cambridge.org/hyg

## **Short Paper**

**Cite this article:** Pimentel Sobrinho C *et al.* (2021). Prevalence of Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* (DEC) and *Salmonella* spp. with zoonotic potential in urban rats in Salvador, Brazil. *Epidemiology and Infection* **149**, e128, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026882000285X

Received: 4 March 2020 Revised: 15 July 2020 Accepted: 16 September 2020

### Key words:

Enterobacteria; *R. norvegicus*; *R. rattus*; odents; Zoonoses

#### Author for correspondence:

C. Pimentel Sobrinho, E-mail: camila\_pimentel20@hotmail.com

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



# Prevalence of Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* (DEC) and *Salmonella* spp. with zoonotic potential in urban rats in Salvador, Brazil

C. Pimentel Sobrinho<sup>1</sup> , J. Lima Godoi<sup>1</sup>, F. Neves Souza<sup>1</sup>,

C. Graco Zeppelini<sup>1</sup>, V. Espirito Santo<sup>2</sup>, D. Carvalho Santiago<sup>2</sup>, R. Sady Alves<sup>4</sup>,

H. Khalil<sup>2,3</sup>, T. Carvalho Pereira<sup>2</sup>, M. Hanzen Pinna<sup>4</sup>, M. Begon<sup>5</sup>,

S. Machado Cordeiro<sup>6</sup>, J. Neves Reis<sup>6</sup> and F. Costa<sup>2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biology Institute, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Salvador, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Collective Health Institute, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Salvador, Brazil; <sup>3</sup>Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden; <sup>4</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Salvador, Brazil; <sup>5</sup>Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK and <sup>6</sup>School of Pharmacy, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Salvador, Brazil

## Abstract

Studies evaluating the occurrence of enteropathogenic bacteria in urban rats (*Rattus* spp.) are scarce worldwide, specifically in the urban environments of tropical countries. This study aims to estimate the prevalence of diarrhoeagenic *Escherichia coli* (DEC) and *Salmonella* spp. with zoonotic potential in urban slum environments. We trapped rats between April and June 2018 in Salvador, Brazil. We collected rectal swabs from *Rattus* spp., and cultured for *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp., and screened *E. coli* isolates by polymerase chain reaction to identify pathotypes. *E. coli* were found in 70% of *Rattus norvegicus* and were found in four *Rattus rattus*. DEC were isolated in 31.3% of the 67 brown rats (*R. norvegicus*). The pathotypes detected more frequently were shiga toxin *E. coli* in 11.9%, followed by atypical enteropathogenic *E. coli* in 10.4% and enteroinvasive *E. coli* in 4.5%. From the five black rats (*R. rattus*), two presented DEC. *Salmonella enterica* was found in only one (1.4%) of 67 *R. norvegicus*. Our findings indicate that both *R. norvegicus* and *R. rattus* are host of DEC and, at lower prevalence, *S. enterica*, highlighting the importance of rodents as potential sources of pathogenic agents for humans.

Synanthropic rodents of the species *Rattus norvegicus* (urban brown rat) and *Rattus rattus* (black rat) are of great importance in public health for being reservoirs of a diversity of pathogens [1]. They are hosts of *Leptospira interrogans*, *Streptobacillus moniliformis* and Seoul virus (SEOV) [1]. Moreover, they carry ectoparasites such as fleas, which act as reservoirs of *Yersinia pestis*, *Rickettsia typhi* and *Bartonella* spp. [1, 2]. All those microorganisms cause diseases of great public health importance, where humans become infected via direct contact, food or environmental contamination [1].

In temperate regions, studies have identified enterobacteria of clinical importance, such as *Salmonella* spp. and *Escherichia coli*, in these rodent species, which can be eliminated through faeces and be another source of infection for humans [3, 4]. Urban rats are likely to acquire such bacteria from the environment [4]. Identical antibiotic-resistant and virulent *E. coli* strains were found in samples from rodents captured in agricultural facilities, in domestic animals and environmental samples from the same facilities [3]. Moreover, rats living on commercial farms also carry the same *Salmonella* spp. strains that are detected in resident chickens [5].

Diarrheagenic *E. coli* (DEC) and *Salmonella* are important sources of foodborne diseases and gastroenteritis in humans [4]. *Salmonella* was the aetiologic agent most prevalent (92.2%) in 12 503 foodborne disease outbreaks in Brazil, as reported by the Information System for Notifiable Diseases (SINAN) from 2000 to 2017 [6]. Herein, we (a) estimate the prevalence of DEC and *Salmonella* in *R. norvegicus* and *R. rattus* from urban tropical slums in the city of Salvador, Brazil, determine the susceptibility profile of *Salmonella* isolates to antimicrobials, and identify *E. coli* pathotypes isolated from urban rats faeces.

We live-trapped *R. norvegicus* and *R. rattus* in four slum communities within the Suburban Sanitary District of the city of Salvador, Brazil, from April to June 2018. Approximately 30% of the populations of Salvador (and Brazil) reside in similar low-income and poor environmental conditions [7]. The sampled areas ranged from 0.07 to 0.09 km<sup>2</sup>. Within each community, 40 randomised points were selected as trapping points, in which two Tomahawk traps were set with fresh sausage for four nights and checked early morning. Traps with individuals of

*R. norvegicus* and *R. rattus* were placed in plastic bags and transported to the Ambulatory of Wild Animals at the Federal University of Bahia, where individual rats were anaesthetised and humanely sacrificed. We recorded body weight, length, sex and reproductive status for each animal. We stratified *R. norvegicus* functional groups according to weight, and juveniles were classified as <200 g, subadults between 200 and 399 g and adults as  $\geq$ 400 g [2]. In females, sexual maturity was determined by the presence of a scar on the placenta, pregnancy (observation of embryos) and evidence of lactation. In males, maturity was determined by the presence of scrotal testicles. Faeces were collected through the rectal swab. The swabs were transported in Cary Blair [8] medium and forwarded to the Laboratory of Microbiology of Research, Faculty of Pharmacy at Federal University of Bahia.

The samples from the swab were cultured on MacConkey's agar medium (MC), Hektoen enteric agar (HE) and in selenite cystine broth (SC) followed by incubation at 35-36°C for 18-24 h. After incubation, dishes were evaluated by the presence of lactose fermenting colonies (lac+) and lactose non-fermenting colonies (lac-). Isolated colonies were sent to biochemistry identification through assay kit EPM - MILI - CITRATO (LABORCLIN). The bacteria previously identified as Salmonella and E. coli were submitted to agglutination tests in lamina for serological characterisation (somatic and flagellar antigens) following fabricator's instructions (PROBAC DO BRASIL, São Paulo). The antiserum used was as follows: flagellar anti-antigen (H) and somatic anti-antigen (O) for Salmonella spp., anti-Ecoli polyvalent invader A, anti-E. coli polyvalent B and anti-O157 enterohaemorrhagic for E. coli strains. The isolates of E. coli were submitted to resistance screening for ceftriaxone  $(30 \mu g)$  (cephalosporins) and ertapenem  $(10 \mu g)$  (carbapenemics) through disk-diffusion method. The samples identified as Salmonella sp. were sent to the automated system Vitek® (bioMérieux) for confirmation of the species and susceptibility profile to antimicrobials.

DNA extraction was performed with an isolate from E. coli of all positive rats in the culture. For this, four or five bacterial colonies from those isolates were suspended in ultra-purified water in a sterile microtube. Thereafter, the microtubes were put in a water bath at 100°C for 5 min. The lysates were centrifuged for 2 min at 10 000 rpm. After, 50 µl of the supernatant (DNA) was collected to be used in polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR was performed in order to detect the presence of six genes used to distinguish three E. coli pathotypes: eae, bfpA and bfpB to enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), eae, stx1 and stx2 for shiga toxin E. coli (STEC), ipaH for enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC). The reaction's conditions and the primers used are described in Supplementary Table S1. The control strains for each gene were: O55:H7 for eae, bfpA and bfpB (EPEC), C1845 for stx1, EDL 933 for stx2 (both STEC) and 012NM for ipaH (EIEC) gene. The reactions were performed using GoTaq Green master mix (Promega, Madison, WI, EUA) 0.34 µM of each primer and 2.0 µl of DNA. The PCR products were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis 2% with Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (TBE), the lines were detected through ethidium bromide staining (EtBr).

Demographic variables and trapping location for trapped *R. norvegicus* (sex, developmental stage and neighbourhood) were stratified by presence of *E. coli* with virulence gene (vs. *E. coli*-negative for virulence genes). We tested for association between the presence of *E. coli* and these factors using  $\chi^2$  test and Fisher's exact test. All hypothesis tests of Pearson's correlation

were performed with a significance level of P = 0.05. *R. rattus* individuals were not demographically classified or statistically analysed due to the number of captures.

We captured 72 rats, 67 (93.1%) were *Rattus norvegicus* and five (6.9%) were *Rattus rattus*. Among *R. norvegicus*, 40 (59.7%) were females and 27 (40.3%) males. Sexual maturity could be analysed in 58 rats (*R. norvegicus*), of which 40 (69.0%) were sexually active. The functional groups were distributed as follows, six (9.0%) were adults, 37 (55.2%) were subadults and 24 (35.8%) were juvenile. The mean weight was 262.5 g (interquartile range (IQR) = 157.5–335.0 g) and the mean body length (nose–anus) was 213.0 mm (IQR = 186.25–224.25 mm). The presence of cutaneous wounds or scars was observed in 54 (80.6%) animals.

*E. coli* was found in 47/67 (70.1%) of *R. norvegicus* and were found in four out of five in *R. rattus*. Serological characterisation was performed in 51 isolates of *E. coli*, four (two from *R. norvegicus* and two from *R. rattus*) showed positive agglutination for Polyvalent A group (Anti O28ac, O29, O136, O114, O152) and 12 (only in *R. norvegicus*) showed positive agglutination for Polyvalent B group (Anti O112ac, O124, O143, O164, O167). Only one (in *R. norvegicus*) isolate of *E. coli* agglutinated for *E. coli* O157 enterohaemorrhagic. All of the isolates from *E. coli* were sensitive to ceftriaxone (30 µg) and ertapenem (10 µg) by disk-diffusion test. *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* was found in only one (1.4%) of 67 *R. norvegicus*. This strain was resistant to cefalotin, cefuroxime, cefuroxime axetil, amikacin and gentamicin.

Diarrheagenic *E. coli* was detected in 21/67 (31.3%) of *R. norvegicus*. Regarding sex, developmental stage and capture neighbourhood, the data about *E. coli* pathotypes prevalence in *R. norvegicus* are demonstrated in Table 1. The DEC categories detected more frequently were STEC (n = 8; 11.9%), followed by atypical enteropathogenic *E. coli* (aEPEC) (n = 7; 10.4%) and EIEC (n = 3; 4.5%). Three (4.5%) of the isolated were detected as hybrid strains, since they presented common genes to two pathotypes: two strains were positive for *stx2* and *ipaH* genes, and one for *stx1* and *ipaH*. In relation to STEC occurrence, the *stx2* gene was detected more frequently (n = 4; 6.0%), followed by *stx1* (n = 3; 4.5%), *stx2* and *eae* (n = 3; 4.5%), and lastly *stx1* and *eae* less frequently (n = 1; 1.5%).

There were no differences in the prevalence of DEC in male and female rats (37.0% and 27.5%), respectively, ( $\chi^2 = 0.22$ , P =0.63). Through evaluation of developmental stage, pathogenic *E. coli* was found in four (66.7%) adults, 15 (40.5%) subadults and two (8.3%) juvenile, being significantly higher in subadults relative to juvenile (P = 0.008). We did not identify the differences in DEC prevalence between neighbourhoods ( $P \ge 0.32$ ) (Table 1). Out of the four black rats (*R. rattus*) found with *E. coli*, two of them presented DEC. One strain was STEC and another EIEC. Other enterobacteria were identified in the present report whose prevalence is in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3.

We detected a high prevalence of DEC in *R. norvegicus* and *R. rattus* from urban tropical slums, as well as the occurrence of *S. enterica* in *R. norvegicus*. The bacteria found have implications for human health, and rats can be a source of those bacteria, especially for the residents of communities where the rats were captured. In our results, DEC was detected in 31% of the brown rats, presenting genes associated with intestinal pathogenicity in humans. Correspondingly, 17% of isolated from *R. norvegicus* in Berlin presented genes associated with extra-intestinal pathogenicity [9]. For developmental stage, our data suggested that age it is, in fact, a risk factor to have DEC. The DEC pathotype more

Table 1. Pathotypes prevalence of E. coli in R. norvegicus by developmental stage, sex and neighbourhood of capture

Categories		No. of rats	E. coli positive	aEPEC	STEC	EIEC	STEC + EIEC
General		67	21 (31.3%)	7 (10.4%)	8 (11.9%)	3 (4.5%)	3 (4.5%)
Developmental stage	Juvenile	24	2 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.2%)	1 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)
	Subadult	37	15 (40.5%)	7 (18.9%)	6 (16.2%)	1 (2.7%)	1 (2.7%)
	Adult	6	4 (66.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	1 (16.7%)	2 (33.3%)
Sex	Male	27	10 (37.0%)	5 (18.5%)	2 (7.4%)	1 (3.7%)	2 (7.4%)
	Female	40	11 (27.5%)	2 (5.0%)	6 (15.0%)	2 (5.0%)	1 (2.5%)
Neighbourhood	Alto do Cabrito	19	8 (42.1%)	3 (15.8%)	5 (26.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	Marechal Rondon	9	3 (33.3%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)
	Rio Sena	10	4 (40.0%)	2 (20.0%)	2 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	Nova Constituinte	20	5 (25.0%)	1 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.0%)	3 (15.0%)
	São Marcos	2	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	Federação	7	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

<sup>a</sup>Percentages refer to positive animals (of each test) divided by tested animals in each category (row).

frequently found was STEC (12%), however, no strains were found with the three characteristic genes as the previous study by Vancouver, which 4% of the urban rats were positive for STEC (*stx*1, *stx*2 e *eae*) [4]. The STEC strains that presented only *stx*2 (6.0%) were more frequent in this study. It is noteworthy that isolates of STEC that produce only *stx*2 are more often associated with serious diseases, such as haemolytic–uraemic syndrome (HUS), than those isolates producing only *stx*1 or *stx*1 associated to *stx*2 [10]. *S. enterica* subsp. *enterica* was found in 1% of *R. norvegicus*. This finding is similar to what has been related in other studies [4, 8].

One limitation of this study was that only one isolate from *E. coli* by rat was submitted to screening for resistance to cephalosporin and carbapenem, therefore, this may have underestimated the presence of resistance to cephalosporin and carbapenem in the rats analysed. Moreover, complementary phenotypic tests or molecular techniques for detection of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL) was not investigated. Another limitation is that only one isolate of *E. coli* from each rat was tested for the pathotype presence, thus the presence of more than one pathogenic *E. coli* in the same rat cannot be discarded. Lastly, our low sample size limited the ability to perform stratified analysis among the demographic variables (sex, developmental stage and neighbourhood). Nevertheless, the presented analysis of enteropathogenic bacteria in urban rats is a pioneer in Brazil.

Rats from Salvador can spread strains of DEC and *Salmonella enterica*, which makes these rodents even more important sources of zoonotic agents of public health importance. Moving forward, comprehensive investigations are required to clarify the ecology and epidemiology of these diseases, as well as the impact on the health of residents who have direct and/or indirect contact with these animals.

The Animal Using Ethics Committee (CEUA) (protocol no. 27/2017) of the Federal University of Bahia approved all methods and procedures animal.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026882000285X

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Medical Research Council of the United Kingdom, grant number MR/P024084/1 and Wellcome Trust (102330/Z/13/Z and 218987/Z/19/Z). We are grateful for the participation of the leaders and residents of the communities in Salvador, especially the youth working with us in the program. This work also was financed by the Brazilian foment agency: Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). It must also highlight the acknowledgement to Daiana Santos Oliveira, Ramon Andrade, Josh Taylor and Laura Soledad Serrano.

### Conflict of interest. None.

**Data availability statement.** The data that support the findings are available on request.

## References

- 1. Himsworth CG, *et al.* (2013) Rats, cities, people, and pathogens: a systematic review and narrative synthesis of literature regarding the ecology of rat-associated zoonoses in urban centers. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 13, 349–359.
- Costa F et al. (2014) Infections by Leptospira interrogans, Seoul virus, and Bartonella spp. among Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) from the urban slum environment in Brazil. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases 14, 33–40.
- Čížek A et al. (1999) Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlot cattle and Norwegian rats from a large-scale farm. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 28, 435–439.
- 4. Himsworth CG et al. (2015) Prevalence and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in the feces of wild urban Norway and black rats (*Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rattus*) from an inner-city neighborhood of Vancouver, Canada. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 51, 589-600.
- 5. Lapuz R et al. (2008) The role of roof rats (*Rattus rattus*) in the spread of *Salmonella enteritidis* and *S. infantis* contamination in layer farms in eastern Japan. *Epidemiology and Infection* **136**, 1235–1243.
- 6. SINAN/SVS-Sistema de Informação de Agravos e Notificação/Secretaria de Vigilância à Saúde (2018) Surtos de Doenças Transmitidas por Alimentos no Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Available at http://portalarquivos2. saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2018/janeiro/17/Apresentacao-Surtos-DTA-2018. pdf (Accessed 9 February 2019).

- Walker R et al. (2017) Factors affecting carriage and intensity of infection of *Calodium hepaticum* within Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) from an urban slum environment in Salvador, Brazil. *Epidemiology and Infection* 145, 334–338.
- Nkogwe C et al. (2011) Frequency of detection of Escherichia coli, Salmonella Spp., and Campylobacter Spp. in the faeces of wild rats (Rattus Spp.) in Trinidad and Tobago. Veterinary Medicine International 2011, 1–7.
- Guenther S et al. (2012) Frequent combination of antimicrobial multiresistance and extraintestinal pathogenicity in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from urban rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) in Berlin, Germany. PLOS ONE 7, e50331.
- Fernandez-Brando RJ, et al. (2011) Actualización en el tratamento del síndrome urémico hemolítico endémico. Patoénesis y tratamiento de la complicación sistémica más grave de las infecciones por Escherichia coli productor de toxina Shiga. Medicina 71, 383–389.