

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

Genetic diversity and population structure of *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* in the Peruvian jungle

Maxy B. De los Santos<sup>1</sup> <sup>\*</sup>, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez<sup>2</sup> <sup>✉</sup>, Jorge E. Rodríguez<sup>3</sup>, Peter Beerli<sup>4</sup> <sup>✉</sup>, Hugo O. Valdivia<sup>1</sup> 

**1** Department of Parasitology, U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit 6, Lima, Peru, **2** Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru, **3** Unidad de Biotecnología Molecular, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru, **4** Department of Scientific Computing, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, United States of America

 These authors contributed equally to this work.

 Current address: Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

\* [maxy.b.delosantos2.ln@mail.mil](mailto:maxy.b.delosantos2.ln@mail.mil)


 OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** De los Santos MB, Ramírez IM, Rodríguez JE, Beerli P, Valdivia HO (2022) Genetic diversity and population structure of *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* in the Peruvian jungle. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 16(5): e0010374. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374>

**Editor:** Gabriele Schönan, Charité University Medicine Berlin, GERMANY

**Received:** December 5, 2020

**Accepted:** March 30, 2022

**Published:** May 23, 2022

**Copyright:** This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the [Creative Commons CC0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) public domain dedication.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript and its [Supporting Information](#) files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by an award from the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Branch (AFHSB) and its Global Emerging Infections Surveillance and Response (GEIS) Section, PROMIS ID P00106\_18\_N6\_04 for FY2018. PB was partly supported by grant DBI 1564822 from the US National Science Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

## Abstract

## Background

Human cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* is highly prevalent in the Peruvian jungle, where it affects military forces deployed to fight against drug trafficking and civilian people that migrate from the highland to the lowland jungle for economic activities such as mining, agriculture, construction, and chestnut harvest.

We explored the genetic diversity and population structure of 124 *L. (V.) braziliensis* isolates collected from the highland (Junín, Cusco, and Ayacucho) and lowland Peruvian jungle (Loreto, Ucayali, and Madre de Dios). All samples were genotyped using Multilocus Microsatellite Typing (MLMT) of ten highly polymorphic markers.

## Principal findings

High polymorphism and genetic diversity were found in Peruvian isolates of *L. (V.) braziliensis*. Most markers are not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; this deviation is most likely caused by local inbreeding, as shown by the positive  $F_{IS}$  values. Linkage Disequilibrium in subpopulations was not strong, suggesting the reproduction was not strictly clonal. Likewise, for the first time, two genetic clusters of this parasite were determined, distributed in both areas of the Peruvian jungle, which suggested a possible recent colonization event of the highland jungle from the lowland jungle.

## Conclusions

*L. (V.) braziliensis* exhibits considerable genetic diversity with two different clusters in the Peruvian jungle. Migration analysis suggested a colonization event between geographical areas of distribution. Although no human migration was observed at the time of sampling, earlier displacement of humans, reservoirs, or vectors could have been responsible for the parasite spread in both regions.

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Author summary

*L. (V.) braziliensis* is widespread in the Peruvian jungle region. In this region, the departments of Cusco and Madre de Dios account for a large number of patients that get infected while working in the virgin forest. For the first time, we described ample genetic diversity among Peruvian *L. (V.) braziliensis* isolates with new alleles that were not previously reported in South America. In addition, two different genetic clusters or subpopulations of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in the Amazon Rainforest of Peru were described. This finding reveals the important distribution of parasite populations and suggests a possible colonization event between ecoregions of the highland and lowland Peruvian jungle independently of recent human migration.

## Introduction

In the New World, cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) stands out as the most common presentation of leishmaniasis, leading to disfigurement, social stigma, and functional impairment of affected patients [1].

Peru is one of the 10 countries that account for more than 75% of all CL cases reported worldwide [2]. Leishmaniasis is endemic in 19 of its 24 departments, representing more than 70% of its territory [3]. Epidemiological data indicate that between 2015–2020 Peru reported 31,463 cases of leishmaniasis, of which 92% corresponded to CL and 8% to mucosal leishmaniasis (ML) [4].

The most prevalent *Leishmania* species in the country belong to the *L. (Viannia)* subgenus and include *L. (V.) braziliensis*, *L. (V.) peruviana*, *L. (V.) lainsoni*, and *L. (V.) guyanensis*. Inside of Peru, the southern jungle regions of Cusco and Madre de Dios have the highest prevalence of CL, with most cases caused by *L. (V.) braziliensis* [3].

Despite the importance of leishmaniasis in Peru, there is little knowledge about local genetic diversity and population structure for *L. (V.) braziliensis* [5,6]. A study found a significant genetic diversity in 25 strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* from Pilcopata, Cusco, Peru, co-analyzed with 99 strains from Bolivia using 12 microsatellite markers [6]. They detected a high genetic heterogeneity among local *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains, suggesting that the population is structured. Another study used 15 microsatellite markers in 31 strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* from Brazil, Peru, and Paraguay. Only 6 of these strains correspond to Peru, and these were grouped phylogenetically with strains from the state of Acre, Brazil, and two strains of *L. (V.) peruviana* from Peru (cluster 3) [5]. Another study showed high genetic variability in 24 isolates of *L. (V.) braziliensis* using 14 microsatellite markers unrelated to *in-vitro* drug susceptibility, and clinical phenotypes; neither factorial correspondence analysis nor a phylogenetic analysis revealed geographic structure [7].

Finally, a Brazilian study that used 15 microsatellite markers and 120 strains of various *Leishmania* species, including 63 *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains, also found high genetic diversity and three subpopulations partially correlated with Brazilian geography [8]. Other studies have used amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) and whole-genome sequencing to describe *Leishmania* populations. The first found three subpopulations not linked to their geographical distribution between Peru and Brazil [9] and the second made a description of the possible geographical speciation and diversification of *L. (V.) peruviana* from populations of *L. (V.) braziliensis* that were able to cross the Andean mountain range through the Porculla pass during the late Pleistocene [10]. New studies in Peru could improve knowledge about routes of

transmission, gene flow, and the role of different genetic variants in parasite virulence, infectivity, pathogenesis, and drug resistance [11–13].

In this study, we analyzed the genetic diversity and population structure of *L. (V.) braziliensis* from the Peruvian jungle region by Multilocus Microsatellite Typing (MLMT) of 10 polymorphic markers to understand the geographical distribution and population dynamics of this parasite.

## Methods

### Ethics statement

Samples were collected from patients with clinical suspicion of CL under a U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit 6 (NAMRU-6) human subjects research protocol approved by its Institutional Review Board (IRB) reference number NMRCD.2007.0018, in compliance with all applicable regulations governing the protection of human subjects. All patients participated voluntarily in the study and provided written informed consent.

### Study sites

Study sites comprised two ecological regions determined by different climate, geomorphology, hydrology, soil, and vegetation [14,15]: the highland jungle or *Rupa-Rupa* (400–1000 meters) that includes the departments of Ayacucho, Cusco, and Junín and the lowland jungle or *Omagua* (80–400 meters) represented by the departments of Loreto, Ucayali and Madre de Dios (Fig 1).

### Sample collection

Isolation of *Leishmania* parasites from a lesion was done as follows: a 21 G, 3 cc, 1 1/2" syringe with a needle containing 0.1 ml of sterile saline solution with 0.1 mg/mL gentamicin and 0.05 mg/ml 5-fluorocytosine was inserted horizontally or perpendicular to the internal border of the ulcer. After the needle was positioned, it was slightly rotated to the left and the right without injecting the saline. The parasite-containing tissue was aspirated and inoculated on Senekjie's modified biphasic blood agar medium [16]. After 3–5 days, 0.1 ml of liquid phase with promastigotes was mass cultured in 5 ml of Schneider's *Drosophila* medium supplemented with 30% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 1% gentamicin for two or three weeks.


### DNA extraction and genotyping of samples

DNA was extracted from promastigotes of *Leishmania* strains grown in mass cultures using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (QIAGEN, United States). Then, the extracted DNA was quantified using the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer and subsequently used for the detection of species belonging to the *L. (Viannia)* subgenus by a kinetoplast-DNA-based PCR (kDNA-PCR) [17]. The species were determined by a FRET probes-based Nested Real-Time PCR as previously described [18].

### Multi Locus Microsatellite Typing (MLMT)

Ten highly polymorphic neutral microsatellite markers were selected from previous reports and used to determine genetic diversity indexes in the *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains: AC52, B3H, Ibh3, E11, 7GN, EMI, LBA, ARP, G09, and LRC (Table 1) [5,6,19].

PCR was performed in 10 µl mix containing 1X PCR Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM dNTPs, 0.4 µM of each primer, 0.05 U/µl Platinum *Taq* DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher) and 0.4 ng DNA. Fluorophores in the forward primers



**Fig 1.** Map of Peru indicating the ecological regions of the highland jungle or *Rupa-Rupa* (dark green) and the lowland jungle or *Omagua* (light green), on which the divisions by departments are superimposed. The collection sites of the *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains are indicated by colored circles. Loreto in orange, Ucayali in yellow, Madre de Dios in dark-green, Junín in blue, Ayacucho in light-green and Cusco in red. (Modified from a map available at [dmaps.com](https://dmaps.com) [https://dmaps.com https://dmaps.com/carte.php?num\\_car=4765&lang=en](https://dmaps.com/carte.php?num_car=4765&lang=en)).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.g001>

Table 1. Characteristics of microsatellite markers used in this study.

Locus	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Motif	Ta(°C)	Dye	Allele size (bp)
<b>Poolplexing group A</b>					
B3H	5'-GGTATGCGTGGATATGAAGC-3'	(AC) <sub>13</sub>	60	6-FAM	59–93
	5'-CTCGGCATCGCAGTTTC-3'				
AC52	5'-CATCTACGGCTGATGCAGAA-3'	(CA) <sub>18</sub> TA(CA) <sub>14</sub>	58	NED	86–140
	5'-CGTCTGGCTAAAGTGGGAAT-3'				
Ibh3	5'-GGAGAGGCTGCGATGTATCT-3'	(GT) <sub>2</sub> GG(GT) <sub>2</sub> GG(GT) <sub>4</sub>	58	HEX	117–133
	5'-CAGGGCTGTCTTGACGAAG-3'				
<b>Poolplexing group B</b>					
E11	5'-TGCGTAGGGCAAAGGAGTT-3'	(GA) <sub>10</sub>	58	6-FAM	93–111
	5'-GGGTGTCTGCCTGCATTTC-3'				
7GN	5'-CTCCTGGAACGGCTAACAC-3'	(AC) <sub>11</sub>	60	HEX	105–131
	5'-TGATATGAGGCACATTCAGC-3'				
LBA	5'-CCTCTGTGAGAAGGCAAGGA-3'	(GA) <sub>11</sub>	64	HEX	165–193
	5'-GCTGCACATGCATTCTCTCGT-3'				
EMI	5'-CGCTGAAGCACGGCGAATG-3'	(GT) <sub>20</sub>	60	NED	180–206
	5'-CGTAGCTCCTCTGTCCGTTTC-3'				
<b>Poolplexing group C</b>					
LRC	5'-CTGCCTCTGCCTCACCTACT-3'	(GT) <sub>17</sub>	60	HEX	112–166
	5'-CTAACCCCTCACACTCCCATC-3'				
ARP	5'-GGCTCGGTCTGTTCGACTA-3'	(GT) <sub>10</sub>	60	NED	129–173
	5'-CACCCACTCGCATCCGTA-3'				
G09	5'-CAAGCAGGCAAGAGTCTGAAA-3'	(CA) <sub>3</sub> (GA) <sub>12</sub>	60	6-FAM	152–168
	5'-GTCTCCCGTATTGCTCTCTCTA-3'				

Ta: annealing temperature

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.t001>

(6-FAM, HEX, and NED) and PCR conditions were modified from the original publications to eliminate split and stutter peaks [5,6].

Amplification conditions were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, with a gradient annealing of 55°C to 65°C for each primer for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute; then a final extension step of 72°C for 15 minutes. PCRs were performed in triplicate, and DNA from *L. (V.) braziliensis* MHOM/BR/84/LTB300 reference strain was used as a positive control of amplification.

PCR products from multiple microsatellite markers were combined in a single lane, a procedure called pseudo-multiplexing or poolplexing (Table 1) depending on allele size and fluorophore wavelength emitted to avoid overlapping between loci [20]. Fluorescently labeled PCR products were separated on 50 cm electrophoresis capillaries with POP7 in a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using GeneScan 350ROX dye as a size standard. Electropherograms were analyzed to determine the allele size-calling using the second-order least squares method provided by GeneMapper v4.0 [21]. The reference strain for *L. (V.) braziliensis*, MHOM/BR/84/LTB300, was used in each electrophoretic run to provide a consistent size marker for each of the ten microsatellite loci [5–8]. The sizes of the identified alleles were recorded in whole numbers for the analysis with GenAEx 6.5 [22].

### Genetic diversity indexes

We calculated the number of alleles ( $N_A$ ), observed heterozygosity ( $H_O$ ), and expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) using the software GenAEx 6.5. Allelic richness ( $r_n$ ) was calculated through the

rarefaction method using FSTAT 2.9.3.2 [23], whereas polymorphic information content (PIC) measures the ability of a marker to detect polymorphisms, was calculated with CERVUS 3.0 [24]. The 95% confidence interval for inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) was determined using 100,000 bootstraps for populations in FSTAT. The frequencies of null alleles, stuttering effects, and typographic errors were evaluated by Micro-Checker 2.3.3 [25]. Hardy–Weinberg deviations for each locus, fixation indices  $F_{ST}$  and  $Rho_{ST}$ , considering different sample sizes among populations, were estimated with the method of Weir and Cockerham [26] using the software Genepop 4.4 [27]. Additionally, we performed the Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) with ARLEQUIN v3.5 [28] using 10,000 permutations and estimated genetic variation at different levels: 1) among groups or ecoregions, considering the highland jungle or *Rupa-Rupa*, and the lowland jungle or *Omagua*, 2) among populations within groups or Peruvian departments within ecoregions (Ayacucho, Cusco, Junín for highland jungle, Madre de Dios, Loreto and Ucayali for lowland jungle; and 3) within populations or between individuals.

### Genetic structure and population dynamics

The genetic structure of the *L. (V.) braziliensis* population was determined through Bayesian grouping analysis by STRUCTURE v2.3 [29] based on an admixture model with K varying from 1 to 10, 30 independent runs were performed for each value of K with a burn-in of 1,000,000, followed by 1,000,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations.

The optimal number of subpopulations was determined using the  $\Delta K$  method implemented in Structure Harvester v0.6.94 [30]. We performed a factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) using GENETIX [31] to condense allelic frequencies information in factors for multidimensional visualization without a priori assumption of grouping.

Additionally, POPULATION v1.2.32 was used to generate Neighbor-Joining tree using Nei's minimum distance ( $D_A$ ) [32] and MEGA-X to visualize the phylogenetic tree with radiation topology and circle style.

### Linkage disequilibrium analysis

Linkage disequilibrium was assessed using LinkDos [33], assuming that pairs of loci are at linkage equilibrium. The Bonferroni correction was applied to decrease type I errors [34]. Multilocus linkage disequilibrium was evaluated using the method of the standardized index of association ( $\bar{r}_d$ ). We used the R package poppr [35] to test the null hypothesis of no linkage disequilibrium with 1000 permutations.

### Colonization history analysis of *L. (V.) braziliensis*

Different models of gene flow and colonization between lowland (L) and highland (H) jungle were evaluated and compared using a Bayesian approach of the structured coalescent implemented in MIGRATE-N v4.4.4. [36]. Each model was run using a Markov chain Monte Carlo scheme with four parallel chains (heated chains) to support the model selection approach (29). For each locus, ten replicate heated chains were used. Each replicate was burned-in for 100,000 steps and then ran for 1,000,000 steps collecting 100,000 samples every ten steps. We specified prior distributions for the parameter used in the models: the mutation-scaled population size Theta, the mutation-scaled immigration rates, and for some models the mutation-scaled divergence time used a uniform prior distribution with bounds of 0 and 100 generation times mutation rate. The units for the mutation-scaled population sizes were four times the number of individuals times mutation rate per generation, the mutation-scaled immigration rate was immigration rate per mutation rate, and the mutation-scaled divergence time was generation times per mutation rate.

The tested models were: (1) Immigration ongoing and recurrent, assuming that both populations existed for a long time: (a) Migrants only move from L to H. (b) Migrants only move from H to L. (c) Migrants move between both jungles at potentially different rates. (2) Divergence with immigration, considering one population split from the other some time ago: (a) L is ancestral, H is colonized from L, ongoing/directional gene flow  $L \rightarrow H$ . (b) H is ancestral, L is colonized from H, ongoing/recurrent gene flow between  $L \leftarrow \rightarrow H$ . (c) L is ancestral, H is colonized from L, ongoing/recurrent gene flow between  $L \leftarrow \rightarrow H$ . (d) H is ancestral, L is colonized from H, ongoing/directional gene flow  $H \rightarrow L$ . (3) Divergence without immigration, considering one population split some time ago from the other: (a) H is ancestral, L is colonized from H, no gene flow/migration afterward. (b) L is ancestral, H is colonized from L, no gene flow afterwards. (c) L is ancestral, H is colonized from L, no gene flow afterward. Models 3b and 3c are the same with different prior ranges for divergence in generation times mutation rate. The log marginal likelihoods were used to estimate the log Bayes factor, which was used to estimate the best model.

## Results

### Optimization of microsatellite markers amplification

The optimal quantity of *Leishmania* DNA was estimated in 0.4 ng (equivalent to  $4.8 \times 10^3$  parasites), which is 25 to 250 times less of template DNA than described in previous articles where authors used between 10 ng to 100 ng of template DNA to amplify microsatellite markers of *Leishmania* by PCR (equivalent to  $1.2 \times 10^5$  up to  $1.2 \times 10^6$  parasites) [5,6,19]. All potential artifacts were removed based on suggestions previously described [20,37]. Split peaks, stuttering or shadow bands, and false peaks were eliminated by decreasing primer concentrations in markers 7GN, AC52, ARP, B3H, EMI, and Ibh3 (0.06  $\mu$ M final concentration of each primer). Optimizing thermal conditions resulted in better hybridization temperatures for most primers at 60°C, whereas AC52, E11, and Ibh3 at 58°C, and LBA at 64°C.

We eliminated fluorescence saturation signal and background noise using a 1:1000 dilution of PCR products for all markers to perform fragment analysis. This process allowed the proper allocation of alleles in most *L. (V.) braziliensis* isolates. However, no amplification in G09 (four samples) and B3H, EMI, and E11 (one sample each one) were observed (S1 Table). MicroChecker found the presence of null alleles in all markers in the total population due to an apparent general excess of homozygotes (heterozygous deficit). Also, null alleles were apparently present in the E11 and EMI markers of cluster 1 ( $N = 25$ ) and the B3H marker of cluster 2 ( $N = 99$ ) (S2 Table).

### The population of *L. (V.) braziliensis* exhibited high genetic diversity

The 124 *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains exhibited one or two peaks in all electropherograms analyzed. GenAEx 6.5 determined that the 124 strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* exhibited a high number of alleles per locus with a mean allelic diversity of 14.9, ranging from 6 to 26 alleles per locus (markers Ibh3 and AC52, respectively). Also, the microsatellite markers analyzed in the total *L. (V.) braziliensis* population showed high average expected heterozygosity ( $H_E = 0.816$ ), slightly higher than observed heterozygosity ( $H_O = 0.669$ ), high mean polymorphic information content (PIC = 0.790) (Table 2), and a mean inbreeding coefficient of 0.18. Genepop v4.4 analysis showed a significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for the observed heterozygote deficit in the majority of markers, except for ARP and G09 ( $p > 0.05$ ) in the total population (124 strains).

On the other hand, genetic diversity parameters showed that strains collected in the lowland jungle had more variability than those collected in the highland jungle when considered the pre-established ecoregions (Table 3).

Table 2. Genetic diversity parameters of the 10 *L. (V.) braziliensis* microsatellite loci.

Locus	$N_A$	$H_O$	$H_E$	$PIC$
AC52	26	0.831	0.936	0.928
B3H	18	0.553	0.835	0.812
Ibh3	6	0.266	0.556	0.506
E11	9	0.577	0.739	0.702
7GN	13	0.734	0.853	0.833
EMI	13	0.748	0.829	0.804
LBA	15	0.806	0.904	0.892
ARP	20	0.855	0.922	0.913
G09	9	0.542	0.664	0.601
LRC	20	0.782	0.923	0.913
Mean	14.9	0.669	0.816	0.790

$N_A$  = allelic diversity,  $H_O$  = observed heterozygosity,  $H_E$  = expected heterozygosity,  $PIC$  = polymorphic information content.  $N_A$ ,  $H_O$ , and  $H_E$  were estimated with GenAlEx 6.5;  $PIC$  was estimated with CERVUS 3.0.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.t002>

However, AMOVA analysis showed that most percentages of the variation were between individuals within populations (82.15%) rather than by pre-established groups or ecoregions (9.39%) (Table 4).

### The population structure of *L. (V.) braziliensis* showed a marked differentiation by ecoregions

The 124 *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains were grouped into two genetic clusters by STRUCTURE v2.3 (S3 Table and S1 Fig). Cluster 1 comprised 25 strains, from which 23 were isolated from the highland jungle of the departments of Junín, Cusco, and Ayacucho and two strains from Madre de Dios in the lowland region (Fig 2A and 2B, in red).

Cluster 2 comprised 99 strains, 83 of these were isolated from the lowland jungle of the departments of Loreto, Ucayali, and Madre de Dios, and 16 strains were from Cusco and Junín (Fig 2A and 2B, in green). The factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) showed a similar grouping (Fig 2C). GenAlEx determined private alleles or alleles unique to a single population; it reported  $0.5 \pm 0.2$  alleles for highland jungle and  $11.5 \pm 1.8$  alleles for lowland jungle. Fixation indices  $F_{ST}$  (0.319) and  $Rho_{ST}$  (0.434) showed moderately high genetic differentiation in the two clusters found (S4 Table). In addition, the analysis of the Neighbor-Joining tree confirmed the results showed by STRUCTURE and FCA where Cluster 1 groups 25 strains from Junín, Cusco, and Ayacucho, while Cluster 2 groups 99 strains mainly from Madre de Dios, Loreto, and Ucayali (S2 Fig).

Table 3. Genetic diversity for each pre-established ecoregion.

Ecoregion	Strains		$N_A$	$H_O$	$H_E$	$F_{IS}$
Highland jungle	25	Mean	3.400	0.329	0.386	0.169
		SD	0.670	0.080	0.091	-
Lowland jungle	99	Mean	14.400	0.753	0.781	0.041
		SD	1.968	0.058	0.057	-

All parameters were estimated with GenAlEx 6.5.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.t003>

Table 4. Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) for *L. (V.) braziliensis* population.

Source of variation	Sum of squares	Variance components	Estimated variation (%)
Among ecoregions	74.41	0.42	9.39
Among departments within ecoregions	40.66	0.38	8.46
Between individuals within populations	887.76	3.69	82.15
Total	1002.84	4.49	100.00

Results were determined with Arlequin 3.5.


<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.t004>

Given the different sample sizes between clusters, the allelic richness analysis after the rarefaction method was based on a sample size of 21 diploid individuals per cluster, which was the smallest number of individuals to be analyzed (Table 5).

### Characterization of subpopulations in Peruvian *L. (V.) braziliensis*

The mean inbreeding coefficient  $F_{IS}$  values were 0.1693 (95% CI 0.0118–0.3085) and 0.0413 (95% CI 0.0204–0.0696) for Clusters 1 and 2, respectively (Table 3).

In addition, pairwise linkage disequilibrium analysis presented 11.1% (5 pairs of loci from 45) and 17.8% (8 pairs of loci from 45) of non-random association between loci after Bonferroni correction ( $p < 0.001$ ) in Cluster 1 and 2, respectively. Genetic Cluster 1 exhibited standardized index of association,  $\bar{r}_d$  values of 0.060 ( $p = 0.000$ ) and the Cluster 2, 0.001 ( $p = 0.429$ ) in the multilocus analysis.



**Fig 2. Presence of two *L. (V.) braziliensis* genetic clusters from the Peruvian jungle.** (A) Map of Peru showing the geographical ecoregions where the highland jungle in dark green is represented by samples from the departments of Junín, Cusco, and Ayacucho, and lowland jungle in light green includes samples from Loreto, Ucayali, and Madre de Dios. The pie charts describe the distribution of the two subpopulations inferred where Cluster 1 is in red and Cluster 2 is in green. (The map is based on a public map downloaded from [https://d-maps.com/carte.php?num\\_car=4765&lang=en](https://d-maps.com/carte.php?num_car=4765&lang=en).) (B) Graphic of STRUCTURE v2.3 analysis showing the number of strains isolated by each department with 25 strains for Cluster 1 and 99 strains for Cluster 2. (C) Factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) plot where 25 yellow squares correspond to strains of cluster 1 and 99 dark blue squares represent Cluster 2.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.g002>

Table 5. Allelic richness for both clusters.

Marker	Cluster 1	Cluster 2
AC52	2.977	18.387
B3H	1.000	10.586
Ibh3	1.000	3.281
E11	2.840	5.543
7GN	3.000	10.345
EMI	4.840	9.847
LBA	3.000	12.451
ARP	7.634	15.164
G09	2.000	5.637
LRC	4.677	13.722

The results were determined with FSTAT 2.9.3.2.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.t005>

### Possible event of colonization in *L. (V.) braziliensis*

Two geographic units were characterized: the Peruvian highland jungle and lowland jungle. For the statistical analysis, geographic units were treated as two populations, all possible connection models between these two populations were evaluated with MIGRATE-N v4.4.4; these models can be grouped into 1) models of ongoing immigration, 2) models of colonization with migration after the colonization event, and 3) models of colonization without immigration after the colonization event. All models with immigration have model probabilities of 0.0000 and low log marginal likelihoods. Only the model where the highland is colonized from the lowland has high model probability (the models 3b and 3c specify the same model but differ in their prior probability range: 3c investigated events only in the colonization time range of 0 to 50, whereas 3b used a range of 0 to 100 (Table 6). Hence, the occurrence of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in the highlands is likely a result of a colonization event from the lowlands.

Assuming that the parasite lives in the vector insect with a replication time of 7.6 hours and replicates in human hosts every 48.8 hours [38], and based on a wide range of mutation rates for microsatellites [39], we estimate that the limits for the colonization event, in years, were more recent in vectors than in human hosts (S1 File and S5 Table).

Table 6. Probability of models proposed to analyze possible events of gene flow and colonization.

Model	Log(marginal Likelihood)	LBF	Model-probability
1a:	-29428.2	-3611.72	0
1b:	-28569.2	-2752.72	0
1c:	-28291.15	-2474.67	0
2a:	-27422.57	-1606.09	0
2b:	-26910.43	-1093.95	0
2c:	-26866.04	-1049.56	0
2d:	-26714.12	-897.64	0
3a:	-26097.23	-280.75	0
3b:	-25816.48	0	0.9998 (*)
3c:	-25824.81	-8.33	0.0002 (*)

LBF = Log Bayes Factor.

\*Difference between models 3b and 3c was a uniform prior probability of 0 to 100 and 0 to 50 generation × mutation rate, respectively.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010374.t006>

## Discussion

This study confirms the high genetic diversity in *L. (V.) braziliensis* from the Peruvian Amazon rainforest with two clusters distributed across the highland jungle, *Rupa-Rupa*, and lowland jungle, *Omagua* [14]. Furthermore, it reveals a possible event of past colonization of the highland jungle from the lowland jungle without subsequent gene flow.

The quality and quantity of DNA isolated from *in-vitro* cultured *Leishmania* promastigotes were crucial for the successful amplification of the ten polymorphic microsatellite markers by PCR. Previous assays that we performed with DNA extracted from other types of clinical samples like biopsies, lancet scrapping, and filter paper imprints resulted in poor resolution of certain microsatellite markers due to the presence of artifacts, stutters principally, and absence of amplification which made it challenging to identify the true alleles [40].

Most of the ten markers showed new alleles reflecting high polymorphism in the populations of the parasites isolated from different Peruvian regions compared to the range of alleles previously reported (see S6 Table) [5,6]. The finding of high genetic diversity ( $N_A = 14.9$ ,  $H_E = 0.816$ ) is consistent with previous studies conducted in Peru. One of them with 124 *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains from different countries including 25 strains from the endemic zone of Pilcopata, Cusco showed  $N_A = 12.4$  and  $H_E = 0.75$  [6]. A second study assessed 56 *L. (V.) braziliensis* strains from an unknown location in Peru and reported  $N_A = 9.7$  and  $H_E = 0.77$  [19]. Our study included isolates from the mountainous or highland jungle and swampy or lowland jungle from central and southeast Peru, specifically from endemic regions of Madre de Dios (78 strains) and Cusco (18 strains) adding evidence to published studies about genetic variability in this parasite [6,9,19].

The high genetic diversity that we observe could be explained in part by the type of reproduction of the parasite. Interestingly, both clusters have moderate  $F_{IS}$  values, being  $F_{IS}$  value for Cluster 2 ( $F_{IS} = 0.041$ , associated with lowland jungle) near zero that could suggest high recombination. This result is similar to the high recombination rates suggested for *L. (V.) braziliensis* from different regions of Peru, using whole-genome sequencing (WGS, 21 isolates, mean  $F_{IS} = -0.11$ ) [10]. In contrast, Cluster 1 ( $F_{IS} = 0.169$ , associated with highland jungle) has a higher  $F_{IS}$  value than Cluster 2, suggesting a more recent establishment due to migration or colonization. Our  $F_{IS}$  values are lower than those found in Pilcopata, Cusco, Peru (25 isolates in 1993–1994 with  $F_{IS} = 0.5$ ) and Acre, Brazil (63 isolates from 1980 to 2008 with  $F_{IS} = 0.519$ ) [6,8]. These two studies had limited samples per geographic region and strong population subdivision (Wahlund effect), suggesting partially clonal populations. Similarly, to eliminate space-time bias leading to a Wahlund effect in our study, more samples should have been collected from other Peruvian regions, but only low numbers of annual cases were reported during years of our collection (2012–2016) compared to higher cases we found in endemic regions of Cusco and Madre de Dios [41]. Also, the linkage disequilibrium between pairs of loci and multilocus for both Peruvian subpopulations of *L. (V.) braziliensis* was not strong, suggesting that the reproduction could not be strictly clonal similar to the previous report in Brazil [8]. Interspecific genetic recombination or the presence of hybrids in contrast to earlier reports have not been found in our study [42,43].

Although the genetic clusters described are distributed between the ecoregions of highland and lowland jungles, most isolates are from three areas: Junín, Cusco, and Madre de Dios. A deeper analysis indicates significant differences in geographic variation. In particular, the samples from the districts of Tahuamanú, Las Piedras, and Tambopata in Madre de Dios show high variability as a result of the intrapopulation contribution to the diversity found by AMOVA. The higher allelic richness in cluster 2 reinforces the high diversity observed principally in the *Omagua* and part of *Rupa-Rupa* regions, compared with the diversity of alleles

grouped in Cluster 1 present mainly in the highland jungle. A possible explanation for the extensive diversity may be the presence of several hydrographic basins in Madre de Dios facilitating isolation and genetic variation of the parasite. However, the rivers and altitudinal floors do not seem to be an unbeatable barrier for the dispersal of possible vectors of the subpopulations of *L. (V.) braziliensis* [44]. Recently we have described the presence of some putative vector species (*Lutzomyia auraensis*, *Lu. davisi*) naturally infected with *L. (V.) braziliensis* in Madre de Dios [45,46]. The same sandfly species were found infected with *L. (V.) braziliensis* in the Brazilian state of Acre, neighbor to Madre de Dios [47,48]. Remarkably, the two clusters identified here do not appear in an earlier work where the authors, through WGS, focused on the speciation and diversification of *L. (V.) peruviana* and *L. (V.) braziliensis*. Their temporal and spatial sampling scheme [10] differs from our study. They collected isolates from 1990 to 2003; most isolates were from Cajamarca, Huánuco, Pasco, and collection altitudes were around 630 meters and higher than 1900 meters. In contrast, our isolates were from 2012 to 2016 and principally from geographical areas lower than 1000 meters from Junín, Cusco, and Madre de Dios. This study [10] suggested high gene flow in contrast with the marked genetic differentiation that we found between the two clusters and the MIGRATE-N results that showed colonization of *L. (V.) braziliensis* from lowland jungle to highland jungle and posterior populational isolation without gene flow. Discrepancies could derive from differences in the number of representative strain isolates, site of sampling, and methodological approaches used, MLMT versus WGS.

Cluster 2 distributed between the Peruvian lowland jungle and part of the highland jungle could be similar to subpopulation 3 of a previous study that grouped six strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* from the mountainous region and lowland jungle of Peru with two strains from the neighboring Brazilian state of Acre, using 15 MLMT loci [5]. Two of those markers were assessed in our study (B3H and 7GN) considering high number of alleles shown. Likewise, Cluster 2 could be similar to subpopulation Pop3A that grouped strains of the Brazilian state of Acre close to the border with Madre de Dios [8].

The observation that some alleles from the lowland jungle were present in the highland jungle, despite the STRUCTURE, FCA, and Neighbor-Joining tree findings of two completely separate *L. (V.) braziliensis* genetic groups, led us to search for an explanation. We assumed several different models of gene flow and colonization between the altitudinal regions. The role of human migration was investigated because cutaneous and mucosal leishmaniasis are zoonotic diseases without the involvement of humans in the transmission [49]. In addition, patients from whom parasites were isolated performed different activities: military deployed in the highland jungle (Junín, Cusco, Ayacucho) and civilians living in the lowland jungle (Loreto, Ucayali, Madre de Dios) without contact with each other at the time of sampling. Likewise, known human migration from Cusco and Puno to Madre de Dios through the Inter-oceanic Highway [50] was dismissed because no contact was observed between both groups of people and no register of possible migration between civilians. The recent colonization event could have been driven by the participation of the vectors and the reservoirs in historical times [46,51–53]. Spanish chroniclers and studies on human skulls reported human migration from the highland jungle to the western Andean valleys [54–56]. More recent human migration from other Peruvian departments to Cusco and Madre de Dios due to economic factors [57] would partially explain the possible recent colonization event, before the time of our study. We do not rule out that patients during written informed consent may give inaccurate data about the geographic location where possibly they became infected. Therefore, the infection may have occurred before they were to work in the highland jungle (military) or in the lowland jungle (civilian population), where they eventually developed the disease.

As mentioned above, the MIGRATE-N results and divergence time in coalescent units showing a recent colonization event from lowland jungle to highland jungle could explain the

geographic distribution of Cluster 2 in both regions (S1 File and S5 Table). This event is more recent than the speciation and diversification of the *L. (V.) braziliensis* complex in the late Pleistocene [10].

No correlation was found between clinical characteristics from patients (size of lesion, location of lesion, number of lesions, time of disease, age of patients, response to treatment) and a particular parasite genetic profile, similar to that already described by many studies [8,58]. Other factors such as the nutritional and immunological status of the patient may explain the differences in disease outcome [8,59,60]. Likewise, different parasite and vector factors explain the pleiomorphism of leishmaniasis [61] that cannot be explained only by the analysis of genetic diversity and population structure described. It is expected that studies applying whole-genome sequencing of *Leishmania* can provide epidemiological information to design control strategies in endemic regions [62,63].

The high genetic diversity and population structure of *L. (V.) braziliensis* described in our work will help better understand the evolutionary history of this parasite in Peru [9,10,64,65]. New epidemiological studies may benefit from the knowledge of the distribution of the leishmaniasis agent [66,67] to develop transmission mitigation strategies between military [68,69] and civilian people in endemic areas of Peru [70,71].

## Supporting information

### S1 Fig. Delta K ( $\Delta K$ ) and K values inferred by Structure Harvester.

(TIF)

### S2 Fig. Neighbor-Joining tree, radiated style, shows the two clusters for *L. (V.) braziliensis*.

The genetic distance was measured by Nei's minimum distance.

(TIF)

### S1 Table. Sample code, geographic origin, year of enrollment and all genotypes obtained for 10 microsatellite markers. <sup>1</sup>Precise sizes of amplified fragments that represent genotypes obtained at each of 10 loci by PCR. NA, fragment non-amplified.

(XLSX)

### S2 Table. Micro-Checker results for stuttering, allele dropout and null alleles. A) Values for all populations. B) Values for cluster 1, 25 isolates. C) Values for cluster 2, 99 isolates.

(TIF)

### S3 Table. The Evanno table output with K values obtained by STRUCTURE.

(TIF)

### S4 Table. Values of $F_{ST}$ and $Rho_{ST}$ obtained with Weir and Cockerham method in Genepop 4.0.10.

(XLSX)

**S5 Table. Time of colonization of the highland from the lowland jungle.** Multiple potential translations of the divergence time estimate of MIGRATE are given: g, generation;  $\mu$ , locus mutation rate per generation; the 2.5% and the 97.5% are percentiles of the posterior distribution of the divergence time and mark the boundary of the 95% confidence interval. The microsatellite mutation rate values are not known for *Leishmania* but are probable values [39]. The generations per year were calculated from replication times in promastigotes and amastigotes [38].

(XLSX)

**S6 Table. Comparison of the range and distribution of alleles reported with the described in this study.** <sup>1</sup>Range of allele size reported for 124 strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in reference [6] where 25 strains were from Peru. <sup>2</sup>Range of fragment size obtained for 31 strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in reference [5], where six strains were from Peru. <sup>3</sup>Range of fragment size reported for 21 Peruvian strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis* in reference [7]. <sup>4</sup>Range of fragment size found in this study for 124 Peruvian strains of *L. (V.) braziliensis*.

(XLSX)

**S1 File. Calculation of the time of colonization.**

(DOCX)

## Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Luis Angel Rosales for his great collaboration in taking samples of patients in Puerto Maldonado and thank Christie Joya for the critical revision of this manuscript.

## Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez.

**Data curation:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Formal analysis:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Funding acquisition:** Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Investigation:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Methodology:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez.

**Project administration:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Resources:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Software:** Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Supervision:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez.

**Validation:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Visualization:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

**Writing – original draft:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez.

**Writing – review & editing:** Maxy B. De los Santos, Ivonne Melissa Ramírez, Jorge E. Rodríguez, Peter Beerli, Hugo O. Valdivia.

## References

1. Murray HW, Berman JD, Davies CR, Saravia NG. Advances in leishmaniasis. *Lancet*. Lancet; 2005. pp. 1561–1577. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)67629-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67629-5) PMID: 16257344
2. Alvar J, Vélez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, et al. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. *PLoS ONE*. 2012. p. e35671. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035671> PMID: 22693548

3. Lucas CM, Franke ED, Cachay MI, Tejada A, Cruz ME, Kreutzer RD, et al. Geographic distribution and clinical description of leishmaniasis cases in Peru. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1998; 59: 312–317. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1998.59.312> PMID: 9715953
4. Centro Nacional de Epidemiología, Prevención y Control de Enfermedades. [cited 11 Mar 2020]. Available: <https://www.dge.gob.pe/portal/docs/vigilancia/sala/2020/SE28/leishmaniosis.pdf>
5. Oddone R, Schweynoch C, Schönián G, De Sousa CDS, Cupolillo E, Espinosa D, et al. Development of a multilocus microsatellite typing approach for discriminating strains of *Leishmania (Viannia)* species. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2009; 47: 2818–2825. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00645-09> PMID: 19587302
6. Rougeron V, De Meeû T, Hide M, Waleckx E, Bermudez H, Arevalo J, et al. Extreme inbreeding in *Leishmania braziliensis*. 2009 [cited 14 Mar 2020]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904420106> PMID: 19497885
7. Adai V, Maes I, Huyse T, Van den Broeck F, Talledo M, Kuhls K, et al. Multilocus genotyping reveals a polyphyletic pattern among naturally antimony-resistant *Leishmania braziliensis* isolates from Peru. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2011; 11: 1873–1880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.08.008> PMID: 21871584
8. Kuhls K, Cupolillo E, Silva SO, Schweynoch C, Côrtes Boité M, Mello MN, et al. Population Structure and Evidence for Both Clonality and Recombination among Brazilian Strains of the Subgenus *Leishmania (Viannia)*. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2013; 7: e2490. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002490> PMID: 24205418
9. Odiwuor S, Veland N, Maes I, Arévalo J, Dujardin JC, Van der Auwera G. Evolution of the *Leishmania braziliensis* species complex from amplified fragment length polymorphisms, and clinical implications. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2012; 12: 1994–2002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2012.03.028> PMID: 22516226
10. Van den Broeck F, Savill NJ, Imamura H, Sanders M, Maes I, Cooper S, et al. Ecological divergence and hybridization of Neotropical *Leishmania* parasites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2020; 117. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920136117> PMID: 32958676
11. Motoie G, Ferreira GEM, Cupolillo E, Canavez F, Pereira-Chioccola VL. Spatial distribution and population genetics of *Leishmania infantum* genotypes in São Paulo State, Brazil, employing multilocus microsatellite typing directly in dog infected tissues. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2013; 18: 48–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.04.031> PMID: 23665466
12. Ferreira GEM, dos Santos BN, Dorval MEC, Ramos TPB, Porrozzini R, Peixoto AA, et al. The genetic structure of *Leishmania infantum* populations in Brazil and its possible association with the transmission cycle of visceral leishmaniasis. *PLoS One.* 2012;7. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036242> PMID: 22606248
13. Cupolillo E, Grimaldi G, Momen H. Genetic diversity among *Leishmania (Viannia)* parasites. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol.* 1997; 91: 617–626. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00034983.1997.11813180> PMID: 9425364
14. Pulgar-Vidal, J. Las ocho regiones naturales del Perú. [cited 11 Mar 2020]. Available: <https://journals.openedition.org/terrabrasilis/1027>
15. Brack Egg A MC. Enciclopedia “ECOLOGÍA DEL PERÚ.” [cited 11 Mar 2020]. Available: <https://www.peruecologico.com.pe/libro.htm>
16. Senekjic HA. Biochemical Reactions, Cultural Characteristics and Growth Requirements of *Trypanosoma cruzi* 1. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1943;51–23: 523–531. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1943.s1-23.523>
17. Lopez M, Inga R, Cangalaya M, Echevarria J, Llanos-Cuentas A, Orrego C, et al. Diagnosis of *Leishmania* using the polymerase chain reaction: A simplified procedure for field work. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1993; 49: 348–356. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1993.49.348> PMID: 8396860
18. Tsukayama P, Núñez JH, De Los Santos M, Soberón V, Lucas CM, Matlashewski G, et al. A FRET-Based Real-Time PCR Assay to Identify the Main Causal Agents of New World Tegumentary Leishmaniasis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2013; 7: e1956. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001956> PMID: 23301111
19. Rougeron V, Waleckx E, Hide M, De Meeûs T, Arevalo J, Llanos-Cuentas A, et al. A set of 12 microsatellite loci for genetic studies of *Leishmania braziliensis*. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2008; 8: 351–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01953.x> PMID: 21585789
20. Guichoux E, Lagache L, Wagner S, Chaumeil P, Léger P, Lepais O, et al. Current trends in microsatellite genotyping. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2011; 11: 591–611. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03014.x> PMID: 21565126
21. GeneMapperSoftware Version 4.0 Reference and Troubleshooting Guide Front Cover Process Quality Values and Basic Troubleshooting SNPlex System Troubleshooting Algorithms. 2005.

22. Peakall R, Smouse PE. GenAIEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. *Bioinforma Appl.* 2012; 28: 2537–2539. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460> PMID: 22820204
23. Goudet J. FSTAT (Version 1.2): A computer program to calculate F-statistics. *Journal of Heredity.* 1995; 86: 485–486. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111627>
24. Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC. Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Mol Ecol.* 2007; 16: 1099–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03089.x> PMID: 17305863
25. Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol Ecol Notes.* 2004; 4: 535–538. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x>
26. Michalakis Y, Excoffier L. A generic estimation of population subdivision using distances between alleles with special reference for microsatellite loci. *Genetics.* 1996; 142. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/142.3.1061> PMID: 8849912
27. Rousset F. GENEPOP'007: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2008; 8: 103–106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x> PMID: 21585727
28. Excoffier L, Lischer HEL. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2010; 10: 564–567. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x> PMID: 21565059
29. Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. *Mol Ecol.* 2005; 14: 2611–2620. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x> PMID: 15969739
30. Earl DA, vonHoldt BM. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv Genet Resour.* 2012; 4: 359–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7>
31. Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F, Belkhirr K. GENETIX 4.05, logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome, Populations, Interactions. 2004.
32. Nei M, Tajima F, Tateno Y. Accuracy of estimated phylogenetic trees from molecular data II. Gene frequency data. *J Mol Evol.* 1983; 19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02300753> PMID: 6571220
33. Garnier-Gere P, Dillmann C. A Computer Program for Testing Pairwise Linkage Disequilibria in Subdivided Populations. *J Hered.* 1992; 83: 239. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111204> PMID: 1624774
34. Rice WR. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution (N Y).* 1989; 43: 223–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1989.tb04220.x> PMID: 28568501
35. Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. Poppr: An R package for genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ.* 2014; 2014: 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.281> PMID: 24688859
36. Beerli P, Felsenstein J. Maximum-likelihood estimation of migration rates and effective population numbers in two populations using a coalescent approach. *Genetics.* 1999; 152: 763–773. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/152.2.763> PMID: 10353916
37. Fishback AG, Danzmann RG, Sakamoto T, Ferguson MM. Optimization of semi-automated microsatellite multiplex polymerase chain reaction systems for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture Elsevier*; Mar 15, 1999 pp. 247–254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(98\)00434-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00434-7)
38. Jara M, Maes I, Imamura H, Domagalska MA, Dujardin JC, Arevalo J. Tracking of quiescence in *Leishmania* by quantifying the expression of GFP in the ribosomal DNA locus. *Sci Rep.* 2019; 9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55486-z> PMID: 31831818
39. Seyfert AL, Cristescu MEA, Frisse L, Schaack S, Thomas WK, Lynch M. The rate and spectrum of microsatellite mutation in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Daphnia pulex*. *Genetics.* 2008; 178. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.081927> PMID: 18430937
40. Perez Velez ES. Utilidad de muestras clínicas de pacientes para realizar estudios de variabilidad genética de *Leishmania (V.) braziliensis*, procedentes de diferentes departamentos del Perú. 2019. Available: <https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12672/11043>
41. Centro Nacional de Epidemiología Prevención y Control de Enfermedades. Casos de leishmaniosis por años. Perú 2000–2017. 2017 p. 9. Available: <http://www.dge.gob.pe/portal/docs/vigilancia/sala/2017/SE52/leishmaniosis.pdf>
42. Kato H, Cáceres AG, Hashiguchi Y. First Evidence of a Hybrid of *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis/L. (V.) peruviana* DNA Detected from the Phlebotomine Sand Fly *Lutzomyia tejadai* in Peru. Pimenta PF,

- editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016; 10: e0004336. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004336> PMID: 26735142
43. Tabbabi A, Cáceres AG, Bustamante Chauca TP, Seki C, Choochartpong Y, Mizushima D, et al. Nuclear and kinetoplast dna analyses reveal genetically complex *Leishmania* strains with hybrid and mito-nuclear discordance in Peru. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008797> PMID: 33075058
  44. Zorrilla V, Vásquez G, Espada L, Ramírez P. Vectores de la leishmaniasis tegumentaria y la Enfermedad de Carrion en el Perú: una actualización. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica. 2017; 34: 485. <https://doi.org/10.17843/rpmesp.2017.343.2398> PMID: 29267774
  45. Valdivia HO, De Los Santos MB, Fernandez R, Baldeviano GC, Zorrilla VO, Vera H, et al. Natural *Leishmania* infection of *Lutzomyia auraensis* in Madre de Dios, Peru, detected by a fluorescence resonance energy transfer-based real-time polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012; 87: 511–517. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0708> PMID: 22802444
  46. Zorrilla V, De Los Santos MB, Espada L, Santos R del P, Fernandez R, Urquia A, et al. Distribution and identification of sand flies naturally infected with *Leishmania* from the Southeastern Peruvian Amazon. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11: e0006029–e0006029. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006029> PMID: 29107954
  47. Teles CBG, dos Santos AP de A, Freitas RA, de Oliveira AFJ, Ogawa GM, Rodrigues MS, et al. Phlebotomine sandfly (Diptera: *Psychodidae*) diversity and their *Leishmania* DNA in a hot spot of American cutaneous leishmaniasis human cases along the Brazilian border with Peru and Bolivia. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2016; 111. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760160054> PMID: 27304023
  48. De Araujo-Pereira T, De Pita-Pereira D, Boité MC, Melo M, Da Costa-Rego TA, Fuzari AA, et al. First description of *Leishmania (viannia)* infection in *Evandromyia saulensis*, *pressatia* sp. and *Trichophoromyia auraensis* (psychodidae: *Phlebotominae*) in a transmission area of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Acre State, amazon basin, Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2017; 112. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760160283> PMID: 28076470
  49. Sáenz E, Sánchez L, Chalco M. Leishmaniasis tegumentaria: una revisión con énfasis en la literatura peruana. Dermatol Peru. 2017; 27.
  50. Jensen KE, Naik NN, O'Neal C, Salmón-Mulanovich G, Riley-Powell AR, Lee GO, et al. Small scale migration along the interoceanic highway in Madre de Dios, Peru: An exploration of community perceptions and dynamics due to migration. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2018; 18: 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12914-018-0152-8> PMID: 29433484
  51. Davies CR, Reithinger R, Campbell-Lendrum D, Feliciangeli D, Borges R, Rodriguez N. The epidemiology and control of leishmaniasis in Andean countries. Cadernos de saúde pública / Ministério da Saúde, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública. 2000. pp. 925–950. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-311x200000400013> PMID: 11175518
  52. Roque ALR, Jansen AM. Wild and synanthropic reservoirs of *Leishmania* species in the Americas. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife. Australian Society for Parasitology; 2014. pp. 251–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2014.08.004> PMID: 25426421
  53. Ramírez JD, Hernández C, León CM, Ayala MS, Flórez C, González C. Taxonomy, diversity, temporal and geographical distribution of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis in Colombia: A retrospective study. Sci Rep. 2016; 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28266> PMID: 27328969
  54. Altamirano-Enciso AJ, Marzochi MCA, Moreira JS, Schubach AO, Marzochi KBF. Sobre a origem e dispersão das leishmanioses cutânea e mucosa com base em fontes históricas pré e pós-colombianas. História, Ciências, Saúde-Manguinhos. 2003; 10. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-59702003000300004>
  55. Lainson R. The Neotropical *Leishmania* species: a brief historical review of their discovery, ecology and taxonomy. Rev Pan-Amazônica Saúde. 2010; 1. <https://doi.org/10.5123/s2176-62232010000200002>
  56. Frías L, Leles D, Araújo A. Studies on protozoa in ancient remains—A review. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762013000100001> PMID: 23440107
  57. Sánchez A. Migraciones internas en el Perú. Primera ed. Organización Internacional para las Migraciones, editor. Organización Internacional para las Migraciones. Lima; 2015. Available: [https://peru.iom.int/sites/g/files/tmzbdl951/files/Documentos/Migraciones\\_Internas.pdf](https://peru.iom.int/sites/g/files/tmzbdl951/files/Documentos/Migraciones_Internas.pdf)
  58. Aluru S, Hide M, Michel G, Bañuls AL, Marty P, Pomares C. Multilocus microsatellite typing of *Leishmania* and clinical applications: A review. Parasite. 2015; 22. <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2015016> PMID: 25950900
  59. De Oliveira L, Pereira R, Moreira RB, Pereira De Oliveira M, De Oliveira Reis S, Paes De Oliveira Neto M, et al. Is *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* parasite load associated with disease pathogenesis? Int J Infect Dis. 2017; 57: 132–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2017.01.036> PMID: 28167253
  60. Gabriel Á, Valério-Bolas A, Palma-Marques J, Mourata-Gonçalves P, Ruas P, Dias-Guerreiro T, et al. Cutaneous Leishmaniasis: The Complexity of Host's Effective Immune Response against a

- Polymorphic Parasitic Disease. *J Immunol Res.* 2019;2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2603730> PMID: 31871953
61. Bañuls AL, Bastien P, Pomares C, Arevalo J, Fisa R, Hide M. Clinical pleiomorphism in human leishmaniasis, with special mention of asymptomatic infection. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection.* Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2011. pp. 1451–1461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03640.x> PMID: 21933304
  62. Awadalla P. The evolutionary genomics of pathogen recombination. *Nature Reviews Genetics.* 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg964> PMID: 12509753
  63. Domagalska MA, Dujardin JC. Next-Generation Molecular Surveillance of TriTryp Diseases. *Trends in Parasitology.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2020.01.008> PMID: 32191850
  64. Momen H, Cupolillo E. Speculations on the Origin and Evolution of the Genus *Leishmania*. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2000; 95: 583–588. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762000000400023> PMID: 10904419
  65. Tuon FF, Amato Neto V, Sabbaga Amato V. *Leishmania*: Origin, evolution and future since the Precambrian. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology.* Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2008. pp. 158–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00455.x> PMID: 18631183
  66. Cupolillo E, Brahim LR, Toaldo CB, de Oliveira-Neto MP, de Brito ME, Falqueto A, de Farias Naiff M, Grimaldi G Jr. Genetic polymorphism and molecular epidemiology of *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* from different hosts and geographic areas in Brazil. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2003 Jul; 41(7):3126–32. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.7.3126-3132.2003> PMID: 12843052; PMCID: PMC165365.
  67. Schonian G, Kuhls K, Mauricio IL. Molecular approaches for a better understanding of the epidemiology and population genetics of *Leishmania*. *Parasitology.* 2011; 138: 405–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182010001538> PMID: 21078222
  68. Patino LH, Mendez C, Rodriguez O, Romero Y, Velandia D, Alvarado M, et al. Spatial distribution, *Leishmania* species and clinical traits of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis cases in the Colombian army. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2017; 11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005876> PMID: 28850603
  69. Beiter KJ, Wentlent ZJ, Hamouda AR, Thomas BN. Nonconventional opponents: A review of malaria and leishmaniasis among United States Armed Forces. *PeerJ.* 2019; 2019. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6313> PMID: 30701136
  70. Oré M, Sáenz E, Cabrera R, Sanchez JF, De Los Santos MB, Lucas CM, et al. Outbreak of cutaneous leishmaniasis in peruvian military personnel undertaking training activities in the amazon basin, 2010. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2015; 93: 340–346. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0107> PMID: 26078320
  71. Coleman RE, Burkett DA, Sherwood V, Caci J, Spradling S, Jennings BT, et al. Impact of Phlebotomine Sand Flies on U.S. Military Operations at Tallil Air Base, Iraq: 2. Temporal and Geographic Distribution of Sand Flies. *J Med Entomol.* 2007; 44: 29–41. [https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585\(2007\)44\[29:iopsfo\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2007)44[29:iopsfo]2.0.co;2) PMID: 17294918