Stress Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR)

## Myocardial perfusion after COVID-19 infection: No persisting impaired myocardial blood flow in surviving patients

Thornton G.<sup>1</sup>; Shetye A.<sup>1</sup>; Knott K.<sup>2</sup>; Razvi Y.<sup>1</sup>; Vimalesvaran K.<sup>3</sup>; Kurdi H.<sup>4</sup>; Artico J.<sup>4</sup>; Yousef S.<sup>4</sup>; Antonakaki D.<sup>4</sup>; Kellman P.<sup>5</sup>; Knight D.<sup>1</sup>; Cole GD.<sup>3</sup>; Moon JC.<sup>1</sup>; Fontana M.<sup>1</sup>; Treibel TA.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University College London, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>2</sup>King"s College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>3</sup>Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>4</sup>Barts Health NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>5</sup>National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, Bethesda, United States of America

## Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: None.

**Background:** Acute myocardial damage is common in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19, with evidence of myocardial infarction and myocarditis demonstrated on cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR). Post-mortem studies have also implicated microvascular thrombosis, which may cause persistent microvascular disease.

**Purpose:** To determine the long-term coronary sequelae in recovered COVID-19 using multiparametric CMR including state-of-the-art inline quantitative stress myocardial blood flow (sMBF) mapping to assess global and regional sMBF.

**Methods:** Prospective, multicentre observational study of recovered COVID-19 patients scanned at three London CMR units. Results were compared to a propensity-matched, pre-COVID chest pain cohort (104 patients referred for perfusion CMR, with subsequently demonstrated unobstructed coronary arteries) and 27 healthy volunteers (HV). Perfusion image analysis was performed using a novel artificial intelligence approach deriving global and regional stress and rest MBF with a cut-off of >2.25mL/g/min signifying normal sMBF and <1.82mL/g/min abnormal sMBF (Kotecha JCVI 2019).

**Results:** 104 recovered, post-COVID patients (median age 62 years, 76% male; 89[87%] hospitalised, 41/89[46%] requiring ICU) underwent adenosine-stress perfusion CMR at a median 131(IQR 43-179) days from COVID-19 diagnosis. Median LVEF was 67% (IQR 60-71%; 12 (11.5%) with impaired LVEF), 51 patients (49%) had late gadolinium enhancement (LGE); 18% infarct-pattern and 33% non-ischaemic LGE. Global stress MBF in post-COVID patients was no different to age-, sex- and co-morbidities-matched controls ( $2.57 \pm 0.77 \text{ vs}$ . 2.40  $\pm$  0.75 ml/g/min, p = 0.11, Figure 1), though lower than HV ( $3.00 \pm 0.76 \text{ ml/g/min}$ , p = 0.001). Post-COVID, multivariate predictors of low sMBF were male sex (OR 0.57, 95%CI 0.41-0.80, p = 0.001) and hypertension (OR 0.67, 95%CI 0.51-0.88, p = 0.004), but not COVID-19 disease severity (ICU admission) or presence of scar (ischemic/non-ischemic). 21/42 with reduced sMBF (<2.25mL/g/min) had regional perfusion defects consistent with epicardial coronary disease.

**Conclusions:** COVID-19 survivors do not demonstrate evidence of reduced global MBF by CMR compared to risk factor matched controls. Stress perfusion CMR identifies etiology of acute myocardial damage (infarction/myocarditis) and presence of occult coronary ischemia.

Abstract Figure.

