therapeutic nanodrugs used for treatment of liver injury are divided into three categories according to structure and mechanism of action.

hepatotoxicity, and viral hepatitis, are characterized by mitochondrial dysfunction [16,26,50,56].

 $O_2^{\bullet-}$ are produced uncontrollably via ETC in liver injury due to the influence of foreign substances and irritants (Fig. 3A-C) [57-59]. Interference with the electron transport chain in mitochondria is considered the main reason for the initial excessive production of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ mediated by exogenous compounds and active metabolites (such as acetaldehyde, NADPQI, ω-hydroxylated fatty acids) [30,60–64]. NADH produced in the P450 enzyme metabolic process is also transported into mitochondria to promote electron leakage (Fig. 3D) [32,65]. In addition, accumulation of excessive free fatty acids in hepatocytes can interfere with ETC by affecting the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (Fig. 3E) [66,67]. $O_2^{\bullet-}$ further generates other RONS with higher reactivity (Fig. 3F) [26,30,68]. Excessive RONS cause damage to the mitochondrial membrane, mitochondrial DNA (mDNA), and ETC (to release free cytochrome c) in mitochondria. Among these, mitochondrial membrane damage leads to alterations in permeability, and ultimately to the release of RONS, mDNA, and cytochrome c in mitochondria into the cytoplasm [26,69]. RONS entering the cytoplasm activate JNK1/2 (Fig. 3G). Phosphorylated JNK is subsequently transported to mitochondria and combined with SH3 homology-associated BTK-binding protein (Sab) on the outer mitochondrial membrane, promoting inactivation of Src under the DOK4 platform in the inner membrane (Fig. 3H), disruption of ETC, and O₂⁻ leakage. This cycle of damage leads to continuous activation of JNK and amplification of the oxidative stress effect [70,71].

Hemorrhagic shock, liver transplantation, liver resection, and external stimuli often lead to hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) [72,73]. Interference with the mitochondrial electron transport chain is the main contributory factor to excessive RONS production in hepatocytes. Under ischemic and hypoxic conditions, accumulated electrons are stored in succinic acid, causing damage to mitochondria. Moreover, reverse electron transport (RET; converse to the conventional forward transport that drives ATP synthesis) occurs due to the recovery of oxygen during reperfusion, inducing abundant $O_2^{\bullet-}$ generation from accumulated succinic acid [74,75].

2.2. RONS from inflammation

Liver serves as a frontline immune organ that can rapidly generate a robust inflammatory response and efficient adaptive immunity [4]. Continuous inflammation is strongly associated with liver injury and disease progression [76,77]. Exacerbation of injury facilitates RONS-induced cell necrosis, leading to release of RONS and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) [78]. DAMPs and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) produce several inflammatory factors through the pattern recognition receptor (PRR) pathway of inflammatory cells, mainly including Toll-like receptors (TLR) and Nod-like receptors (NLR) [32,77–79]. As a result, inflammation triggers overproduction of RONS [80,81]. Notably, O₂ consumption of neutrophils is increased to 100 times basal metabolic activity to generate RONS [80]. RONS are regulated and overproduced mainly by NADPH oxidase (NOX), nitric oxide synthase (NOS), and myeloperoxidase (MPO) in various inflammatory cells (Fig. 4).

NOX is a membrane-bound enzyme complex facing the extracellular space, which mainly includes NOX1, NOX2, NOX3, NOX4, NOX5, DUOX1, and DUOX2. NOX2 is responsible for O_2^{-} production in inflammatory cells including macrophages (hepatic resident KC, monocyte-derived macrophages) and neutrophils [82–84]. Several inflammatory factor receptors couple with NOX2 to generate O_2^{-} in inflammatory cells (Fig. 4A) [85]. NOX2 is a multiprotein complex containing a catalytic

Table 1

Main nanodrugs with RONS-scavenging function for liver injury.

Category	Nanoparticles	Size	Main Components	Experimental Model	Effect Mechanism	Reference
RONS-scavenging nanodrugs Cerium oxide nanoparticles	CeO2NPs	4–20 nm	CeO ₂	CCl₄-induced liver fibrosis	RONS↓ TNF-α, IL1β, COX-2, iNOS↓ GPx, CAT↑, Ncf1, Ncf2↓	[153]
	Ceria NPs	<20 nm	hydrophobic ceria, PEG	Hepatic IRI	Atf3, Hspa5↓ RONS↓ IL-1, IL-12, TNF-α, IL-6,	[175]
	CeNZs	~12 nm	ceria ions, DSPE-PEG2000	APAP-induced liver injury	iNOS, MPO↓ ROS↓ GPx, HO-1↑, NOX2↓ Keap↓, Nrf2↑	[176]
Melanin-like nanoparticles	PADN	$\begin{array}{c} 106.6 \pm 5.4 \\ nm \end{array}$	PEGylated phenylboronic-acid- protected L-DOPA	APAP-induced liver injury	IL-1β, TNF-α, HIF-1α↓ RONS↓ Lipid peroxidation↓ GPx↑	[182]
Carbon-based nanoparticles	C3 NPs	175 nm	carboxyfullerene	Hepatic IRI	MPO, TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β ↓ Lipid peroxidation↓, SOD↑ MPO, TNF- α , IL-6↓, NF-	[186]
	Large GQDs	20-60 nm	graphene	ConA induced hepatitis	KB↓ RONS↓ Lipid peroxidation↓ IFN-γ, T-beta↓, ST2↑ p- ERK, p-JNK↓, p38 MAPK↑	[187]
	C-NP	$78\pm11.3~\text{nm}$	a hydrophilic carbohydrate- derived nanoparticle	Hepatic IRI	ROS↓, SOD↑ IL-1, TNF-α, IL-6↓	[190]
Other nanozymes	Nb2C-PVP NSs	~150 nm 0.5–1 nm (thickness)	ultrathin 2D Nb ₂ C (MXene), PVP	Hepatic IRI	ROS↓, SOD↑	[200]
	SeNPs-C/C	~50 nm	SeNPs, polysaccharide chitosan	D-GalN-induced liver	ROS↓	[206]
	Cu ₅₋₄ O USNPs	~4.5 nm	Cu ₅₋₄ O	APAP-induced liver	ROS↓	[200]
	MoS ₂ nanosheet	~500 nm 10–20 nm (thickness)	MoS ₂	CCl ₄ -induced liver fibrosis	ROS↓ IL1β↓	[214]
	PBZs	~119 nm	PVP, PB nanozymes	Anthracycline-induced liver injury	RONS↓ SOD, GPx↑ Nrf2, Nqo1, HO-1↑ U.16, U.6, TNF-q, MPO↓	[215]
Nanocarriers of SOD	d-HA/SOD/USCaP NPs	$\begin{array}{c} 194.6\pm3.2\\ nm \end{array}$	SOD molecules, d-HA, USCaP	APAP-induced liver injury	ROS↓, SOD↑	[219]
Nanocarriers of antioxidants Nanocarriers of antioxidants	BRNP	$90\pm13~\text{nm}$	bilirubin, PEG	Hepatic IRI	RONS↓ IL1β, IL6, iNOS, Ptgs2↓ Sele, Ccl2, Icam↓ MPO, NF +R↓	[261]
	Q-ORMOSIL	~103.5 nm	silica nanoparticles, lactobionic acid, quercetin	Cyclophosphamide nduced liver injury	ROS↓ GSH↑	[235]
	PD-MC	$84\pm5~\text{nm}$	PBEM-co-DPA, polydatin	CCl₄-induced liver fibrosis	ROS↓ NOX4↓ TLR/NE+⊬B↓	[232]
Anti-inflammatory nanodruge	Silymarin-loaded KMD NPs	~440 nm	ketalized maltodextrin, silymarin	APAP-induced liver injury	ROS↓ TNF-α↓	[258]
Blocking the PPR pathways	M-NPs	171.4 ± 17.3	Macrophage membrane, PLGA	Hepatic IRI	MyD88, IRAK1, p-p65,	[268]
	SW@DSeSeD	50–200 nm	SW033291, diselenide-	APAP-induced liver	ROS	[270]
Reducing the expression of	PPABLG HNPs	~100 nm	TNF-α siRNA, PPABLG (α helix),	LPS/d-GalN-induced	TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6 \downarrow MPO	[278]
initalinitator y factors	PNSDS Fuc-liposomes/NF- кВ decoy	~146 nm 64.5 ± 1.84 nm	TNF-α siRNA, AAPEG NF-κB decoy, Fuc-C4-Chol, DOPE	LPS-induced liver injury LPS-induced liver injury	$\text{TNF-}\alpha\downarrow$ TNF- α , NF- κ B \downarrow	[279] [285]
	HMGB1- siRNA@SNALP- pPB	~110 nm	HMGB1 siRNA, stable nucleic acid lipid nanoparticles, pPB peptide	CCl ₄ /TAA-induced liver fibrosis	HMGB1↓ TNF-α↓	[280]
Increasing the expression of anti-inflammatory factors	LiposIA	<200 nm	IL-22/Apop A-I fusion-protein expression plasmid, cationic liposome	APAP-induced liver injury	RONS↓ iNOS, p-JNK↓ STAT3/Erk, Akt/mTOR↑ TNF-α↓	[287]
	CDPIA	~100 nm		NAFLD		[288]

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Category	Nanoparticles	Size	Main Components	Experimental Model	Effect Mechanism	Reference
			pIA, biguanide-modified		STAT3/ERK, cyclinD1,	
			Chitosan, DSPE-PEG2000,		Bcl2↑	
			penetratin		Nrf2/SOD1, Acox1,	
					Cpt1a↑	
	pMMP9-DGNS	107.2 ± 1.5	MMP9 expression plasmid,	CCl4-induced liver	MMP9↑	[290]
		nm	graphene nanostars, PAMAM-G5	fibrosis	ARG1, MRC1, RETN1A↑	
			dendrimer		COX-2, IL-1β↓	
Extracellular vesicles and stem	Serum EV	115±8 nm	microRNA-34c, -151–3p,	CCl ₄ /TAA-induced liver	CCN2, α-SMA, Colα1↓	[299]
cells with anti-inflammatory			-483–5p, -532–5p and –68	fibrosis	TNF- α , IL-1 $\beta \downarrow$	
properties	PB-MSC	/	MSC, PB	Hepatic IRI	RONS, Lipid	[306]
					peroxidation↓	
					TNF-α, IL-1β, iNOS↓	
					IL-10↑	





Fig. 3. Mitochondria-derived RONS generation in damaged hepatocytes. Each hepatocyte, the main cell type involved in the metabolic functions of the liver, contains thousands of mitochondria. Excessive O2 in mitochondria is consumed to produce ATP via OXPHOS in ETC while a small amount of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ is a byproduct of the OXPHOS process during normal physiological conditions. (A-C) Active metabolites and other harmful stimuli directly interfere with ETC, leading to overproduction of O₂⁻. (D) NADH produced during the P450 enzyme metabolic process is also transported into mitochondria to promote electron leakage. (E) Excessive free fatty acid disrupts the OXPHOS process through promoting the TCA cycle. (F) $O_2^{\bullet-}$ generates H_2O_2 under the action of mitochondrial SOD, which is further converted to *OH via Fenton reaction. Meanwhile $O_2^{\bullet-}$ may react with NO from iNOS to form ONOO-. (G) Due to RONS generation, changes in membrane permeability (MPT) based on membrane permeability pore (MPTP) and damage to mitochondrial DNA result in release of RONS into the cytoplasm, in turn, activating JNK1/2. (H) Phosphorylated JNK is transported to the mitochondria and disrupts ETC via Sab activity, promoting RONS generation. This cycle of damage leads to continuous activation of JNK and constant amplification of the oxidative stress effect.

Fig. 4. Inflammation-derived RONS generation. NOX2 (A), iNOS (B), and MPO (C) are the three main molecules that mediate the generation and regulation of RONS in various inflammatory cells. After receiving multiple factors including RONS, DAMPs and chemokines, NOX2 and iNOS in monocyte-derived macrophages, KCs, and neutrophils are activated while MPO is restricted to neutrophils. NOX2 transfers electrons from NADPH to O₂ to form O2^{•-}, iNOS converts L-arginine and O₂ into L-citrulline and NO, and MPO catalyzes interactions of chloride ions with H₂O₂ to generate HOCl.

subunit gp91^{phox} (NOX2) and small subunit p22^{phox} located on the cell membrane and p40^{phox}, p47^{phox}, and p67^{phox} located in the cytoplasm. Protein kinase C (PKC) translocates to the cell membrane and phosphorylates P47. Simultaneously, C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1 (Rac1) facilitates exchange of GTP and GDP, leading to translocation of subunits from the cytoplasmic to transmembrane domain of NOX2. Subsequently, active enzyme complexes transfer electrons from NADPH to O₂ via flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) to form O₂[•] [86]. In addition to NOX2 [84, 86,87], NOX1, and NOX4 expressed in HSC and hepatocytes are related to progression of liver injury [87,88].

NOS is classified into three types: endothelial NOS (eNOS; NOS3), inducible NOS (iNOS; NOS2), and neuronal NOS (nNOS; NOS1). iNOS plays an important role in liver injury and is prevalent in phagocytes (KC and monocyte-derived macrophages) [89]. iNOS converts L-arginine and O₂ into L-citrulline and NO through complex redox reactions via stimulation of inflammatory ROS, DAMPs, and PAMPs (Fig. 4B) [81,90,91]. NO further react with O₂ and other reactive intermediates to form RNOS, including $^{\circ}NO_2$, ONOO⁻, HNO₂, and NO₂⁺ [90].

Myeloperoxidase (MPO), a cationic heme-containing enzyme stored in azurophilic granules of neutrophils, is released into the extracellular space during degranulation. This lysosomal protein catalyzes the formation of HOCl [68,92] utilized by neutrophils to kill bacteria and other pathogens but causes oxidative damage in host tissue [93]. HOCl is derived from chloride ions and H₂O₂ due to sufficiently high reduction potential provided by MPO (Fig. 4C) [94] and interacts with other small molecules, including NH₃, to form monochloramines (NH₂Cl) or with other ROS to yield ONOO⁻, $^{\bullet}$ OH, singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$), and ozone (O₃) [95].

3. Crosstalk between RONS and inflammation in liver injury

As the crucial organ responsible for metabolism and innate immunity [96–98], the liver has a distinct structure from other organs to meet the needs of various physiological functions, which hidden dangers inherent to a rapid burst of RONS in liver injury as well [99,100]. Hepatic lobule, the functional structural unit of liver, is typically hexagonal in shape and



formed by hepatocyte chords (Fig. 5A) [101,102]. The central vein is in the core site of the hexagon while the grouped branches of the hepatic artery, portal vein, and bile ducts form portal triads in vertices (Fig. 5B). In addition to hepatocytes, four other cells types exist in normal liver, including bile duct epithelial cells, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), Kupffer cells (KCs), and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) [5] (Fig. 5C). Remarkably, LSECs form fenestrated sieve plates at the sinusoidal lumen distinct to the normal endothelial population [31,103]. Blood plasma enters the space of Disse via fenestrae between LSECs, facilitating uptake of plasma substances and excretion of secreted products by hepatocytes. This characteristic feature of endothelial cells endows hepatocytes with the superior capability of retrieving O2 and processing nutrients from blood. As a key metabolic organ, the liver can respond to pathogens and toxins with highly specialized detoxification capacity [104]. During the process, RONS injurious to hepatocytes are excessively produced. Due to their enormous capacity for regeneration, hepatocytes and bile epithelial cells (BECs) undergo proliferation after injury [105,106]. However, hepatic regeneration is impaired in severe acute and chronic liver injury [105,107,108]. As mentioned above, exogenous compounds and stimuli, such as drugs, alcohol, hypoxia, and radiation, directly trigger hepatocyte injury [32,109,110].

To this end, drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is used as an example to illustrate the correlation between RONS and inflammation. DILI is an unexpected harm to the liver resulting from drugs or other xenobiotics and their reactive metabolites [14,111]. Based on their structural characteristics, hepatocytes can obtain and remove drugs from the circulatory system [111,112], which subsequently undergo a series of metabolic processes. First, most drugs are metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes [113,114]. This process, also known as Phase I reaction, generates reactive oxidative metabolites that exert potential toxicity on cells via covalent binding with cell proteins during which drug-protein adducts are formed. These intermediate biologically reactive oxidative metabolites interact with various organelles (in particular, mitochondria) [115]. Next, combination of drug metabolites and endogenous molecules (such as glutathione (GSH) and glucuronic acid) inactivate these potentially toxic intermediate products, designated Phase II reaction. Finally,

Fig. 5. Overview of the mechanisms underlying liver injury. (A) Hepatic lobule with a hexagonal shape represents the structural and functional unit of liver. (B) The hepatic artery, portal vein, and bile ducts surrounding the lobule provide oxygen, supply nutrients, and store bile for hepatocytes, respectively. The influx of vessels forms capillary-like structures known as sinusoids, which exchange O2 and nutrients directly with hepatocytes. These vessels finally drain into a central vein, the core site of the lobule. (C) Compared with healthy status, RONS, and inflammation-induced induced damage is evident at the injury sites. (D) In terms of crosstalk between RONS and inflammation, RONS could activate inflammatory cells and promote inflammation via direct or indirect pathways. Active inflammatory cells, in turn, generate RONS or interfere with hepatocyte function. (a) Following exposure to exogenous substances or stimuli, excessive RONS generated in hepatocytes induce necrosis, which promotes the release of RONS and DAMPs. (b) RONS and DAMPs activate KCs and recruit monocytes and neutrophils, resulting in inflammation. Active KCs, monocyte-derived macrophages, and recruited neutrophils produce RONS and inflammatory factors (TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β , etc.) that cause damage to hepatocytes. (c) During the continuous cycle, RONS, lipid peroxides, inflammatory factors, and chemokines constantly stimulate HSCs. Accumulation of the extracellular matrix further leads to liver fibrosis.

non-toxic metabolites are eliminated from cells through an ATP-dependent efflux pump [116]. However, upon overexposure to drugs (such as acetaminophen or APAP), it is difficult for hepatocytes to tackle exceedingly toxic reactive metabolites from the Phase I reaction [30]. Endogenous molecules of the detoxification system (Phase II) are consumed or absent, leading to accumulation of toxic metabolites. Consequently, mitochondrial function is damaged and large amounts of RONS are produced. Accumulation of RONS further triggers intracellular damage and necrosis (Fig. 5D-a). Eventually, necrosis of hepatocytes leads to release of mitochondrial DNA, HMGB1 (High-mobility group box 1), and ATP [12,55,117], which are known DAMPs. Subsequently, KCs are activated by RONS, DAMPs, and other factors. Simultaneously, monocytes and neutrophils are recruited by pro-inflammatory factors, chemokines, and DAMPs. Monocytes further differentiate into macrophages to promote an inflammatory environment (Fig. 5D-b). RONS are directly produced and released into activated inflammatory cells [81, 118]. Inflammation mediates cell death through two main pathways: (1) inflammatory factors induce cell necrosis through receptor-interacing protein kinase 3 (RIPK3)/mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein (MLKL) and other pathways [119,120] and (2) RONS released by various inflammatory cells cause bystander damage, along with proteases and antibacterial proteins [121,122]. Collectively, RONS either directly or indirectly induce inflammation in liver injury. The crosstalk between RONS and inflammation induces a vicious cycle of hepatocyte injury.

Hepatocyte injury is considered a key trigger for progression of various liver diseases. Severe hepatocyte necrosis, if not effectively controlled, will quickly lead acute liver failure or even multiple organ failure, and eventually, death [121,123]. Chronic liver injury may develop into liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, and ultimately, cancer due to relatively low-grade cell death and sterile inflammation [124,125]. Chronic liver injury and liver cirrhosis are major risks responsible for the liver cancer, but the mechanism of liver cancer is quite different. Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory drugs are not main modalities of liver cancer therapy. Many reviews have summarized therapies of liver cancer [126–129]. Above all, nanodrugs for liver cancer are not included in this review. The mechanisms are mainly related to fibroblast activation, including HSCs and portal vein fibroblasts, induced by the long-term action of RONS, cytokines (TGF-B), chemokines (CCL2), and lipid peroxides [125]. Imbalance in the expression of extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling-related genes in activated fibroblasts results in upregulation of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMP) and reduction in the clearance efficiency of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP). Consequently, ECM proteins (including fibrillary collagen, α -SMA, and LAMININ) accumulate, in turn, leading to tissue injury and liver fibrosis (Fig. 5D-c) [32,125]. In addition, the pro-inflammatory mechanism of myofibroblasts involving RONS-mediated migration (via the production of polymorphic chemotactic substances, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), CCL2, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), angiotensin II, etc.) and promotion of angiogenesis further enhance progression of fibrosis [124,125].

4. Advantages of nanodrugs for treatment of liver injury

Due to its complex function, liver receives $\sim 25\%$ of the whole cardiac output despite only constituting 2.5% of total body weight [130,131]. While a massive amount of blood flows to hepatic lobules, hepatocytes are only in contact with conventional therapeutic reagents for a short time (few seconds to minutes) [11]. These reagents are rapidly metabolized and inactivated by hepatocytes. In addition, small-molecule drugs move into and pass through cells mainly via passive diffusion, lacking selectivity in their biodistribution [132,133]. Thus, systemic side effects of drugs are hard to avoid during the process of reaching the lesion site through the vascular endothelial system. Moreover, numerous anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory agents fail to exert clinical effects [134,135]. Only one antioxidant, N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) is currently

approved to treat DILI, but its treatment time window is narrow and efficacy is limited [30]. At present, treatment of various liver injuries is still confined to conventional supportive therapy and removal of etiologic factors [30,43,136]. For instance, extracorporeal liver support systems relieve liver metabolic pressure and use activated carbon purification to treat APAP-induced liver injury [137].

The accumulation of nanodrugs in healthy tissues is undeniable harmful, given that RONS and inflammation play a meaningful role in maintaining the whole body's normal metabolic process and defending defense against invading pathogens [138-140]. The dose as low as possible to the normal tissues could avoid corresponding side effects of nanodrugs and no significant toxicity was reported in the treatment of nanodrugs to liver injury. Therefore, the sequestration effect of the liver provides significant advantages for nanodrug therapy of liver injury. The endothelial system of liver with fenestrae is different from other tissues, which endows nanodrugs with unique advantages for therapeutic purposes (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, modification of nanodrugs in terms of physical (including size, shape, and uniformity), chemical (including composition, charge, and surface coating) and biological (including encapsulated compounds and specific conjugated ligands) features facilitate their efficient and selective targeting of pathology sites [141, 142]. Nanodrugs cannot penetrate the vascular endothelial system of normal non-hepatic tissues (Fig. 6B) and nanoparticles tend to accumulate and target lesions with incomplete endothelial lining and basement membrane [143-146]. Overall, 30-99% nanodrugs are reported to aggregate in the liver after administration into the body via different routes [147].

Due to the fenestrated sieve plates between LSECs, which range from 50 to 180 nm in humans or up to 280 nm in mice and rats [5], smaller nanodrugs directly pass the sinusoid into disse of space. Nanodrugs are also taken up by LSECs through receptor-ligand interactions and subsequently LSECs transported to disse of space if not consumed. Subsequently, nanodrugs in the disse interact with hepatocytes, leading to their uptake. Modification of nanodrugs, such as alterations in targeting molecules, PEGylation, and imparting positive charges on the surface, can also greatly increase uptake by hepatocytes [148,149]. In addition, larger nanoparticles tend to be captured by KCs after entering hepatic sinusoids through portal vein or hepatic artery due to their greater surface area for interactions [147,150]. Considering the critical role of KC-related inflammation in liver injury, enrichment of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant nanoparticles in KCs also provides favorable conditions for treatment (Fig. 6C). Notably, sinusoid capillarization occurs when LSECs are injured during liver injury, especially chronic liver injury and liver fibrosis. The capillarization process leads to loss of fenestrae and development of a basement membrane, which impedes the entry of nanoparticles through fenestrae to some extent [31]. Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory molecules are reported to prevent and alleviate sinusoid capillarization through protecting LSECs as potential therapeutic options to relieve chronic liver disease and its complications [151,152]. In the articles collected, cerium oxide nanoparticles are demonstrated to reduce portal hypertension, a kind of chronic liver disease complication promoted by sinusoid capillarization, and accumulate in liver parenchyma [153]. Therefore, the nanoparticles with RONS scavenging and anti-inflammatory effects could reach hepatocytes through alleviating ameliorating loss of fenestrae as one important aspect. But a further investigation is necessary to support the underlying mechanism.

Using the above pathways for transport into hepatocytes, nanodrugs significantly improve the bioavailability of drugs, prolong their therapeutic effects, and achieve combination or targeted therapy. For example, lipidized somatostatin analogue can integrate with the hepatocyte membrane to a greater extent, which promotes accumulation of drugs in the liver (by 3.8 times) [154]. The significant success of ONPATTRO, the first liver-targeted lipid nanodrug approved by the FDA, substantiates the potential application value of nanodrugs in the future [155].



Fig. 6. Schematic of metabolic processing of nanoparticles in liver. (A) Nanoparticles injected intravenously drain into liver sinusoid through the portal vein and hepatic artery after entry into the systemic circulation. The nanoparticles in sinusoid are taken up by distinct cell types via various pathways or excreted into bile. (B) Notably, no fenestrae exist between vascular endothelial cells in other normal tissues, which restricts entry of nanoparticles into target tissue. (C) Fate of nanoparticles in liver. Smaller nanoparticles exit the fenestrae directly into space of disse (a). Some nanoparticles are taken up by LSECs through receptor-ligand interactions and released if not removed by LSECs (b). Nanoparticles accumulating in space of disse are taken up by hepatocytes (c). Some target nanoparticles are absorbed by HSCs (d). Nanoparticles in hepatocytes escape from the endosome into cytoplasm (e) or are excreted into bile (f). Nanoparticles may be taken by KCs, especially those with larger sizes (g).

5. RONS-scavenging nanodrugs

Powerful antioxidant enzyme systems for scavenging RONS, such as SOD, catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), are present in cells [26,30,156], but insufficient to cope with liver injury. The utility of antioxidant enzymes in liver injury has attracted widespread research attention from the beginning of the 21st century [157,158]. However, these antioxidant enzymes are often ineffective in vivo owing to their instability in the blood circulatory system [33,159, 160]. Two strategies have been developed to resolve this bottleneck: (1) nanozymes with good stability and multiple antioxidant enzyme activities can be used to replace natural antioxidant enzymes and (2) nanocarriers containing natural antioxidant enzymes can be developed to increase bioavailability by improving stability in the blood system.

5.1. Antioxidant nanozymes

Oxidative stress is triggered by a variety of RONS in liver injury. Elimination of a single type of RONS therefore has limited efficacy for treatment of liver injury. Antioxidant nanozyme, a type of artificial enzyme based on nanomaterials, can achieve multiple functions (including multiple antioxidant enzyme activities, regulation of catalytic activity, and targeting of liver) based on regulation of the structure, composition, size, and surface modification [161], and is therefore useful as a therapeutic option. Antioxidant nanozymes for treatment of liver injury are mainly based on cerium oxide, melanin-like carbon (fullerene, graphene, and other carbon nanomaterials) and other nanomaterials, such as selenium and MXene.

5.1.1. Cerium oxide nanoparticles

Upon reduction of the size of cerium (IV) oxide to the nanometer scale, many oxygen vacancies are formed, leading to two valence states of +3 and +4 of cerium on the nanoparticle surface. The valence state ratio

of +3/+4 becomes higher with smaller nanoparticle size. Cerium oxide nanoparticles (CeO₂ NPs) represent a widely investigated type of multiantioxidant with potent nanozyme activities, including SOD and CAT mimetic properties [162]. SOD mimetics are reflected in the elimination of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ through cerium (III) sites ($Ce^{3+} + 2H^+ + O_2^{\bullet-} \rightarrow H_2O_2 + Ce^{4+}$). Cerium (III) sites are additionally accountable for removal of 'OH via redox reactions ($2Ce^{3+} + 2^{\bullet}OH \rightarrow 2Ce^{4+} + H_2O + 1/2 O_2$). Cerium (IV) sites achieve clearance of H_2O_2 through CAT mimetic activity (Ce⁴⁺ + $H_2O_2 + OH^- \rightarrow 1/2 O_2 + Ce^{3+} + H_2O)$ [163]. Although no obvious toxicity was reported in the treatment of CeO2 NPs to liver injury, previous literature indicated the development of rare earth pneumoconiosis accompanied by granulomatous inflammation and interstitial fibrosis when the lungs are constantly exposed to cerium oxide nanoparticles [164–166]. Given Ce in lung tissue was only 33–36% pure Ce^{4+} after administration of CeO₂ NPs [167], the increasing toxicity determined by the $Ce^{3+/}Ce^{4+}$ ratio in vivo should be carefully considered. Since the lung is not an enriched target of CeO2 NPs in liver injury treatment and increasing studies focus on its mechanism of inducing pulmonary fibrosis, the double-edged sword may be a sharp weapon in the future.

CeO₂ NPs have been widely used in the treatment of liver disease owing to their strong ability to eliminate a variety of free radicals, including hepatic IRI, injury induced by drugs or toxins, radiation, inflammation, and liver fibrosis [153,168–174]. For example, a study by Denise and co-workers demonstrated that CeO₂ NPs could reduce inflammation in rats with liver fibrosis [153]. The majority of CeO₂ NPs effectively localized in the liver with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects after intravenous administration. Notably, mRNA expression of signals related to oxidative (GPx, CAT, Ncf1, Ncf2) or endoplasmic reticulum stress (Atf3, Hspa5) signaling pathways and expression of inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , COX-2) was significantly changed owing to the efficient ability of CeO₂ NPs to eliminate a variety of RONS in liver injury. CeO₂ NPs have also been developed to reduce acute liver injury caused by ischemia and reperfusion. Ni et al. [175] modified the surface of CeO₂ NPs with PEG (PEG-CeO₂ NPs) to improve their biocompatibility. PEG-CeO₂ NPs could effectively capture ROS (O_2^{--} , •OH, and H₂O₂) to reduce lipid peroxidation and inhibit the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1, IL-12, TNF- α , INF- γ , and NOS2) (Fig. 7A–F). After PEG-CeO₂ NP treatment, liver injury was efficiently repaired and function returned to normal.

Recently, Ling et al. [176] developed CeO₂ NPs modified with 1, 2-distearoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy (polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG) to treat APAP-induced liver injury. DSPE-PEG greatly improved the bioavailability and biocompatibility of CeO₂ NPs. DSPE-PEG-CeO₂ NPs exhibited high SOD mimetic, $^{\circ}$ OH elimination and CAT mimetic activities. In particular, the CAT mimic activity of DSPE-PEG-CeO₂ NPs converted harmful H₂O₂ into O₂, which alleviated the hypoxic environment to decrease inflammation (Fig. 7G). Compared with NAC, the first-line clinical drug for treatment of DILI, DSPE-PEG-CeO₂ NPs had a long-lasting therapeutic effect and wider therapeutic time window [177]. Therefore, DSPE-PEG-CeO₂ NPs with a longer therapeutic window have significant clinical application prospects in the treatment of DILI.

5.1.2. Melanin-like nanoparticles

Melanin is a naturally occurring biological polymer present in living organisms displaying superior properties of biocompatibility, biodegradability, and renewability that has attracted widespread attention. To overcome water insolubility for applicability in biomedicine, melanin nanoparticles (MNP) and melanin-like nanoparticles (MLNP) have been generated to treat various diseases [178–180]. Owing to multiple functional groups, such as catechol, amine, and imine, MNPs and MLNPs possess potent antioxidant activity. Our group showed that MNPs could scavenge multiple RONS, including $O_2^{\bullet-}$, H₂O₂, ${}^{\bullet}$ OH, ${}^{\bullet}$ NO, and ONOO⁻. The catalytic performance of SOD mimetics, manifested by half-reactions (Melanin ${}^{\bullet}$ + $O_2^{\bullet-}$ → Melanin ${}^{-}$ + O_2 , Melanin ${}^{\bullet}$ + $O_2^{\bullet-}$ +2H₂O → Melanin ${}^{-}$ + H₂O + 2OH⁻), has also been verified [181].

Recently, Shi et al. [182] developed well-defined melanin-like nanoparticles (PADN) for acute liver injury therapy. PADNs were obtained via self-assembly of a PEGylated, phenylboronic-acid-protected L-DOPA precursor by mimicking the biosynthesis process of melanin (Fig. 8A&B). Due to their stable chemical structure, the actual composition and dosage of PADNs could be precisely controlled. In addition,



Fig. 7. Cerium oxide nanoparticles for liver injury. (A–C) Scavenging performance of ceria NPs via mimicking catalase (CAT) (A), elimination of $^{\bullet}$ OH (B) and SOD mimics (C). (D–F) Anti-inflammatory activities of ceria NPs with TNF- α (D), NOS2 (E), and MPO (F) in liver. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [175]. (G) Schematic illustration of the mechanisms underlying DSPE-PEG-CeO2 NP activity against DILL Reprinted with permission from Ref. [176].



Fig. 8. Melanin-like nanoparticles as therapy for APAP-induced acute liver injury. (A) Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of PEGylated phenylboronic-acidprotected L-DOPA nanoparticles (PADN). (B) PADN acts as a tyrosine mimic to scavenge ROS via melanin-like nanoparticles similar to natural tyrosine. (C) H_2O_2

protected L-DOPA nanoparticles (PADN). (B) PADN acts as a tyrosine mimic to scavenge ROS via melanin-like nanoparticles similar to natural tyrosine. (C) H_2O_2 scavenging effect of PADN measured at different time-points (PADN concentration of 20×10^{-6} M). (D) ONOO⁻ scavenging effect of PADN measured at different concentrations. (E) RONS scavenging effect of different treatments (G1: PADN; G2: PEG-DOPA; G3: ONOO⁻-oxidized PADN; G4: Mel-NPs). (F–I) Mechanism of PADN action in DILI of mice. Levels of MDA (F), GSH-PX (G), MPO (H), and TNF- α (I) measured in liver from each group (G1: normal mice treated with saline; G2: DILI mice treated with PADN). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [182].

antioxidant functional groups on the surface of L-DOPA were protected by phenylboronic acid groups conjugated via RONS-responsive self-immolative linkages. As a result, PADNs displayed controllable and enhanced antioxidative activity along with superior physiological stability. Specifically, PADNs could scavenge H_2O_2 and ONOO⁻ in a timeand concentration-dependent manner that was significantly better than PEG-DOPA, oxidized PADN, and MNPs (Fig. 8C–E). Surprisingly, ~100% ONOO⁻ was eliminated only after 1 h of co-incubation with PADNs *in vitro*. PADNs could prevent and treat drug-induced acute liver injury/failure in APAP-administered mice through reducing oxidative stress and the inflammatory response (Fig. 8F–I).

5.1.3. Carbon-based nanoparticles

Compared with natural enzymes, carbon-based nanomaterials have significant advantages, such as low cost and stability [183]. These nanomaterials used in liver injury to date are mainly divided into three categories: carbon nanomaterials, graphene nanomaterials, and fullerenes. All nanomaterials contain a large area of conjugated π -electronic structure domain. Among these, carbon and graphene-based nanomaterials have two-dimensional conjugated structural domains while fullerenes have three-dimensional conjugated structural domains [184, 185]. Electrons can flow freely in conjugated structure domains, which combine with free electrons on RONS, facilitating efficient elimination of RONS. Moreover, carbon-based nanomaterials do not contain metal elements and have good biocompatibility, further supporting their utility in the treatment of liver injury.

Fullerene, the first carbon-based nanomaterial for liver disease, is hydrophobic and cannot be used directly [186–194]. Carboxylation and hydroxylation of fullerenes significantly improve their aqueous solubility. For instance, Chen et al. [186] developed a carboxy-fullerene (C3) to reduce oxidative stress and inflammation in mice with hepatic IRI (Fig. 9A). C3 had higher aqueous solubility than fullerene and could scavenge multiple RONS to reduce lipid peroxidation in liver tissue after hemorrhagic shock. Moreover, the levels of key inflammatory molecules (TNF-α and IL-6), expression of NF-κB (a key signaling protein for inflammation), and activity of MPO in neutrophils were significantly



Fig. 9. Carbon-based nanoparticles for liver injury. (A) Chemical structure of carboxyfullerene. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [195]. (B) Structure of graphene quantum dots. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [196]. (C) Structural model of carbohydrate-derived nanoparticles. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [197]. (D–F) Single intravenous injection of GQDs (50 mg/kg) significantly suppresses Con A hepatotoxicity by reducing serum levels of liver transaminases (D), lipid peroxidation (MDA, malondialdehyde) (E), and expression of proapoptotic and autophagy-related proteins (F). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [187] (G) *In vitro* scavenging activity of C-NPs as CAT (a), HORC (b), and SOD (d). (H) ELISA results of IL-1 (a), TNF- α (b), and IL-6 (c) from activated monocytes/macrophages and KCs measured in liver homogenates from each group subjected to different treatments. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [190].

reduced in liver after C3 treatment. Both graphene and carbon materials can also scavenge RONS, similar to fullerenes, since they contain a π -electronic structure domain (Fig. 9B&C). Vladislav and co-workers showed that graphene quantum dots (GQD) could effectively scavenge O_2^{0-} and •OH [187]. In addition to the π -conjugated structure domain, the ROS quenching potential of graphene is derived from defects and unpaired electrons at the surface. GQDs inhibited lipid peroxidation, apoptosis, and autophagy in concanavalin A (ConA)-induced mouse

hepatitis (Fig. 9D–F) [187]. Recently, Long et al. [190] developed hydrophilic carbohydrate-derived carbon nanoparticles (C-NPs) to prevent hepatic IRI injury. The abundant π -electronic structure of domains of C-NPs could effectively scavenge H₂O₂, •OH, and O₂⁻ (Fig. 9G). After C-NP treatment, activation of KCs and macrophages was suppressed by eliminating excessive ROS and levels of key inflammatory factors (IL-1, TNF- α , and IL-6) were reduced in the liver (Fig. 9H). Finally, the key indicators of liver injury (aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine



Fig. 10. Other nanozymes for treatment of liver injury. (A) Schematic presentation of Nb2C-PVP structures. (B) ROS scavenging activity of Nb₂C-PVP, reflected by total SOD activities in liver of BALB/C mice (C) Protective effect of Nb₂C-PVP in BALB/C mice exposed to sublethal TBI (5 Gy) and lethal TBI (6.5 Gy). Overall, 30-day survival rates were measured in different groups. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [200] (D) ROS scavenging ability of Cu₅₋₄O USNP:H₂O₂ (a), O_2^{--} (b), •OH (c), and free radicals (d). (E) Schematic preparation and mechanisms of action of Cu5-4O USNPs. (F) Hepatoprotective effects of Cu5-4O USNPs in APAP-induced liver injury determined via analysis of H&E staining of liver tissues from each group. Blue dashed lines indicate the range of hepatic necrosis. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [207]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

aminotransferase (ALT)) were restored, suggesting recovery of liver function.

5.1.4. Other nanozymes

Mxene is an ultra-thin nanosheet with a two-dimensional structure composed of an early transition metal, carbon, and nitrogen [162,198]. This unique structure imparts unique physical and chemical properties and biological effects [199]. Recently, Ren et al. [200] developed ultrathin two-dimensional niobium carbide nanosheets functionalized with biocompatible polyvinylpyrrolidone (2D ultrathin Nb₂C-PVP MXenes) as a radiotherapeutic protective agent for ionizing radiation (IR)-induced liver injury (Fig. 10A). IR induced large amounts of ROS (such as O_2^- and [•]OH) in liver. Nb₂C eliminated O_2^- via direct reaction. In addition, [•]OH specifically bound the [CNb₃] site of the Nb₂C plane and was efficiently eliminated. The 2D ultrathin Nb₂C-PVP MXenes could effectively eliminate oxidative damage caused by IR, restore activity of SOD, and improve liver injury (Fig. 10B). The survival rates of mice were greatly improved (from 30% to 81.25%) under 5 Gy irradiation after 2D ultrathin Nb₂C-PVP MXene treatment (Fig. 10C).

Selenium (Se) and copper (Cu) nanoparticles have recently been used for treatment of liver injury [201–209]. Selenium, an essential trace element for animals and humans, is a core component of antioxidant enzyme GPx [210]. However, traditional selenium supplements usually have lower rates of absorption [211]. Nanoparticles greatly improve the bioavailability of selenium. Moreover, selenium nanoparticles (SeNPs) have superior properties in direct removal of RONS. Recently, Kaikai and co-workers demonstrated that Se nanoparticles modified with a positively charged natural polysaccharide chitosan (CS-SeNPs) could directly eliminate O_2^{-} and •OH. In liver injury mediated by Con A, CS-SeNPs induced a significant reduction in liver necrosis and restored liver function [202,206]. Similarly, copper is an essential trace element that plays a key role in the activities of many enzymes, including tyrosinase and Cu–Zn SOD [212,213]. Recently, Song et al. [207] synthesized ultrasmall Cu₅₋₄O nanoparticles (Cu₅₋₄O USNPs) with prior biocompatibility and enzymatic ROS scavenging abilities (Fig. 10E). The proportions of Cu and Cu₂O in Cu₅₋₄O USNPs were ~3.4. Cu_{5.4}O USNPs could exert multienzyme-like antioxidative activity to quench H₂O₂, O_2^{--} , and •OH (Fig. 10D). In particular, the H₂O₂-scavenging efficiency was ~2000-fold stronger than that of traditional small molecular antioxidants, such as vitamin C. Overall, Cu_{5.4}O USNPs showed excellent hepatoprotective activities against DILI (Fig. 10F).

Very recently, two novel nanozymes, molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2) and prussian blue (PB), were shown to be a very good treatment effect for liver fibrosis and anthracycline-induced liver injury, respectively. MoS_2 nanosheets, as typical two-dimensional 2D transition metal dichalcogenide nanomaterials, were reported to exhibit SOD, POD, CAT mimetic activity. Zhou et al. [214] prepared MoS_2 nanosheets via liquid exfoliation of bulk MoS_2 in N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF). In addition to multienzyme mimetic activities, including SOD, CAT, POD and GPx, MoS_2 nanosheets were indicated with protective potential of mitochondrial ETC. Of note, prior CAT activity in acidic environment was revealed by studying the interaction between MoS_2 nanosheets and H_2O_2 and $O_2^{\bullet-}$. Eventually, MoS_2 nanosheets inhibited inflammation and ameliorated fibrosis in CCl₄-treated murine model. PB have been approved by FDA as



Fig. 11. Nanocarriers of SOD for treatment of APAP-induced liver injury. (A) Uptake and release process of d-HA/SOD/USCaP. (B) Radical scavenging activities of SOD, d-HA/SOD, and d-HA/SOD/USCaP in liver. (D) ROS levels in liver tissues of the APAP-induced mouse model treated with SOD, d-HA/SOD, and d-HA/SOD/USCaP. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [219].

an antidote agent with excellent biosafety. Similarly, multienzyme-like activity of PB nanozyme has attracted intensive attention. Zhang et al. [215] synthesized monodispersed polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)-modified PB nanozymes (PBZs) to prevent anthracycline-induced liver injury, which is an increasingly serious and potential clinical complication in tumor patients treated with anthracyclines. In general, PBZs could attenuate oxidative stress and inflammation by scavenging RONS and regulating antioxidative genes and MPO.

5.2. Nanocarriers of SOD

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is a powerful antioxidant enzyme that functions in eliminating $O_2^{\bullet^-}$ but has a short half-life in the blood circulation and cannot penetrate the cell membrane. The half-life of SOD can be extended in the blood circulatory system through specific chemical modifications (mannosylation or coupling to divinyl ether and maleic anhydride) to circumvent clearance in the reticuloendothelial system [216]. Using this strategy, Piter et al. [217] showed that chemically modified SOD eliminates $O_2^{\bullet^-}$ to reduce inflammation in rats with liver fibrosis. However, activity of chemically modified SOD may still be lost in lysosomes of hepatocytes.

Nanocarriers not only effectively improve the stability of SOD [218] but also aid in escape from lysosomes into the liver cytoplasm. Recently, Lee et al. [219] developed a nanocarrier of SOD (d-HA/SOD/USCaP NPs) to treat APAP-induced liver injury. d-HA/SOD/USCaP NPs were composed of two parts: L-dopa-derivatized hyaluronic acid (d-HA) polymer network associated with SOD and small calcium phosphate nanoparticles dispersed in the polymer network. HA not only effectively protected SOD in the blood circulation but also targeted hepatocytes by binding to HA receptors (CD44) on the surface (Fig. 11A). When d-HA/SOD/USCaP NPs enters lysosomes of hepatocytes, constituent

calcium phosphate is decomposed into calcium ions and phosphate ions in the acidic environment, which leads to changes in the osmotic pressure in lysosomes and release of SOD into the liver cytoplasm. d-HA/SO-D/USCaP NPs successfully delivered SOD efficiently in vivo and significantly reduced the liver toxicity of APAP by eliminating $O_2^{\bullet-}$ (Fig. 11B–D).

6. Nanocarriers of small-molecule antioxidants

Currently, several small-molecular antioxidants are clinically used to prevent excessive oxidation in a number of diseases. However, biocompatibility of many antioxidants is not ideal for treatment of liver injury. NAC is the only approved first-line drug [177] and serves as a prodrug of L-cysteine, a precursor of the biological antioxidant glutathione. However, Several reports have documented patients with DILI that have missed the time window of NAC treatment and developed acute liver failure leading to death [220]. While natural antioxidants have better biocompatibility and lower side effects than their synthetic counterparts, neither synthetic nor natural antioxidants meet the requirements for effective treatment of liver injury. The major problems are low bioavailability and poor targeting. Numerous therapeutic nanocarriers have been developed to improve loading of antioxidants to date. In these systems, nanocarriers are generally composed of polymers and liposomes, along with a small percentage of other nanocarriers (Fig. 12A). The loaded small-molecule antioxidants can be divided into three categories: natural antioxidants (such as curcumin [221-225], silymarin [226–229], resveratrol [230], carvacrol [231], polydatin [232], carnosic acid [233], quercetin [234,235], and other compounds [231,236-252]), synthetic antioxidants (nitroxide 4-amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl, tempol [253-258]), and human endogenous antioxidants (melatonin [259,260], bilirubin [261], ubiquinone (CoQ10) [262], and

Main nanocarriers

A в Polymeric nanocarriers Natural antioxidants Silvmarin Carvacrol Polydatin Polymer Self-assembled micelle nanosphere Lipid -based nanocarriers Quercetin Resveratrol Carnosic acid Puerarin Endogenous antioxidants Synthetic antioxidants Solid lipid Nane Liposome emulsions nanopart Other nanocarriers CH. CH₃ H₃C ģ Ag Au Tempol Bilirubin Gold Silica CoQ10 Melatonin Ag nanoparticles nanoparticles nanoparticles

Structure of various antioxidants

carbon monoxide (CO) [263]) (Fig. 12B–C). All antioxidant-containing nanocarriers exert enhanced therapeutic effects relative to conventional antioxidants by greatly improving bioavailability and reducing side effects.

Bilirubin is an important endogenous non-enzymatic antioxidant in the body similar to glutathione. However, owing to its water insoluble and easily oxidizable properties, bilirubin is unsuitable for treatment of liver injury [264,265]. Earlier, Kim and co-workers [261] developed a PEG-based nanocarrier loaded with bilirubin (BR NP) for treatment of hepatic IRI. PEG was covalently bonded to biliverdin via an amide bond to form an amphiphilic PEG-bilirubin complex (Fig. 13A) that could self-assemble in an aqueous environment, generating BR NPs. PEG improved the bioavailability of bilirubin through prolonging systemic circulation time. Moreover, BR NPs were specifically enriched at the site of hepatic IRI. Relative accumulation of BR NPs at the liver injury site was 3.4 times higher than that in normal liver at 1 h after injection (Fig. 13B). Notably, BR NPs effectively suppressed ROS levels, production of inflammatory factors (IL-1β, IL-6, NOS2, Ptgs2, NF-κB), and infiltration of neutrophils (Fig. 13C&D). After treatment, the necrotic and apoptotic areas of the liver after ischemia and reperfusion were greatly reduced and liver function was restored [261].

Multifunctional property is another major advantage of nanodrugs [266]. Owing to the flexibility of nanocarrier design, it is possible to integrate targeting and imaging functions with RONS-scavenging nanodrugs. Fundamentally, two distinct methods have been proposed for targeting of damaged liver: modifying the nanocarrier with targeting molecules and designing RONS-sensitive nanocarriers. For example, Swaran and co-workers added lactobionic acid (LA), a molecule targeting the asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR) on hepatocytes, to quercetin-loaded organically modified silica nanoparticles (Q-ORMOSIL) [235]. Targeted quercetin nanoparticles with improved solubility and bioavailability exerted hepatoprotective effects at a 1000 times lower dose (50 µg/kg/day) compared to bulk quercetin alone (50 mg/kg/day). Zhu and co-workers further synthesized ROS and pH dual-sensitive block polymer PEG-P (PBEM-co-DPA) as a nanocarrier for polydatin [232]. The pinacol-type boronic ester on side-chains hydrolyzed into quinone methide at acidic pH or in the presence of ROS (Fig. 13E). Thus,

Fig. 12. Schematic representation of the structures of main nanocarriers and various antioxidants. (A) Nanocarriers of antioxidants for liver injury therapy are mainly composed of polymers and liposomes, along with a small proportion of other nanocarriers. (B) The main feature of plant polyphenols is one or more aromatic rings with one or more hydroxyl groups attached. The utility of curcumin, resveratrol, quercetin, and other plant polyphenols were explored in different liver injury models after loading onto nanocarriers. Although plant polyphenol compounds play a key role in the field of natural antioxidants, the important functions of other types of natural antioxidants, such as puerarin, cannot be overlooked. (C) Melatonin, bilirubin, CoQ10 and carbon monoxide releasing molecule-2 (CORM-2) acting as a carbon monoxidereleasing molecule are important endogenous non-enzymatic antioxidants in the body. (D) As a membrane-permeable radical scavenger, nitroxide 4-amino-2.2.6.6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl (tempol) effectively promotes metabolism or inhibits generation of various RONS based on the characteristics of NO.

polydatin-loaded micelles (PD-MC) could be released in fibrotic liver tissue after entering acidic lysosomal compartments. Due to the ROS elimination property of micelles and antioxidant property of polydatin, PD-MC suppressed TLR4/NF-κB p65 signaling in macrophages, reducing NOX-4 activity in HSCs, and finally alleviating liver fibrosis through inhibition of inflammation and oxidative stress. In addition, combination of imaging capability provides a novel prospect in the diagnosis and treatment of liver injury. To achieve effective treatment of liver injury along with further analysis of the condition through ultrasound imaging, Lee and co-workers attempted to construct polymer nanoparticles with ultrasound imaging capacity [245-249]. More recently, the group designed a ketalized maltodextrin nanocarrier with silymarin (KMD NP) that could generate CO₂ bubbles through disrupting carbonate bonds in acidic sites [258]. KMD NPs were formed by conjugating acid-cleavable hydrophobic moieties to maltodextrin via carbonate bonds. The formation of CO₂ bubbles at pH acid sites endowed KMD NPs with echogenicity, so that ultrasound imaging could be performed (Fig. 13F). KMD NPs significantly enhanced ultrasound contrast in drug-injured liver and ameliorated hepatic damage by inhibiting ROS and expression of TNF- α [258].

7. Anti-inflammatory nanodrugs

Reduction of inflammation can effectively decrease oxidative stress damage by disrupting the vicious circle of RONS and inflammation in liver injury. Currently, anti-inflammatory drugs are widely adopted in the treatment of several diseases. However, these drugs are almost ineffective and may even aggravate liver damage, since they are metabolized by liver and cause further damage to hepatocytes. For example, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs cause acute liver injury of varying severity [17]. Moreover, corticosteroid anti-inflammatory drugs have limited efficacy for liver injury. The survival rate of groups with drug-induced acute liver failure treated with corticosteroid anti-inflammatory drugs was not significantly different to that of non-treated groups (69% vs 66%) [267].

Compared with traditional anti-inflammatory drugs, nanodrugs provide a better and safer therapeutic option to suppress inflammation The current anti-inflammatory nanodrugs for liver injury mainly include the



Fig. 13. Nanocarriers with antioxidants for treatment of liver injury. (A) Schematic illustration of fabrication of BRNPS [264] (a). Representative TEM images of BRNPs (b). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [261] (B) Representative images of major organs extracted from sham-operated control and IRI-induced mice at 1 h and 6 h post injection illustrating biodistribution of BRNPs in a hepatic IRI model. (C) Relative expression of mRNAs of pro-inflammatory mediators, including TNF, IL1b, IL6, Nos2, and Ptgs2 and (D) cell adhesion molecules (Sele, Ccl2, Vcam1, and Icam1) in liver after IRI [261]. (E) Schematic synthesis of PEG-P (PBEM-co-DPA)-polydatin and illustration of the release process of PEG-P (PBEM-co-DPA)-polydatin as a ROS and pH dual-responsive nanodrug. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [232] (F) Representative ultrasound images of liver in APAP-induced liver injury mice. Dotted lines indicate liver contours and arrows indicate echogenic KMD nanoparticles. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [258].

following four categories: (1) nanodrugs reduce inflammation in liver injury by blocking pattern recognition receptor (PPR) pathways, (2) nanocarriers with nucleic acid reduce the expression of inflammatory factors, or (3) increase the expression of anti-inflammatory factors, and (4) nanoscale exosomes and stem cells reduce inflammation at the site of liver injury.

7.1. Blockage of PRR pathways

Blockage of the PPR pathway is an effective method to reduce inflammation in liver injury. Recently, Ou and co-workers developed biomimetic nanoparticles (M-NPs) by coating the surface of polylactic acid nanoparticles with the membrane of KCs to reduce hepatic IRI [268] (Fig. 14A). Cell membranes on the M – NP surface contained several TLR4 receptors that could bind DAMPs and PAMPs (Fig. 14B). Consequently, M-NPS competed with KCs to interact with PAMPs and DAMPs, which inhibited activation of the inflammatory pathways of KCs and reduced levels of inflammatory factors. In a rat hepatic IRI model, M-NPs significantly reduced the lipopolysaccharide concentration (LPS) in serum and suppressed activation of KCs via inhibiting the TLR4/MyD88/IRAK1/NF- κ B signaling pathway along with reducing the levels of inflammatory factors TNF- α and IL-6 (Fig. 14C).

Blockage of the NLR pathway can also effectively reduce inflammation at the site of liver injury. NLRP3 belongs to the NLR subfamily of PRRs. NLRP3, adaptor (ASC protein), and effector (caspase-1) are combined to form the NLRP3 inflammasome [269]. DAMPs and PAMPs activate the NLRP3 inflammasome and trigger inflammation in the liver injury site. Dopamine has recently been reported to inhibit NLRP3 inflammasomes. However, dopamine has a short half-life in the body and its direct use in the treatment of liver injury is difficult. Recently, Wu et al. [270] prepared a new type of dopamine-based nanodrug (SW@DSeSeD) for drug-induced acute liver injury. SW@DSeSeD was formed by self-assembly of a disselenium molecule with dopamine at both ends and prostaglandin degrading enzyme 2 (PGE2) inhibitor (SW033291) in aqueous solution (Fig. 14D). In the presence of RONS, the Se–Se bond was easily disrupted. SW033291 could induce rapid tissue



Fig. 14. Anti-inflammatory nanodrugs for liver injury exert their effects through blocking PPR pathways. (A) (a) Schematic illustration of M - NP formulation. TEM images of (b) NPs, (c) macrophage membrane and (d) M-NPs. (Scale bar: 200 nm) (B) Schematic mechanisms of M - NP action in alleviation of hepatic IRI caused by liver transplantation. (C) ELISA analysis of TNF- α and IL-6 in serum. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [268] (D) Schematic synthesis of SW@DSeSeD. (E) PGE2 levels in LPS-stimulated RAW-264.7 cells after 20 h incubation with different formulations. (F, G) ELISA assay of serum ALT and AST levels in WT (control) and treatment groups at 12 h, 24 h and 72 h. (H) Survival curves of mice subjected to different treatments after injection of a lethal dose of APAP (500 mg kg⁻¹). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [270].

regeneration by inhibiting prostaglandin degrading enzyme activity. SW@DSeSeD effectively lysed and released active ingredients (dopamine and SW033291) within the high RONS-containing environment of liver injury, significantly inhibited the NLRP3 inflammasomes to attenuate release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 β and IL-18, and promoted regeneration by increasing the level of PGE2 (Fig. 14E). After SW@DSeSeD treatment, all mice survived and their liver functions returned to normal (Fig. 14F–H). All untreated mice died owing to liver failure. These results support the excellent therapeutic effects of SW@DSeSeD against liver injury.

7.2. Reduction of the expression of inflammatory factors

Delivery of siRNA to inflammatory cells presents an effective strategy for achieving anti-inflammatory effects in liver injury by interfering with the expression of inflammatory factors [271–273]. siRNAs are difficult to transport through the cell membrane and commonly degraded by nucleases, poor targeting, and immunogenicity in vivo [274]. Nanocarriers containing siRNAs can effectively protect against rapid enzymatic degradation in vivo, reduce immunogenicity, and increase blood retention time and cellular uptake [275].

Positively charged polypeptides, cationic polymers and so on [276, 277] have been developed as nanocarriers of siRNAs (polyanions), with the aim of reducing the TNF- α level at the site of liver injury. TNF- α is a critical pro-inflammatory factor and liver injury can be effectively alleviated through suppression of its expression in inflammatory cells. In a previous study, Yin et al. [278] adopted the α -helical structures PPABLG and PAOBLG-MPA as nanocarriers of TNF-a siRNA. PPABLG was a cell-penetrating peptide with high molecular weight and high cationic charge density (Fig. 15A). Notably, the α -helical structure of PPABLG facilitated vigorous transmembrane activity and effective delivery of siRNA from lysosomes to the cytoplasm. In addition, interactions between cationic polymers and RNA could be enhanced by introducing the polyanionic polypeptide, PAOBLG-MPA, which promoted TNF-α siRNA encapsulation in nanocarriers. PPABLG hybrid nanoparticles (HNP) protected against LPS/D-galactosamine (d-GalN)- and sepsis-induced liver injury in mice and improved survival rates via downregulation of TNF- α (Fig. 15B–D). Recently, Tang and co-workers developed a pH-sensitive mannose nanocarrier with no positive charge (M-PNSDS-T NP) to improve delivery of TNF- α siRNA to the cytoplasm [279]. M-PNSDS-T NPs were cross-linked with alkyne-functionalized siRNA and azide-modified multi-arm PEG through hydrophobic unstable acetal



Fig. 15. Anti-inflammatory nanodrugs for liver injury act by reducing the expression of inflammatory factors. (A) Schematic illustration of PPABLG/PAOBLG-MPA/ siRNA HNP fabrication and intracellular delivery. (B) Serum levels of TNF- α in LPS/d-GalN-treated mice receiving i. v. administration of HNPs. LPS/d-GalN was i. p.injected 24 h after administration. (C) Serum ALT and AST levels were measured 5 h after LPS/d-GalN stimulation. (D) Survival of mice in different treatment groups. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [279] (E) Schematic illustration of siRNA cross-linked nanoparticles (PNSDS). (F) M-PNSDS-T protects against liver injury in LPS/d-GalN-treated mice via suppressing TNF- α expression. Serum TNF- α levels in mice administered siRNA (50 µg kg⁻¹). (a). Relative TNF- α mRNA levels in liver (b). ALT levels in mice 6 h after LPS/d-GalN treatment (c). H&E staining of mouse liver 6 h post LPS/d-GalN treatment (d). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [285].

bonds. Upon endocytosis of M-PNSDS-T NPs from the extracellular region to the lysosome, the pH value of the environment dropped from 7.4 to ~4.5. Acetal bonds in M-PNSDS-T NPs were stable under physiological conditions but disrupted under acidic conditions (pH 4.5), leading to release of TNF- α siRNA into the cytoplasm (Fig. 15E). Moreover, modification of mannose moieties facilitated targeting of macrophages and minimized aggregation with serum proteins. M-PNSDS-T NPs could selectively deliver TNF-a siRNA to liver macrophages for treatment of acute liver failure induced by LPS and d-GalN, which protected against injury to a significant extent (Fig. 15F). As for liver cirrhosis, HMGB1 protein has gradually become a research hotspot as pro-inflammatory factor and fibroblast chemokine. Zhang et al. constructed an HMGB1-siRNA-loaded stable nucleic acid lipid nanoparticle that were modified with pPB peptide (HMGB1-siRNA@SNALP-pB) to target HSCs, which indicated dual antifibrotic and anti-inflammatory effects in TAAand CCl₄-induced liver cirrhosis mouse model. More importantly, the results revealed that the therapeutic effect of HMGB1-siRNA@SNALP-pB were superior to that of HSP47-siRNA@SNALP-pPB with only antifibrotic activity, which provided significant insights toward the treatment of hepatic cirrhosis [280].

Synthetic decoy oligodeoxynucleotides can bind specific transcription factors based on mimicking DNA complementary sequences [281]. These oligodeoxynucleotides show high affinity for their respective targets and hinder gene transcription upon transfection into target cells [282]. As a result, NF- κ B decoys prevent initiation of related gene expression by entrapping NF- κ B, which translocates to the nucleus and binds *cis*-elements of target genes, including inflammation-related genes, such as TNF- α and IL-6 [283]. Recently, NF- κ B decoy with no significant immunogenic effects has been effectively utilized as an alternative treatment for inflammation-related liver injury. Due to the low rate of uptake of naked NF-κB decoy by cells, several researchers have explored the use of nanocarriers [284]. For instance, Hashida et al. [285] developed fucosylated cationic liposomes (Fuc-NPs) for loading NF-κB decoy while dioleoylphosphatidy-lethanolamine (DOPE) was used to protect NF-κB from endosomal activity. Fucosylated proteins acquired targeting through fucose receptors on KCs. The Fuc-NP surface had a positive charge, which achieved better selective transport of NF-κB decoy by KCs. Fuc-NPs induced a significant decrease in serum levels of TNF- α , IFN, ALT, and AST in LPS-treated mice [266].

7.3. Increased expression of anti-inflammatory factors

Anti-inflammatory factors exert significant antioxidant effects through inhibiting RONS production in hepatocytes. For example, interleukin-22 (IL-22), a member of the interleukin 10 family, has recently been shown to induce hepatocyte production of endogenous antioxidants and promote expression of anti-apoptotic factors in liver injury by activating STAT3 signaling [286]. However, IL-22-mediated treatment of liver injury can cause systemic side-effects, since IL-22 receptors are distributed throughout the body. Coupling of IL-22 to liver-targeted proteins represents a very effective strategy to reduce systemic side-effects. Apolipoprotein A-I additionally has excellent liver targeting properties. IL-22 can be effectively delivered to hepatocytes by coupling with apolipoprotein A-I. Ju and co-workers constructed cationic liposomes (liposIA NPs) composed of DOTAP and cholesterol as gene expression vectors for delivery of interleukin-22/ApoA-I fusion



Fig. 16. Anti-inflammatory nanodrugs for liver injury that exert effects via promoting the expression of anti-inflammatory factors. (A) Schematic illustration of liposIA NPs. (B) ELISA analysis of IL-22 in selected organs (heart, liver, lung, spleen, kidney and brain) 2 days after liposIA administration. (C) Serum levels of TNF- α in mice subjected to APAP treatment. Various drugs were intramuscularly injected, followed by intraperitoneal injection of APAP after 48 h. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [287] (D) Schematic representation of hepatocellular expression of interleukin-22 platform against hepatitis. (E) ELISA analysis of IL-22 in hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells. (F) Quantitative analysis of ROS in liver sections among different groups. (G) Western blot analysis of p-STAT3 and STAT3 in hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells. (H) PDPIA increased hepatocyte regeneration, as indicated by the percentage of Ki-67-positive hepatocytes. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [288].

protein-expressing plasmid (Fig. 16A). Upon transfection of liposIA NPs, IL-22/ApoA-I fusion protein was expressed in vivo [287], which effectively accumulated in liver (Fig. 16B). After liposIA NP treatment in DILI, levels of pro-inflammatory factors (such as TNF- α) were markedly reduced and liver function restored (Fig. 16C).

Specific delivery of IL-22 plasmid nanocarriers to hepatocytes presents another effective strategy. These nanocarriers are generally prepared by self-assembly of cationic polymers or proteins and IL-22 plasmid. Chen and co-workers prepared a composite nanocarrier (PDPIA NPs) composed of cationic polypeptide penetration protein (penetratin), PEGylated lipid (DSPE-PEG2000), and poly (amidoamine) dendrimer for delivery of IL-22 plasmids to liver [288] (Fig. 16D). PDPIA NPs effectively accumulated in hepatocytes instead of KCs (Fig. 16E), inhibited generation of RONS and promoted hepatocyte regeneration through activated STAT3/Erk signal transduction in the severe ConA-induced hepatitis model (Fig. 16F–H).

MMP9 is the largest member of MMPs well known for degradation and remodeling of the extracellular matrix proteins. The antiinflammatory effect of MMP9 has drawn attention of researchers [289]. Melgar-Lesmes et al. delivered a plasmid expressing MMP 9 (pMMP9) to inflammatory macrophages in cirrhotic livers through constructing a nanocarrier based on graphene nanostars (GNS) linked to PAMAM-G5 dendrimer (DGNS) [290]. In such ingenious design, the addition of CD11b promoter (TNF- α is a CD11b promoter activator) as well as the binding of two biocompatible materials, GNS with PAMAM-G5 dendrimers, achieved well targeting of macrophages. The overexpression of MMP 9 inhabited inflammation by inducing the conversion of macrophages from M1 type to M2 type. M1 pro-inflammatory cells helped to clear the infection but promote liver injury in aseptic inflammation, while M2 anti-inflammatory cells have a repair phenotype that can promote the regression phase [291]. Eventually, pMMP9-DGNP alleviated liver fibrosis through heightened collagen degradation and inflammation inhibition.

In addition, immune cells play an irreplaceable role in the pathogenesis of liver injury and even cirrhosis, especially macrophages [292]. It can effectively control inflammation with siRNA, decoy technology, and delivering plasmid to knockdown pro-inflammatory factors or to overexpress anti-inflammatory cytokine in immune cells. Nanocarriers should be effectively improve the pharmacokinetics and targeting of these nucleic acid drugs. However, the use of nanodrugs to treat liver injury is an emerging field, and nanodrugs for targeting immune cells have not been reported. We believe more surprising target immune cell nanodrugs will have tremendous power in the field of liver injury with the deepening of research.



Fig. 17. Extracellular vesicles and stem cells with anti-inflammatory functions for treatment of liver injury. (A) Differential expression of miRNAs (miRs) between EVN and Exo F (left panel). Ranking of differential miRs from highest ("H") to lowest ("L) led to identification of miR-34c-3p, -151–3p, -483–5p, -532–5p, and -687 as the most highly differentially expressed between the groups (right panel). (B) RT-PCR validation of reduced expression of selected miRs in EVF versus EVN. (C) Anti-inflammatory effect of EVN determined using the cytokine multiplex assay for serum IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, and TNF- α . Reprinted with permission from Ref. [299] (D) Schematic representation of the mechanisms of nanozyme impregnation of mesenchymal stem cells (PB-MSC) for hepatic IRI. (E) Analysis of lipid peroxidation and inflammation in hepatic tissue homogenates via TBARS assay (a), serum concentrations of TNF- α (b) and IL-10 (c), and MPO activity (d). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [306].

7.4. Extracellular vesicles and stem cells with anti-inflammatory properties

Extracellular vesicles are cell-derived membrane vesicles, including exosomes (50-100 nm) and microvesicles (about 50-500 nm, with the largest reaching 1 µm). Vesicles can transport various substances, such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, leading to modification of transcription or translation in recipient cells. All cells secrete various types of extracellular vesicles. Specific cell-derived extracellular vesicles exert anti-inflammatory and antioxidant therapeutic effects in liver injury [293]. Compared with many artificially prepared nanoparticles, exosomes have excellent biocompatibility, supporting their utility in the treatment of liver injury [294-298]. Anti-inflammatory exosomes from different sources have been developed, such as serum extracellular vesicles (SEV) [299], stem cell-derived exosomes [300], plant-derived exosomes [301,302], honey-derived exosomes [303], and exosomes from shiitake mushrooms [304]. For example, Brigstock and co-workers [299] demonstrated that SEVs from healthy humans exert a therapeutic effect against liver fibrosis. SEVs were directly derived from circulating cell types and tissues (such as endothelial cells) or indirect contact systemic circulation (through interstitial fluid). Compared with SEV of fibrotic patients, that of healthy people contained higher levels of miR-34c, -151-3p, -483-5p, and -532-5p, and could significantly inhibit liver

fibrosis by reducing inflammation (Fig. 17A&B). Moreover, inflammatory factors, such as IFN- γ , and infiltration of activated immune cells were significantly reduced by SEV treatment of healthy mice in a carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄)-induced liver fibrosis model (Fig. 17C).

In addition to exosomes, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have recently been developed for treatment of liver injury [305]. MSCs release a variety of cytokines, such as hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), through paracrine mechanisms. HGF inhibits apoptosis, regulates inflammation, promotes angiogenesis, and reduces tissue fibrosis in liver. Despite these promising outcomes, several limitations are associated with the use of MSCs for treatment of liver injury. The high RONS content makes MSC survival at injury sites difficult, leading to failure to secrete relevant cytokines and loss of therapeutic effects. Recently, Tae et al. [306] combined MSCs with Prussian blue nanoparticles (PB) comprising a biocompatible nanozyme with multiple ROS-scavenging mimetic activity to protect against oxidative stress in liver with I/R injury (Fig. 17D). Following impregnation of MSCs with PB, RONS from the external environment was obviously decreased. Compared with injection of PBS, PB, and MSCs, MSCs with PB reduced pro-inflammatory factors and liver tissue necrosis to a greater extent and effectively restored liver function in hepatic IRI mice (Fig. 17E).

Table 2

Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory nanodrug clinical trials on liver disease treatment. Data source: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov.

Study title	Nanodrug	Liver Disease	Starting Time	Status	Number of Participants	Identifier
The Effect of Curcumin on the Development of Prednisolone-induced Hepatic Insulin Resistance (CURPRED)	Curcumin Liposome	NAFLD	December 2019	Not applicable Recruiting	36	NCT04315350
Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, PDs, and Efficacy of CNP-104 in Subjects With Primary Biliary Cholangitis	CNP-104 (comprised of PDC-E2 peptide dispersed within a negatively charged polymer matrix of PLGA particles)	Primary Biliary Cholangitis	December 2021	Phase 1, 2 Not yet recruiting	40	NCT05104853
Phase 1b/2, Open Label, Repeat Dose, Dose Escalation Study of ND-L02-s0201 Injection in Subjects With Moderate to Extensive Fibrosis (METAVIR F3-4)	ND-L02-s0201 (A Vitamin A-coupled Lipid Nanoparticle Containing siRNA Against HSP47)	Hepatic Fibrosis	October 2014	Phase 1 Completed	25	NCT02227459



Fig. 18. Challenges in nanodrug translation of liver disease.

8. Conclusion

Starting with the mechanisms of liver injury from various sources, we have comprehensively summarized the key roles of RONS and inflammation and limitations of traditional anti-inflammatory and antioxidant drugs in the treatment of liver injury. Due to the unique structural features and functions of liver, the numerous antioxidant and antiinflammatory drugs currently available are relatively ineffective for therapy. Based on these characteristics, nanodrugs specifically enriched in liver may achieve efficient targeted treatment of liver injury and therefore have significant potential to overcome the limitations of traditional anti-inflammatory and antioxidant drugs.

Although significant progress has been made in this emerging field, continued efforts are required to address the huge challenges in clinical translation. The application of nanodrugs for liver disease is an emerging field in the past few years, and only three nanodrugs have entered clinical trials (data from the USA National Library of Medicine database, https://clinicaltrials.gov/). (Table 2). In fact, those nanodrugs consist of approved active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) and simple liposomal or polymer carrier are easier to be translated into clinic in the field of liver disease [307]. Above all, nanocarriers of antioxidants or anti-inflammatory drugs mentioned above are of greater feasibility in clinical translation, such as BRNP synthesized by Kim [261] and

co-workers and TNF- α siRNA carried nanodrugs fabricated by Yin et al. [278]. The road ahead is full of thorns, but it is a considerable deal if nanodrug harnessing RONS and inflammation achieve success in the control of liver injury, which further promote the progress of most nanodrugs. The success of some nanodrugs has set a precedent [155], and four main challenges should be considered seriously learned from previous experiences [308,309] (Fig. 18), which is benefit for the meaningful clinical translation of nanodrug harnessing RONS and inflammation for liver disease.

Firstly, toxicity of nanodrugs is the biggest obstacle that inhibit their application translating to clinic. Nanodrugs that harnessing RONS and inflammation for liver disease are no exception. Most materials will be more active once scaled down to nano-regime due to the dramatic increase in total surface area [310]. The altered biological activity possesses serious potential toxicities towards cells, tissues and organs in the body [311]. The long-term side effects of nanodrugs should be further established. The successful clinical translation of some nanodrug provide an excellent example for this challenge. For instance, it is very important to continuously optimize nanoparticles for addressing problems in preclinical experiments throughout the story of Onpattro [155].

Secondly, the mechanism of action and metabolism of nanodrugs in liver requires further research. Many nanodrugs have not yet entered clinical trials due to lack of data on their behavioral mechanisms in vivo. The studies on behavioral mechanisms of nanodrugs are thought to contribute to the optimization of drug efficacy on one hand and the prediction and reduction of nanotoxicity [46,47,312]. Given the significant role of liver played in metabolism, further studies of the influence of existing liver injury on the action and metabolism of the nanodrugs are needed. In addition, the effect of ROS scavengers under pathological conditions on its metabolism also requires further studies on behavioral mechanisms. Accumulating studies have focused on the mechanisms of nanomaterials, including their transformation and therapeutic actions in the body. For instance, Chen and co-workers clarified the whole process of nanomaterial transport-biotransformation-bioutilization and fate in the body with the aid of advanced technologies, such as high sensitivity, high resolution in situ characterization, proteomics, and molecular dynamics simulation [313]. Cai et al. [314] established a multi-dimensional method for evaluation of nanobiological effects using protein metabonomic technology. The collective studies provide a good reference for further research on the basic principles of nanodrug behavior in vivo.

Thirdly, the limitation of preclinical animal model results in the difference of nanodrugs efficacy. The large difference in physiological and pathological settings of the injured area caused by species divergence between humans and animals is difficult to avoid. And some animal models cannot manifest the clinical progress of various liver disease [315]. In addition, rodents' models of alcoholic liver disease are unlikely to develop through drinking alone due to the rapid ethanol metabolism and short-term feeding period. Many of the symptoms observed in severe alcoholic liver disease are induced by secondary effects of severe injury and inflammation, rather than the main effects of alcohol-induced hepatoxicity [316].

Finally, the complex, inefficient, and costly synthesis process provides a big challenge for nanodrugs translate from laboratory to large-scale manufacturing. First of all, many elaborately fabricated nanodrugs integrate different functional species or components into a single nanosystem, instead of using a primitive nanomaterial [266,317]. Then, complex, inefficient, and costly synthesis of nanoparticles is proposed, which is difficult to implement in large-scale manufacturing. At the same time, the addition of uncertain new components will also make the activity of nanodrug in the body more complicated or increase the risk of potential toxicity [318]. In summary, the complexity of the components makes chemistry, manufacturing, and quality control more comprehensive and stricter. In addition, chemistry, manufacturing, and quality control is hard to be guaranteed due to lack of standards and norms. Therefore, the key quality attribute should be taken into account in the early stage of formulation design. And the production process also needs to be conducted in strict accordance with Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) guidelines. It is necessary to carefully evaluate the ingredients, excipients and key quality attributes as early as possible in the design of nanodrug [307,319].

Notwithstanding, the development of nanodrugs provides a promising outlook for treating liver injury compared with conventional drug development. It is reported that the estimated total success rate of nanodrugs against cancer from the first stage to clinical approval is 6%, which is much higher than the 3.4% of conventional new drugs [320]. Notably, it can't be ignored many of those approved nanodrugs are based on the FDA approved API instead of a completely new chemical entity. Nanodrugs achieved the superior performance for optimized pharmacokinetics and/or pharmaceutical formulations when compared with conventional new drugs development. But nanodrug developments largely benefit from conventional new drugs developments as well. As early as 1965, liposomes were proposed for drug delivering [321]. And the success of liposomal nanodrugs were evident in the clinical application in the past 40 plus years. The annual sales AmBisome® and Doxil® have both reached hundreds of millions of dollars [322]. Thereby, nanodrugs based on regulation of RONS and inflammation have extended the frontier of research on treatment of liver injury from various sources

and been expected to replace large part of the traditional drugs when further studies on toxicity, metabolism in the body, animal model and manufacturing are warranted. Nanodrug treatment is expected to significantly reduce liver injury and prevent progression to liver failure, fibrosis, and cancer, thus paving the way for reducing mortality from liver disease.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.21974134, 81974508, 81770739, 81673492), the Hunan Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholar of China (No. 2021JJ10067), Innovation-Driven Project of Central South University (No. 202045005), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 2021JJ31066), Changsha Science and Technology Project (No. kq2001048), and Key Research Project of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region in 2021 of China (Major Project) (2021BEG01001).

References

- T. Marjot, G.J. Webb, ASt Barritt, A.M. Moon, Z. Stamataki, V.W. Wong, et al., COVID-19 and liver disease: mechanistic and clinical perspectives, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18 (2021) 348–364.
- [2] D. Jothimani, R. Venugopal, M.F. Abedin, I. Kaliamoorthy, M. Rela, COVID-19 and the liver, J. Hepatol. 73 (2020) 1231–1240.
- [3] M.M. Phipps, L.H. Barraza, E.D. LaSota, M.E. Sobieszczyk, M.R. Pereira, E.X. Zheng, et al., Acute liver injury in COVID-19: prevalence and association with clinical outcomes in a large U.S. Cohort, Hepatology 72 (2020) 807–817.
- [4] P. Kubes, C. Jenne, Immune responses in the liver, Annu. Rev. Immunol. 36 (2018) 247–277.
- [5] E. Trefts, M. Gannon, D.H. Wasserman, The liver, Curr. Biol. 27 (2017) R1147–R1151.
- [6] Y. Wei, Y.G. Wang, Y. Jia, L. Li, J. Yoon, S. Zhang, et al., Liver homeostasis is maintained by midlobular zone 2 hepatocytes, Science (2021) 371.
- [7] A. Gola, M.G. Dorrington, E. Speranza, C. Sala, R.M. Shih, A.J. Radtke, et al., Commensal-driven immune zonation of the liver promotes host defence, Nature 589 (2021) 131–136.
- [8] M.F. Abdelmalek, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: another leap forward, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18 (2021) 85–86.
- [9] J.M. Pawlotsky, COVID-19 and the liver-related deaths to come, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 17 (2020) 523–525.
- [10] R.J. Weaver, E.A. Blomme, A.E. Chadwick, I.M. Copple, H.H.J. Gerets, C.E. Goldring, et al., Managing the challenge of drug-induced liver injury: a roadmap for the development and deployment of preclinical predictive models, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 19 (2020) 131–148.
- [11] X. Huang, F. Lee, Y. Teng, C.B. Lingam, Z. Chen, M. Sun, et al., Sequential drug delivery for liver diseases, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 149–150 (2019) 72–84.
- [12] S.K. Asrani, H. Devarbhavi, J. Eaton, P.S. Kamath, Burden of liver diseases in the world, J. Hepatol. 70 (2019) 151–171.
- [13] A.K. Singal, P. Mathurin, Diagnosis and treatment of alcohol-associated liver disease: a review, JAMA 326 (2021) 165–176.
- [14] J.H. Hoofnagle, E.S. Bjornsson, Drug-induced liver injury types and phenotypes, N. Engl. J. Med. 381 (2019) 264–273.
- [15] H. Han, R. Desert, S. Das, Z. Song, D. Athavale, X. Ge, et al., Danger signals in liver injury and restoration of homeostasis, J. Hepatol. 73 (2020) 933–951.
- [16] K. Mouzannar, T.J. Liang, Hepatitis B virus recent therapeutic advances and challenges to cure, J. Hepatol. 73 (2020) 694–695.
- [17] European Association for the Study of the Liver, Electronic address eee, clinical Practice guideline panel C, panel m, representative EGB. EASL clinical Practice guidelines: drug-induced liver injury, J. Hepatol. 70 (2019) 1222–1261.
- [18] N. Stefan, H.U. Haring, K. Cusi, Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causes, diagnosis, cardiometabolic consequences, and treatment strategies, Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 7 (2019) 313–324.
- [19] A.K. Singal, R. Bataller, J. Ahn, P.S. Kamath, V.H. Shah, ACG clinical guideline: alcoholic liver disease, Am. J. Gastroenterol. 113 (2018) 175–194.
- [20] L. Ma, A.J. Craig, S. Heinrich, Hypoxia is a key regulator in liver cancer progression, J. Hepatol. 73 (2021) 736–737.
- [21] T. Gustot, V. Stadlbauer, W. Laleman, C. Alessandria, M. Thursz, Transition to decompensation and acute-on-chronic liver failure: role of predisposing factors and precipitating events, J. Hepatol. 75 (Suppl 1) (2021) S36–S48.

- [22] J.M. Llovet, R.K. Kelley, A. Villanueva, A.G. Singal, E. Pikarsky, S. Roayaie, et al., Hepatocellular carcinoma, Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 7 (2021) 6.
- [23] P. Burra, D. Samuel, V. Sundaram, C. Duvoux, H. Petrowsky, N. Terrault, et al., Limitations of current liver donor allocation systems and the impact of newer indications for liver transplantation, J. Hepatol. 75 (Suppl 1) (2021) S178–S190.
- [24] R. Barnett, Liver cirrhosis, Lancet 392 (2018) 275.
- [25] Q. Zhao, J. Liu, H. Deng, R. Ma, J.Y. Liao, H. Liang, et al., Targeting mitochondrialocated circRNA SCAR alleviates NASH via reducing mROS output, Cell 183 (2020) 76–93 e22.
- [26] A. Mansouri, C.H. Gattolliat, T. Asselah, Mitochondrial dysfunction and signaling in chronic liver diseases, Gastroenterology 155 (2018) 629–647.
- [27] M. Parola, M. Pinzani, Liver fibrosis: pathophysiology, pathogenetic targets and clinical issues, Mol. Aspect. Med. 65 (2019) 37–55.
- [28] Z. Wang, Z. Ying, A. Bosy-Westphal, J. Zhang, B. Schautz, W. Later, et al., Specific metabolic rates of major organs and tissues across adulthood: evaluation by mechanistic model of resting energy expenditure, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 92 (2010) 1369–1377.
- [29] A. Boussif, L. Rolas, E. Weiss, H. Bouriche, R. Moreau, A. Perianin, Impaired intracellular signaling, myeloperoxidase release and bactericidal activity of neutrophils from patients with alcoholic cirrhosis, J. Hepatol. 64 (2016) 1041–1048.
- [30] M. Yan, Y. Huo, S. Yin, H. Hu, Mechanisms of acetaminophen-induced liver injury and its implications for therapeutic interventions, Redox Biol. 17 (2018) 274–283.
- [31] J. Gracia-Sancho, E. Caparros, A. Fernandez-Iglesias, R. Frances, Role of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in liver diseases, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18 (2021) 411–431.
- [32] H.K. Seitz, R. Bataller, H. Cortez-Pinto, B. Gao, A. Gual, C. Lackner, et al., Alcoholic liver disease, Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 4 (2018) 16.
- [33] R. Bottger, G. Pauli, P.H. Chao, N. Al Fayez, L. Hohenwarter, S.D. Li, Lipid-based nanoparticle technologies for liver targeting, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 154–155 (2020) 79–101.
- [34] A. Maqsoudlou, E. Assadpour, H. Mohebodini, S.M. Jafari, Improving the efficiency of natural antioxidant compounds via different nanocarriers, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 278 (2020), 102122.
- [35] A.J. Sanyal, N. Chalasani, K.V. Kowdley, A. McCullough, A.M. Diehl, N.M. Bass, et al., Pioglitazone, vitamin E, or placebo for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, N. Engl. J. Med. 362 (2010) 1675–1685.
- [36] Y. Sang, F. Cao, W. Li, L. Zhang, Y. You, Q. Deng, et al., Bioinspired construction of a nanozyme-based H2O2 homeostasis disruptor for intensive chemodynamic therapy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142 (2020) 5177–5183.
- [37] F. Cao, L. Zhang, Y. You, L. Zheng, J. Ren, X. Qu, An enzyme-mimicking singleatom catalyst as an efficient multiple reactive oxygen and nitrogen species scavenger for sepsis management, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 59 (2020) 5108–5115.
- [38] J. Kim, H.Y. Kim, S.Y. Song, S.H. Go, H.S. Sohn, S. Baik, et al., Synergistic oxygen generation and reactive oxygen species scavenging by manganese ferrite/ceria Codecorated nanoparticles for rheumatoid arthritis treatment, ACS Nano 13 (2019) 3206–3217.
- [39] Y. Lee, K. Sugihara, M.G. Gillilland 3rd, S. Jon, N. Kamada, J.J. Moon, Hyaluronic acid-bilirubin nanomedicine for targeted modulation of dysregulated intestinal barrier, microbiome and immune responses in colitis, Nat. Mater. 19 (2020) 118–126.
- [40] M. Zhang, J. Zhu, X. Qin, M. Zhou, X. Zhang, Y. Gao, et al., Cardioprotection of tetrahedral DNA nanostructures in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 30631–30639.
- [41] T. Zhao, W. Wu, L. Sui, Q. Huang, Y. Nan, J. Liu, et al., Reactive oxygen speciesbased nanomaterials for the treatment of myocardial ischemia reperfusion injuries, Bioact. Mater. 7 (2021) 47–72.
- [42] L. Chen, Q. Huang, T. Zhao, L. Sui, S. Wang, Z. Xiao, et al., Nanotherapies for sepsis by regulating inflammatory signals and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: new insight for treating COVID-19, Redox Biol. 45 (2021), 102046.
- [43] Y. Jin, H. Wang, K. Yi, S. Lv, H. Hu, M. Li, et al., Applications of nanobiomaterials in the therapy and imaging of acute liver failure, Nano-Micro Lett. 13 (2020) 25.
- [44] G. Casals, M. Perramon, E. Casals, I. Portoles, G. Fernandez-Varo, M. Morales-Ruiz, et al., Cerium oxide nanoparticles: a new therapeutic tool in liver diseases, Antioxidants 10 (2021).
- [45] Y. Guan, W. Yao, K. Yi, C. Zheng, S. Lv, Y. Tao, et al., Nanotheranostics for the management of hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury, Small 17 (2021), e2007727.
- [46] R. Cornu, A. Beduneau, H. Martin, Influence of nanoparticles on liver tissue and hepatic functions: a review, Toxicology 430 (2020), 152344.
- [47] J. Li, C. Chen, T. Xia, Understanding nanomaterial-liver interactions to facilitate the development of safer nanoapplications, Adv. Mater. (2022), e2106456.
- [48] H. Sies, D.P. Jones, Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as pleiotropic physiological signalling agents, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 21 (2020) 363–383.
- [49] D.B. Zorov, M. Juhaszova, S.J. Sollott, Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) and ROS-induced ROS release, Physiol. Rev. 94 (2014) 909–950.
- [50] P. An, L.L. Wei, S. Zhao, D.Y. Sverdlov, K.A. Vaid, M. Miyamoto, et al., Hepatocyte mitochondria-derived danger signals directly activate hepatic stellate cells and drive progression of liver fibrosis, Nat. Commun. 11 (2020) 2362.
- [51] R.J. Wiesner, J.C. Ruegg, I. Morano, Counting target molecules by exponential polymerase chain reaction: copy number of mitochondrial DNA in rat tissues, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 183 (1992) 553–559.
- [52] A. Di Ciaula, S. Passarella, H. Shanmugam, M. Noviello, L. Bonfrate, D.Q. Wang, et al., Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Mitochondria as players and targets of therapies? Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22 (2021).

- [53] P. Hernansanz-Agustin, C. Choya-Foces, S. Carregal-Romero, E. Ramos, T. Oliva, T. Villa-Pina, et al., Na(+) controls hypoxic signalling by the mitochondrial respiratory chain, Nature 586 (2020) 287–291.
- [54] L. Barbier-Torres, K.A. Fortner, P. Iruzubieta, T.C. Delgado, E. Giddings, Y. Chen, et al., Silencing hepatic MCJ attenuates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by increasing mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation, Nat. Commun. 11 (2020) 3360.
- [55] C. Brenner, L. Galluzzi, O. Kepp, G. Kroemer, Decoding cell death signals in liver inflammation, J. Hepatol. 59 (2013) 583–594.
- [56] P. Fisicaro, V. Barili, B. Montanini, G. Acerbi, M. Ferracin, F. Guerrieri, et al., Targeting mitochondrial dysfunction can restore antiviral activity of exhausted HBV-specific CD8 T cells in chronic hepatitis B, Nat. Med. 23 (2017) 327–336.
 [57] S.M. Pond, T.N. Tozer, First-pass elimination. Basic concepts and clinical
- consequences, Clin. Pharmacokinet. 9 (1984) 1–25.
- [58] A.I. Cederbaum, Alcohol metabolism, Clin. Liver Dis. 16 (2012) 667-685.
- [59] D.D. Shen, K.L. Kunze, K.E. Thummel, Enzyme-catalyzed processes of first-pass hepatic and intestinal drug extraction, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 27 (1997) 99–127.
 [60] O. Niemela, S. Parkkila, R.O. Juvonen, K. Viitala, H.V. Gelboin, M. Pasanen,
- Cytochromes P450 2A6, 2E1, and 3A and production of protein-aldehyde adducts in the liver of patients with alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver diseases, J. Hepatol. 33 (2000) 893–901.
- [61] T.M. Leung, N. Nieto, CYP2E1 and oxidant stress in alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, J. Hepatol. 58 (2013) 395–398.
- [62] M.W. Kloss, G.M. Rosen, E.J. Rauckman, N-demethylation of cocaine to norcocaine. Evidence for participation by cytochrome P-450 and FAD-containing monooxygenase, Mol. Pharmacol. 23 (1983) 482–485.
- [63] R.C. Zangar, D.R. Davydov, S. Verma, Mechanisms that regulate production of reactive oxygen species by cytochrome P450, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 199 (2004) 316–331.
- [64] V. Vitcheva, Cocaine toxicity and hepatic oxidative stress, Curr. Med. Chem. 19 (2012) 5677–5682.
- [65] P.J. Derry, L.G. Nilewski, W.K.A. Sikkema, K. Mendoza, A. Jalilov, V. Berka, et al., Catalytic oxidation and reduction reactions of hydrophilic carbon clusters with NADH and cytochrome C: features of an electron transport nanozyme, Nanoscale 11 (2019) 10791–10807.
- [66] M. Pawlak, P. Lefebvre, B. Staels, Molecular mechanism of PPARalpha action and its impact on lipid metabolism, inflammation and fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, J. Hepatol. 62 (2015) 720–733.
- [67] F. Bessone, M.V. Razori, M.G. Roma, Molecular pathways of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease development and progression, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 76 (2019) 99–128.
- [68] L. Zhang, X. Wang, R. Cueto, C. Effi, Y. Zhang, H. Tan, et al., Biochemical basis and metabolic interplay of redox regulation, Redox Biol. 26 (2019), 101284.
- [69] Y. Masubuchi, C. Suda, T. Horie, Involvement of mitochondrial permeability transition in acetaminophen-induced liver injury in mice, J. Hepatol. 42 (2005) 110–116.
- [70] S. Win, T.A. Than, R.W. Min, M. Aghajan, N. Kaplowitz, c-Jun N-terminal kinase mediates mouse liver injury through a novel Sab (SH3BP5)-dependent pathway leading to inactivation of intramitochondrial Src, Hepatology 63 (2016) 1987–2003.
- [71] K. Levada, N. Guldiken, X. Zhang, G. Vella, F.R. Mo, L.P. James, et al., Hsp72 protects against liver injury via attenuation of hepatocellular death, oxidative stress, and JNK signaling, J. Hepatol. 68 (2018) 996–1005.
- [72] I. Dickson, Improving hepatic ischaemia-reperfusion injury outcomes, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 16 (2019) 583.
- [73] J. Rao, F. Cheng, H. Zhou, W. Yang, J. Qiu, C. Yang, et al., Nogo-B is a key mediator of hepatic ischemia and reperfusion injury, Redox Biol. 37 (2020), 101745.
- [74] E.T. Chouchani, V.R. Pell, A.M. James, L.M. Work, K. Saeb-Parsy, C. Frezza, et al., A unifying mechanism for mitochondrial superoxide production during ischemiareperfusion injury, Cell Metabol. 23 (2016) 254–263.
- [75] A.S. Milliken, C.A. Kulkarni, P.S. Brookes, Acid enhancement of ROS generation by complex-I reverse electron transport is balanced by acid inhibition of complex-II: relevance for tissue reperfusion injury, Redox Biol. 37 (2020), 101733.
- [76] B.L. Woolbright, H. Jaeschke, Role of the inflammasome in acetaminopheninduced liver injury and acute liver failure, J. Hepatol. 66 (2017) 836–848.
- [77] K. Kazankov, S.M.D. Jorgensen, K.L. Thomsen, H.J. Moller, H. Vilstrup, J. George, et al., The role of macrophages in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 16 (2019) 145–159.
- [78] B. Gao, M.F. Ahmad, L.E. Nagy, H. Tsukamoto, Inflammatory pathways in alcoholic steatohepatitis, J. Hepatol. 70 (2019) 249–259.
- [79] R. Zhou, A.S. Yazdi, P. Menu, J. Tschopp, A role for mitochondria in NLRP3 inflammasome activation, Nature 469 (2011) 221–225.
- [80] C.C. Winterbourn, A.J. Kettle, M.B. Hampton, Reactive oxygen species and neutrophil function, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 85 (2016) 765–792.
- [81] A. Weigert, A. von Knethen, D. Fuhrmann, N. Dehne, B. Brune, Redox-signals and macrophage biology, Mol. Aspect. Med. 63 (2018) 70–87.
- [82] M. Oberkampf, C. Guillerey, J. Mouries, P. Rosenbaum, C. Fayolle, A. Bobard, et al., Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species regulate the induction of CD8(+) T cells by plasmacytoid dendritic cells, Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 2241.
- [83] A. Cappenberg, A. Margraf, K. Thomas, B. Bardel, D.A. McCreedy, V. Van Marck, et al., L-selection shedding affects bacterial clearance in the lung: a new regulatory pathway for integrin outside-in signaling, Blood 134 (2019) 1445–1457.
- [84] K. Bedard, K.H. Krause, The NOX family of ROS-generating NADPH oxidases: physiology and pathophysiology, Physiol. Rev. 87 (2007) 245–313.
- [85] H.R. Griffiths, D. Gao, C. Pararasa, Redox regulation in metabolic programming and inflammation, Redox Biol. 12 (2017) 50–57.

- [86] S.Y. Kim, J.M. Jeong, S.J. Kim, W. Seo, M.H. Kim, W.M. Choi, et al., Proinflammatory hepatic macrophages generate ROS through NADPH oxidase 2 via endocytosis of monomeric TLR4-MD2 complex, Nat. Commun. 8 (2017) 2247.
- [87] J. Choi, N.L. Corder, B. Koduru, Y. Wang, Oxidative stress and hepatic Nox proteins in chronic hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 72 (2014) 267–284.
- [88] S. Liang, T. Kisseleva, D.A. Brenner, The role of NADPH oxidases (NOXs) in liver fibrosis and the activation of myofibroblasts, Front. Physiol. 7 (2016) 17.
- [89] C. Bogdan, Nitric oxide synthase in innate and adaptive immunity: an update, Trends Immunol. 36 (2015) 161–178.
- [90] Y. Iwakiri, M.Y. Kim, Nitric oxide in liver diseases, Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 36 (2015) 524–536.
- [91] T. Greuter, V.H. Shah, Hepatic sinusoids in liver injury, inflammation, and fibrosis: new pathophysiological insights, J. Gastroenterol. 51 (2016) 511–519.
- [92] M. Antonelou, E. Michaelsson, R.D.R. Evans, C.J. Wang, S.R. Henderson, L.S.K. Walker, et al., Therapeutic myeloperoxidase inhibition attenuates neutrophil activation, ANCA-mediated endothelial damage, and crescentic GN, J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 31 (2020) 350–364.
- [93] H.K. Lehman, B.H. Segal, The role of neutrophils in host defense and disease, J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 145 (2020) 1535–1544.
- [94] P. Nahon, A. Sutton, P. Rufat, M. Ziol, H. Akouche, C. Laguillier, et al., Myeloperoxidase and superoxide dismutase 2 polymorphisms comodulate the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and death in alcoholic cirrhosis, Hepatology 50 (2009) 1484–1493.
- [95] S.L. Maiocchi, J. Ku, T. Thai, E. Chan, M.D. Rees, S.R. Thomas, Myeloperoxidase: a versatile mediator of endothelial dysfunction and therapeutic target during cardiovascular disease, Pharmacol. Ther. 221 (2021), 107711.
- [96] F. Heymann, F. Tacke, Immunology in the liver–from homeostasis to disease, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 13 (2016) 88–110.
- [97] R.L. Smith, M.R. Soeters, R.C.I. Wust, R.H. Houtkooper, Metabolic flexibility as an adaptation to energy resources and requirements in health and disease, Endocr. Rev. 39 (2018) 489–517.
- [98] D.A. Cappel, S. Deja, J.A.G. Duarte, B. Kucejova, M. Inigo, J.A. Fletcher, et al., Pyruvate-carboxylase-mediated anaplerosis promotes antioxidant capacity by sustaining TCA cycle and redox metabolism in liver, Cell Metabol. 29 (2019), 1291-12305 e8.
- [99] I. Prieto, M. Monsalve, ROS homeostasis, a key determinant in liver ischemicpreconditioning, Redox Biol. 12 (2017) 1020–1025.
- [100] L. Wu, J. Liu, X. Tian, R.R. Groleau, S.D. Bull, P. Li, et al., Fluorescent probe for the imaging of superoxide and peroxynitrite during drug-induced liver injury, Chem. Sci. 12 (2021) 3921–3928.
- [101] G. Hong, J. Kim, H. Oh, S. Yun, C.M. Kim, Y.M. Jeong, et al., Production of multiple cell-laden microtissue spheroids with a biomimetic hepatic-lobule-like structure, Adv. Mater. (2021), e2102624.
- [102] F. Chen, R.J. Jimenez, K. Sharma, H.Y. Luu, B.Y. Hsu, A. Ravindranathan, et al., Broad distribution of hepatocyte proliferation in liver homeostasis and regeneration, Cell Stem Cell 26 (2020) 27–33 e4.
- [103] P.S. Koch, K.H. Lee, S. Goerdt, H.G. Augustin, Angiodiversity and organotypic functions of sinusoidal endothelial cells, Angiogenesis 24 (2021) 289–310.
 [104] B. Wang, E. Wangkahart, C.J. Secombes, T. Wang, Insights into the evolution of
- [104] B. Wang, E. Wangkahart, C.J. Secombes, T. Wang, Insights into the evolution of the suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) gene family in vertebrates, Mol. Biol. Evol. 36 (2019) 393–411.
- [105] L. Campana, H. Esser, M. Huch, S. Forbes, Liver regeneration and inflammation: from fundamental science to clinical applications, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 22 (2021) 608–624.
- [106] G.K. Michalopoulos, M.C. DeFrances, Liver regeneration, Science 276 (1997) 60–66.
- [107] S.J. Forbes, P.N. Newsome, Liver regeneration mechanisms and models to clinical application, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 13 (2016) 473–485.
- [108] G.K. Michalopoulos, B. Bhushan, Liver regeneration: biological and pathological mechanisms and implications, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18 (2021) 40–55.
 [109] V.L. Gadd, N. Aleksieva, S.J. Forbes, Epithelial plasticity during liver injury and
- regeneration, Cell Stem Cell 27 (2020) 557-573.
 T. Shen, Y. Liu, J. Shang, Q. Xie, J. Li, M. Yan, et al., Incidence and etiology of
- drug-induced liver injury in mainland China, Gastroenterology 156 (2019), 2230-2241 e11.
- [111] R.J. Andrade, N. Chalasani, E.S. Bjornsson, A. Suzuki, G.A. Kullak-Ublick,
- P.B. Watkins, et al., Drug-induced liver injury, Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 5 (2019) 58.
 J. Zhang, H. Wang, Y. Fan, Z. Yu, G. You, Regulation of organic anion transporters: role in physiology, pathophysiology, and drug elimination, Pharmacol. Ther. 217 (2021), 107647.
- [113] U.M. Zanger, M. Schwab, Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism: regulation of gene expression, enzyme activities, and impact of genetic variation, Pharmacol. Ther. 138 (2013) 103–141.
- [114] J.T. Backman, A.M. Filppula, M. Niemi, P.J. Neuvonen, Role of cytochrome P450 2C8 in drug metabolism and interactions, Pharmacol. Rev. 68 (2016) 168–241.
- [115] O.A. Almazroo, M.K. Miah, R. Venkataramanan, Drug metabolism in the liver, Clin. Liver Dis. 21 (2017) 1–20.
- [116] A. Jetter, G.A. Kullak-Ublick, Drugs and hepatic transporters: a review, Pharmacol. Res. 154 (2020), 104234.
- [117] T. Gong, L. Liu, W. Jiang, R. Zhou, DAMP-sensing receptors in sterile inflammation and inflammatory diseases, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 20 (2020) 95–112.
- [118] H. Jaeschke, A. Ramachandran, Pleiotropic roles of platelets and neutrophils in cell death and recovery during acetaminophen hepatotoxicity, Hepatology 72 (2020) 1873–1876.

- [119] Y. Tang, H. Li, J. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Li, J. Zhou, et al., Macrophage scavenger receptor 1 contributes to pathogenesis of fulminant hepatitis via neutrophil-mediated complement activation, J. Hepatol. 68 (2018) 733–743.
- [120] V. Kondylis, S. Kumari, K. Vlantis, M. Pasparakis, The interplay of IKK, NF-kappaB and RIPK1 signaling in the regulation of cell death, tissue homeostasis and inflammation, Immunol. Rev. 277 (2017) 113–127.
- [121] R.F. Schwabe, T. Luedde, Apoptosis and necroptosis in the liver: a matter of life and death, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 15 (2018) 738–752.
- [122] E. Kolaczkowska, P. Kubes, Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13 (2013) 159–175.
- [123] D.G. Koch, J.L. Speiser, V. Durkalski, R.J. Fontana, T. Davern, B. McGuire, et al., The natural history of severe acute liver injury, Am. J. Gastroenterol. 112 (2017) 1389–1396.
- [124] Y. Cho, G. Szabo, Two faces of neutrophils in liver disease development and progression, Hepatology 74 (2021) 503–512.
- [125] T. Kisseleva, D. Brenner, Molecular and cellular mechanisms of liver fibrosis and its regression, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18 (2021) 151–166.
- [126] H. Petrowsky, R. Fritsch, M. Guckenberger, M.L. De Oliveira, P. Dutkowski, P.A. Clavien, Modern therapeutic approaches for the treatment of malignant liver tumours, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 17 (2020) 755–772.
- [127] M. Pinter, B. Scheiner, M. Peck-Radosavljevic, Immunotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a focus on special subgroups, Gut 70 (2021) 204–214.
- [128] H. Wang, Z. Lu, X. Zhao, Tumorigenesis, diagnosis, and therapeutic potential of exosomes in liver cancer, J. Hematol. Oncol. 12 (2019) 133.
- [129] J.D. Yang, J.K. Heimbach, New advances in the diagnosis and management of hepatocellular carcinoma, BMJ 371 (2020) m3544.
- [130] J.A. Chirinos, P. Segers, T. Hughes, R. Townsend, Large-artery stiffness in health and disease: JACC state-of-the-art review, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 74 (2019) 1237–1263.
- [131] C.V. Greenway, R.D. Stark, Hepatic vascular bed, Physiol. Rev. 51 (1971) 23–65.
- [132] A.M. Vargason, A.C. Anselmo, S. Mitragotri, The evolution of commercial drug delivery technologies, Nat. Biomed. Eng. 5 (2021) 951–967.
- [133] S.K. Madden, A.D. de Araujo, M. Gerhardt, D.P. Fairlie, J.M. Mason, Taking the Myc out of cancer: toward therapeutic strategies to directly inhibit c-Myc, Mol. Cancer 20 (2021) 3.
- [134] H.J. Forman, H. Zhang, Targeting oxidative stress in disease: promise and limitations of antioxidant therapy, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 20 (2021) 689–709.
- [135] M.L. Petroni, L. Brodosi, E. Bugianesi, G. Marchesini, Management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, BMJ 372 (2021) m4747.
- [136] C. Lackner, D. Tiniakos, Fibrosis and alcohol-related liver disease, J. Hepatol. 70 (2019) 294–304.
- [137] W.W. Lautt, Colloquium Series on Integrated Systems Physiology: from Molecule to Function to Disease. Hepatic Circulation: Physiology and Pathophysiology, Morgan & Claypool Life Sciences Copyright © 2010 by Morgan & Claypool Life Sciences, San Rafael (CA), 2009.
- [138] R. Radi, Oxygen radicals, nitric oxide, and peroxynitrite: redox pathways in molecular medicine, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115 (2018) 5839–5848.
- [139] G. Pizzino, N. Irrera, M. Cucinotta, G. Pallio, F. Mannino, V. Arcoraci, et al., Oxidative stress: harms and benefits for human health, Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2017 (2017), 8416763.
- [140] V.I. Lushchak, Free radicals, reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress and its classification, Chem. Biol. Interact. 224 (2014) 164–175.
- [141] M. Sousa de Almeida, E. Susnik, B. Drasler, P. Taladriz-Blanco, A. Petri-Fink, B. Rothen-Rutishauser, Understanding nanoparticle endocytosis to improve targeting strategies in nanomedicine, Chem. Soc. Rev. 50 (2021) 5397–5434.
- [142] N. Baig, I. Kammakakam, W. Falath, Nanomaterials: a review of synthesis methods, properties, recent progress, and challenges, Mater. Adv. 2 (2021) 1821–1871.
- [143] M. Izci, C. Maksoudian, B.B. Manshian, S.J. Soenen, The use of alternative strategies for enhanced nanoparticle delivery to solid tumors, Chem. Rev. 121 (2021) 1746–1803.
- [144] M.J. Mitchell, M.M. Billingsley, R.M. Haley, M.E. Wechsler, N.A. Peppas, R. Langer, Engineering precision nanoparticles for drug delivery, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 20 (2021) 101–124.
- [145] H. Cabral, H. Kinoh, K. Kataoka, Tumor-targeted nanomedicine for immunotherapy, Acc. Chem. Res. 53 (2020) 2765–2776.
- [146] W. Poon, Y.N. Zhang, B. Ouyang, B.R. Kingston, J.L.Y. Wu, S. Wilhelm, et al., Elimination pathways of nanoparticles, ACS Nano 13 (2019) 5785–5798.
- [147] Y.N. Zhang, W. Poon, A.J. Tavares, I.D. McGilvray, W.C.W. Chan, Nanoparticleliver interactions: cellular uptake and hepatobiliary elimination, J. Contr. Release 240 (2016) 332–348.
- [148] S. Mahdinloo, S.H. Kiaie, A. Amiri, S. Hemmati, H. Valizadeh, P. Zakeri-Milani, Efficient drug and gene delivery to liver fibrosis: rationale, recent advances, and perspectives, Acta Pharm. Sin. B 10 (2020) 1279–1293.
- [149] W. Peng, S. Cheng, Z. Bao, Y. Wang, W. Zhou, J. Wang, et al., Advances in the research of nanodrug delivery system for targeted treatment of liver fibrosis, Biomed. Pharmacother. 137 (2021), 111342.
- [150] S. Zhu, J. Zhang, L. Zhang, W. Ma, N. Man, Y. Liu, et al., Inhibition of kupffer cell autophagy abrogates nanoparticle-induced liver injury, Adv. Healthc. Mater. 6 (2017).
- [151] R. Maeso-Diaz, M. Ortega-Ribera, E. Lafoz, J.J. Lozano, A. Baiges, R. Frances, et al., Aging influences hepatic microvascular biology and liver fibrosis in advanced chronic liver disease, Aging Dis. 10 (2019) 684–698.
- [152] M. Guillaume, A. Rodriguez-Vilarrupla, J. Gracia-Sancho, E. Rosado, A. Mancini, J. Bosch, et al., Recombinant human manganese superoxide dismutase reduces

liver fibrosis and portal pressure in CCl4-cirrhotic rats, J. Hepatol. 58 (2013) 240–246.

- [153] D. Oro, T. Yudina, G. Fernandez-Varo, E. Casals, V. Reichenbach, G. Casals, et al., Cerium oxide nanoparticles reduce steatosis, portal hypertension and display antiinflammatory properties in rats with liver fibrosis, J. Hepatol. 64 (2016) 691–698.
- [154] L. Yuan, J. Wang, W.C. Shen, Reversible lipidization of somatostatin analogues for the liver targeting, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 70 (2008) 615–620.
- [155] A. Akinc, M.A. Maier, M. Manoharan, K. Fitzgerald, M. Jayaraman, S. Barros, et al., The Onpattro story and the clinical translation of nanomedicines containing nucleic acid-based drugs, Nat. Nanotechnol. 14 (2019) 1084–1087.
- [156] J. Lu, A. Holmgren, The thioredoxin antioxidant system, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 66 (2014) 75–87.
- [157] P.J. Ferret, R. Hammoud, M. Tulliez, A. Tran, H. Trebeden, P. Jaffray, et al., Detoxification of reactive oxygen species by a nonpeptidyl mimic of superoxide dismutase cures acetaminophen-induced acute liver failure in the mouse, Hepatology 33 (2001) 1173–1180.
- [158] B. Malassagne, P.J. Ferret, R. Hammoud, M. Tulliez, S. Bedda, H. Trebeden, et al., The superoxide dismutase mimetic MnTBAP prevents Fas-induced acute liver failure in the mouse, Gastroenterology 121 (2001) 1451–1459.
- [159] Z. Yu, R. Lou, W. Pan, N. Li, B. Tang, Nanoenzymes in disease diagnosis and therapy, Chem. Commun. 56 (2020) 15513–15524.
- [160] S. Polili Surendran, R. George Thomas, M.J. Moon, Y.Y. Jeong, Nanoparticles for the treatment of liver fibrosis, Int. J. Nanomed. 12 (2017) 6997–7006.
- [161] R. Zhang, X. Yan, K. Fan, Nanozymes Inspired by Natural Enzymes, 2021.
- [162] B. Yang, Y. Chen, J. Shi, Reactive oxygen species (ROS)-Based nanomedicine, Chem. Rev. 119 (2019) 4881–4985.
- [163] E. Casals, M. Zeng, M. Parra-Robert, G. Fernandez-Varo, M. Morales-Ruiz, W. Jimenez, et al., Cerium oxide nanoparticles: advances in biodistribution, toxicity, and preclinical exploration, Small 16 (2020), e1907322.
- [164] J. Ma, R.R. Mercer, M. Barger, D. Schwegler-Berry, J.M. Cohen, P. Demokritou, et al., Effects of amorphous silica coating on cerium oxide nanoparticles induced pulmonary responses, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 288 (2015) 63–73.
- [165] J.Y. Ma, S.H. Young, R.R. Mercer, M. Barger, D. Schwegler-Berry, J.K. Ma, et al., Interactive effects of cerium oxide and diesel exhaust nanoparticles on inducing pulmonary fibrosis, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 278 (2014) 135–147.
- [166] J.Y. Ma, R.R. Mercer, M. Barger, D. Schwegler-Berry, J. Scabilloni, J.K. Ma, et al., Induction of pulmonary fibrosis by cerium oxide nanoparticles, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 262 (2012) 255–264.
- [167] B. Annangi, Z. Lu, J. Bruniaux, A. Ridoux, V.M. da Silva, D. Vantelon, et al., Macrophage autophagy protects mice from cerium oxide nanoparticle-induced lung fibrosis, Part. Fibre Toxicol. 18 (2021) 6.
- [168] H. Li, Z.Y. Yang, C. Liu, Y.P. Zeng, Y.H. Hao, Y. Gu, et al., PEGylated ceria nanoparticles used for radioprotection on human liver cells under gamma-ray irradiation, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 87 (2015) 26–35.
- [169] R.M. Hashem, L.A. Rashd, K.S. Hashem, H.M. Soliman, Cerium oxide nanoparticles alleviate oxidative stress and decreases Nrf-2/HO-1 in D-GALN/LPS induced hepatotoxicity, Biomed. Pharmacother. 73 (2015) 80–86.
- [170] O.A. Adebayo, O. Akinloye, O.A. Adaramoye, Cerium oxide nanoparticles attenuate oxidative stress and inflammation in the liver of diethylnitrosaminetreated mice, Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 193 (2020) 214–225.
- [171] N. Kobyliak, O. Virchenko, T. Falalyeyeva, M. Kondro, T. Beregova, P. Bodnar, et al., Cerium dioxide nanoparticles possess anti-inflammatory properties in the conditions of the obesity-associated NAFLD in rats, Biomed. Pharmacother. 90 (2017) 608–614.
- [172] N. Manne, R. Arvapalli, V.A. Graffeo, V.V.K. Bandarupalli, T. Shokuhfar, S. Patel, et al., Prophylactic treatment with cerium oxide nanoparticles attenuate hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury in sprague dawley rats, Cell. Physiol. Biochem. 42 (2017) 1837–1846.
- [173] H.G. Ibrahim, N. Attia, F. Hashem, M.A.R. El Heneidy, Cerium oxide nanoparticles: in pursuit of liver protection against doxorubicin-induced injury in rats, Biomed. Pharmacother. 103 (2018) 773–781.
- [174] B. Cordoba-Jover, A. Arce-Cerezo, J. Ribera, M. Pauta, D. Oro, G. Casals, et al., Cerium oxide nanoparticles improve liver regeneration after acetaminopheninduced liver injury and partial hepatectomy in rats, J. Nanobiotechnol. 17 (2019) 112.
- [175] D. Ni, H. Wei, W. Chen, Q. Bao, Z.T. Rosenkrans, T.E. Barnhart, et al., Ceria nanoparticles meet hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury: the perfect imperfection, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019), e1902956.
- [176] F.Y. Li, Y.P. Qiu, F. Xia, H. Sun, H.W. Liao, A. Xie, et al., Dual detoxification and inflammatory regulation by ceria nanozymes for drug-induced liver injury therapy, Nano Today 35 (2020).
- [177] G.F. Rushworth, I.L. Megson, Existing and potential therapeutic uses for Nacetylcysteine: the need for conversion to intracellular glutathione for antioxidant benefits, Pharmacol. Ther. 141 (2014) 150–159.
- [178] M. Caldas, A.C. Santos, F. Veiga, R. Rebelo, R.L. Reis, V.M. Correlo, Melanin nanoparticles as a promising tool for biomedical applications - a review, Acta Biomater. 105 (2020) 26–43.
- [179] Y. Liu, K. Ai, J. Liu, M. Deng, Y. He, L. Lu, Dopamine-melanin colloidal nanospheres: an efficient near-infrared photothermal therapeutic agent for in vivo cancer therapy, Adv. Mater. 25 (2013) 1353–1359.
- [180] K. Ai, Y. Liu, C. Ruan, L. Lu, G.M. Lu, Sp2 C-dominant N-doped carbon submicrometer spheres with a tunable size: a versatile platform for highly efficient oxygen-reduction catalysts, Adv. Mater. 25 (2013) 998–1003.
- [181] Y. Liu, K. Ai, X. Ji, D. Askhatova, R. Du, L. Lu, et al., Comprehensive insights into the multi-antioxidative mechanisms of melanin nanoparticles and their

application to protect brain from injury in ischemic stroke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (2017) 856–862.

- [182] C. Zhao, Z. Li, J. Chen, L. Su, J. Wang, D.S. Chen, et al., Site-specific biomimicry of antioxidative melanin formation and its application for acute liver injury therapy and imaging, Adv. Mater. (2021), e2102391.
- [183] H. Sun, Y. Zhou, J. Ren, X. Qu, Carbon nanozymes: enzymatic properties, catalytic mechanism, and applications, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 57 (2018) 9224–9237.
- [184] Z. Markovic, V. Trajkovic, Biomedical potential of the reactive oxygen species generation and quenching by fullerenes (C60), Biomaterials 29 (2008) 3561–3573.
- [185] N. Gharbi, M. Pressac, M. Hadchouel, H. Szwarc, S.R. Wilson, F. Moussa, [60] fullerene is a powerful antioxidant in vivo with no acute or subacute toxicity, Nano Lett. 5 (2005) 2578–2585.
- [186] G. Chen, X. Song, B. Wang, G. You, J. Zhao, S. Xia, et al., Carboxyfullerene nanoparticles alleviate acute hepatic injury in severe hemorrhagic shock, Biomaterials 112 (2017) 72–81.
- [187] V. Volarevic, V. Paunovic, Z. Markovic, B. Simovic Markovic, M. Misirkic-Marjanovic, B. Todorovic-Markovic, et al., Large graphene quantum dots alleviate immune-mediated liver damage, ACS Nano 8 (2014) 12098–12109.
- [188] Y. Umezaki, D. Iohara, M. Anraku, Y. Ishitsuka, T. Irie, K. Uekama, et al., Preparation of hydrophilic C60(OH)10/2-hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin nanoparticles for the treatment of a liver injury induced by an overdose of acetaminophen, Biomaterials 45 (2015) 115–123.
- [189] Y. Zhou, M. Zhen, M. Guan, T. Yu, L. Ma, W. Li, et al., Amino acid modified [70] fullerene derivatives with high radical scavenging activity as promising bodyguards for chemotherapy protection, Sci. Rep. 8 (2018) 16573.
- [190] Y. Long, H. Wei, J. Li, M. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, et al., Prevention of hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury by carbohydrate-derived nanoantioxidants, Nano Lett. 20 (2020) 6510–6519.
- [191] D. Iohara, Y. Umezaki, M. Anraku, K. Uekama, F. Hirayama, In vitro and in vivo evaluation of hydrophilic C60(OH)10/2-Hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin nanoparticles as an antioxidant, J. Pharmacol. Sci. 105 (2016) 2959–2965.
- [192] A.A. Elshater, M.A.M. Haridy, M.M.A. Salman, A.S. Fayyad, S. Hammad, Fullerene C60 nanoparticles ameliorated cyclophosphamide-induced acute hepatotoxicity in rats, Biomed. Pharmacother. 97 (2018) 53–59.
- [193] H.M. Kuznietsova, N.V. Dziubenko, O.V. Lynchak, T.S. Herheliuk, D.K. Zavalny, O.V. Remeniak, et al., Effects of pristine C60 fullerenes on liver and pancreas in alpha-naphthylisothiocyanate-induced cholangitis, Dig. Dis. Sci. 65 (2020) 215–224.
- [194] H. Kuznietsova, N. Dziubenko, V. Hurmach, I. Chereschuk, O. Motuziuk, O. Ogloblya, et al., Water-soluble pristine C60 fullerenes inhibit liver fibrotic alteration and prevent liver cirrhosis in rats, Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2020 (2020), 8061246.
- [195] L.L. Dugan, L. Tian, K.L. Quick, J.I. Hardt, M. Karimi, C. Brown, et al., Carboxyfullerene neuroprotection postinjury in Parkinsonian nonhuman primates, Ann. Neurol. 76 (2014) 393–402.
- [196] B.D. Mansuriya, Z. Altintas, Graphene quantum dot-based electrochemical immunosensors for biomedical applications, Materials 13 (2019).
- [197] N. Baccile, G. Laurent, F. Babonneau, F. Fayon, M.-M. Titirici, M. Antonietti, Structural characterization of hydrothermal carbon spheres by advanced solidstate MAS 13C NMR investigations, J. Phys. Chem. C 113 (2009) 9644–9654.
- [198] H.E. Karahan, K. Goh, C.J. Zhang, E. Yang, C. Yildirim, C.Y. Chuah, et al., MXene materials for designing advanced separation membranes, Adv. Mater. 32 (2020), e1906697.
- [199] J. Liu, W. Lu, X. Lu, L. Zhang, H. Dong, Y. Li, Versatile Ti3C2T x MXene for freeradical scavenging, Nano Res. (2021) 1–9.
- [200] X. Ren, M. Huo, M. Wang, H. Lin, X. Zhang, J. Yin, et al., Highly catalytic niobium carbide (MXene) promotes hematopoietic recovery after radiation by free radical scavenging, ACS Nano 13 (2019) 6438–6454.
- [201] L. Guo, J. Xiao, H. Liu, H. Liu, Selenium nanoparticles alleviate hyperlipidemia and vascular injury in ApoE-deficient mice by regulating cholesterol metabolism and reducing oxidative stress, Metallomics 12 (2020) 204–217.
- [202] K. Bai, B. Hong, J. He, W. Huang, Antioxidant capacity and hepatoprotective role of chitosan-stabilized selenium nanoparticles in concanavalin A-induced liver injury in mice, Nutrients 12 (2020).
- [203] A.A. Mohamed, S.I. Khater, A. Hamed Arisha, M.M.M. Metwally, G. Mostafa-Hedeab, E.S. El-Shetry, Chitosan-stabilized selenium nanoparticles alleviate cardio-hepatic damage in type 2 diabetes mellitus model via regulation of caspase, Bax/Bcl-2, and Fas/FasL-pathway, Gene 768 (2021), 145288.
- [204] K.A. Amin, K.S. Hashem, F.S. Alshehri, S.T. Awad, M.S. Hassan, Antioxidant and hepatoprotective efficiency of selenium nanoparticles against acetaminopheninduced hepatic damage, Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 175 (2017) 136–145.
- [205] B. Li, D. Li, W. Jing, J. Fan, H.U. Dahms, S.C. Lee, et al., Biogenic selenium and its hepatoprotective activity, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 15627.
- [206] K. Bai, B. Hong, Z. Hong, J. Sun, C. Wang, Selenium nanoparticles-loaded chitosan/citrate complex and its protection against oxidative stress in D-galactoseinduced aging mice, J. Nanobiotechnol. 15 (2017) 92.
- [207] T. Liu, B. Xiao, F. Xiang, J. Tan, Z. Chen, X. Zhang, et al., Ultrasmall copper-based nanoparticles for reactive oxygen species scavenging and alleviation of inflammation related diseases, Nat. Commun. 11 (2020) 2788.
- [208] S.A. Aljuhr, G. Abdelaziz, B.M. Essa, W.A. Zaghary, T.M. Sakr, Hepatoprotective, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory potentials of Vit-E/C@SeNPs in rats: synthesis, characterization, biochemical, radio-biodistribution, molecular and histopathological studies, Bioorg. Chem. 117 (2021), 105412.

24

- [209] C. Wang, Z. Gu, X. Gu, X. Tan, S. Wang, R. Zhang, et al., Nano-selenium attenuates mitochondrial-associated apoptosis via the PI3K/AKT pathway in nickel-induced hepatotoxicity in vivo and in vitro, Environ. Toxicol. 37 (2021) 101–119.
- [210] B. Huang, J. Zhang, J. Hou, C. Chen, Free radical scavenging efficiency of Nano-Se in vitro, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 35 (2003) 805–813.
- [211] B. Hosnedlova, M. Kepinska, S. Skalickova, C. Fernandez, B. Ruttkay-Nedecky, Q. Peng, et al., Nano-selenium and its nanomedicine applications: a critical review, Int. J. Nanomed. 13 (2018) 2107–2128.
- [212] P. Yang, J. Tao, F. Chen, Y. Chen, J. He, K. Shen, et al., Multienzyme-mimic ultrafine alloyed nanoparticles in metal organic frameworks for enhanced chemodynamic therapy, Small 17 (2021), e2005865.
- [213] C. Dong, W. Feng, W. Xu, L. Yu, H. Xiang, Y. Chen, et al., The coppery age: copper (Cu)-Involved nanotheranostics, Adv. Sci. 7 (2020), 2001549.
- [214] X. Zhang, S. Zhang, Z. Yang, Z. Wang, X. Tian, R. Zhou, Self-cascade MoS2 nanozymes for efficient intracellular antioxidation and hepatic fibrosis therapy, Nanoscale 13 (2021) 12613–12622.
- [215] H. Bai, F. Kong, K. Feng, X. Zhang, H. Dong, D. Liu, et al., Prussian blue nanozymes prevent anthracycline-induced liver injury by attenuating oxidative stress and regulating inflammation, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 13 (2021) 42382–42395.
- [216] G. Natarajan, C. Perriotte-Olson, C.A. Casey, T.M. Donohue Jr., G.A. Talmon, E.N. Harris, et al., Effect of nanoformulated copper/zinc superoxide dismutase on chronic ethanol-induced alterations in liver and adipose tissue, Alcohol 79 (2019) 71–79.
- [217] P.J. Swart, T. Hirano, M.E. Kuipers, Y. Ito, C. Smit, M. Hashida, et al., Targeting of superoxide dismutase to the liver results in anti-inflammatory effects in rats with fibrotic livers, J. Hepatol. 31 (1999) 1034–1043.
- [218] T. Gopal, N. Kumar, C. Perriotte-Olson, C.A. Casey, T.M. Donohue Jr., E.N. Harris, et al., Nanoformulated SOD1 ameliorates the combined NASH and alcoholassociated liver disease partly via regulating CYP2E1 expression in adipose tissue and liver, Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 318 (2020) G428–G438.
- [219] M.S. Lee, N.W. Kim, J.E. Lee, M.G. Kim, Y. Yin, S.Y. Kim, et al., Targeted cellular delivery of robust enzyme nanoparticles for the treatment of drug-induced hepatotoxicity and liver injury, Acta Biomater. 81 (2018) 231–241.
- [220] K.J. Heard, Acetylcysteine for acetaminophen poisoning, N. Engl. J. Med. 359 (2008) 285–292.
- [221] N. Singh, N. Khullar, V. Kakkar, I.P. Kaur, Attenuation of carbon tetrachlorideinduced hepatic injury with curcumin-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles, BioDrugs 28 (2014) 297–312.
- [222] S.T. Choudhury, N. Das, S. Ghosh, D. Ghosh, S. Chakraborty, N. Ali, Vesicular (liposomal and nanoparticulated) delivery of curcumin: a comparative study on carbon tetrachloride-mediated oxidative hepatocellular damage in rat model, Int. J. Nanomed. 11 (2016) 2179–2193.
- [223] S. Bisht, M.A. Khan, M. Bekhit, H. Bai, T. Cornish, M. Mizuma, et al., A polymeric nanoparticle formulation of curcumin (NanoCurc) ameliorates CCl4-induced hepatic injury and fibrosis through reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and stellate cell activation, Lab. Invest. 91 (2011) 1383–1395.
- [224] P. Kushwaha, A. Yadav, M. Samim, S.J.S. Flora, Combinatorial drug delivery strategy employing nano-curcumin and nano-MiADMSA for the treatment of arsenic intoxication in mouse, Chem. Biol. Interact. 286 (2018) 78–87.
 [225] M.E. El-Naggar, F. Al-Joufi, M. Anwar, M.F. Attia, M.A. El-Bana, Curcumin-loaded
- [225] M.E. El-Naggar, F. Al-Joufi, M. Anwar, M.F. Attia, M.A. El-Bana, Curcumin-loaded PLA-PEG copolymer nanoparticles for treatment of liver inflammation in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats, Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces 177 (2019) 389–398.
- [226] K.Y. Yang, H. Hwang du, A.M. Yousaf, D.W. Kim, Y.J. Shin, O.N. Bae, et al., Silymarin-loaded solid nanoparticles provide excellent hepatic protection: physicochemical characterization and in vivo evaluation, Int. J. Nanomed. 8 (2013) 3333–3343.
- [227] R. Kandimalla, S. Dash, A.C. Bhowal, S. Kalita, N.C. Talukdar, S. Kundu, et al., Glycogen-gold nanohybrid escalates the potency of silymarin, Int. J. Nanomed. 12 (2017) 7025–7038.
- [228] J. Liang, Y. Liu, J. Liu, Z. Li, Q. Fan, Z. Jiang, et al., Chitosan-functionalized lipidpolymer hybrid nanoparticles for oral delivery of silymarin and enhanced lipidlowering effect in NAFLD, J. Nanobiotechnol. 16 (2018) 64.
- [229] A.S. Abdullah, I.E.T. El Sayed, A.M.A. El-Torgoman, N.A. Alghamdi, S. Ullah, S. Wageh, et al., Preparation and characterization of silymarin-conjugated gold nanoparticles with enhanced anti-fibrotic therapeutic effects against hepatic fibrosis in rats: role of MicroRNAs as molecular targets, Biomedicines 9 (2021).
- [230] C.W. Lee, F.L. Yen, H.W. Huang, T.H. Wu, H.H. Ko, W.S. Tzeng, et al., Resveratrol nanoparticle system improves dissolution properties and enhances the hepatoprotective effect of resveratrol through antioxidant and anti-inflammatory pathways, J. Agric. Food Chem. 60 (2012) 4662–4671.
- [231] J. Hussein, M. El-Banna, K.F. Mahmoud, S. Morsy, Y. Abdel Latif, D. Medhat, et al., The therapeutic effect of nano-encapsulated and nano-emulsion forms of carvacrol on experimental liver fibrosis, Biomed. Pharmacother. 90 (2017) 880–887.
- [232] L. Lin, H. Gong, R. Li, J. Huang, M. Cai, T. Lan, et al., Nanodrug with ROS and pH dual-sensitivity ameliorates liver fibrosis via multicellular regulation, Adv. Sci. 7 (2020), 1903138.
- [233] H. Li, J.J. Sun, G.Y. Chen, W.W. Wang, Z.T. Xie, G.F. Tang, et al., Carnosic acid nanoparticles suppress liver ischemia/reperfusion injury by inhibition of ROS, Caspases and NF-kappaB signaling pathway in mice, Biomed. Pharmacother. 82 (2016) 237–246.
- [234] S.K. Verma, S. Rastogil, I. Arora, K. Javed, M. Akhtar, M. Samim, Nanoparticle based delivery of quercetin for the treatment of carbon tetrachloride mediated liver cirrhosis in rats, J. Biomed. Nanotechnol. 12 (2016) 274–285.

- [235] S. Naqvi, H. Sharma, S.J. Flora, Lactobionic acid conjugated quercetin loaded organically modified silica nanoparticles mitigates cyclophosphamide induced hepatocytotoxicity, Int. J. Nanomed. 14 (2019) 8943–8959.
- [236] T.K. Giri, P. Mukherjee, T.K. Barman, S. Maity, Nano-encapsulation of capsaicin on lipid vesicle and evaluation of their hepatocellular protective effect, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 88 (2016) 236–243.
- [237] Y. Xiao, J. Huang, J. Xu, L. Zeng, J. Tian, Y. Lou, et al., Targeted delivery of puerarin/glycyrrhetinic acid-PEG-PBLA complex attenuated liver ischemia/ reperfusion injury via modulating Toll-like receptor 4/nuclear factor-kappaB pathway, Ther. Deliv. 9 (2018) 245–255.
- [238] P. Roy, S. Das, R.G. Auddy, A. Mukherjee, Engineered andrographolide nanosystems for smart recovery in hepatotoxic conditions, Int. J. Nanomed. 9 (2014) 4723–4735.
- [239] N. Mishra, K.S. Yadav, V.K. Rai, N.P. Yadav, Polysaccharide encrusted multilayered nano-colloidal system of andrographolide for improved hepatoprotection, AAPS PharmSciTech 18 (2017) 381–392.
- [240] S. Wang, L.B. Du, L. Jin, Z. Wang, J. Peng, N. Liao, et al., Nano-oleanolic acid alleviates metabolic dysfunctions in rats with high fat and fructose diet, Biomed. Pharmacother. 108 (2018) 1181–1187.
- [241] A. Singh, I. Ahmad, S. Akhter, G.K. Jain, Z. Iqbal, S. Talegaonkar, et al., Nanocarrier based formulation of Thymoquinone improves oral delivery: stability assessment, in vitro and in vivo studies, Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces 102 (2013) 822–832.
- [242] S. Sayeed, S.S. Imam, A.K. Najmi, M. Aqil, M. Akhtar, Nonionic surfactant based thymoquinone loaded nanoproniosomal formulation: in vitro physicochemical evaluation and in vivo hepatoprotective efficacy, Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 43 (2017) 1413–1420.
- [243] M.A. Kalam, M. Raish, A. Ahmed, K.M. Alkharfy, K. Mohsin, A. Alshamsan, et al., Oral bioavailability enhancement and hepatoprotective effects of thymoquinone by self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery system, Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl. 76 (2017) 319–329.
- [244] C. Rathore, N. Upadhyay, R. Kaundal, R.P. Dwivedi, S. Rahatekar, A. John, et al., Enhanced oral bioavailability and hepatoprotective activity of thymoquinone in the form of phospholipidic nano-constructs, Expet Opin. Drug Deliv. 17 (2020) 237–253.
- [245] J. Kwon, J. Kim, S. Park, G. Khang, P.M. Kang, D. Lee, Inflammation-responsive antioxidant nanoparticles based on a polymeric prodrug of vanillin, Biomacromolecules 14 (2013) 1618–1626.
- [246] D. Lee, S. Bae, D. Hong, H. Lim, J.H. Yoon, O. Hwang, et al., H2O2-responsive molecularly engineered polymer nanoparticles as ischemia/reperfusion-targeted nanotherapeutic agents, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 2233.
- [247] E. Ko, D. Jeong, J. Kim, S. Park, G. Khang, D. Lee, Antioxidant polymeric prodrug microparticles as a therapeutic system for acute liver failure, Biomaterials 35 (2014) 3895–3902.
- [248] C. Kang, W. Cho, M. Park, J. Kim, S. Park, D. Shin, et al., H2O2-triggered bubble generating antioxidant polymeric nanoparticles as ischemia/reperfusion targeted nanotheranostics, Biomaterials 85 (2016) 195–203.
- [249] S.V. Berwin Singh, E. Jung, J. Noh, D. Yoo, C. Kang, H. Hyeon, et al., Hydrogen peroxide-activatable polymeric prodrug of curcumin for ultrasound imaging and therapy of acute liver failure, Nanomedicine 16 (2019) 45–55.
- [250] S. Nag, K. Manna, M. Saha, K. Das Saha, Tannic acid and vitamin E loaded PLGA nanoparticles ameliorate hepatic injury in a chronic alcoholic liver damage model via EGFR-AKT-STAT3 pathway, Nanomedicine 15 (2020) 235–257.
- [251] G.S. Ravi, R.N. Charyulu, A. Dubey, P. Prabhu, S. Hebbar, A.C. Mathias, Nano-lipid complex of rutin: development, characterisation and in vivo investigation of hepatoprotective, antioxidant activity and bioavailability study in rats, AAPS PharmSciTech 19 (2018) 3631–3649.
- [252] X. Jiang, Y. Gu, Y. Huang, Y. Zhou, N. Pang, J. Luo, et al., CBD alleviates liver injuries in alcoholics with high-fat high-cholesterol diet through regulating NLRP3 inflammasome-pyroptosis pathway, Front. Pharmacol. 12 (2021) 724747.
- [253] A. Eguchi, T. Yoshitomi, M. Lazic, C.D. Johnson, L.B. Vong, A. Wree, et al., Redox nanoparticles as a novel treatment approach for inflammation and fibrosis associated with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, Nanomedicine 10 (2015) 2697–2708.
- [254] P. Boonruamkaew, P. Chonpathompikunlert, Y. Nagasaki, Redox nanoparticle therapeutics for acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity in mice, Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2016 (2016), 4984597.
- [255] C.S. Wilcox, A. Pearlman, Chemistry and antihypertensive effects of tempol and other nitroxides, Pharmacol. Rev. 60 (2008) 418–469.
- [256] S. Thangavel, T. Yoshitomi, M.K. Sakharkar, Y. Nagasaki, Redox nanoparticle increases the chemotherapeutic efficiency of pioglitazone and suppresses its toxic side effects, Biomaterials 99 (2016) 109–123.
- [257] C. Li, Y. Hu, Q. Nie, S. Chen, G. Li, L. Li, et al., A reactive oxygen speciesresponsive antioxidant nanotherapy for the treatment of drug-induced tissue and organ injury, Biomater. Sci. 8 (2020) 7117–7131.
- [258] Y. Go, H. Lee, L. Jeong, S. Sun, E. Hong, E. Jung, et al., Acid-triggered echogenic nanoparticles for contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging and therapy of acute liver failure, Biomaterials 186 (2018) 22–30.
- [259] H. Wang, W. Wei, S.Y. Zhang, Y.X. Shen, N.P. Wang, L. Yue, et al., Melatoninselenium nanoparticles protects liver against immunological injury induced by bacillus Calmette-Guerin and lipopolysaccharide, Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 26 (2005) 745–752.
- [260] G. Chen, H. Deng, X. Song, M. Lu, L. Zhao, S. Xia, et al., Reactive oxygen speciesresponsive polymeric nanoparticles for alleviating sepsis-induced acute liver injury in mice, Biomaterials 144 (2017) 30–41.

M. Liu et al.

- [261] J.Y. Kim, D.Y. Lee, S. Kang, W. Miao, H. Kim, Y. Lee, et al., Bilirubin nanoparticle preconditioning protects against hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury, Biomaterials 133 (2017) 1–10.
- [262] V. Quagliariello, R. Vecchione, A. De Capua, E. Lagreca, R.V. Iaffaioli, G. Botti, et al., Nano-encapsulation of coenzyme Q10 in secondary and tertiary nanoemulsions for enhanced cardioprotection and hepatoprotection in human cardiomyocytes and hepatocytes during exposure to anthracyclines and trastuzumab, Int. J. Nanomed. 15 (2020) 4859–4876.
- [263] B. Song, C. Zhang, W. Hu, C. Guo, Z. Xia, W. Hu, et al., Nano-designed carbon monoxide donor SMA/CORM2 exhibits protective effect against acetaminophen induced liver injury through macrophage reprograming and promoting liver regeneration, J. Contr. Release 331 (2021) 350–363.
- [264] Y. Lee, H. Kim, S. Kang, J. Lee, J. Park, S. Jon, Bilirubin nanoparticles as a nanomedicine for anti-inflammation therapy, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 55 (2016) 7460–7463.
- [265] Z. Chen, C.T. Vong, C. Gao, S. Chen, X. Wu, S. Wang, et al., Bilirubin nanomedicines for the treatment of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-Mediated diseases, Mol. Pharm. 17 (2020) 2260–2274.
- [266] J. Wang, Y. Li, G. Nie, Multifunctional biomolecule nanostructures for cancer therapy, Nat. Rev. Mater. (2021) 1–18.
- [267] J. Karkhanis, E.C. Verna, M.S. Chang, R.T. Stravitz, M. Schilsky, W.M. Lee, et al., Steroid use in acute liver failure, Hepatology 59 (2014) 612–621.
- [268] Z. Ou, H. Zhong, L. Zhang, M. Deng, W. Zhang, J. Wang, et al., Macrophage membrane-coated nanoparticles alleviate hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury caused by orthotopic liver transplantation by neutralizing endotoxin, Int. J. Nanomed. 15 (2020) 4125–4138.
- [269] K.V. Swanson, M. Deng, J.P. Ting, The NLRP3 inflammasome: molecular activation and regulation to therapeutics, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 19 (2019) 477–489.
- [270] C. Zhan, G. Lin, Y. Huang, Z. Wang, F. Zeng, S. Wu, A dopamine-precursor-based nanoprodrug for in-situ drug release and treatment of acute liver failure by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome and facilitating liver regeneration, Biomaterials 268 (2021), 120573.
- [271] H. Lim, J. Noh, Y. Kim, H. Kim, J. Kim, G. Khang, et al., Acid-degradable cationic poly(ketal amidoamine) for enhanced RNA interference in vitro and in vivo, Biomacromolecules 14 (2013) 240–247.
- [272] K.B. Chenitz, M. Fernando, J.A. Shea, In-center hemodialysis attendance: patient perceptions of risks, barriers, and recommendations, Hemodial. Int. 18 (2014) 364–373.
- [273] C. He, L. Yin, Y. Song, C. Tang, C. Yin, Optimization of multifunctional chitosansiRNA nanoparticles for oral delivery applications, targeting TNF-alpha silencing in rats, Acta Biomater. 17 (2015) 98–106.
- [274] Y. Dong, D.J. Siegwart, D.G. Anderson, Strategies, design, and chemistry in siRNA delivery systems, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 144 (2019) 133–147.
- [275] W. Zhang, Y. Zhou, X. Li, X. Xu, Y. Chen, R. Zhu, et al., Macrophage-targeting and reactive oxygen species (ROS)-responsive nanopolyplexes mediate antiinflammatory siRNA delivery against acute liver failure (ALF), Biomater. Sci. 6 (2018) 1986–1993.
- [276] H. Liu, C. Liu, L. Ye, D. Ma, X. He, Q. Tang, et al., Nanoassemblies with effective serum tolerance capability achieving robust gene silencing efficacy for breast cancer gene therapy, Adv. Mater. 33 (2021), e2003523.
- [277] C. Van Bruggen, J.K. Hexum, Z. Tan, R.J. Dalal, T.M. Reineke, Nonviral gene delivery with cationic glycopolymers, Acc. Chem. Res. 52 (2019) 1347–1358.
- [278] H. He, N. Zheng, Z. Song, K.H. Kim, C. Yao, R. Zhang, et al., Suppression of hepatic inflammation via systemic siRNA delivery by membrane-disruptive and endosomolytic helical polypeptide hybrid nanoparticles, ACS Nano 10 (2016) 1859–1870.
- [279] Y. Tang, Z. Zeng, X. He, T. Wang, X. Ning, X. Feng, SiRNA crosslinked nanoparticles for the treatment of inflammation-induced liver injury, Adv. Sci. 4 (2017), 1600228.
- [280] J. Zhang, H. Shen, J. Xu, L. Liu, J. Tan, M. Li, et al., Liver-targeted siRNA lipid nanoparticles treat hepatic cirrhosis by dual antifibrotic and anti-inflammatory activities, ACS Nano 14 (2020) 6305–6322.
- [281] M.M. Tehran, S. Rezaei, A. Jalili, S.H. Aghaee-Bakhtiari, A. Sahebkar, Decoy oligodeoxynucleotide technology: an emerging paradigm for breast cancer treatment, Drug Discov. Today 25 (2020) 195–200.
- [282] R. Crinelli, M. Bianchi, L. Gentilini, M. Magnani, Design and characterization of decoy oligonucleotides containing locked nucleic acids, Nucleic Acids Res. 30 (2002) 2435–2443.
- [283] X. Zhu, L. Lu, B.L. Currier, A.J. Windebank, M.J. Yaszemski, Controlled release of NFkappaB decoy oligonucleotides from biodegradable polymer microparticles, Biomaterials 23 (2002) 2683–2692.
- [284] C. Akao, T. Tanaka, R. Onodera, A. Ohyama, N. Sato, K. Motoyama, et al., Potential use of fucose-appended dendrimer/alpha-cyclodextrin conjugates as NFkappaB decoy carriers for the treatment of lipopolysaccharide-induced fulminant hepatitis in mice, J. Contr. Release 193 (2014) 35–41.
- [285] Y. Higuchi, S. Kawakami, F. Yamashita, M. Hashida, The potential role of fucosylated cationic liposome/NFkappaB decoy complexes in the treatment of cytokine-related liver disease, Biomaterials 28 (2007) 532–539.
- [286] W. Chen, J. Luan, G. Wei, X. Zhang, J. Fan, W. Zai, et al., In vivo hepatocellular expression of interleukin-22 using penetratin-based hybrid nanoparticles as potential anti-hepatitis therapeutics, Biomaterials 187 (2018) 66–80.
- [287] W. Chen, X. Zhang, J. Fan, W. Zai, J. Luan, Y. Li, et al., Tethering interleukin-22 to apolipoprotein A-I ameliorates mice from acetaminophen-induced liver injury, Theranostics 7 (2017) 4135–4148.

- [288] W. Zai, W. Chen, Z. Wu, X. Jin, J. Fan, X. Zhang, et al., Targeted interleukin-22 gene delivery in the liver by polymetformin and penetratin-based hybrid nanoparticles to treat nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 4842–4857.
- [289] H. Zhang, L. Liu, C. Jiang, K. Pan, J. Deng, C. Wan, MMP9 protects against LPSinduced inflammation in osteoblasts, Innate Immun. 26 (2020) 259–269.
- [290] P. Melgar-Lesmes, A. Luquero, M. Parra-Robert, A. Mora, J. Ribera, E.R. Edelman, et al., Graphene-dendrimer nanostars for targeted macrophage overexpression of metalloproteinase 9 and hepatic fibrosis precision therapy, Nano Lett. 18 (2018) 5839–5845.
- [291] W. Ouyang, A. O'Garra, IL-10 family cytokines IL-10 and IL-22: from basic science to clinical translation, Immunity 50 (2019) 871–891.
- [292] F. Tacke, H.W. Zimmermann, Macrophage heterogeneity in liver injury and fibrosis, J. Hepatol. 60 (2014) 1090–1096.
- [293] T.T. Tang, B. Wang, L.L. Lv, B.C. Liu, Extracellular vesicle-based Nanotherapeutics: emerging frontiers in anti-inflammatory therapy, Theranostics 10 (2020) 8111–8129.
- [294] A. Damania, D. Jaiman, A.K. Teotia, A. Kumar, Mesenchymal stromal cell-derived exosome-rich fractionated secretome confers a hepatoprotective effect in liver injury, Stem Cell Res. Ther. 9 (2018) 31.
- [295] D. Povero, E.M. Pinatel, A. Leszczynska, N.P. Goyal, T. Nishio, J. Kim, et al., Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles reduce hepatic stellate cell activation and liver fibrosis, JCI Insight 5 (2019).
- [296] H. Zhao, Q. Shang, Z. Pan, Y. Bai, Z. Li, H. Zhang, et al., Exosomes from adiposederived stem cells attenuate adipose inflammation and obesity through polarizing M2 macrophages and beiging in white adipose tissue, Diabetes 67 (2018) 235–247.
- [297] X. Li, R. Chen, S. Kemper, D.R. Brigstock, Extracellular vesicles from hepatocytes are therapeutic for toxin-mediated fibrosis and gene expression in the liver, Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 7 (2019) 368.
- [298] J. Lee, S.R. Kim, C. Lee, Jun Yi, S. Bae, Y.J. Yoon, et al., Extracellular vesicles from in vivo liver tissue accelerate recovery of liver necrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride, J. Extracell. Vesicles 10 (2021), e12133.
- [299] L. Chen, R. Chen, S. Kemper, M. Cong, H. You, D.R. Brigstock, Therapeutic effects of serum extracellular vesicles in liver fibrosis, J. Extracell. Vesicles 7 (2018), 1461505.
- [300] H. Haga, I.K. Yan, K. Takahashi, A. Matsuda, T. Patel, Extracellular vesicles from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells improve survival from lethal hepatic failure in mice, Stem Cells Transl. Med. 6 (2017) 1262–1272.
- [301] X. Zhuang, Z.B. Deng, J. Mu, L. Zhang, J. Yan, D. Miller, et al., Ginger-derived nanoparticles protect against alcohol-induced liver damage, J. Extracell. Vesicles 4 (2015) 28713.
- [302] B. Liu, Y. Lu, X. Chen, P.G. Muthuraj, X. Li, M. Pattabiraman, et al., Protective role of shiitake mushroom-derived exosome-like nanoparticles in D-galactosamine and lipopolysaccharide-induced acute liver injury in mice, Nutrients 12 (2020).
- [303] X. Chen, B. Liu, X. Li, T.T. An, Y. Zhou, G. Li, et al., Identification of antiinflammatory vesicle-like nanoparticles in honey, J. Extracell. Vesicles 10 (2021), e12069.
- [304] H. Haga, I.K. Yan, D.A. Borrelli, A. Matsuda, M. Parasramka, N. Shukla, et al., Extracellular vesicles from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells protect against murine hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury, Liver Transplant. 23 (2017) 791–803.
- [305] L. Zhang, X.J. Ma, Y.Y. Fei, H.T. Han, J. Xu, L. Cheng, et al., Stem cell therapy in liver regeneration: focus on mesenchymal stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells, Pharmacol. Ther. (2021), 108004.
- [306] A. Sahu, J. Jeon, M.S. Lee, H.S. Yang, G. Tae, Nanozyme impregnated mesenchymal stem cells for hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury alleviation, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 13 (2021) 25649–25662.
- [307] Y. Min, J.M. Caster, M.J. Eblan, A.Z. Wang, Clinical translation of nanomedicine, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 11147–11190.
- [308] X. Huang, D. He, Z. Pan, G. Luo, J. Deng, Reactive-oxygen-species-scavenging nanomaterials for resolving inflammation, Mater. Today Bio. 11 (2021), 100124.
- [309] M. Germain, F. Caputo, S. Metcalfe, G. Tosi, K. Spring, A.K.O. Aslund, et al., Delivering the power of nanomedicine to patients today, J. Contr. Release 326 (2020) 164–171.
- [310] I. Khan, K. Saeed, I. Khan, Nanoparticles: properties, applications and toxicities, Arab. J. Chem. 12 (2019) 908–931.
- [311] H.Y. Xue, S. Liu, H.L. Wong, Nanotoxicity: a key obstacle to clinical translation of siRNA-based nanomedicine, Nanomedicine 9 (2014) 295–312.
- [312] W. Yang, L. Wang, E.M. Mettenbrink, P.L. DeAngelis, S. Wilhelm, Nanoparticle toxicology, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 61 (2021) 269–289.
- [313] M. Cao, R. Cai, L. Zhao, M. Guo, L. Wang, Y. Wang, et al., Molybdenum derived from nanomaterials incorporates into molybdenum enzymes and affects their activities in vivo, Nat. Nanotechnol. 16 (2021) 708–716.
- [314] X. Cai, J. Dong, J. Liu, H. Zheng, C. Kaweeteerawat, F. Wang, et al., Multihierarchical profiling the structure-activity relationships of engineered nanomaterials at nano-bio interfaces, Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 4416.
- [315] T. Yokoi, S. Oda, Models of idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 61 (2021) 247–268.
- [316] M.A. Avila, J.F. Dufour, A.L. Gerbes, F. Zoulim, R. Bataller, P. Burra, et al., Recent advances in alcohol-related liver disease (ALD): summary of a Gut round table meeting, Gut 69 (2020) 764–780.
- [317] Z. Ouyang, Y. Gao, M. Shen, X. Shi, Dendrimer-based nanohybrids in cancer photomedicine, Mater. Today Bio. 10 (2021), 100111.

M. Liu et al.

- [318] S. Hua, M.B.C. de Matos, J.M. Metselaar, G. Storm, Current trends and challenges in the clinical translation of nanoparticulate nanomedicines: pathways for translational development and commercialization, Front. Pharmacol. 9 (2018) 790.
- [319] J.M. Metselaar, T. Lammers, Challenges in nanomedicine clinical translation, Drug Deliv. Transl. Res. 10 (2020) 721–725.
- [320] H. He, L. Liu, E.E. Morin, M. Liu, A. Schwendeman, Survey of clinical translation of cancer nanomedicines-lessons learned from successes and failures, Acc. Chem. Res. 52 (2019) 2445–2461.
- [321] J.K. Patra, G. Das, L.F. Fraceto, E.V.R. Campos, M.D.P. Rodriguez-Torres, L.S. Acosta-Torres, et al., Nano based drug delivery systems: recent developments and future prospects, J. Nanobiotechnol. 16 (2018) 71.
- [322] T.M. Allen, P.R. Cullis, Liposomal drug delivery systems: from concept to clinical applications, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 65 (2013) 36–48.