Original Article

Diagnostic Immunology



Ann Lab Med 2014;34:118-126 http://dx.doi.org/10.3343/alm.2014.34.2.118 ISSN 2234-3806 eISSN 2234-3814

ANNALS OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

Development of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays Using 2 Truncated ORF2 Proteins for Detection of IgG Antibodies Against Hepatitis E Virus

Reza Taherkhani, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Manoochehr Makvandi, Ph.D.^{1,2}, and Fatemeh Farshadpour, Ph.D.^{1,2}

Health Research Institute¹, Infectious and Tropical Disease Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Scien, Ahvaz; Department of Medical Virology², School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

Background: Without appropriate culture systems for hepatitis E virus (HEV), sufficient natural viral proteins are difficult to generate for use in serological tests. Therefore, it is important to produce large amounts of HEV recombinant proteins in an economical way. The present study developed ELISAs using 2 truncated forms of the HEV open reading frame (ORF) 2 protein in order to detect anti-HEV IgG in serum samples.

Methods: Two truncated forms of the ORF2 protein were expressed in *Escherichia coli* and were purified by Ni²⁺-chelate-affinity chromatography (Qiagen, Germany). Two ELISAs were developed using these proteins and were compared with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit (DIA.PRO. Italy) in 220 serum samples.

Results: High yields of the target proteins were obtained through codon optimization. The concentration and purity of the proteins were improved with Amicon filters (EMD Millipore, USA). Sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Western blotting analysis of the resultant proteins showed a protein band of approximately 60 kDa corresponding to ORF2.1 (amino acids 112-660) and a protein band of approximately 55 kDa corresponding to ORF2.2 (amino acids 112-607). Positive agreement, negative agreement, and concordance of the 2 in-house ELISAs compared with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit were 87%, 99.5%, and 98.1%, respectively (kappa=0.899, P=0.625).

Conclusions: The newly developed ELISAs are useful for detecting anti-HEV IgG in serum samples and are highly concordant with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit.

Key Words: Hepatitis E virus, ORF2, Optimization, Expression, Escherichia coli, ELISA

Received: August 12, 2013 Revision received: September 12, 2013 Accepted: October 2, 2013

Corresponding author:

Fatemeh Farshadpour Golestan Boulevard, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran Tel: +98-9171712653 Fax: +98-7714550235 E-mail: f.farshadpour@yahoo.com

© The Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine This is an Open Access article distributed under

the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution under Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) causes acute, self-limited hepatitis [1, 2] and is endemic in many developing countries, especially in South and Southwest Asia, North Africa, and the Middle East [3]. HEV belongs to the Hepeviridae family [4]. Its genome consists of a positive single-stranded RNA containing 3 overlapping open reading frames (ORFs), ORF1, ORF2, and ORF3 [5, 6]. According to the phylogenetic analysis, HEV has 5 genotypes with different geographical distributions and hosts [7]. Humans

are most often infected with genotypes 1 and 2, while pigs and other animals are most often infected with genotypes 3 and 4 [8]. Genotype 5 has been identified in avian species and is non-infectious for humans [4]. Genotype 1 predominates in Asia and North Africa [9]. HEV is transmitted via the fecal-oral route, usually from drinking water [1]. According to the epidemiological data, one-third of the world's population is infected with HEV [2]. The mortality rate has been estimated to be 1-15% in the general population [7]. The acute infection is self-limited in healthy individuals and does not become chronic; however, HEV



may cause serious consequences in pregnant women and patients with chronic liver disease [2, 7]. The infection is lifethreatening during pregnancy, causing fatal and fulminant hepatitis with a high rate of miscarriage and premature birth [7]. Epidemiological studies have reported a mortality rate of up to 30% in pregnant women [7].

Consequently, HEV is a serious public-health threat, and rapid diagnosis is essential. HEV viremia is limited to the acute phase of the infection; therefore, diagnosis depends on serological assays [10]. Unfortunately, sufficient amounts of natural viral proteins are not available for serological diagnosis, because there are no appropriate culture systems for HEV. Therefore, production of recombinant proteins is required to overcome this problem. Such proteins can then be used for specific antibody detection [10, 11].

Among the HEV genes, ORF1 encodes non-structural proteins, which are not targeted by antibodies [12]. Antibodies formed against the protein encoded by ORF3 are transient, making ORF3 an unsuitable antigen for serological diagnosis of HEV [13]. ORF2 encodes a capsid protein of 72kDa (660 amino acids). It is suitable for serological diagnosis of HEV and is a candidate for a vaccine against HEV infection, because it is immune-dominant and highly conserved among HEV species, and induces long-lived immunity [9, 13, 14]. When expressed, the full-length capsid protein (72 kDa) is not a suitable diagnostic target, because the important epitopes are relatively hydrophobic, insoluble, and therefore masked. However, truncated forms of the capsid protein are considered diagnostic antigens. Among several truncated forms of the full-length ORF2 protein, the 56kDa form is more stable and is highly active in the detection of HEV antibodies [15, 16].

Since HEV grows poorly in cell culture, the *ORF2* gene or fragments thereof have been cloned and expressed in different expression systems, such as prokaryotes, insect cells, animal cells, and transgenic plants [6]. Recently, different recombinant antigens have been used in the design of assays for diagnosing HEV; these assays have optimized the sensitivity and specificity of the antigens in order to provide the best diagnostic test. In addition to antigen sensitivity and specificity, economical large-scale production of the target proteins is an important goal [11]. Satisfactory expression of ORF2 proteins can be achieved in bacterial and animal cells, but proteins produced in animal cells are not cost effective. Therefore, an economical method of high-yield production of ORF2 proteins is expression in a prokaryotic expression vector system in *E. coli* cells [6].

In the present study, we described the simple and low-cost

development of 2 ELISAs using 2 truncated forms of the HEV ORF2 proteins. We then evaluated the ability of these ELISAs to detect anti-HEV IgG in serum samples and compared our results to those obtained with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit (DIA. PRO, Milan, Italy).

METHODS

1. Gene optimization and synthesis

The nucleotide sequence of the truncated ORF2 gene (ORF2.1, encoding amino acids 112-660) of HEV genotype 1, isolate sar-55 (Gen-Bank accession number AF444002.1) was analyzed using GenScript Rare Codon Analysis Tool (GenScript USA Inc., Piscataway, NJ, USA). The sequence data was submitted to GenScript, and the optimal gene was designed using GenScript's OptimumGene Gene Design tool (GenScript USA Inc.) for expression in E. coli. To subclone it into the pET30a (+) vector, 2 restriction-enzyme digestion sites, Ndel and Xhol, were placed in the codon-optimized gene. The pET system is one of the best tools for the cloning and expression of recombinant proteins in E. coli BL21 cells, and was, therefore, used for subcloning [17]. Another truncated form of the ORF2 gene was constructed (ORF2.2, encoding amino acids 112-608) from the previously truncated form by using 2 digestion sites for Nhel; the first was at amino acid 608, and the second was added after the stop codon. After the second Nhel digestion site, an 8-His tag and 2 stop codons were added. To confirm our design, in silico digestion was performed using Clone Manager Basic software version 9 (Sci-Ed Software, Cary, NC, USA), and the translated protein sequences were aligned using sar55 strain by MEGA software version 4.0 (Biodesign Institute, Tempe, AZ, USA) [18]. The optimized coding sequence was then synthesized and cloned into the commercial cloning vector, pBluescript II SK (+) by Biomatik Company (Biomatik Corporation, Cambridge, Canada).

2. Subcloning and construction of the expression plasmid

The pBluescript II SK (+) vector carrying the optimized *ORF2.1* gene (pBluescript II SK-ORF2.1) was digested by Ndel and Xhol restriction enzymes (New England BioLab, Ipswich, MA, USA). The expression vector pET-30a (+) (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) was also digested by the same enzymes in order to subclone the optimized gene. After thermal inactivation of Ndel and Xhol and analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis, the linearized plasmid and the *ORF2.1* gene were extracted from the agarose gel by using an Agarose Gel DNA Extraction Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and used for ligation by T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLab). After ligation, the first recombinant plasmid pET30a-ORF2.1 was generated and transformed into E. coli DH5a competent cells by electroporation as described previously [19]. Transformed E. coli cells were selected on Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) agar plates containing kanamycin (50 mg/L). Several colonies were assayed by colony PCR using universal primers for the T7 promoter and T7 terminator. After selecting recombinant clones, the plasmid DNA was extracted from cells cultured overnight by using the High Pure Plasmid Isolation Kit (Roche). The second recombinant plasmid, pET30a-ORF2.2, was obtained by deleting a 193-nucleotide fragment from the first recombinant plasmid by digestion with Nhel. The fragment was then ligated using T4 DNA ligase. Finally, the plasmid DNA was extracted and confirmed by PCR, restriction-enzyme digestion, and DNA sequencing. In order to enhance protein expression, the recombinant plasmids were each transformed into competent E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells separately.

3. Expression and purification of recombinant proteins

E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells containing the first recombinant plasmid pET30a-truncated ORF2.1 were cultured overnight, inoculated in Terrific Broth (HiMedia) supplemented with kanamycin (50 mg/L) in a 1:100 volumetric ratio, and grown with shaking at 250 rpm at 37°C until the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) reached 0.5. Protein expression was induced by adding various concentrations (0.1-1 mM) of isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to the bacterial culture, and the cells were incubated with shaking for different induction times (2, 4, 6, 8, and 16 hr) at different induction temperatures (37°C, 30°C, and 25°C) to optimize the protein expression.

The optimal conditions were determined and large-scale protein expression studies were carried out. Purification by Ni²⁺chelate-affinity chromatography (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) under denaturing conditions was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol. The induced cells from a 200 mL culture were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min. The cell pellet was suspended in 10 mL of phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) and then lysed by 3 cycles of freezethawing in liquid nitrogen and cold water (4°C) and sonication 3 times in 10 sec burst. The lysate was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm at 4°C for 30 min. To solubilize the inclusion body proteins, the pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (6 M guanidine HCl, 20 mM NaH₂PO₄, 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The suspension was cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. One milliliter of Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) was equilibrated with the lysis buffer and added to the clear supernatant. The agarose-sample suspension was gently shaken at room temperature for 30 min to allow the protein to bind to the agarose, and then centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 2 min. The supernatant was removed, and the agarose was sequentially washed 3 times with 10 volumes of binding buffer (8 M urea, 20 mM NaH₂PO₄, 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). The agarose was then transferred to a column and sequentially washed twice with 3 volumes of wash buffer (binding buffer with a linear pH gradient of 8.0, 6.5, and 6.0). The protein bound to Ni-NTA agarose was eluted with 4 volumes of elution buffer (binding buffer with a linear pH gradient of 5.0, 4.5, and 4.0). The eluate was refolded by dialysis in 500 mL of PBS containing 10% glycerol at 4°C for 4 hr and concentrated using Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter Unit with a molecular weight of 30 kDa (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

The presence of the target protein was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and western blot. SDS-PAGE was performed according to the procedure described previously [20]. For western blotting, protein extracts separated by SDS-PAGE were transferred to a 0.45-µm pore (polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Roche) by using a Semi-Dry Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The expression of the truncated ORF2 protein was detected by immunodetection with anti-His6-peroxidase (Roche) using the manufacturer's protocol. Finally, the target protein was visualized with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) as a substrate [21]. Concentration of the target protein was determined by the Bradford method [22]. The second truncated form of the ORF2 protein (ORF2.2) was expressed and purified using the same procedure.

4. ELISA

The diagnostic utility of the 2 purified truncated ORF2 proteins was evaluated by developing 2 ELISAs for the detection of HEV IgG antibody. Checker Board Titration and calculation of P/N value (absorbance value of the test sample/absorbance value of the negative control) were used to determine the optimal dilution of the antigen, serum, and conjugate. Briefly, ELISA plates (MAXISOR; Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with 50 μ L/ well of serial dilutions of the 2 purified proteins separately (0.3-10 μ g) in 50 mM carbonate/bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6), incubated overnight at 4°C, and incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. Two uncoated wells were used as blanks. The plates were washed 3 times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 (PBST, pH 7.4) and tapped dry; then, the plates were blocked with 200 μ L/well



of 2% casein hydrolysate and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS at 37°C for 1 hr. The well contents were emptied completely and the wash step was repeated as described above, and the wells were tapped dry. At a concentration of 100 µL/well. each serum sample was diluted in sample diluent (1% BSA in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 6.0, 0.5% Tween 20, 0.09% sodium azide), added to the wells in duplicate, and incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. The plate was washed as described above, and 100 µL of an horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-human IgG secondary antibody (Abcam company, Cambridge, MA, USA) diluted 1:15,000 in diluent buffer (1% BSA in 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.0, 0.05% Tween 20) was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. After incubation, the plates were washed as described above. The substrate solution (100 µL/well, H₂O₂ and 10 mg of tetramethylbenzidine [TMB] in 1 mL of dimethylsulfoxide [DMSO] and 100 mL of citrate buffer, pH 6.0) was added, and the plates were incubated in a dark place at room temperature for 20 min. Finally, 100 μ L/well of 1 M H₂SO₄ was added to stop the reaction.

The absorbance of the wells was read at 450 nm by an ELISA reader (Tecan Sunrise ELISA Reader; Tecan Trading AG, Mannedorf, Switzerland). The results were analyzed, and the cut-off value was determined as the mean absorbance value of 42 negative serum samples plus 2 standard deviations. All 42 negative serum samples were tested by a commercially available kit (Genlabs Diagnostics Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) for HEV IgG antibodies All 42 negative serum samples were negative for HEV IgG antibody by DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit. The 42 verified-negative serum samples were tested in duplicate in 3 separate coated plates for each in-house ELISA. On the basis of the obtained results, a cut-off value was determined for each in-house ELISA. The difference in cut-off values between the 3 plates was less than 0.02; therefore, the mean cut-off value of the 3 plates was used. After calculating the cut-off value, a pooled negative serum was prepared from the 42 negative serum samples, which was used as a negative control in the evaluation of 220 serum samples by the 2 in-house ELISA using 4 replicates each. These 220 serum samples were randomly selected from samples collected in the laboratory of Imam Khomeini Hospital in Ahvaz city. Ethical consent as written form was obtained from all participants.

The 42 negative serum samples as well as 26 weakly positive and 32 highly positive serum samples were tested to determine reproducibility (intra-assay and inter-assay precisions), sensitivity, and specificity. To determine within-run or intra-assay precision, each sample was tested in 24 replicates in a single day, and the coefficient of variation for each sample was determined. To determine between-run or inter-assay precision, each sample was tested in 8 replicates on 3 sequential days, and the coefficient of variation for each sample was determined. All serum samples were obtained from the virology reference lab of Jundishapour University. Regarding inter-assay precision, the mean coefficients of variation for the highly positive serum samples, weakly positive serum samples, and negative serum samples were 5.13%, 6.77%, and 6.18%, respectively. Regarding intra-assay precision, the mean coefficients of variation for the pooled positive serum samples and the pooled negative serum samples were 8.05% and 11.5%, respectively. Thus, there was acceptable reproducibility.

The 220 serum samples were tested for anti-HEV IgG antibodies by using the 2 in-house ELISAs and DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit. Briefly, the following steps were carried out for the 2 in-house ELISAs. All 220 serum samples and the negative and positive controls were diluted 1:21 in sample diluents, and 100 µL of each diluted sample was added in duplicate to coated wells of the ELISA plates. The ELISA plates were incubated at 37°C for 60 min, washed 5 times with PBST, pH 7.4. One hundred microliters of diluted HRP-conjugated goat anti-human IgG secondary antibody was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 60 min. The wash step was carried out as described above. One hundred microliters of chromogensubstrate mixture was added to each well, and the ELISA plates were incubated at room temperature in a dark place for 20 min. Finally, 100 µL of 1 M sulfuric acid was added to each well to stop the enzymatic reaction. The absorbance of the wells was read at 450 nm by the ELISA reader. The results of the tests were analyzed by comparison with the cut-off value. DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit for the detection of anti-HEV IgG antibodies was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

RESULTS

1. Optimization of expression of the truncated ORF2 gene

The truncated *ORF2* gene was optimized for expression in *E. coli* by using GenScript software (GenScript USA Inc.) without changing the amino acid sequence by analyzing the codon adaptation index (CAI) before and after optimization. The level of protein expression is correlated with the CAI value, where a CAI >0.8 is ideal for expression in the target host. In our study, a CAI value of 0.85 was determined for the optimized gene. The sequences of the native *ORF2* gene and the optimized gene are shown in Fig. 1.

7 7 7	85 1
Diginal D ATG GCG GTT GCT CCG GCC CAT GAC ACC CCG GCA GTG CCT GAT GTT GAC TCC GGC GGC GCC ATC CTG GCG GCA GCG GCG GAG GGTGGAT GCT GAC GCC GAT GCT GCG GCG GCG GCG GCG GCG GCG GCG GC	TAT AAC CTA M
Communic ATG GCN GTN GCT CCG GCN CAN GAC ACN CCG CCN GTG CCN GAN GTT GAC TCC CGN GGC GCN ATC CTG CGC CGN CAG	TAN AAC CTN
Constration in the left in the	
200 L20 L20	
Openal D TCC ACT TCT CCC CTC ACC TCT TCT GTT GCG ACC GGC ACT AAC CTG GTT CTG TAC GCA GCA CCG CTG TCC CCC CTG	CTG CCG CTG 168
Comensus TEN ACH TET CEN ETN ACE TET TEN GIN GEN ACE GGE AEN AAN NIG GIT ETN TAE GEN GEN EEN ETN NNE EEG ETN	CTN CCN CTN
	In In In
Original D CAG GAC GGC ACC AAT ACT CAT ATA ATG GCT ACA GAA GCT TCT AAT TAT GCC CAG TAC CGG GTT GCT CGT GCC ACA	ATT COC TAC 252
Openiesd C CAG GAT GGT ACT AAC ACC CAC ATC ATG GCT ACT GAG GCA AGC AAC TAC GCA CAG TAC CGC GTT GCT CGC GCA ACC	ATC CGT TAC 252
Diginal D COC CCG CTG GTC CCC AAC GCT GTT GGT GGC TAC GCT ATC TCC ATT TCG TTC TGG CCA CAG ACC ACC ACC ACC CCG	ACG TCC GTT 336
COMENSO LI COL CCO CTO GITI CCO AAC GCA GITI GGC GGT TAC GCT ATC ANC ATT TCT TTT TGG CCO CAG ACC ACT ACC ACC CCG	ACN NNC GTT
Conservation of the second sec	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	400
Degnal D GAC ATG AAT TCA ATA ACC TCG ACG GAT GTC CGT ATT TTA GTC CAG CCC GGC ATA GCC TCC GAG CTT GTT ATT CCA Openmal D GAC ATG AAC TCT ATC ACT AGG ACC GGC GGT GTC CTG TTA GTC CAG CCG GGT ATC GCG AGC GGA CTG GGA TTG GGA TC	AGT GAG CGC 420 TCT GAA CGC 420
Compensity GAC ATG AAN TEN ATN ACN NNN ACN GAN GTN CGT ATN NTN GTN CAG CCN GGN ATN GCN NNC GAN CTN GTN ATT CCN	NNT GAN CGC
	mi lin lil
Dignal CTA CAC TAT COC AAC CAA GOT TOG COC TET GIT GAG ACC TEC COC GIG GIG GAG GAG GAG GAG ACC YET COT CIT	ATE ATE CTE SH
Optimized D CTG CAC TAC CGT AAC CAG GGT TGG CGT AGC GTG GAA ACC TCT GGC GTG GCT GAA GAA GCA ACT TCT GGT CTG	GTT ATG CTG SOI
COMMINS CTN CAC TAN CON AAC CAN GOT TGG CON NNN GTN GAN ACC TCN GGN GTG GCN GAN GAN GAN GAN ACN TCT GGT CTN	STN ATG CTN
Original D TGC ATA CAT GGC TCA CCT GTA AAT TCT TAT ACT AAT ACA CCC TAT ACC GGT GCC CTC GGG CTG TTG GAC TTT GCC	CTC GAA CTT SEE
Optimized D TGC ATC CAC GGT TCT CCG GTT AAC TCT TAC ACC AAC ACC CCG TAT ACC GGC GCT CTG GGC CTG CTG GAC TTC GCG Connerning TGC ATN CAN GGN TCN CCN GTN AAN TCT TAN ACN ACN AAN ACN CCN TAT ATC GGN GCN CTN GGN GTG NTG GGAC TTN GGN	CTG GAA CTG 588 CTN GAA CTN
Diginal D GAG TTC CGC AAC CTC ACC CCC GGT AAT ACC CAT ACC GGG GTC TCG CGT TAC TCC AGC ACT GCC CGT CAC CGC CTT	CGT CGC GGT 672
COMMENTS GAN THE GGC AAC CTN ACC CCN GGT AAN ACC AAN ACN CGN GTN TCN CGT TAC TCC NNC ACN GGN CGN CAN CGC CTN	CGT CGC GGT
Country of the second s	
40 The	and Mary and
Organi D GCA GAT GGG ACT GCC GAG CTC ACC ACC GCG GCT GCT ACT CGC TTC ATG AAG GAC CTC TAT TTT ACT AGT ACT AAT Opminied GCG GAT GGC ACT GCG GAA CTG ACT ACT ACT GCG GGG ACC GCG TTT ATG AAA GAC CTG TAC TTC ACC TCC ACT AAC	GGC GTT GGT 756
Consensus GEN GAT GEN ACT GEN GAN ETN ACN ACN ACN GEN GEN ACN CGN TTN ATG AAN GAC ETN TAN TTN ACN NNN ACT AAN	GEN GTT GET
District D GAG ATC GGC CGC GGG ATA GCG CTT ACC CTG TTT AAC CTT GCT GAC ACC CTG CTT GGC GGT CTA CCG ACA GAA TTG	ATT TCG TCG MO
Optimized G GAG ATT GGT GGT GGT ATT GGG CTG ACC CTG TTC AAC CTG GGC GAC ACC CTG CTG GGC GGT CTG CCG ACC GAG CTG	ATT TCT TCC 840
Consisting of a first of a consistence of a first and consistence of a con	
	8,00
Degrad D GCT GGT GGC CAG CTG TTC TAC TCT CGC CCC GTC GTC TCA GCC AAT GGC GAG CCG ACT GTT AAG CTG TAT ACA TCT	GTG GAG AAT 924
Optimized L GGG GGT GGT CAG CTG TTC TAC TCT CGT CCG GTG GTT TCT GCG AAA GGC GAA CCG ACC GTT AAG CTG TAC ACC TCT Comenius GCN GGT GGN CAG CTG TTC TAC TCT CGN CCN GTN GTN TCN GCN AAN GGC GAA CCG ACC GTT AAG CTG TAN ACN TCT	GTN GAG AAN
Conservation in the second sec	n
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	000
Drighal D GCT CAG CAG GAT AAG GGT ATT GCA ATC CCG CAT GAC ATC GAC CTC GGG GAA TCC CGT GTA GTT ATT CAG GAT TAT Openhad D GCG CAG CAG GAC AAA GGC ATT GCG ATC CCG CAT GAC ATC GAC CTG GGT GAA TCC TGC GTT GTT ATC CAG GAC TAC	GAC AAC CAA 1008 GAC AAC CAG 1008
Consenses GCN CAG CAG GAN AAN GGN ATT GCN ATC CCG CAT GAC ATC GAC CTN GGN GAA TCN CGN GTN GTT ATN CAG GAN TAN	GAC AAC CAN
Openied D CAC GAA CAG GAT CGT CCG ACC CCG TCC CCG GCT CCG TCT CGC CCG TTC AGC GTT CTG CGC GCG AAC GAT GTT CTG	TGG CTG TCT 1092
COMMUNICAN CAN CAN CON CON CON CON TON CON TON CON TON CON TON TON ON CON CON ANN GAT GIN CIN	TGG CTN TCT
Diginal D CTC ACE GCT GCC GAG TAT GAC CAG TCC ACT TAC GGC TCT TCG ACE GGC CCA GTC TAT GTC TCT GAC TCT GTG ACC	TTG GTT AAT 1176
Openniced LI CTG ACT GCT GCA GAA TAC GAC CAG AGC ACT TAC GGC TCT TCC ACT GGC CCG GTT TAT GTT TCT GAC AGC GTG ACT Consensus CTN ACN GCT GCN GAN TAN GAC CAG NNC ACT TAC GGC TCT TCN ACN GGC CCN GTN TAT GTN TCT GAC NNN GTG ACN	NTG GTG AAC 1176
1200 1220 1220 1200	136
Degnal D GTT GCG ACC GGC GCG CAG GCC GTT GCC CGG TCA CTC GAC TGG ACC AAG GTC ACA CTT GAT GGT CGC CCC CTT TCC Definited D GTT GCT ACC GGT GCA CAG GCG GTG GCA CGC TCT CTG GAT TGG ACC AAG GTT ACC CTG GAC GGT CGT CGT CGT CG	ACC ATC CAG 1260
Consensus GTT GCN ACC GGN GCN CAG GCN GTN GCN CGN TCN CTN GAN TGG ACC AAG GTN ACN CTN GAN GGT CGN CCN CTN NNC	ACC ATN CAG
	Line Tax and the
Optimized D CAG TAC TCT AAA ACC TTC TTT GTG CTG CCG CTG CGT GGC AAG CTG AGC TTT TGG GAG GCA GGT ACC ACC AAA GCT	GGC TAT CCG 1344
CONSENSUS CAG TAN TEN AAN ACE TTE TTT GIN ETG EEG EIN EGN GGN AAG EIN NNE TIT TGG GAG GEA GGN AEN AEN AAA GEN	GON TAN CCN
Procession in the second secon	
Diginal D TAT AAT TAT AAC ACC ACT GCT AGT GAC CAA CTG CTC GTT GAG AAT GCC GCT GGG CAT CGG GTT GCT ATT TCC ACC	
Optimized I TAC AAC TAC AAC ACC ACT GCG TEC GAT CAG CTG CTG GTG GAA AAC GCG GCA GGC CAC CGT GTG GCA ATC TCT ACC Communication and tan aan tan aac acc act gcn nnn gan can ctg ctn gtn gan aan gcn gcn ggn ggn ggn grn atn ten acc	TAC ACC ACC 1428
Construction in in the international in the last in th	
Conservation In the line of the second secon	
Conservation Original AGC CTG GGT GCT GGC CCC GTC TCT ATT TCC GCG GTT GCT GTT TTA GCC CCC CAC TCT GTG CTA GCA 1644 Optimical TCC CTG GGT GCT GGC CCC GTT CTT ATT CTC GCG GTT GCG GTT GCG CTC GCC CAC TCT GTG CTA GCA 1644 Conservation NIC CTG GGG GCG GCT CCC NIT TTA TTA GCC GGTT GCG GTT GCC CCC CAC TCT GTG CTA GCA	

Fig. 1. Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the codon-optimized truncated *ORF2* gene and the original truncated *ORF2* gene by CLC sequence viewer 6.5.3 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark). Abbreviaition: ORF, open reading frame.



2. Construction of the recombinant plasmid

After subcloning, the recombinant plasmids were confirmed by PCR, restriction enzyme digestion, and DNA sequencing. PCR amplification using universal plasmid primers followed by electrophoresis on 1.2% agarose gel revealed a PCR product of 1,943 bp for ORF2.1 and 1,750 bp for ORF2.2 (Fig. 2).

3. Protein expression and purification

The optimal conditions for protein expression were induction with 1 mM IPTG with shaking at 37°C for 4 hr. The highly expressed target proteins were purified by Ni²⁺-chelate-affinity chromatography (Qiagen). The concentration and purity of the proteins were maximized by Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter Unit (EMD Millipore) with a molecular weight of 30 kDa. The expression and purification of the truncated ORF2 protein was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting, which revealed a protein band of approximately 60 kDa for ORF2.1 and approximately 55 kDa for ORF2.2 (Fig. 3). The abundant target proteins were eluted with the elution buffer at pH 4.5. The yield of the purified protein was approximately 1 mg/L of the culture medium. Western blotting was carried out to confirm the presence of the ORF2.1 and ORF2.2 proteins in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Fig. 4).

4. ELISA based on the 2 truncated ORF2 proteins

The ELISA was optimized by examining different antigen concentrations and serum dilutions. The optimal concentration of the coating ORF2.1 protein for detecting HEV IgG antibody was 2 μ g/mL. The optimal blocking buffer was 2% casein and 1%



Fig. 2. PCR amplification of *ORF2.1* (amino acids 112-660) and *ORF2.2* (amino acids 112-608) with T7 promoter and T7 terminator primers. Lanes 1 and 7, 1-kb DNA ladder (Vivantis, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia); Lanes 2 and 3, amplified *ORF2.1* gene; Lanes 4-6, amplified *ORF2.2* gene.

Abbreviation: ORF, open reading frame.



Fig. 3. Proteins expressed using pET30a-ORF2.1 recombinant expression vector and pET30a-ORF2.2 recombinant expression vector in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) competent cells. The expressed and purified proteins were analyzed by 10% SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250. The ORF2.1 and ORF2.2 proteins bands are visualized at approximately 60 and 55 kDa, respectively. Lanes 1-4, purified eluates of ORF2.2 protein from the Ni column; Lane 5, washing step; Lane 6, flow-through; Lane M, pre-stained protein ladder (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania); Lane 7-12, purified eluates of ORF2.1 protein from the Ni column; Lane 13, washing step; Lane 14, flow-through. Abbreviations: ORF, open reading frame; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.



Fig. 4. Western blot analysis of the expressed and purified ORF2.1 and ORF2.2 protein in *E. coli*. (A) The ORF2.1 protein band is visualized at approximately 60 kDa. Lane 1, induction for 2 hr; Lane 2, induction for 4 hr; Lane 3, uninduced control; Lane 4, BL21 control; Lane M, pre-stained protein ladder (Cinagen, Tehran, Iran). (B) The ORF2 protein band is visualized at approximately 55 kDa. Lane M, prestained protein ladder (Cinagen, Tehran, Iran); Lane 1, uninduced control; Lane 2, induction for 4 hr; Lane 3, flow-through; Lane 4, wash; Lane 5–7, the first, the second, and the third eluates. Abbreviation: ORF, open reading frame.

BSA in PBS. The optimal serum dilution was 1:21, and the optimal conjugate dilution was 1:15,000. The optimal conditions for the ORF2.2 protein were identical. The cut-off values for ORF2.1 and ORF2.2 were 0.35 and 0.4, respectively. Samples were considered positive, if the OD was above the cut-off value. The 220 serum samples were tested anti-HEV IgG antibodies by using the 2 in-house ELISAs and the results were compared with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit (Fig. 5). Among the 220 serum samples analyzed by the 2 in-house ELISAs, 21 were positive for anti-HEV IgG antibodies; 23 of the samples tested positive by using DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit (Table 1). The 2 in-house ELISAs had 98.1% concordance, 99.5% negative agreement, and 87% positive agreement compared with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit (kappa = 0.899 and P = 0.625). For both in-house ELI-SAs, the same 21 samples tested positive, while the remainder of the samples tested negative. Thus, there was 100% concordance in the detection of anti-HEV IgG between the in-house ELISA coated with ORF2.1 protein and that coated with ORF2.2 protein (kappa = 1.00 and P = 1.00).

There were 4 serum samples with different results between the 2 in-house ELISAs and DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit. For 3 of



Fig. 5. Comparison of the immunoreactivities of the 2 in-house ELI-SAs and DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit. Data are expressed as OD values of the positive and negative serum samples. The cut-off value is indicated for each assay by a horizontal line (0.35 for the inhouse ELISA based on ORF2.1, 0.4 for the in-house ELISA based on ORF2.2, and 0.37 for DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit). Abbreviations: ORF, open reading frame; OD, optical density.

		Results of DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit		Positivo agroomont	Nagativa agreement	Concordonco	ĺ
		+	-	FUSILIVE agreement	Negative agreement	Concordance	
Results of the 2 in-house ELISAs	+	20	1	87.5%	99.5%	98.2%	

196

Table 1. Comparison of the 2 in-house ELISAs with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit for the detection of anti-HEV IgG in serum samples

3

Abbreviation: HEV, Hepatitis E virus.

the 4 samples, the OD values were close to the cut-off value for the in-house ELISA based on ORF2.1. For the other in-house ELISA, the OD values of these 3 samples were below the cut-off value. Therefore, these 3 samples were considered negative in both in-house ELISAs. The OD of those 3 samples was considered weakly positive by DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit. One sample was determined to be negative by DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit, but was found to be positive by the 2 in-house ELISAs; this sample was highly lipemic.

DISCUSSION

HEV antibodies are indicative of past infection. For seroepidemiological studies, recombinant ORF2 protein is needed in order to detect HEV antibodies. Many studies have investigated lowcost methods for over-expressing recombinant proteins as diagnostic tools [10, 11, 23]. In our study, 2 truncated forms of HEV ORF2 protein were produced on a large scale for the development of 2 ELISAs that could detect anti-HEV IgG. The truncated forms (especially the 56-kDa form) of ORF2 protein are more stable and useful for the detection of antibodies against HEV [11, 15, 16]. Therefore, we expressed and purified 2 truncated forms of the ORF2 protein in *E. coli*.

The advantages of expressing proteins in E. coli are cost effectiveness, ease of handling, short reproductive cycle, and low pollution [24]. However, expressing proteins in E. coli can result in low-level or failed expression because of some problems including high GC content and rare codon in the gene sequence [24]. To overcome poor expression, we optimized our gene sequence for expression in E. coli; this provided several advantages, including elimination of polyadenylation sites, splicing sites, killer motifs, repeated sequences, RNA secondary structure, and high GC content [25, 26]. The expression level can be improved through using host-favorite codons and lowering the GC content, since rare codons and high GC content can decrease or even prevent expression [27]. There are several approaches for expressing the HEV structural gene [21, 28]. However, no previous study has utilized codon-optimized expression of the ORF2 protein. Our results indicate that codon optimization resulted in effective expression in E. coli with high protein yield.

For the positive serum samples, the mean OD values were higher when tested by the in-house ELISA coated with ORF2.2 as compared to the in-house ELISA coated with ORF2.1 and DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit. When tested by the in-house ELISA coated with ORF2.1 protein, the mean OD values of the negative serum samples were close to the cut-off value for this ELISA. This is a limitation of this in-house ELISA as compared with the in-house ELISA coated with ORF2.2 protein and DIA. PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit.

Since *E. coli* was used to express these 2 proteins, the proteins could have been contaminated with bacterial proteins during purification. Subsequently, this contamination could have led to false-positive results for serum samples containing antibodies against *E. coli*. To eliminate this possibility, Toxin Eraser Endotoxin Removal kit (Genscript Inc.) was used to remove bacterial proteins from the expressed proteins.

An approximately 4 g of bacterial pellet was obtained from each liter of culture media, which yielded approximately 1 mg of purified protein. One hundred nanograms of the purified protein per well was used in each in-house ELISA to detect anti-HEV IgG. It is estimated that approximately 10,000 serum samples could be screened using the protein obtained from 1 L of bacterial culture. In conclusion, high yields of the highly purified proteins were obtained and could be used as a diagnostic antigen in ELISA-based detection of anti-HEV IgG in serum. Moreover, both in-house ELISAs are highly concordant with DIA.PRO HEV IgG ELISA kit.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge grant no. 91111 supported financially by the Infectious and Tropical Diseases Re-

search Center of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. This paper is issued from part of Ph.D. thesis of Fatemeh Farshadpour.

REFERENCES

- Srivastava R, Aggarwal R, Jameel S, Puri P, Gupta VK, Ramesh VS, et al. Cellular immune responses in acute hepatitis E virus infection to the viral open reading frame 2 protein. Viral Immunol 2007;20:56-65.
- Zhu FC, Zhang J, Zhang XF, Zhou C, Wang ZZ, Huang SJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of a recombinant hepatitis E vaccine in healthy adults: a large-scale, randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2010;376:895-902.
- 3. Aggarwal R, Shukla R, Jameel S, Agrawal S, Puri P, Gupta VK, et al. Tcell epitope mapping of ORF2 and ORF3 proteins of human hepatitis E virus. J Viral Hepat 2007;14:283-92.
- Yamashita T, Mori Y, Miyazaki N, Cheng RH, Yoshimura M, Unno H, et al. Biological and immunological characteristics of hepatitis E virus-like particles based on the crystal structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009; 106:12986-91.
- 5. Uchida T. Cloning and sequencing of cDNA of the hepatitis E virus genome--application to diagnosis. Nihon Rinsho 1993;51:352-6.
- Zhou YX, Lee MY, Ng JM, Chye ML, Yip WK, Zee SY, et al. A truncated hepatitis E virus ORF2 protein expressed in tobacco plastids is immunogenic in mice. World J Gastroenterol 2006;12:306-12.
- 7. Xing L, Wang JC, Li TC, Yasutomi Y, Lara J, Khudyakov Y, et al. Spatial configuration of hepatitis E virus antigenic domain. J Virol 2011;85: 1117-24.
- Zhang J, Liu CB, Li RC, Li YM, Zheng YJ, Li YP, et al. Randomized-controlled phase II clinical trial of a bacterially expressed recombinant hepatitis E vaccine. Vaccine 2009;27:1869-74.
- Emerson SU and Purcell RH. Recombinant vaccines for hepatitis E. Trends Mol Med 2001;7:462-6.
- Jiménez de Oya N, Galindo I, Gironés O, Duizer E, Escribano JM, Saiz JC. Serological immunoassay for detection of hepatitis E virus on the basis of genotype 3 open reading frame 2 recombinant proteins produced in Trichoplusia ni larvae. J Clin Microbiol 2009;47:3276-82.
- Sehgal D, Malik PS, Jameel S. Purification and diagnostic utility of a recombinant hepatitis E virus capsid protein expressed in insect larvae. Protein Expr Purif 2003;27:27-34.
- Naik S, Aggarwal R, Naik SR, Dwivedi S, Talwar S, Tyagi SK, et al. Evidence for activation of cellular immune responses in patients with acute hepatitis E. Indian J Gastroenterol 2002;21:149-52.
- Zhou YH, Purcell RH, Emerson SU. A truncated ORF2 protein contains the most immunogenic site on ORF2: antibody responses to non-vaccine sequences following challenge of vaccinated and non-vaccinated macaques with hepatitis E virus. Vaccine 2005;23:3157-65.
- 14. Emerson SU, Clemente-Casares P, Moiduddin N, Arankalle VA, Torian U,

Purcell RH. Putative neutralization epitopes and broad cross-genotype neutralization of Hepatitis E virus confirmed by a quantitative cell-culture assay. J Gen Virol 2006;87:697-704.

- Tsarev SA, Tsareva TS, Emerson SU, Govindarajan S, Shapiro M, Gerin JL, et al. Successful passive and active immunization of cynomolgus monkeys against hepatitis E. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1994;91:10198-202.
- Zhang M, Emerson SU, Nguyen H, Engle RE, Govindarajan S, Gerin JL, et al. Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of a vaccine prepared from 53 kDa truncated hepatitis E virus capsid protein expressed in insect cells. Vaccine 2001;20:853-7.
- Saiz JC, Gonzalez MJ, Borca MV, Sobrino F, Moore DM. Identification of neutralizing antigenic sites on VP1 and VP2 of type A5 foot-and-mouth disease virus, defined by neutralization-resistant variants. J Virol 1991; 65:2518-24.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 2007;24: 1596-9.
- Yang EC, Chi BR, Li X, Liu Y, Gao P, Jia P, et al. Expression and characterization of a recombinant truncated capsid protein of hepatitis E virus in Pichia pastoris. Chem Res Chin Univ 2010;26:235-9.
- Gholipour A, Moosavian M, Galehdari H, Makvandi M, Memari HR, Alvandi A. Cloning and periplasmic expression of peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL) protein of Legionella pneumophila in Escherichia coli. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2010;3:1-9.
- Hao B-C, Lan X, Xing X-Y, Xiang H-T, Wen F-Q, Hu Y-Y, et al. Cloning and prokaryotic expression of cDNAs from hepatitis E virus structural gene of the SW189 strain. Thai J Vet Med 2012;42:179-84.
- 22. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 1976;72:248-54.
- Jacobs D, Vercammen M, Saman E. Evaluation of recombinant dense granule antigen 7 (GRA7) of Toxoplasma gondii for detection of immunoglobulin G antibodies and analysis of a major antigenic domain. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 1999;6:24-9.
- Liu XS, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Fang T, Pan L, Lü J, et al. Cloning, codon-optimized expression and homology modeling of structural protein VP1 from foot and mouth disease virus. Afr J Microbiol Res 2011;5:486-95.
- Wang X, Li X, Zhang Z, Shen X, Zhong F. Codon optimization enhances secretory expression of Pseudomonas aeruginosa exotoxin A in E. coli. Protein Expr Purif 2010;72:101-6.
- 26. Garmory HS, Brown KA, Titball RW. DNA vaccines: improving expression of antigens. Genet Vaccines Ther 2003;1:2.
- Ramakrishna L, Anand KK, Mohankumar KM, Ranga U. Codon optimization of the tat antigen of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 generates strong immune responses in mice following genetic immunization. J Virol 2004;78:9174-89.
- Ruan B, Zumbika E, Wang SY, Chen Y, Ma YL, Chen YG, et al. Cloning and expression of cDNAs from hepatitis E virus structural gene. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2003;2:387-90.