

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Annals of Oncology

Zeuli), residents (Antonella Cosimati, Vittoria Barberi, Mattia Di Civita, Federica Riva, Maria Teresa Maccallini, Gariazzo Ludovica), nurses of Medical Oncology 1 Unit, hospital pharmacists, medical direction members and data managers (Elisabetta Bozzoli, Alessandra Zambardi, Viviana Cangiano, Barbara Conforti) of IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute in Rome, Italy for their commitment to the COVID-19 vaccination campaign for patients with cancer. We thank the patients afferent to our Unit and their families.

FUNDING

None.

DISCLOSURE

VDN received speakers' fee from AstraZeneca, MSD, BMS, Istituto Gentili and Boehringer Ingelheim; grant consultancies from AstraZeneca, MSD, BMS and Boehringer Ingelheim; travels' fee from MSD and Boehringer Ingelheim; and institutional research grants from Roche. FC is a member of the advisory board of GSK, Roche, AstraZeneca and Eli-Lilly; received speakers' fee from GSK, Roche, AstraZeneca, Eli-Lilly, Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, MSD, BMS, Astellas and Eli-Lilly. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Di Noia V, Pimpinelli F, Renna D, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b2 for patients with solid cancer: a large cohort prospective study from a single institution. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2021. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-2439.
- CDC. Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of COVID-19 Vaccines Currently Authorized in the United States. Available at https://www.cdc. gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html. Accessed August 17, 2021.

Six month immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA-BNT162b2 vaccine in actively treated cancer patients: updated results of the Vax-On study



The prospective Vax-On study was conducted at our institution as part of introducing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) mRNA-BNT162b2 (tozinameran) vaccination in actively treated cancer patients. Our preliminary findings confirmed a favorable safety profile and suggested that proximity to treatment hampers immune response to the first vaccine dose (timepoint-2). The second dose induced an exponential rise in anti-Spike protein immunoglobulin G (IgG) titer and seroconversion rates up to >90%, abrogating the disparity between the cohorts (timepoint-3).¹ Herein, we report on antibody response assessment scheduled 6 months after the first tozinameran dose (timepoint-4).

The Vax-On study has already been described in its design and eligibility criteria. We carried out the same

statistical analysis using SPSS software (Version 23, Armonk, NY), with all tests run two-sided and a *P* value <0.05 considered significant. The SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant assay on ARCHITECT i2000sr automated platform (Abbott Laboratories, Diagnostics Division, Sligo, Ireland) was used for quantitative detection of anti-Spike protein IgG antibodies in human serum or plasma. The study received ethics committee approval (protocol N.595/CE Lazio1) and registration (EudraCT number 2021-002611-54).¹ Following the original experimental design, patients on active treatment within 28 days of timepoint-4 represented the exposed cohort (ExC) compared with the control cohort (CC) of those who had discontinued by at least 28 days.

The present analysis involved 311 patients, including 203 in the ExC, all of whom remained on active treatment from timepoint-3, and 108 in the CC. Supplementary Table S1 and Figure S1, available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc. 2021.12.001, show the baseline characteristics and reasons for missing assessments at each timepoint. The median IgG titer [CC 52 BAU/ml; 95% confidence interval (CI), 30.5-62.8 BAU/ml (370 AU/ml; 95% CI, 218-449 AU/ml) versus ExC 51 BAU/ml; 95% CI, 39.3-68.2 BAU/ml (367 AU/ml; 95% CI, 281-487 AU/ml), P = 0.50], median log lgG titer (P = 0.93, Figure 1A), and seroconversion rates (CC 88% versus ExC 88.2%, P = 0.54, Figure 1B) did not differ at timepoint-4. Compared with timepoint-3, paired assessment at timepoint-4 revealed a significant four- to sixfold decrease in median IgG titer within the same cohort (Figure 1A, P < 0.001), with no difference for seroconversion rates (CC, P = 0.77; ExC, P = 0.11; Figure 1B; Supplementary Table S2, available at https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.annonc.2021.12.001). Univariate comparison with the CC at timepoint-4 showed a significantly higher IgG titer for targeted therapy subgroup (P = 0.039), with a lower estimate for chemotherapy and biological agent subgroup (P = 0.035, Supplementary Table S2, available at https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.annonc.2021.12.001). Multivariate analysis was carried out by fitting a generalized linear model on log IgG titer and seroconversion response as a function of covariates significantly associated with immunogenicity after previous evaluation. Antibody response did not differ according to antineoplastic treatment subgroup (Supplementary Table S3, available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.12.001). Male sex (P = 0.002) and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 2 (ECOG-PS2) (P = 0.02) were both significantly associated with lower log IgG titer, but only initial corticosteroid therapy was also related to lack of seroconversion (P = 0.005). Of note, only one case of mild coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection was documented in the entire patient group after the second tozinameran dose.

The current study is an extensive, longitudinal follow-up study of tozinameran immunogenicity in patients with actively treated solid malignancies. Our results suggest that proximity to cancer treatment does not affect sero-conversion response, which remains adequate even 5 months after the second vaccine dose. In contrast to healthy adults given full mRNA vaccine schedule,²



Figure 1. Six-month follow-up of antibody responses to full schedule of tozinameran vaccine.

(A) Comparison of violin plot distributions and medians of anti-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Spike protein immunoglobulin G (IgG) titers (logarithmic values). Bars represent median values with 95% confidence interval. (B) Comparison of seroconversion response rates at a cut-off of 50 AU/ml. Timepoint-3: antibody response assessment at 8 weeks after the second tozinameran dose; timepoint-4: antibody response assessment at 6 months after the first tozinameran dose; control cohort: patients with discontinuation of active treatment at least 28 days before assessment of antibody titer at 6 months after the first tozinameran dose; exposed cohort: patients on active treatment within 28 days of antibody titer assessment at 6 months after the first tozinameran dose.

antibody titer decreased markedly over time. Present data on antibody response and seroconversion are broadly consistent with the results of two comparable studies.³⁻⁴ Multivariate analysis ruled out the predictive value of a specific type of cancer treatment but suggested a potential detrimental effect of corticosteroid therapy, male sex, and ECOG-PS2 on humoral response. Given the ongoing debate about the protective role of antibody titer,⁵ these findings, along with the deployment of reliable assays for cellular immunity, may provide additional evidence in favor of the third dose of vaccine already approved for actively treated cancer patients. F. Nelli^{1*}, A. Fabbri¹, A. Onorato¹, D. Giannarelli², M. A. Silvestri³, G. Pessina⁴, J. R. Giron Berrios¹,
A. Virtuoso¹, E. Marrucci¹, M. Schirripa¹, M. Mazzotta¹,
V. Panichi³, P. Cercola⁴, C. Signorelli¹, M. G. Chilelli¹,
F. Primi¹ & E. M. Ruggeri¹

¹Department of Oncology and Hematology, Medical Oncology Unit, Central Hospital of Belcolle, Viterbo; ²Clinical Trial Center, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics Unit, Scientific Direction, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome;

³Department of Oncology and Hematology, Microbiology and Virology Unit, Central Hospital of Belcolle, Viterbo;

Annals of Oncology

⁴Department of Oncology and Hematology, Molecular Biology and Covid Diagnostics, Central Hospital of Belcolle, Viterbo, Italy (*E-mail: fabrizio.nelli@asl.vt.it).

Available online 10 December 2021

© 2021 European Society for Medical Oncology. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.12.001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is dedicated to all cancer patients who have died due to COVID-19, whose indelible memory strengthens scientific research.

FUNDING

None declared.

DISCLORURE

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nelli F, Fabbri A, Onorato A, et al. Effects of active cancer treatment on safety and immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA-BNT162b2 vaccine: preliminary results from the prospective observational Vax-On study. *Ann Oncol.* 2022;33.
- Goel RR, Painter MM, Apostolidis SA, et al. mRNA vaccines induce durable immune memory to SARS-CoV-2 and variants of concern. *Science*. 2021;374.
- Eliakim-Raz N, Massarweh A, Stemmer A, Stemmer SM. Durability of response to SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 vaccination in patients on active anticancer treatment. *JAMA Oncol.* 2021;7:1716-1718.
- Waldhorn I, Holland R, Goshen-Lago T, et al. Six-month efficacy and toxicity profile of BNT162b2 vaccine in cancer patients with solid tumors. *Cancer Discov.* 2021;11:2430-2435.
- Harvey RA, Rassen JA, Kabelac CA, et al. Association of SARS-CoV-2 seropositive antibody test with risk of future infection. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181:672-679.