# A systematic review and meta-analysis of plasma amyloid 1-42 and tau as biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease

SAGE Open Medicine 3: 2050312115598250 © The Author(s) 2015 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/2050312115598250 smo.sagepub.com



# Keerthanaa Balasubramanian Shanthi, Sreeram Krishnan and P Rani

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Amyloid 1-42 (A $\beta$ 42) and tau in cerebrospinal fluid are currently used as markers for diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Conflicting reports exist regarding their plasma levels in Alzheimer's disease patients. A meta-analysis was performed to statistically validate the use of plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau as biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease.

**Methods:** Different databases were searched using the search key: (amyloid OR amyloid I-42 OR A $\beta$ 42) AND (tau OR total tau) AND plasma AND (alzheimer's OR alzheimer's disease), and for databases not accepting boolean search, records were retrieved using the search key: plasma + amyloid + tau + alzheimer's. A total of 1880 articles for A $\beta$ 42 and 1508 articles for tau were shortlisted. The abstracts were screened, and 69 articles reporting plasma A $\beta$ 42 levels and 6 articles reporting plasma tau were identified. After exclusion, 25 studies reporting plasma A $\beta$ 42 and 6 studies reporting total tau were analysed in Review Manager version 5.2 using weighted mean difference method, and the bias between studies was assessed using the funnel plot.

**Results:** Plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau did not vary significantly between Alzheimer's disease patients and controls. The funnel plot showed that there was no bias between studies for A $\beta$ 42, while possible bias existed for tau due to availability of limited studies.

**Conclusion:** This analysis pinpoints that plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau could not serve as reliable markers independently for diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease and a cohort study with age, sex and apolipoprotein E correction is warranted for their possible use as Alzheimer's disease markers.

#### **Keywords**

Meta-analysis, plasma A $\beta$ 42, plasma tau, tau-to-amyloid ratio, Alzheimer's disease, Review Manager

Date received: 26 February 2015; accepted: 27 June 2015

# Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia characterised by progressive decline in cognitive abilities of the affected individuals. The sporadic form of AD is the most common form constituting up to 98% of the total AD patients.<sup>1</sup> Amyloid 1-42 (A $\beta$ 42) plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) play a pivotal role in the aetiology of AD, and it has been identified that pathological changes in AD manifest decades before appearance of clinical symptoms.<sup>2</sup> Hence, biological markers are essential to identify individuals at early stages of the disease for timely therapeutic intervention.

The current methods that are used in AD diagnosis are magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET) and biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) via lumbar puncture. The validity of these methods is very limited since they are expensive, invasive or timeconsuming. Thus, there is an urgent need for less invasive and affordable blood-based biomarker that can aid in largescale screening of AD patients. CSF A $\beta$ 42 and total tau are used as biomarkers for AD. Several longitudinal studies and meta-analysis reports have indicated decreased A $\beta$ 42 and elevated tau levels in CSF of AD patients compared to healthy controls.<sup>3–7</sup> Conflicting reports exist on plasma A $\beta$ 42 levels,<sup>1,8,9</sup> and only few studies report the status of plasma

Department of Biotechnology, PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, India

#### **Corresponding author:**

P Rani, Department of Biotechnology, PSG College of Technology, Peelamedu, Coimbatore 641004, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: rani@bio.psgtech.ac.in

CCreative Commons CC-BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

tau in AD patients.<sup>10–12</sup> Therefore, using the experimental reports available in the literature, a meta-analysis on plasma levels of A $\beta$ 42 was performed, along with plasma tau, in AD patients and compared with age-matched healthy controls. The study would help to validate the potential use of plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau as biomarkers for AD diagnosis.

# Methods

# Source of data

The study was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analysis (PRISMA) protocol.<sup>13</sup> An extensive literature search on journal databases (PUBMED, Oxford, Science Direct, Cell, HighWire, PNAS, Springer, Nature, IOS, Wiley and Google Scholar) for articles published during 1975–2014 was performed using keywords: (amyloid OR amyloid1-42 OR A $\beta$ 42) AND (tau OR total tau) AND plasma AND (alzheimer's OR alzheimer's disease). For databases not accepting Boolean search, articles were retrieved using search key: plasma+amyloid+tau+alzheimer's and Plasma, Alzheimer's disease, Biomarkers, Amyloid, tau. The articles were analysed and included for meta-analysis based on the following inclusion criteria:

- Cross-sectional studies and longitudinal studies reporting the first time point values
- Studies reporting mean, median and range±SD or SE for both control and Alzheimer's patients;
- Total sample size >20.

If a study reported the levels of A $\beta$ 42 and tau through other means of central tendency (Median, quartile, percentile), the values were converted to mean ± standard deviation using formulas specified by Hozo et al.<sup>14</sup> and included for the analysis

### Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed using Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.2 with weighted mean difference (WMD) and random effect model to calculate the consolidated outcome of the included studies. WMD accounts for the pooled difference of mean values between AD and controls of different studies on a weighted scale of measurement. The funnel plot was used to calculate the bias among studies. An approximate symmetrical plot indicates lack of bias while an asymmetrical plot indicates a difference between the studies. The I<sup>2</sup> value was used to assess the heterogeneity between the different studies.

## Results

This review describes the overall status of plasma  $A\beta 42$  and tau level in AD patients when compared to healthy controls

reported in the literature. To further validate their use as biomarkers, baseline levels in AD cross-sectional studies and initial (first time) data of longitudinal studies were included in the study, and follow-up data from the longitudinal study were excluded. Since the objective of the study is to analyse AD-specific biomarkers, data from studies reporting other types of dementia were excluded.

A total of 6,102,294 articles were retrieved from different databases using the specified key word search for A $\beta$ 42 and total tau (Figure 1). Screening of the titles of retrieved articles resulted in short-listing of 1880 records pertaining to amyloid and 1508 records for tau in AD. The abstracts and full texts of these articles were further screened, and a total of 69 studies for A $\beta$ 42 and 6 studies for tau were identified. Based on the inclusion criteria, a total of 25 articles for A $\beta$ 42 (AD: n=1542; Controls: n=2142; Table 1) and 6 for total tau (AD: n=279; Controls: n=322; Table 2) were included for the analysis.

# Plasma A $\beta$ 42 and Tau did not vary significantly between AD and controls

In the present meta-analysis, no significant difference was observed for plasma A $\beta$ 42 (Figure 2(a)) between AD patients and controls (WMD: 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI): –1.89 to 3.50, z=0.58 and p=0.56). The funnel plot indicated no bias between the studies (Figure 3(a)). The I<sup>2</sup> value of 98% indicates that the studies are highly heterogeneous. Plasma tau levels also did not vary significantly (Figure 2(b)) in AD patients (WMD: –7.21, 95% CI: –28.91 to 14.49, z=0.65 and p=0.51) compared to controls. The funnel plot had an asymmetrical shape indicating bias between the studies (Figure 3(b)) with a high heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> value) of 98%.

# Discussion

β-amyloid (Aβ) peptides play a key role in the aetiology of AD. Two predominant forms of Aβ peptides (Aβ40 and Aβ42) are generated from the cleavage of amyloid precursor protein (APP) by the action of β and γ secretases.<sup>35</sup> Aβ42 is more pathogenic than Aβ40 since it aggregates more rapidly and deposits much earlier than Aβ40.<sup>36</sup> Hence, Aβ42 would serve as a better diagnostic marker for AD. Evidences also indicate that Aβ40 and Aβ42 are rapidly cleared from the central brain into peripheral circulation.<sup>1,24,37</sup> Therefore, valuating their plasma levels would also help in identifying the severity of the disease.

A meta-analysis of this study revealed an insignificant variation in plasma A $\beta$ 42 levels between AD patients and controls. Conflicting reports exist regarding the status of plasma A $\beta$ 42 in AD with many studies reporting an increase,<sup>2,10,15</sup> decrease<sup>22</sup> or no change<sup>4,24,28</sup> when compared to controls. A meta-analysis of plasma A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42 reported by Song et al.<sup>9</sup> concluded that patients with mild



Figure 1. Data retrieval process for meta-analysis of (a) plasma A $\beta$ 42 and (b) total tau as markers for AD diagnosis.

AD-like symptoms had higher baseline A $\beta$ 42 levels, while AD patients reported marginally lower A $\beta$ 42 levels. Many studies have also reported that lower plasma A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio<sup>24,38-40</sup> and elevated oligomeric A $\beta$ <sup>28</sup> could increase the risk of development of AD.

The status of A $\beta$  peptides in plasma is governed by various factors. Age, sex and apolipoprotein E (APO E) status are reported to regulate the levels of A $\beta$  peptides in plasma.<sup>1,18,20</sup> Fukumoto et al.<sup>20</sup> indicated that the elevation of A $\beta$  levels in plasma is mainly due to age and is irrespective

Table 1. Characteristics of studies used for analysis of plasma A $\beta$ 42 levels in AD.

Study	Subjects (N)	Male	Female	Ageª	Plasma Aβ42 levelsª (pg/ mL)	APO E (%)	MMSE <sup>a</sup>	Method		
Tamaoka et al. <sup>15</sup>	AD (28) Controls (25)	#	#	73.8±8.9 64.5±9.2	276.7±115.1 194.6±106.1	#	#	ELISA (antibody: BAN50/BC05)		
Kosaka	AD (44)	#	#	71.9	44.2 ± 14.9	#	#	ELISA (antibody: BNT77/BC05)		
et al. <sup>16</sup>	Controls (15)			72.3	48.3 ± 9.5					
Mayeux	AD (64)	#	#	77.4±5.9	$82.4 \pm 68.8$	#	#	ELISA (antibody: 6E10/R165)		
et al. <sup>17</sup>	Controls (105)			73.4±5.3	51.5±42.1					
Mehta et al. <sup>18</sup>	AD (78)	39	39	74±11	262.7 ± 270. I	66.6	15±7.8	ELISA (antibody: 6E10/R226)		
	Controls (61)	27	34	67±12	$273.0 \pm 277.2$	21.3	$29.5 \pm 0.9$			
Arvanitakis	AD (220)	#	#	74.9±7.8	92.5±106.1	#	#	ELISA (antibody: BAN50/BC05)		
et al. <sup>19</sup>	Controls (59)			77.7±7.6	85.3 ± 88.5					
Fukumoto	AD (146)	66	80	76.0±8.2	$33.4 \pm 24.2$	3.8	#	ELISA (Takeda Pharmaceuticals,		
et al. <sup>20</sup>	Controls (92)	37	55	69.4±10.3	31.6±14.0	1.1		Japan)		
Sobów	AD (54)	17	37	$77.5 \pm 4.4$	37.8±10.3	#	17.5±3.4	ELISA (Biosource International		
et al. <sup>21</sup>	Controls (35)	11	24	75.0±2.9	$36.3 \pm 6.3$		$29.5 \pm 0.6$	Inc., USA)		
Pesaresi	AD (146)	35	111	73.7±7.6	38.0±13.0	29.0	18.0±5.1	ELISA (Innogenetics Ltd,		
et al. <sup>22</sup>	Controls (89)	32	57	68.2±12.0	52.0 ± 22.0	5.5	27.1 ± 2.4	Belgium)		
Kulstad	AD (59)	#	#	71.4±1.0	31.2±25.3	#	#	ELISA (Signet Laboratories, USA)		
et al. <sup>23</sup>	Controls (50)			70.5 ± 1.1	41.8±25.4			,		
Abdullah	AD (67)	32	35	76.1±7.8	$24.5 \pm 4.2$	#	18.0±8.2	ELISA (Invitrogen, USA)		
et al. <sup>24</sup>	Controls (146)	64	82	74.1±8.3	$5.6 \pm 3.7$		29.0±1.0			
Giedraitis	AD (39)	22	17	65.9	97.5±86.2	44.5	#	ELISA (Takeda Pharmaceuticals)		
et al. <sup>25</sup>	Controls (18)	5	13	65.9	4.7± 24.6	27.8				
Fagan et al. <sup>6</sup>	AD (16)	28	62	75.2	$36.0 \pm 37.2$	#	#	ELISA (antibody: m266/m21F12)		
	Controls (65)	8	8	73.3	$36.0 \pm 29.4$					
Sedaghat	AD (29)	11	18	71.0±9.0	17.6±2.6	#	15.0±9.0	ELISA (Innogenetics Ltd)		
et al. <sup>26</sup>	Controls (16)	5	11	64.0±8.0	13.4±1.4					
Bastard	AD (48)	17	32	$82.0 \pm 2.3$	41.1±5.2	#	16 (13–19)	ELISA (Innogenetics Ltd)		
et al. <sup>27</sup>	Controls (29)	21	18	$66.0 \pm 6.3$	$38.7 \pm 4.3$		28 (27–30)			
Roher et al. <sup>1</sup>	AD (17)	7	10	81.3±5.2	139.9±77.8	#	$22.2 \pm 3.7$	ELISA (Innogenetics Ltd)		
	Controls (21)	7	14	75.8±7.1	124.7±42.3		28.9±1.4			
Buerger	AD (17)	#	#	70.2±10.6	$20.0 \pm 8.0$	#	$23.0 \pm 3.3$	ELISA (Innogenetics Ltd)		
et al.4	Controls (15)				$24.0 \pm 7.0$		$29.0 \pm 0.7$			
Cosentino	AD (70)	23	47	80.8	46.3 ± 29.1	#	#	(Antibodies: 6E10/R165) ELISA		
et al. <sup>8</sup>	Controls (481)	159	322	74.5	$43.8 \pm 27.3$					
Zhou et al. <sup>28</sup>	AD (44)	9	35	$77.5 \pm 9.2$	$10.9 \pm 5.5$	29.5	$16.5 \pm 7.2$	ELISA (Invitrogen)		
	Controls (22)	12	10	$72.5 \pm 8.0$	$9.6 \pm 4.0$	18.2	27.7 ± 2.1			
Uslu et al. <sup>29</sup>	AD (28)	10	18	$68.3 \pm 6.7$	$10.3 \pm 2.3$	#	19.0±1.1	ELISA (Biosource International		
	Controls (26)	10	13	$66.6 \pm 9.7$	29.4±10.2		26.2±1.3	lnc.)		
Pesini et al. <sup>2</sup>	AD (15)	8	8	70.3 ± 4.1	186.3 ± 227.3	62	#	ELISA (Araclon Biotech, Spain)		
	Controls (16)	8	8	$78.8 \pm 4.7$	$98.8 \pm 24.4$	6				
Rembach	AD (125)	#	#	$78.0 \pm 7.8$	34.3 ± 10.9	#	19.3±5.3	INNO-BIA plasma Ab forms		
et al. <sup>30</sup>	Controls (577)			69.0±6.8	33.8±10.0		28.9±1.1	assays (Innogenetics NV, Belgium		
Krishnan and	AD (30)	16	14	71.0±8.7	164.6±66.7	#	4.0±3.8	ELISA (CUSABIO, China)		
Rani <sup>10</sup>	Controls (40)	22	18	$65.2 \pm 9.3$	86.1 ± 43.7		28.1±1.6			
Wang et al. <sup>31</sup>	AD (122)	54	43	73.7±8.4	47.5±1.9	#	28.5±1.3	Invitrogen, number: KHB3442		
	Controls (97)	56	66	73.7±9.4	47.1 ± 2.2		$17.8 \pm 7.5$			
Swaminathan	AD (22)	15	7	74.0±9.0	36.0±9.1	63.0	#	#		
et al. <sup>32</sup>	Controls (22)	14	8	77.1±6.1	36.0±9.1	27.0				
I zen et al.33	AD (14)	10	4	64.9±11.5	18.9±0.3	64.2	$20.7 \pm 4.6$	Immunomagnetic		
	Controls (20)	10	10	63.7±7.9	$15.9 \pm 0.3$	25.0	29.0±1.1	Reduction		

AD: Alzheimer's disease; APO E: apolipoprotein E; MMSE: mini-mental state examination. aValues are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

#Data not reported.

Study	Subjects (N)	Male	Female	Ageª	Plasma tau levelsª (pg/ mL)	APO E	MMSE <sup>a</sup>	Method
Sparks et al. <sup>11</sup>	AD (49) Controls (110)	26 4	23 6	84.4±7.7 78.5±7.3	530.4±193.6 819.5±294.4	#	#	ELISA, (Invitrogen, USA) Western blot
Zetterberg et al. <sup>12</sup>	AD (54) Controls (25)	17	37	75±6.5 74+67	8.8±10.1 44+28	#	9±4.9 29+ 4	(antibodies: Tau7/Tau12) Digital Array Technology (antibodies: Tau5/BT5-
Krishnan and Rani <sup>10</sup>	AD (30)	16	14	71.0±8.7	458.6±253.8	#	4.0±3.8	HT7) ELISA (CUSABIO, China)
Wang et al. <sup>31</sup>	Controls (40) AD (122)	22 54	18 43	65.2±9.3 73.7±8.4	879.1 ± 389.5 214.9 ± 43.2	#	28.1±1.6 28.5±1.3	Invitrogen, number:
Chiu et al. <sup>34</sup>	AD (10)	56 6 17	4 13	73.7 ± 9.4 69.3 ± 9.4 64 4 + 9 5	$213.9 \pm 44.5$ 53.9 ± 11.7 15.6 ± 6.9	50 27	$17.8 \pm 7.5$ 22.7 ± 3 28.8 ± 1.6	Immunomagnetic Reduction
Tzen et al. <sup>33</sup>	AD (14) Controls (20)	10 10	4 10	64.9±11.5 63.7±7.9	46.7±2.0 13.5±5.5	64.2 25	20.7±4.6 29.0±1.1	Immunomagnetic Reduction

Table 2. Characteristics of studies used for analysis of plasma tau levels in AD

AD: Alzheimer's disease; APO E: apolipoprotein E; MMSE: mini-mental state examination.

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

#Data not reported.

of the disease stage. All the studies in this analysis (Table 1) used age-matched controls, representing both males and females. Hence, the observed variation and heterogeneity in some studies included in the analysis could not be due to ageing and may be associated with other factors involved in the disease pathogenesis. APO E isoforms also play a role in the clearance of A $\beta$  peptides across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and transport of A $\beta$  peptides between different brain compartments.41,42 Although many reports indicate that plasma A $\beta$  levels are higher in people with APO E  $\epsilon$ 4 allele, Mehta et al.<sup>18</sup> observed that the plasma A $\beta$ 42 levels were similar in controls and AD patients with E4 and other allelic forms of APO E. In most of the studies included in the analysis, the plasma A $\beta$  levels with respect to APO E allelic variation were not reported. The difference in the levels of  $A\beta$  in the studies included in the analysis could also be attributed to variability in sample storage and processing, sensitivity and specificity of the antibodies and kits employed for analysis. Buerger et al.<sup>4</sup> reported that frozen plasma and CSF samples render greater diagnostic accuracy than fresh samples in a multi-centric context, and Abdullah et al.<sup>24</sup> reported the presence of high intra- and inter-person variability, possibly due to factors that influence peripheral A $\beta$  levels.

Apart from the brain, the source for A $\beta$  peptides in plasma are skeletal muscles, platelets and vascular walls.<sup>43–45</sup> The other tissues that express APP include pancreas, kidney, spleen, heart, liver, testis, aorta, lung, intestines, skin, as well as the adrenal, salivary and thyroid glands which contribute to the peripheral pool of A $\beta$  peptides.<sup>1</sup> The transport of A $\beta$ peptides from brain to blood and vice versa, across the BBB also influences the plasma A $\beta$  levels. The A $\beta$  peptides present in the brain are cleared into the systemic circulation by low-density lipoprotein receptor–related protein (LRP1) at the BBB.<sup>46</sup> Down-regulation of LRP1 can cause abnormal build up of A $\beta$  peptides in the brain, thereby promoting aggregation and neurodegeneration. Also, A $\beta$ 42 is cleared less efficiently than A $\beta$ 40 peptides by LRP1,<sup>47</sup> increasing the level of A $\beta$ 40 in plasma compared to A $\beta$ 42.

The  $A\beta$  peptides are also transported into the brain through the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE).<sup>46</sup> Hence, the transport of A $\beta$  peptides across the BBB is governed by the synergistic expression of LRP1 and RAGE. Studies have reported that the expression of RAGE is increased and LRP1 is decreased in patients with AD,46,48,49 favouring increased transport of the peptides from blood to the brain and promoting aggregation. Moreover, the G82S polymorphism in the RAGE ligand-binding domain increases BACE1 expression, leading to overproduction of Aβ42 in the brain.<sup>50</sup> The amino acid change also increases glycosylation of RAGE at N81 residue which in turn increases the affinity of A $\beta$  towards RAGE,<sup>51</sup> thereby decreasing Aβ42 levels in plasma and further alleviating AD pathology. The hepatic clearance of A $\beta$  peptides by LRP1 also reduces the levels of the peptides in blood.<sup>52</sup> Faulty clearance of these peptides by LRP1 may also increase its levels in blood and contribute to  $A\beta$  accumulation in brain.

Since these factors influence plasma  $A\beta$  status, the use of plasma  $A\beta$  as a diagnostic marker for AD is limited and has to be accompanied with its corresponding levels in CSF. In a meta-analysis of plasma  $A\beta$  by Song et al.,<sup>9</sup> plasma  $A\beta$  levels were reported to be marginally lower, but statistically insignificant, in AD patients compared to controls. The study also indicated that cognitively normal individuals with higher baseline  $A\beta$  levels in plasma are at increased

Study	Mean	AD SD	n	Co Mean	ontrol n SD	n	Weigh %	t Weighted Mean I	Difference	(Randor	n, 95%Cl)	
Tamaoka et al. 1996	276.7	115.1	28	194.8	106.1	25	0.2%	82.10 [22.54, 141.66]			<u> </u>	
Kosaka et al. 1997	44.2	14.9	44	48.3	9.5	15	4.9%	-4.10 [-10.62, 2.42]		-		
Mayeux et al. 1999	82.4	68.8	64	51.5	42.1	105	1.6%	30.90 [12.22, 49.58]				
Mehta et al. 2000	262.7	270.1	78	273	277.2	61	0.1%	-10.30 [-102.13, 81.53]				
Arvanitakis et al. 2002	92.5	106.1	220	85.3	88.5	59	0.9%	7.20 [-19.38, 33.78]				
Fukumoto et al. 2003	33.4	24.2	146	31.8	i 14	92	5.6%	1.80 [-3.06, 6.66]				
Bobow et al. 2005	37.8	10.3	54	36.3	6.3	35	6.2%	1.50 [-1.95, 4.95]				
Pesaresi et al. 2006	38	13	146	52	22	89	5.5%	-14.00 [-19.03, -8.97]		•		
Kulstad at al. 2006	31.2	25.3	59	41.8	25.4	50	3.7%	-10.60 [-20.15, -1.05]		-		
Abdullah et al. 2007	24.5	4.2	67	5.8	3.7	146	6.8%	18.90 [17.73, 20.07]				
Glegraitis et al. 2007	97.5	86.2	39	114.7	124.6	18	0.2%	-17.20 [-80.80, 46.40]				
Fagan et al. 2007	36	37.2	16	36	29.4	65	1.5%	0.00 [-19.58, 19.58]				
Sedaghat et al. 2008	17.6	2.6	29	13.4	1.4	16	6.8%	4.20 [3.03, 5.37]				
Roher et al. 2009	139.9	77.8	17	124.7	42.3	21	0.4%	15.20 [-25.97, 56.37]				
Buerger et al. 2009	20	8	17	24	7	15	5.5%	-4.00 [-9.20, 1.20]		1		
Bastard et.al 2009	41.18	5.3	48	38.7	4.4	29	6.6%	2.48 [0.29, 4.67]		1		
Zhou et al. 2010	10.9	5.5	44	9.8	4	22	6.5%	1.30 [-1.03, 3.63]		I		
Cosentino et al. 2010	46.3	29.1	70	43.8	27.3	481	4.6%	2.50 [-4.74, 9.74]		1	5	
Pesini et al. 2012	186.3	227.3	15	98.8	24.4	16	0.1%	87.50 [-28.15, 203.15]				
Uslu et al. 2012	10.3	2.3	28	29.4	10.2	26	6.0%	-19.10 [-23.11, -15.09]		-		
Rembach et al 2013	34.3	10.9	125	33.8	10	5//	6.6%	0.50 [-1.58, 2.58]				
Krishnan et al. 2014	164.6	66.7	30	86.1	43.7	40	0.8%	78.50 [51.06, 105.94]				
vvang et al. 2014 Tean at al. 2014	47.5	1.97	122	47.1	2.29	97	6.8%	0.40 [-0.17, 0.97]		1		
Izen et al. 2014	18.9	0.3	14	15.8	0.3	20	6.9%	3.00 [2.80, 3.20]				
Swaminathan et al. 2015	36.05	9.19	22	41.93	9.06	22	5.4%	-5.88 [-11.27, -0.49]		1		
Total (95% CI)			1542			2142	100.0%	0.80 [-1.89, 3.50]				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 27.5 Test for overall effect: 7 = 1	54; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 58 (P =	1037.1	2, df=	24 (P <	0.00001	1);  ²=	98%		-200 -1		100 200	
	0.00 (i =	0.007					(a)		Fa	avours AD	Favours Control	
	AD			Cant	I		Weight					
Study M	lean S	SD	n Mean SD		SD	n %		Weighted Mean D	ifference (F	ference (Random, 95%CI)		
Sparks et al. 2012	530.4 1	93.6	49 8	319.5	294.4	110	5.9%	-289.10 [-366.33, -211.87	7]	+		
Zetterberg et al. 2013	8.8	10.1	54	4.4	2.8	25	23.5%	4.40 [1.49.7.3]	1			
Chiu et al. 2013	53.9	11.7	10	15.6	6.9	30	23.0%	38,30 (30,64, 45,96	51			
Mang et al. 2014	214 9 4	3 23	122	13.9	44 57	97	22.1%	1 00 -10 73 12 73	21			
Krishnan et al. 2014	4586 2	53.8	30 9	279 1	389.5	10	1 0%	420 50 [-571 56 -269 4/	n —			
Tren et al 2014	467	33.0	14	13.5	55	20	22.6%	22 20 120 57 26 02	ני			
12en et al. 2014	40.7	2	14	15.5	J.J	20	23.0%	33.20 (30.37, 33.83	2]			
Total (95% CI)		:	279			322	100.0%	-7.21 [-28.91, 14.49	]		•	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 51	8.45; Chi	²= 333.	20. df :	= 5 (P <	0.0000	1);   <sup>2</sup> =	98%			-		
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.65 (P =	= 0.51)		- (		1.			-500	-250 Favour	U 250 500	
							(h)			ravuus		
							101					

**Figure 2.** Forest plots for (a) plasma A $\beta$ 42 and (b) total tau in AD patients compared to controls. The figure indicates the mean and SD (pg/mL) along the weighted mean difference and 95% confidence interval of each study included in the meta-analysis.

risk of developing AD in later stages of life. This analysis also indicates a statistically insignificant variation in plasma A $\beta$  levels in AD patients compared to controls which indicate that baseline plasma A $\beta$  levels may not be a good indicator of the disease condition. NFT is also a characteristic feature of AD which occurs due to abnormal phosphorylation of tau protein. While several studies report elevated CSF levels of total tau and phosphorylated tau in AD patients<sup>3,53,54</sup> compared to controls, limited reports exist on plasma levels of tau in AD. Hence, a meta-analysis was done to validate the use of plasma tau as



Figure 3. Funnel plots of (a) plasma A $\beta$ 42 and (b) total tau in AD patients.

AD marker. The result of the analysis revealed an insignificant variation in plasma tau levels in AD patients indicating that plasma tau may not be used as an AD marker.

The measurement of A $\beta$  and tau in plasma poses an immense challenge than their measurement in CSF. Since A $\beta$  levels in plasma is almost 10-fold lower than the CSF,<sup>9</sup> methods with high sensitivity, specificity and reproducibility should be employed for quantification. For plasma A $\beta$  measurement, most studies utilised ELISA-based methods with sensitivity in the range of 10–70 pg/mL and specificity <0.1%. The funnel plot (Figure 3) also indicated that there is minimal bias between the studies used in

the analysis. However, possible bias and heterogeneity for plasma tau were observed (Figure 3(b)) between the different studies, with some studies reporting an increase,<sup>12,33,34</sup> decrease<sup>10,11</sup> and no change<sup>31</sup> in AD patients. The difference in plasma tau levels between the studies could be primarily attributed to the sensitivity of the analytical method employed. Plasma tau was estimated using different immunoassays like ELISA, digital array technology and immunomagnetic reduction technology wherein the sensitivity of detection ranges from 0.02 to 12 pg/mL. In this analysis, when studies that used ELISA-based quantification were considered, plasma tau was decreased in AD patients (WMD: -228.91, 95% CI: -488.67 to 30.89, z=1.73, p=0.08) compared to controls. However, after incorporation of reports which employed a more sensitive method for detecting plasma tau, no significant variation was observed between AD and controls. This meta-analysis clearly indicates that a large-scale study employing methods with high sensitivity to measure plasma tau is warranted, and reports also indicate that estimation of tau in plasma is still in its experimental stage.<sup>11,12</sup>

The results of this analysis indicate that both plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau independently cannot be used as a marker to diagnose AD. In our previous study, we reported receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves for A $\beta$ 42 and tau, indicating that they may not serve as markers for AD diagnosis independently, whereas their ratio (tau-to-amyloid) could serve as a potential marker for the diagnosis of AD.<sup>10</sup> Kapaki et al.<sup>7</sup> and Fagan et al.<sup>6</sup> also reported the use of CSF tau-to-amyloid ratio as a useful marker for the diagnosis of AD. Since the levels of A $\beta$  and tau are also influenced by factors like age, sex, APO E status and method of analysis, a thorough validation taking the baseline correction of these factors into account would help in determining the usefulness of A $\beta$ , tau and tau-to-amyloid ratio as possible markers for AD.

# Conclusion

This review using meta-analysis reveals a statistically insignificant variation in plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau in AD patients compared to controls indicating that both plasma A $\beta$ 42 and tau may not be used as a marker for AD diagnosis. A cohort study, with age, sex and APO E correction, is warranted for their possible use as markers for AD diagnosis.

#### Acknowledgements

K.B.S. and S.K. have contributed equally to this study. The authors thank Rani Catherine C and Staff of Department of Biotechnology, PSG College of Technology, for their help and encouragement.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest in preparing this article.

#### Funding

This work was funded by the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), New Delhi, Government of India (No. BT/PR3869/MED/30/667/2011).

#### References

- Roher AE, Esh CL, Kokjohn TA, et al. Aβ peptides in human plasma and tissues and their significance for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2009; 5(1): 18–29.
- Pesini P, Perez-Grijalba V, Monle I, et al. Reliable measurements of the β-amyloid pool in blood could help in the early diagnosis of AD. *Int J Alzheimer Dis* 2012; 2012: 604141 (10 pp.).
- Agarwal R, Chhillar N, Mishra VN, et al. CSF tau and amyloid β42 levels in Alzheimer's disease – a meta-analysis. *Adv Alzheimer Dis* 2012; 1: 30–44.

- Buerger K, Frisoni G, Uspenskaya O, et al. Validation of Alzheimer's disease CSF and plasma biological markers: the multicentre reliability study of the pilot European Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (E-ADNI). *Exp Gerontol* 2009; 44(9): 579–585.
- Diniz BSO, Pinto JA and Forlenza OV. Do CSF total tau, phosphorylated tau, and β-amyloid 42 help to predict progression of mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 9(3): 172–182.
- Fagan AM, Roe CM, Xiong C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid tau/βamyloid42 ratio as a prediction of cognitive decline in nondemented older adults. *Arch Neurol* 2007; 64(3): 343–349.
- Kapaki E, Paraskevas GP, Zalonis I, et al. CSF tau protein and β-amyloid (1-42) in Alzheimer's disease diagnosis: discrimination from normal ageing and other dementias in the Greek population. *Eur J Neurol* 2003; 10(2): 119–128.
- Cosentino S, Stern Y, Sokolov E, et al. Plasma Amyloid β predicts cognitive decline. *Arch Neurol* 2010; 67(12): 1485–1490.
- Song F, Poljak A, Valenzuela M, et al. Meta-analysis of plasma amyloid-β levels in Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2011; 26(2): 365–375.
- Krishnan S and Rani P. Evaluation of selenium, redox status and their association with plasma amyloid/tau in Alzheimer's disease. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2014; 158(2): 158–165.
- Sparks DL, Kryscio RJ, Sabbagh MN, et al. Tau is reduced in AD plasma and validation of employed ELISA methods. *Am J Neurodegener Dis* 2012; 1(1): 99–106.
- Zetterberg H, Wilson D, Andreasson U, et al. Plasma tau levels in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2013; 5(2): 9–11.
- 13. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA Group Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6(7): e1000097.
- Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B and Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Meth* 2005; 5: 13.
- Tamaoka A, Fukushima T, Sawamura N, et al. Amyloid beta protein in plasma from patients with sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol* 1996; 141(1–2): 65–68.
- Kosaka T, Imagawa M, Seki K, et al. The beta APP717 Alzheimer mutation increases the percentage of plasma amyloid-beta protein ending at A beta42(43). *Neurology* 1997; 48(3): 741–745.
- Mayeux R, Tang MX, Jacobs DM, et al. Plasma amyloid betapeptide 1-42 and incipient Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1999; 46(3): 412–416.
- 18. Mehta PD, Pirttila T, Patrick BA, et al. Amyloid b protein  $1\pm40$  and  $1\pm42$  levels in matched cerebrospinal fluid and plasma from patients with Alzheimer disease. *Neurosci Lett* 2001; 304: 102–106.
- Arvanitakis Z, Lucas JA, Younkin LH, et al. Serum creatinine levels correlate with plasma amyloid Beta protein. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2002; 16(3): 187–190.
- Fukumoto H, Tennis M, Locascio JJ, et al. Age but not diagnosis is the main predictor of plasma amyloid beta-protein levels. *Arch Neurol* 2003; 60(7): 958–964.
- Sobów T, Flirski M, Koszewska I, et al. Plasma levels of A β peptides are altered in amnestic mild cognitive impairment but not in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurobiol Exp* 2005; 65: 117–124.

- Pesaresi M, Lovati C, Bertora P, et al. Plasma levels of betaamyloid (1-42) in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol Aging* 2006; 27(6): 904–905.
- Kulstad JJ, Green PS, Cook DG, et al. Differential modulation of plasma beta-amyloid by insulin in patients with Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2006; 66(10): 1506–1510.
- Abdullah L, Paris D, Luis C, et al. The influence of diagnosis, intra- and inter-person variability on serum and plasma Aβ levels. *Neurosci Lett* 2007; 428(2–3): 53–58.
- Giedraitis V, Sundelöf J, Irizarry MC, et al. The normal equilibrium between CSF and plasma amyloid beta levels is disrupted in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Lett* 2007; 427(3): 127–131.
- Sedaghat F, Dedousi E, Costa V, et al. Plasma levels of amyloid beta1-42 are independent of neuronal function in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2009; 17(2): 343–348.
- Bastard NL, Aerts L, Leurs J, et al. No correlation between time-linked plasma and CSF Ab levels. *Neurochem Int* 2009; 55: 820–825.
- Zhou L, Chan KH, Chu LW, et al. Plasma amyloid-beta oligomers level is a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease diagnosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2012; 423(4): 697–702.
- Uslu S, Akarkarasu ZE, Ozbabalik D, et al. Levels of amyloid beta-42, interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. *Neurochem Res* 2012; 37(7): 1554–1559.
- Rembach A, Faux NG, Watt AD, et al. Changes in plasma amyloid beta in a longitudinal study of aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014; 10(1): 53–61.
- Wang T, Xiao S, Liu Y, et al. The efficacy of plasma biomarkers in early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2014; 29: 713–719.
- Swaminathan S, Risacher SL, Yoder KK, et al. Association of plasma and cortical beta-amyloid is modulated by APOE ε4 status. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014; 10(1): e9–e18.
- Tzen KY, Yang SY, Chen TF, et al. Plasma Aβ but not tau is related to brain PiB retention in early Alzheimer's disease. ACS Chem Neurosci 2014; 5(9): 830–836.
- Chiu M, Chen Y, Chen T, et al. Plasma tau as a window to the brain – negative associations with brain volume and memory function in mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2014; 35(7): 3132–3142.
- Selkoe DJ. Alzheimer's disease: genes, proteins, and therapy. *Physiol Rev* 2001; 81(2): 741–766.
- Iwatsubo T, Odaka A, Suzuki N, et al. Visualization of Aβ42(43) and Aβ40 in senile plaques with end-specific Aβ monoclonals: evidence that an initially deposited species is Aβ42(43). *Neuron* 1994; 13(1): 45–53.
- Kawarabayashi T, Younkin LH, Saido TC, et al. Agedependent changes in brain, CSF, and plasma amyloid β protein in the Tg2576 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* 2001; 21(2): 372–381.
- Graff-Radford NR, Crook JE, Lucas J, et al. Association of low plasma Abeta42/Abeta40 ratios with increased imminent risk for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2007; 64(3): 354–362.

- Okereke OI, Xia W, Selkoe DJ, et al. Ten-year change in plasma amyloid beta levels and late-life cognitive decline. *Arch Neurol* 2009; 66(10): 1247–1253.
- 40. Van Oijen M, Hofman A, Soares HD, et al. Plasma  $A\beta_{1-40}$  and  $A\beta_{1-42}$  and the risk of dementia: a prospective case-cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2006; 5(8): 655–660.
- Deane R, Sagare A, Hamm K, et al. apoE isoform–specific disruption of amyloid β peptide clearance from mouse brain. *J Clin Invest* 2008; 118(12): 4002–4013.
- Fryer JD, Simmons K, Parsadanian M, et al. Human apolipoprotein E4 alters the amyloid-beta 40:42 ratio and promotes the formation of cerebral amyloid angiopathy in an amyloid precursor protein transgenic model. *J Neurosci* 2005; 25(11): 2803–2810.
- Kuo YM, Kokjohn TA, Watson MD, et al. Elevated abeta42 in skeletal muscle of Alzheimer disease patients suggests peripheral alterations of AbetaPP metabolism. *Am J Pathol* 2000; 156(3): 797–805.
- Li QX, Whyte S, Tanner JE, et al. Secretion of Alzheimer's disease Abeta amyloid peptide by activated human platelets. *Lab Invest* 1998; 78(4): 461–469.
- Van Nostrand WE and Melchor JP. Disruption of pathologic amyloid beta-protein fibril assembly on the surface of cultured human cerebrovascular smooth muscle cells. *Amyloid* 2001; 8(Suppl. 1): 20–27.
- Deane R, Bell RD, Sagare A, et al. Clearance of amyloid-beta peptide across the blood-brain barrier: implication for therapies in Alzheimer's disease. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets* 2009; 8(1): 16–30.
- Deane R, Wu Z, Sagare A, et al. LRP/amyloid beta-peptide interaction mediates differential brain efflux of Abeta isoforms. *Neuron* 2004; 43(3): 333–344.
- Donahue JE, Flaherty SL, Johanson CE, et al. RAGE, LRP-1, and amyloid-beta protein in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 2006; 112(4): 405–415.
- Yan SD, Chen X, Fu J, et al. RAGE and amyloid-beta peptide neurotoxicity in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 1996; 382(6593): 685–691.
- Ho L, Qin W, Pompl PN, et al. Diet-induced insulin resistance promotes amyloidosis in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *FASEB J* 2004; 18(7): 902–904.
- Park SJ, Kleffmann T and Hessian PA. The G82S polymorphism promotes glycosylation of the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) at asparagine 81: comparison of wild-type rage with the G82S polymorphic variant. *J Biol Chem* 2011; 286(24): 21384–21392.
- Tamaki C, Ohtsuki S, Iwatsubo T, et al. Major involvement of low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 in the clearance of plasma free amyloid beta-peptide by the liver. *Pharm Res* 2006; 23(7): 1407–1416.
- Andreasen N, Minthon L, Davidsson P, et al. Evaluation of CSF-tau and CSF-Abeta42 as diagnostic markers for Alzheimer disease in clinical practice. *Arch Neurol* 2001; 58(3): 373–379.
- Buerger K, Zinkowski R, Teipel SJ, et al. Differential diagnosis of Alzheimer disease with cerebrospinal fluid levels of tau protein phosphorylated at threonine 231. *Arch Neurol* 2002; 59(8): 1267–1272.