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#### Abstract

Background: BIRC7, which encodes Baculoviral inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) repeat-containing protein 7, is an oncogene in multiple types of cancer. In this study, we examined the association between BIRC7 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer, the independent prognostic value of BIRC7 in terms of recurrence-free survival, and the molecular mechanisms of its dysregulation. Methods: Data mining was performed using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas. The patients were divided into high and low BIRC7 expression groups according to the Youden index determined by receiver operating characteristic curves for recurrence. Subgroup analysis was performed according to T stages and Gleason score. Results: BIRC7 was significantly upregulated in prostate cancer tissues $(N=497)$ than in normal prostate tissues $(N=52)$. High BIRC7 expression group had lower ratios of overall response rate and medium-grade (Gleason score 6-7) tumors and higher proportions of nodal invasion and recurrence after surgery. Although Kaplan-Meier curves showed that high BIRC7 expression was generally associated with poor recurrence-free survival, the following subgroup analysis only confirmed the association in T3/T4 and medium-grade tumors. Multivariate analysis showed that BIRC7 expression was not an independent indicator of recurrence-free survival in T2 or highgrade tumors, but was independently associated with poor recurrence-free survival in T3/T4 tumors (hazard ratio: 4.249, 95\% confidence interval: I.563-II.546, $P=.005$ ) and in medium-grade tumors (hazard ratio: 6.04I, 95\% confidence interval: I.76320.703, $P=.004$ ). DNA amplification was associated with significantly upregulated BIRC7 expression. There was also a weak negative correlation between BIRC7 expression and its DNA methylation (Pearson $r=-0.23$ ). Conclusion: Based on these findings, we infer that BIRC7 upregulation might serve as a valuable biomarker of increased recurrence risk in advanced T stages and medium-grade prostate cancer. Its expression is at least regulated by both copy number alteration and DNA methylation.
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## Introduction

Baculoviral inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) repeat (BIR)-containing protein 7, which is also called Livin, is a protein encoded by the BIRC7 gene in human. ${ }^{1}$ BIRC7 encodes 2 splicing variants of Livin, termed Livin- $\alpha$ and Livin- $\beta$. Both proteins have a single copy of BIR and a Really Interesting New Gene (RING) -type zinc finger domain. ${ }^{2}$ The BIR domain has a well-characterized role in interacting with caspases and inhibiting apoptosis, while
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the RING-type zinc finger domain has a putative E3 ubiquitin ligase activity and may enhance its antiapoptotic activity. ${ }^{1,3}$

A series of previous studies showed that aberrant BIRC7 expression is associated with tumorigenesis, development, and progression in a variety of human malignancies, such as melanoma, ${ }^{4}$ non-small cell lung cancer, ${ }^{5}$ malignant pleural mesothelioma, ${ }^{6}$ gallbladder cancer, ${ }^{7}$ breast cancer, ${ }^{8}$ and prostate cancer. ${ }^{9}$ In prostate cancer, upregulated Livin- $\alpha$ can promote cell proliferation by enhancing G1-S cell cycle transition ${ }^{9}$ and enhance prostate cancer cell invasion via nuclear factor $\kappa B$ (NF-кB) signaling, and the downstream Fibronectin (FN) and C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) pathway. ${ }^{10,11}$ Knockdown of endogenous Livin could significantly inhibit prostate cancer cell proliferation and enhance apoptosis. ${ }^{12}$ Another recent study based on 43 paraffin-embedded prostate cancer tissues found that Livin expression was positively correlated to the pathological grading of prostate cancer. ${ }^{13}$ These findings suggest that BIRC7 also acts as an oncogene that modulates cancer cell proliferation and invasion and might be related to differentiation of prostate cancer.

Currently, radical prostatectomy remains a first-line therapeutic option for most patients with localized prostate cancer. ${ }^{14}$ Biochemical recurrence (BCR), which is defined as detectable prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level after radical prostatectomy, or an increasing PSA level following radiation therapy, may signify local or metastatic recurrence. ${ }^{15}$ Around $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of the patients suffer BCR by 10 years after surgery. ${ }^{16}$ In fact, the median overall survival (OS) of the patients after radical prostatectomy is usually over 15 years. ${ }^{17}$ In comparison, the median OS was 14.7 years for men who had 1 BCR after surgery and further dropped to 13.6 years in patients who had 2 BCRs. ${ }^{14}$ However, patients with BCR may have different clinical courses: some may suffer rapid disease progression and increased risk of prostate cancer-specific mortality, but some may have indolent course, which had little adverse influence on their survival. ${ }^{18}$ Therefore, besides the use of PSA as an indicator, it is meaningful to explore other biomarkers for early prediction of recurrence.

In this study, we examined the association between $\operatorname{BIRC7}$ expression and the clinicopathological characteristics and the independent prognostic value of $B I R C 7$ in terms of recurrencefree survival (RFS).

## Materials and Methods

## Data Mining in The Cancer Genome Atlas

Data mining was performed in The Cancer Genome Atlasprostate cancer (TCGA-PRAD), in which biopsy specimen of 497 prostate cancer tissues and 52 normal prostate tissues have BIRC7 expression measured by RNAseq (IlluminaHiSeq). BIRC7 expression data ( $\log 2$ [normalized count +1$]$ ), genelevel thresholded GISTIC2-processed copy-number alteration ( -2 : homozygous deletion; -1 : heterozygous loss, 0 : copyneutral; +1 : low-level copy gain; +2 : high-level amplification), DNA methylation (measured by Infinium Human

Methylation 450K BeadChip) and relevant clinical data were downloaded by using the UCSC Xena browser (https://xenab rowser.net/).

## Kaplan-Meier Curves of RFS

Kaplan-Meier curves of RFS after primary therapy were generated by GraphPad Prism v6.0. Receiver operating characteristic curves for recurrence was constructed and the optimal cutoff values of BIRC7 expression were determined based on Youden index. Subgroup analysis was performed according to T stages and tumor grade.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.0 and GraphPad Prism v6.0. Continuous variables were reported as means $\pm$ standard error of the mean. The group difference was compared by 2-tailed Student $t$ test or analysis of vairance with Student-Newman-Keuls test as a post hoc test. The association between $B I R C 7$ expression and the clinicopathological parameters was assessed by using $\chi^{2}$ tests. The difference between the RFS curves was compared using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression models were used to evaluate the independent prognostic values of BIRC7 expression in terms of RFS. Pearson goodness of fit test was performed to assess the correlation between $B I R C 7$ expression and its DNA methylation. $P<.05$ was considered statistically significant.

## Results

## BIRC7 Expression Is Upregulated in Prostate Cancer and Is Associated With Malignant Tumor Behaviors

By using RNA-seq data in TCGA-PRAD, we found 497 prostate cancer tissues had BIRC7 RNA expression measured. BIRC7 expression was significantly higher in prostate cancer tissues than in normal prostate tissues $(\mathrm{N}=52$; Figure 1A) and showed a trend of increase in advanced $T$ stages (Figure 1B). In addition, we also observed substantially higher $B I R C 7$ expression in nodal positive (N1) cases than in nodal negative (N0) cases (Figure 1C) and in high-grade tumors (Gleason score 810) than in medium-grade tumors (Gleason score 6-7; Figure 1D). Then, we compared the clinicopathological parameters in the patients with RFS data recorded $(\mathrm{N}=436)$. The patients were divided into high and low $B I R C 7$ expression groups according to the optimal cutoff value (Table 1). Results showed that the high BIRC7 expression group had older ages ( $61.58 \pm$ 0.42 vs $59.96 \pm 0.54, P=.018$ ), a higher proportion of nodal positive cases $(47 / 235,20.0 \%$ vs $15 / 135,11.1 \%, P=.028)$, lower proportions of overall response rate $(215 / 249,86.3 \%$ vs $140 / 150,93.3 \%, P=.031)$, R0 cases $(163 / 254,64.2 \%$ vs $118 /$ $155,76.1 \%, P=.011$ ) and medium-grade (Gleason score 6-7) tumors (144/273, $52.7 \%$ vs $118 / 163,72.4 \%, P<.0001$ ), and a higher risk of recurrence $(44 / 273,16.1 \%$ vs $8 / 163,4.9 \%, P=$ .0005; Table 1). PTEN deletion has been considered as an important marker of RFS in prostate cancer. ${ }^{19,20}$ In TCGA-


Figure 1. BIRC7 expression is upregulated in prostate cancer and is associated with malignant tumor behaviors. Comparison of BIRC7 expression between prostate cancer tissues $(\mathrm{N}=497)$ and normal prostate tissues $(\mathrm{N}=52)(\mathrm{A})$, among $\mathrm{T} 2 / \mathrm{T} 3 / \mathrm{T} 4$ stage tumors $(B)$, between nodal positive $(N=79)$ and negative $(N=345)$ tumors $(C)$, and between high-grade (Gleason score $8-10 ;(N=205)$ and medium-grade tumors (Gleason score 6-7; ( $\mathrm{N}=292$ ).

PRAD, we also observed a high frequency of PTEN deletion (heterozygous loss and homozygous deletion/all tumor cases with copy number alteration data: 159/491) and associated decreased PTEN expression (Supplemental Figure 1A and B). However, no difference in $B I R C 7$ expression was observed in these groups (Supplemental Figure 1C). In addition, between the high and low BIRC7 expression groups, we did not find any significant difference in PTEN copy number alterations (Table 1). These results suggest that $B I R C 7$ expression is irrelevant to PTEN in prostate cancer.

## BIRC7 Upregulation Is Associated With Poor RFS in Prostate Cancer

By generating Kaplan-Meier curves of RFS, we found that high BIRC7 expression was associated with unfavorable RFS in prostate cancer ( $P=.0003$; Figure 2 ). To verify the robustness of the association, we performed subgroup analysis according to T stages and tumor grades. By using the Youden Index as the
cutoff ( $>1.285 \mathrm{vs} \leq 1.285$ ), we found that BIRC7 expression was not related to RFS in patients with T2 tumors $(P=.080$; Figure 3A). However, high $B I R C 7$ expression ( $>0.92, \mathrm{~N}=$ 166) was significantly associated with poor RFS in T3/T4 tumors $(P=.0007$; Figure 3B). To explore the independent prognostic value of $B I R C 7$ in these subgroups, we then conducted univariate and multivariate analysis with Cox regression model. Results showed that $B I R C 7$ expression was not an independent indicator of RFS in T2 tumors, but was independently associated with poor RFS in T3/T4 tumors (hazard ratio [HR]: $4.249,95 \%$ confidence interval [CI]: 1.563-11.546, $P=$ .005; Table 2).

In subgroup analysis according to the Gleason score, we found that high $B I R C 7$ expression $(>2.00, \mathrm{~N}=67)$ was significantly associated with poor RFS in medium-grade tumors (Gleason score 6-7; $P=.0003$; Figure 4A), but not in highgrade tumors ( $>0.90, \mathrm{~N}=129$; Gleason score $8-10 ; P=.10$; Figure 4B). Univariate and multivariate analysis showed that BIRC7 expression was independently associated with

Table 1. The Association Between BIRC7 Expression and the Clinical Parameters in Patients With Prostate Cancer.

| Parameters |  | BIRC7 Expression |  | $\chi^{2}$ | $P$ Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High ( $\mathrm{N}=273$ ) | Low ( $\mathrm{N}=163$ ) |  |  |
| Age (Mean $\pm$ SEM) |  | $61.58 \pm 0.42$ | $59.96 \pm 0.54$ |  | . 018 |
| PSA value (Mean $\pm$ SEM) |  | $1.945 \pm 1.354$ | $0.685 \pm 0.319$ |  | . 37 |
| T stages | T2 | 102 | 73 | 2.21 | . 14 |
|  | T3/T4 | 166 | 88 |  |  |
|  | Null | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| N stages | N0 | 188 | 120 | 4.86 | . 028 |
|  | N1 | 47 | 15 |  |  |
|  | Null | 38 | 28 |  |  |
| Primary therapy outcome | CR+PR | 215 | 140 | 4.66 | . 031 |
|  | SD+PD | 34 | 10 |  |  |
|  | Discrepancy + null | 24 | 13 |  |  |
| Radiation therapy | No | 222 | 141 | 3.36 | . 067 |
|  | Yes | 40 | 14 |  |  |
|  | Discrepancy + null | 11 | 8 |  |  |
| Residual tumor | R0 | 163 | 118 | 6.40 | . 011 |
|  | $\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$ | 91 | 37 |  |  |
|  | RX + null | 19 | 8 |  |  |
| Gleason score | 6/7 | 144 | 118 | 16.43 | <. 001 |
|  | 8/9/10 | 129 | 45 |  |  |
| PTEN CNAs | Amplification | 4 | 0 | 2.42 | . 30 |
|  | Copy neutral | 179 | 109 |  |  |
|  | Deletion | 87 | 53 |  |  |
|  | Null | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Recurrence | No | 229 | 155 | 12.21 | <. 001 |
|  | Yes | 44 | 8 |  |  |

Abbreviations: CNAs, copy number alterations; CR, complete remission; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial remission; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; R0, No residual tumor; R1, Microscopic residual tumor; R2, Macroscopic residual tumor; RX, the presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed; SD, stable disease; SEM, standard error of the mean; null, no data.


Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves of RFS in prostate cancer. RFS indicates recurrence-free survival.
unfavorable RFS in medium-grade tumors (HR: $6.041,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.763-20.703, P=.004$ ), but not in high-grade tumors (Table 3).

## BIRC7 Dysregulation Is Related to Both Copy Number Alteration and Methylation

To explore the mechanisms of BIRC7 dysregulation in prostate cancer, we examined the correlation between its RNA
expression and DNA copy number alteration/methylation by using deep-sequencing data in TCGA-PRAD (Figure 5A). BIRC7 copy number alteration was quantified in 492 out of 498 patients with primary prostate cancer. Forty patients ( $8.13 \%$ ) had DNA amplification $(+1 /+2$; Figure 5 A), which was associated with significantly upregulated BIRC7 expression ( $P=.0033$; Figure 5B). The results of regression analysis showed a weak negative correlation between BIRC7 expression and its DNA methylation (Pearson $r=-0.23$; Figure 5C). These findings suggest that the expression of BIRC7 in prostate cancer is at least regulated by both copy number alteration and DNA methylation.

## Discussion

In this study, we observed that BIRC7 expression was significantly upregulated in prostate cancer tissues than in normal prostate tissues. In addition, by comparing the clinical parameters between high and low BIRC7 expression groups, we observed that the high BIRC7 expression group had lower ratios of overall response rate and medium-grade (Gleason score 6-7) tumors and higher proportions of nodal invasion and recurrence after surgery. Previous studies showed that Livin acts as a caspase inhibitor, which blocks caspase activation and further inhibits apoptosis. ${ }^{21}$ In prostate cancer, upregulated


Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curves of RFS in T2 (A) and T3/T4 (B) tumors. RFS indicates recurrence-free survival.
Table 2. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of RFS in T2 and in T3/T4 Tumors.

| Parameters | Univariate Model |  |  |  | Multivariate Model |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $P$ | HR | 95\% CI |  | $P$ | HR | 95\% CI |  |
|  |  |  | (Low | Upper) |  |  | (Low | Upper) |
| T2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (continuous) | . 386 | 1.041 | 0.951 | 1.140 |  |  |  |  |
| N status: N0 vs N1 | . 109 | 0.170 | 0.020 | 1.482 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary therapy outcome: $\mathrm{SD} / \mathrm{PD}$ vs $\mathrm{CR} / \mathrm{PR}$ | . 006 | 10.114 | 1.949 | 52.486 |  |  |  |  |
| PSA value (continuous) | . 134 | 1.230 | 0.938 | 1.613 |  |  |  |  |
| Radiation therapy: No vs Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residual tumor: $\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$ vs R0 | . 410 | 1.938 | 0.401 | 9.370 |  |  |  |  |
| Gleason score:8/9/10 vs 6/7 | . 039 | 4.002 | 1.070 | 14.975 |  |  |  |  |
| BIRC7 expression: High vs low | . 102 | 3.716 | 0.770 | 17.935 |  |  |  |  |
| T3/T4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (continuous) | . 568 | 0.986 | 0.940 | 1.034 |  |  |  |  |
| N status: N0 vs N1 | . 820 | 0.923 | 0.461 | 1.845 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary therapy outcome: SD/PD vs CR/PR | . 011 | 2.276 | 1.203 | 4.308 | . 358 | 1.394 | 0.686 | 2.832 |
| PSA value (continuous) | . 027 | 1.043 | 1.005 | 1.082 | . 014 | 1.057 | 1.011 | 1.105 |
| Radiation therapy: No vs Yes | . 339 | 0.695 | 0.330 | 1.464 |  |  |  |  |
| Residual tumor: $\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$ vs R0 | . 012 | 2.214 | 1.187 | 4.129 | . 136 | 1.692 | 0.848 | 3.376 |
| Gleason score: 8/9/10 vs 6/7 | <. 001 | 4.505 | 2.004 | 10.128 | . 027 | 2.634 | 1.118 | 6.205 |
| BIRC7 expression: High vs Low | . 002 | 3.999 | 1.685 | 9.490 | . 005 | 4.249 | 1.563 | 11.546 |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CR, complete remission; HR, hazard ratio; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial remission; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; RFS, recurrence-free survival.


Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier curves of RFS in medium-grade (A) and high-grade (B) tumors. RFS indicates recurrence-free survival.

Table 3. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of RFS in Medium-Grade and High-Grade Tumors.

| Parameters | Univariate Model |  |  |  | Multivariate Model |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $P$ | HR | 95\% CI |  | $P$ | HR | 95\% CI (Lower/Upper) |  |
|  |  |  | (Lower/Upper) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gleason score 6/7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (continuous) | . 277 | 1.048 | 0.963 | 1.140 |  |  |  |  |
| T stages: T3/T4 vs T2 | . 366 | 1.701 | 0.538 | 5.380 |  |  |  |  |
| N status: N0 vs N1 | . 388 | 0.403 | 0.051 | 3.180 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary therapy outcome: D/PD vs CR/PR | . 015 | 6.682 | 1.447 | 30.863 | . 110 | 3.595 | 0.749 | 17.264 |
| PSA value (continuous) | . 983 | 1.006 | 0.582 | 1.740 |  |  |  |  |
| Radiation therapy: No vs Yes | . 390 | 0.404 | 0.051 | 3.181 |  |  |  |  |
| Residual tumor: $\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$ vs R0 | . 273 | 1.959 | 0.589 | 6.522 |  |  |  |  |
| BIRC7 expression: High vs Low | . 002 | 6.716 | 2.015 | 22.381 | . 004 | 6.041 | 1.763 | 20.703 |
| Gleason score 8/9/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (continuous) | . 399 | 0.980 | 0.936 | 1.027 |  |  |  |  |
| T stages: T3/T4 vs T2 | . 184 | 2.020 | 0.715 | 5.707 |  |  |  |  |
| N status: N0 vs N1 | . 508 | 1.271 | 0.626 | 2.581 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary therapy outcome: $\mathrm{SD} / \mathrm{PD}$ vs $\mathrm{CR} / \mathrm{PR}$ | . 211 | 1.518 | 0.789 | 2.920 |  |  |  |  |
| PSA value (continuous) | . 085 | 1.034 | 0.995 | 1.073 |  |  |  |  |
| Radiation therapy: No vs Yes | . 855 | 0.934 | 0.452 | 1.932 |  |  |  |  |
| Residual tumor: $\mathrm{R} 1+\mathrm{R} 2$ vs R0 | . 025 | 2.123 | 1.099 | 4.099 |  |  |  |  |
| BIRC7 expression: High vs Low | . 111 | 2.154 | 0.838 | 5.538 |  |  |  |  |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CR, complete remission; HR, hazard ratio; PD, progressive disease; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; RFS, recurrence-free survival; SD, stable disease.


Figure 5. The association between BIRC7 expression and its DNA copy number alteration/methylation. A, Heat map showing the correlation between BIRC7 expression and its DNA copy number alteration/methylation; -2: homozygous deletion; -1 : heterozygous Loss, 0 : copyneutral; +1 : low-level copy gain; +2 : high-level amplification. B , Comparison of BIRC7 expression in groups with different BIRC7 copy number alteration. C, Regression analysis of the correlation between BIRC7 expression and its DNA methylation.

Livin- $\alpha$ can facilitate $S$ phase entry in prostate cancer cells and subsequently enhance their proliferation and survival. ${ }^{9}$ It can also enhance prostate cancer cell invasion via NF-кB signaling, and the downstream FN and CXCR4 pathway. ${ }^{10,11}$ These mechanisms help to explain the oncogenic properties of BIRC7 in prostate cancer.

As an oncogene, the independent prognostic value of $B I R C 7$ was also observed in several cancers. In patients with rectal cancer, Livin expression was associated with unfavorable OS independent of TNM stage, local and distant recurrence, grade of differentiation, gender, and age. ${ }^{22}$ In squamous cell/adenosquamous carcinomas and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder, $B I R C 7$ expression was an independent poor prognostic factor in terms of postoperative survival. ${ }^{7}$ Although the oncogenic effects of BIRC7 have been reported in prostate cancer, its prognostic value has not been explored. In fact, current prognostic tools such as clinicopathological parameters lack sufficient accuracy to effectively predict recurrence in prostate cancer. ${ }^{23}$ For example, PSA is one of the most important screening tools for prostate cancer. But its prognostic value is debated controversially due to limited specificity and imprecise prediction of tumor aggressiveness. ${ }^{24}$ Therefore, it is necessary to explore other potential prognostic biomarkers for both early predictions and proactive use of adjuvant therapeutic options before frank recurrence. In this study, we further assessed the independent prognostic value of BIRC7 in terms of RFS. Although Kaplan-Meier curves of RFS showed that high BIRC7 expression was generally associated with poor RFS, the following subgroup analysis only confirmed the association in T3/T4 and in medium-grade tumors. Multivariate analysis showed that BIRC7 expression was not an independent indicator of RFS in T2 or in high-grade tumors, even under the best cutoff model. In comparison, high $\operatorname{BIRC} 7$ expression was independently associated with poor RFS in T3/T4 tumors (HR: $4.249,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.563-11.546, P=.005$ ) and in mediumgrade tumors (HR: 6.041, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.763-20.703, P=.004$ ). These findings suggest that BIRC7 expression might serve as a valuable biomarker of recurrence risk in advanced T stages and medium-grade prostate cancer. However, due to insufficiency data of OS in TCGA-PRAD, we failed to evaluate the prognostic value of $B I R C 7$ in terms of OS.

Previous studies suggest that Livin is a potential therapeutic target in some cancers. ${ }^{2}$ Some therapeutic strategies for its inhibition, such as antisense oligonucleotides, small-molecule inhibitors, and immune-mediated approaches have been tested in in vitro cell models and in vivo animal models and showed certain therapeutic values. ${ }^{2,25}$ In this study, we found that high BIRC7 expression was associated with poor therapeutic responses in prostate cancer. In addition, some previous studies found that Livin inhibition could also significantly inhibit prostate cancer cell proliferation and enhance apoptosis. ${ }^{9,12}$ Therefore, it is meaningful to further explore the potential of Livin inhibition as a therapeutic option in prostate cancer in the future. Although we found that the expression of BIRC7 in prostate cancer is at least regulated by both copy number alteration and DNA methylation, we could not
exclude other genetic or epigenetic mechanisms influencing its transcription and translations. Thus, it is also necessary to investigate other potential mechanisms underlying its dysregulation in prostate cancer.

## Conclusion

BIRC7 upregulation might serve as a valuable biomarker of increased recurrence risk in advanced T stages and mediumgrade prostate cancer, which is at least regulated by both copy number alteration and DNA methylation.
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