

OPEN

Metabolic health is more closely associated with prevalence of cardiovascular diseases or stroke than obesity

A cross-sectional study in Korean populations

A Ri Byun (MD)^a, Seungwon Kwon (MD)^b, Sang Wha Lee (MD, PhD)^a, Kyung Won Shim (MD, PhD)^a, Hong Soo Lee (MD, PhD)^{a,*}

Abstract

Mounting evidence suggests that not all obese subjects are at increased cardiovascular risk. However, the relationship between the metabolically healthy obese (MHO) phenotype and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) or stroke remains unclear. Therefore, we retrospectively investigated the prevalence of CVDs or stroke according to metabolic health with obese.

We studied 3695 subjects (40–85 years) from the Fifth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Participants were divided into 2 groups and 6 subgroups based on the body mass index (BMI) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) components: healthy (exhibiting none of the 5 MetS components) with the followings: healthy-normal weight (BMI < 23 kg/m^2), healthy-overweight (BMI = $23-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and healthy-obese (BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$); and unhealthy (exhibiting 2 or more MetS components) with the followings: unhealthy-normal weight, unhealthy-overweight, and unhealthy-obese.

In the healthy group (n = 1726), there were 76 CVDs or stroke patients (4.4%), whereas in the unhealthy group (n = 1969), there were 170 (8.6%). The prevalence was significantly different between the 2 groups (P < 0.001). However, the prevalence was not significantly different among healthy subgroups (P = 0.4072). The prevalence in unhealthy subgroups also demonstrated no statistically significant difference (P = 0.3798).

We suggest that the prevalence of CVDs or stroke is different between metabolically healthy and unhealthy phenotype. Furthermore, MHO did not reveal higher CVDs or stroke prevalence rather than metabolically healthy other groups. Additional cohort studies are needed to explain causality between CVDs or stroke incidence and subjects exhibiting the MHO phenotype.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, CVDs = cardiovascular diseases, KNHANES V-3 = Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Fifth-3, MetS = metabolic syndrome, MHO = metabolically healthy obese, RR = relative risk.

Keywords: cardiovascular disease, metabolically healthy obese, obesity, risk factor, stroke prevalence

1. Introduction

The prevalence of obesity is increasing worldwide, with the condition predicted to affect >1 billion people by 2030.^[1] The growing obesity epidemic is associated with a sharp increase in obesity-related cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) such as hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus, and consequently increases the risk of all-cause mortality, as well as that of coronary artery

Editor: Daryle Wane.

Medicine (2016) 95:24(e3902)

Received: 25 January 2016 / Received in final form: 18 May 2016 / Accepted: 18 May 2016

Published online 1 May 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000003902 disease and CVDs mortality.^[2–4] However, mounting evidence suggests that not all obese subjects are at increased cardiovascular risk; the "metabolically healthy obese" (MHO) phenotype may exist in the absence of metabolic abnormalities.^[5] MHO is characterized by its low abundance of metabolic abnormalities such as insulin resistance, proatherogenic lipoprotein profile, proinflammatory state, or hypertension. In addition, they present with less visceral and hepatic muscle fat accumulation, and fewer gene expression-encoding markers of adipose cell differentiation.^[6,7] Despite long-standing knowledge of the MHO phenotype, there is still no expert consensus on the definition.^[8]

CVDs and stroke are the leading cause of death, with several studies suggesting obesity as a risk factor.^[9,10] In a previous study, overweight and obese men revealed increased relative risk of stroke compared with men of normal weight, including ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke.^[10] Furthermore, lean hypertensive subject is suggested as another risk factor of CVDs in several previous studies.^[11,12] Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is also a suggested risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease. Previous studies suggest that MetS is not only an independent risk factor, but can also increase the odds ratio and hazard ratio of stroke.^[13] However, the relative risk of CVDs and stroke from obesity is relatively lower than that for other risk factors. Therefore, we assumed that obesity cannot be the sole predictor of CVDs and stroke incidence, and it is necessary to consider obesity in conjunction with metabolic components. Therefore, we retrospectively investigated the prevalence of

Funding: this study was supported by the Ewha Womans University scholarship of 2015.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Department of Family Medicine, School of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea, ^b Department of Cardiology and Neurology, College of Korean Medicine, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea.

^{*} Correspondence: Hong Soo Lee, Department of Family Medicine, School of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, Mokdong Hospital, YangCheon-Ku, Seoul, Korea (e-mail: 2650fm@hanmail.net).

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CVDs (including ischemic heart disease [angina, myocardial infarction]) or stroke according to metabolic health with obese state.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects and data collection

In this study, we analyzed data of the 5th Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES V-3). KNHANES V-3 is a cross-sectional survey conducted by the Division of Chronic Disease Surveillance of the Korean Center for Disease Control and Prevention.^[14] There are 4 parts: health interview survey, health behavior survey, health examination survey, and nutrition survey.^[15,16] A total of 8058 individuals were enrolled in KNHANES V-3. Among them, 4502 people >39 years of age were selected for this study. Subjects with missing data concerning MetS components and body mass index (BMI) were excluded, resulting in a total of 3695 subjects used for this analysis.

2.2. Subject classifications

The definition of obesity in Asian adults suggested by World Health Organization (WHO) is BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$.^[17] For this study, we defined BMI cut-offs for normal weight, overweight, and obese designations as $<23 \text{ kg/m}^2$, $23-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$, and ≥ 25 kg/m², respectively. Because, study subjects in this study were East Asian people. There are 5 components in MetS: (i) systolic/ diastolic blood pressure $\geq 130/85$ mmHg, (ii) serum fasting triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL, (iii) high-density lipoprotein (HDL) <40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women, (iv) serum fasting glucose $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$, and (v) waist circumference $\geq 90 \text{ cm}$ for men and $\geq 85 \text{ cm}$ for women.^[18] The study population was divided into 6 groups: healthy (presenting none of the 5 MetS components) normal weight, healthy overweight, healthy obese, unhealthy (2 or more MetS components) normal weight, unhealthy overweight, and unhealthy obese. Until these days, there is no obvious definition of metabolically healthy and unhealthy. Therefore, we followed previous studies defined metabolically unhealthy as who has 2 or more metabolic syndrome components regardless of parameters.^[19,20]

2.3. Measurement of laboratory and anthropometric parameters

Fasting blood samples were collected from subjects who had kept empty for at least 8 hours. Examiners centrifuged and refrigerated the blood samples at the examination site. These blood samples were transferred by iceboxes to the Seoul central laboratory within the day. In the central laboratory, a Hitachi Automatic Analyzer 7600 (Hitachi, Japan) and an HLC-723G7 (Tosoh, Japan) were used to measure serum glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c).

Examiners measured waist circumference at the narrowest part between the lower margins of the rib cage and the iliac crest. After the subjects were rest in sitting position for 10 minutes, blood pressure was measured. Three blood pressures recorded with 5 minutes interval.

2.4. Health-related factors and medical histories

Education status, socioeconomic status, vitamin or mineral supplement intake, and prescribed medications were concerned

in health interview survey. Alcohol consumption was assessed by categorizing subjects into 2 groups: those who never drink or current consumers. Subjects who consumed <1 glass of alcohol in the past month were also categorized as those who never drink. Individuals were classified into 3 groups by smoking status: never smoke, ex-smokers, and current smokers. The physical activity level was determined by the frequency (day/week) of moderate physical exercise accompanied by >10-minute mild dyspnea. CVDs and stroke was defined by previous medical diagnosis.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The KNHANES V-3 database sample used in this study was extracted by stratified, clustered, and systematic sampling. When analyzing statistics, we considered strata, clusters, and weights. The SURVEYMEANS procedure was used in the average calculation, whereas the SURVEYREG procedure and the SURVEYFREQ procedure were used in association analysis for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.

To assess differences among groups, the continuous variables and categorical variables were analyzed by linear regression analysis using the SURVEYREG procedure and the Rao–Scott chi-square test of SURVEYFREQ procedure. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, US, Cary, NC) and significance was defined as P < 0.05.

The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki as reflected in a priori approval by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention institutional review board in Korea (No. 2012-01EXP-01-2C).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the 3695 study subjects (aged 40–85 years) with 5 MetS components are presented in Table 1. In total, 1726 (46.6%) subjects were categorized as metabolically healthy and 1969 (53.2%) were metabolically unhealthy. Among the subjects, 276 (7.5%) were in the MHO group and 989 (26.7%) were categorized as metabolically unhealthy and obese.

3.2. Comparison of CVDs or stroke prevalence

Of subjects in the healthy group, 76 (4.4%) were CVDs or stroke patients, whereas there were 170 (8.6%) CVDs or stroke patients in the unhealthy group (Table 2). There was a significant difference between the 2 groups in the prevalence of stroke (P < 0.001; Table 2). In the healthy group, there were 35 (3.6%), 26 (5.4%), and 15 (5.4%) CVDs or stroke patients in each of the subgroups (normal, overweight, and obese, respectively) divided by BMI status (Table 3). However, the prevalence of stroke did not reveal statistically significant differences among the 3 healthy subgroups (P=0.4072; Table 3). In the unhealthy group, there were 43 (9.2%), 52 (10.0%), and 75 (7.6%) CVDs or stroke patients in each of the subgroups (normal, overweight, and obese, respectively) divided by BMI status (Table 3). There was also no significant difference in the CVDs or stroke prevalence of subjects in the unhealthy subgroups (P=0.3798; Table 3).

4. Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to reveal the relationship between the MHO phenotype and the prevalence of CVDs or

Table 1Baseline characteristics.

BMI	Metabolically healthy (n=1726)			Metabolically unhealthy (n = 1969)			
	Normal (n = 965)	Overweight (n = 485)	Obese (n = 276)	Normal (n = 464)	Overweight (n=516)	Obese (n = 989)	P value
Age, year	53.3 ± 0.9	53.6 ± 1.2	52.4±0.6	60.6 ± 1.3	58.5 ± 1.1	55.5±1.0†	< 0.0001
Male, n, (%)	374 (38.8%)	212 (43.7%)	112 (40.6%)	200 (43.1%)	246 (47.7%)	419 (42.4%)	0.0964
Height, cm	161.9 ± 0.6	161.9 ± 1.1	162.5±1.4*	160.6 ± 1.1	162.5 ± 1.0	161.9±0.8	0.4880
Weight, kg	54.9 ± 0.5	63.0 ± 0.9	70.0±1.2*	55.7±0.8	63.7 ± 0.9	72.8±0.9†	< 0.0001
BMI, cm/kg ²	20.9 ± 0.1	24.0 ± 0.1	$26.4 \pm 1.1*$	21.5 ± 0.1	24.1 ± 0.1	27.7±0.2†	< 0.0001
Smoking, current, n (%)	172 (17.6%)	71 (14.7%)	37 (13.5%)	76 (16.6%)	103 (20.1%)	152 (15.4%)	0.7922
Alcohol, current, n (%)	461 (48.0%)	226 (46.8%)	138 (50.2%)	193 (42.0%)	241 (47.0%)	434 (44.0%)	0.3258
Exercise, n (%)							0.0234
0 day/week	674 (70.1%)	321 (66.5%)	189 (67.7%)	346 (75.5%)	377 (73.8%)	731 (74.0%)	
1 day/week	109 (11.3%)	47 (9.7%)	31 (11.1%)	35 (7.6%)	36 (7.0%)	87 (8.8%)	
2 days/week	55 (5.7%)	41 (8.5%)	18 (6.5%)	25 (5.5%)	41 (8.0%)	59 (6.0%)	
3 days/week	53 (5.5%)	28 (5.8%)	12 (4.3%)	18 (3.9%)	23 (4.5%)	44 (4.5%)	
4 days/week	21 (3.9%)	20 (4.1%)	6 (2.2%)	10 (2.2%)	10 (2.0%)	19 (1.9%)	
5 days/week	20 (2.2%)	10 (2.1%)	9 (3.2%)	11 (2.4%)	12 (2.3%)	16 (1.6%)	
6 days/week	14 (1.5%)	8 (1.7%)	3 (1.1%)	2 (0.4%)	5 (1.0%)	7 (0.7%)	
7 days/week	16 (1.7%)	8 (1.7%)	7 (2.5%)	11 (2.4%)	8 (1.6%)	24 (2.4%)	
HbA1c, %	5.6 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.1	6.1 ± 0.1	6.1 ± 0.1	6.1 ± 0.1	< 0.0001
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	187.2±2.4	191.7±3.6	$196.2 \pm 6.1^{*}$	193.8±5.2	200.2 ± 4.3	198.4 ± 3.0	< 0.0001
MetS components							
Waist circumference, cm	73.9±0.5	81.4 ± 0.6	$86.2 \pm 0.8^{*}$	77.9 ± 0.6	84.6 ± 0.6	$91.6 \pm 0.6^{\dagger}$	< 0.0001
Systolic BP, mm Hg	114.8±1.2	115.4 ± 1.5	116.0 ± 1.8	131.4±2.4	128.4 ± 2.1	$127.0 \pm 1.4^{\dagger}$	< 0.0001
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	73.8±0.9	75.1 ± 1.0	$76.2 \pm 1.4^{*}$	79.7±1.5	80.2 ± 1.3	81.1 ± 0.9	< 0.0001
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	92.6 ± 0.9	93.5 ± 1.1	92.5±1.6	107.8±3.2	107.7±3.4	109.3±2.5	< 0.0001
Triglycerides, mg/dL	96.3 ± 4.3	103.2 ± 6.2	101.9 ± 7.5	184.6±19.4	198.3±14.2	195.0 ± 16.4	< 0.0001
HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	57.1 ± 0.9	53.9 ± 1.1	$53.7 \pm 1.4^{*}$	45.6 ± 1.4	45.4 ± 1.2	45.7 ± 0.8	< 0.0001

Values are mean \pm standard deviation or number (percentage).

BMI=body mass index, BP=blood pressure, HbA1c=glycated hemoglobin, HDL=high-density lipoprotein, MetS=metabolic syndrome.

P value of table 1 was calculated using the SURVEYMEANS procedure for continuous variants and the SURVEYFREQ procedure for categorized variants (between metabolically healthy and metabolically unhealthy group).

* p<0.05 (in metabolically healthy group).

⁺ p<0.05 (in metabolically unhealthy group).

Table 2 Comparison of cardiovascular disease and stroke prevalence according to the 2 groups by metabolic health status.

	Metabolically healthy (n=1726)	Metabolically unhealthy (n $=$ 1969)	P value
Prevalence of CVDs or stroke, n (%)	76 (4.4%)	170 (8.6%)	< 0.001

CVDs, cardiovascular diseases.

P value was calculated using the SURVEYFREQ procedure.

stroke. To our knowledge, no previous study has compared CVDs or stroke risk in the MHO phenotype by class of obesity. As mentioned previously, the definition and prevalence of MHO are quite heterogeneous. A systematic review showed a large variation in the MHO prevalence, from 6% to 75%.^[21] In our study, overall prevalence of MHO was 7.5%.

In this study, subjects in metabolically healthy groups showed significantly lower prevalence of CVDs or stroke than those in metabolically unhealthy groups. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant difference between the prevalence of CVDs or stroke according to BMI in the metabolically healthy group. Therefore, we could confirm that MHO people did not

Table 3

Comparison of cardiovascular disease and stroke prevalence according to the 3 subgroups by BMI status in the metabolically healthy group (A) and metabolically unhealthy group (B).

(A) Metabolically healthy group (n=1726)								
Normal (n = 965)	Overweight (n=485)	Obese (n=276)	P value					
35 (3.6%)	26 (5.4%)	15 (5.4%)	0.4072					
969)								
Normal (n = 464)	Overweight (n=516)	Obese (n = 989)	P value					
43 (9.2%)	52 (10.0%)	75 (7.6%)	0.3798					
	Normal (n = 965) 35 (3.6%) 969) Normal (n = 464)	Normal (n = 965) Overweight (n = 485) 35 (3.6%) 26 (5.4%) 969) Normal (n = 464) Overweight (n = 516)	Normal (n = 965) Overweight (n = 485) Obese (n = 276) 35 (3.6%) 26 (5.4%) 15 (5.4%) Obese (n = 989) Overweight (n = 516) Obese (n = 989)					

BMI = body mass index, CVDs = cardiovascular diseases.

P value was calculated using the SURVEYMEANS procedure.

reveal higher CVDs or stroke prevalence than metabolically healthy normal weight and overweight people. However, the metabolically unhealthy group revealed higher prevalence of CVDs or stroke regardless of obese state.

There are several suggested mechanisms that can explain the results of the present study. First, we suggest that MHO subjects who exhibit less amounts of visceral adipose tissue or ectopic fat and more physically active may experience a lower prevalence of stroke compared with metabolically unhealthy subjects. Sims^[22] highlighted visceral adiposity and insulin resistance as key factors of MHO. Metabolically healthy obese individuals had less amounts of visceral adipose tissue and liver fat, in contrast metabolically unhealthy nonobese individuals had a tendency to have plenty of visceral or ectopic fat.^[23-25] A previous study mentioned the "hypertriglyceridemic waist," which means a phenotype who had an excessive visceral adipose tissue, increased waist circumference, and elevated plasma triglyceride levels.^[26] Another study also suggested that the percentage of visceral adipose tissue has to be regarded as a risk factor for stroke, smallartery occlusion, and large artery atherosclerosis type.^[27]

Behavior and lifestyle factors may also play a substantial role. Physical activity increased the odds of presenting with the MHO phenotype among obese subjects.^[28] Increased sitting behavior could be associated with increased risk of cardiovascular events, diabetes mellitus, and all-cause mortality.^[29] At the same time, participating in physical activities can lower blood pressure, help control blood sugar levels, and contribute to weight loss. Therefore, a guideline from the American Heart Association and the American Stroke Association stroke council recommended walking for >30 minutes/d as a means of primary stroke prevention.^[30] In the present study, metabolically healthy subjects exercised more than metabolically unhealthy subjects (P=0.0234; Table 1).

Furthermore, MetS and its components also contributed to our results. Several previous studies^[13] suggested that MetS is an independent risk factor of CVDs and stroke, and odds ratios and hazard ratios can be elevated by this condition. Components of MetS such as insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia, and hypertension are also independent risk factors of CVDs and stroke.^[30] Among these major risk factors, obesity showed the lowest influence on CVDs and stroke prevalence. One study determined the population attributable risk and relative risk (RR) factor of major risk factors for ischemic stroke in the Korean population.^[31] In the study, the RR of obesity (men, 1.2–1.9; women, 1.2) was lower than for other major risk factors such as hypertension (men, 2-2.8; women, 2-2.8), diabetes (men, 1.4-2.1; women, 1.72-2.3), hypercholesterolemia (men, 1.46–1.7; women, 1.46–1.7), atrial fibrillation (men, 4.3; women, 6.9), ischemic heart disease history (men, 1.7; women, 1.6), and stroke history (men, 3.1; women, 3.1). Therefore, we postulate that the synergetic effects of these risk factors, which revealed higher RR than obesity in metabolically unhealthy subjects, places them at prevalence of stroke rather than subjects in the metabolically healthy group.

Our study has a few limitations. We could not use a cohort for sampling purposes. Because this was a cross-sectional study, we were only able to estimate the prevalence of CVDs or stroke. Therefore, the results of this study cannot explain the causality between CVDs or stroke incidence and subjects exhibiting the MHO phenotype. Further studies are necessary to examine the differences between MHO and metabolically unhealthy obese phenotypes. One study in mice found that extremely obese mice with a mutation in the Brd2 gene are protected from developing type 2 diabetes mellitus.^[32] Therefore, we assume that future studies should concern the genetic and lifestyle which could be factors for development and improvement of metabolic conditions. Obesity assessed only by BMI cannot properly estimate the risk of CVDs or stroke, especially in East Asian populations including Koreans. Therefore, a paradigm shift of obesity is needed.^[5]

References

- Kelly T, Yang W, Chen CS, et al. Global burden of obesity in 2005 and projections to 2030. Int J Obes 2008;32:1431–7.
- [2] American Heart AssociationObesity Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology, evaluation, and effect of weight loss: an update of the 1997 American Heart Association Scientific Statement on Obesity and Heart Disease from the Obesity Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism. Circulation 2006;113: 898–918.
- [3] Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Walker M. Overweight and obesity and weight change in middle aged men: impact on cardiovascular disease and diabetes. J Epidemiol Commun Health 2005;59:134–9.
- [4] Manson JE, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, et al. Body weight and mortality among women. N Engl J Med 1995;333:677–85.
- [5] Catherine MPhillips. Metabolically healthy obesity: definitions, determinants and clinical implications. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2013;14: 219–27.
- [6] Plourde G, Karelis AD. Current issues in the identification and treatment of metabolically healthy but obese individuals. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2014;24:455–9.
- [7] Song YM, Sung J, Davey Smith G, et al. Body mass index ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke: a prospective study in Korean men. Stroke 2004;35:831–6.
- [8] Kruth T, Gaziano JM, Berger K, et al. Body mass index and the risk of stroke in men. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:2557–62.
- [9] Kerman WM, Inzucchi SE, Viscoli CM, et al. Insulin resistance and risk for stroke. Neurology 2002;59:809–15.
- [10] Choi KM, Kim SM, Kim YE, et al. Prevalence and cardiovascular disease risk of the metabolic syndrome using National Cholesterol Education Program and International Diabetes Federation definitions in the Korean population. Metabolism 2007;56:552–8.
- [11] Carman WJ, Barrett-Connor E, Sowers M, et al. Higher risk of cardiovascular mortality among lean hypertensive individuals in Tecumseh, Michigan. Circulation 1994;89:703–11.
- [12] Goldbourt U, Holtzman E, Cohen-Mandelzweig L, et al. Enhanced risk of coronary heart disease mortality in lean hypertensive men. Hypertension 1987;10:22–8.
- [13] Iso H, Sato S, Kitamura A, Imano H, et al. Metabolic syndrome and the risk of ischemic heart disease and stroke among Japanese men and women. Stroke 2007;38:1744–51.
- [14] South Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The Fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES V-3) 2012.
- [15] Kim SM, Lee JS, Lee J, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in Korea: Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey 2001. Diabetes Care 2006;29:226–31.
- [16] Hong HC, Lee JS, Choi HY, et al. Liver enzymes and vitamin D levels in metabolically healthy but obese individuals: Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Metabolism 2013;62:1305–12.
- [17] World Health Organization Western Pacific Region, International Association for the Study of Obesity, International Obesity Task ForceThe Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and its Treatment. Sydney:Health Communications Australia; 2000.
- [18] Lee SY, Park HS, Kim DJ, et al. Appropriate waist circumference cut off points for central obesity in Korean adults. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;75:72–80.
- [19] Kim JW, Kim do H, Roh YK, et al. Serum ferritin levels are positively associated with metabolically obese normal weight: a nationwide population-based study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e2335.
- [20] Jung CH, Lee MJ, Kang YM, et al. The risk of incident type 2 diabetes in a Korean metabolically healthy obese population: the role of systemic inflammation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100:934–41.
- [21] Rey-López JP, de Rezende LF, Pastor-Valero M, et al. The prevalence of metabolically healthy obesity: a systematic review and critical evaluation of the definition used. Obes Rev 2015;15:781–90.

- [22] Sims EA. Are there persons who are obese, but metabolically healthy? Metabolism 2001;50:1499–504.
- [23] Lebovitz HE, Banerji MA. Point: visceral adiposity is causally related to insulin resistance. Diabetes Care 2005;28:2322–5.
- [24] Karelis AD. Metabolically healthy but obese individuals. Lancet 2008;372:1281-3.
- [25] Camhi SM, Katzmarzyk PT. Differences in body composition between metabolically healthy obese and metabolically abnormal obese adults. Int J Obes (London) 2014;38:1142–5.
- [26] Lemieux I, Pascot A, Couillard C, et al. Hypertriglyceridemic waist: a marker of the atherogenic metabolic triad (hyperinsulinemia; hyperapolipoprotein B; small, dense LDL) in men? Circulation 2000;102: 179–84.
- [27] Karcher HS, Holzwarth R, Mueller HP, et al. Body fat distribution as a risk factor for cerebrovascular disease: an MRI-based body fat quantification study. Cerebrovasc Dis 2013;35:341–8.
- [28] Messier V, Karelis AD, Robillard ME, et al. Metabolically healthy but obese individuals: relationship with hepatic enzymes. Metabolism 2010;59:20–4.

- [29] Wilmot EG, Edwardson CL, Achana FA, et al. Sedentary time in adults and the association with diabetes, cardiovascular disease and death: systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2012;55: 2895–905.
- [30] Goldstein LB, Adams R, Alberts MJ, et al. Primary prevention of ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association Stroke Council: cosponsored by the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease Interdisciplinary Working Group; Cardiovascular Nursing Council; Clinical Cardiology Council; Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism Council; and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. Circulation 2006;113:873–923.
- [31] Park T, Kim M, Lee K, et al. Population attributable risk of major risk factors for ischemic stroke in the Korean population. Korean J Stroke 2008;10:125–33.
- [32] Wang F, Deeney JT, Denis GV. Brd2 gene disruption causes "metabolically healthy" obesity: epigenetic and chromatin-based mechanisms that uncouple obesity from type 2 diabetes. Vitam Horm 2013;91:49–75.