

## The Relationship between Arterial Elasticity Parameters of Ascending Aorta, Abdominal Aorta and Carotid Arteries with Carotid Intima Media Thickness in Children with Bicuspid Aortic Valve

ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Bicuspid aortic valve is a congenital cardiac malformation that affects not only the valve and ascending aorta but also the abdominal aorta and large central arteries like carotid arteries by damaging the elasticity of the vessel resulting in increased stiffness and reduced distensibility. Deterioration of aortic compliance disturbs functions of the left ventricle and triggers atherosclerosis determined with carotid intima-media thickness. The aim of this study was to assess the effect of the bicuspid aortic valve on the elastic properties of these parts of the arterial system in children.

**Methods:** Thirty-four children with bicuspid aortic valves with normal valvular functions or mild valvular dysfunction and a control group of 34 individuals with tricuspid aortic valves were included in the study. Echocardiographic measurements of the left ventricle, ascending aorta, and ultrasonographic measurements of the abdominal aorta and carotid arteries were performed, and elasticity indexes were calculated.

**Results:** The bicuspid aortic valve group had higher stiffness and lower distensibility in ascending aorta, abdominal aorta, and carotid arteries with higher carotid intima-media thickness values than the tricuspid aortic valve group. Aortic valvular z scores and ascending aorta and abdominal aorta stiffness were higher in patients with bicuspid aortic valves irrespective of valvular functions than in controls. Valvular dysfunction affected stiffness in carotid arteries. Dilatation of ascending aorta increased stiffness in the abdominal aorta. Distensibility was lower in ascending aorta and left carotid artery, with increased carotid intima-media thickness independent from ascending aorta dilatation. Stiffness of abdominal aorta revealed a positive correlation with the stiffness of the ascending aorta and the carotid arteries ( $P < .05$ , for all).

**Conclusions:** Elasticity indexes of children with bicuspid aortic valves were impaired in ascending aorta, abdominal aorta, and carotid arteries with an increase in carotid intima-media thickness.

**Keywords:** Abdominal aorta, aorta, bicuspid aortic valve, carotid intima-media thickness, children, echocardiography, elasticity, valvular and congenital heart disease

### INTRODUCTION

Bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) represents the most common congenital cardiac malformation in the general population, with a reported incidence of 0.5-2%.<sup>1-4</sup> Bicuspid aortic valve is the result of an altered aortic cusp formation process during valvulogenesis. It can manifest with or without raphe and is characterized by the presence of 2 commissures and 2 aortic cusps.<sup>1,5-9</sup>

Bicuspid aortic valve syndrome affects both the aortic valve and aortic wall. Valvular complications such as aortic stenosis (AS), aortic insufficiency (AI), and infective endocarditis can be seen when the valve is affected, while aortic coarctation, dilatation, and dissection can be present when the aortic wall is involved.<sup>10-15</sup> Valvular and vascular hemodynamics may vary, depending on commissural fusion.<sup>1</sup> Fusion of right and non-coronary (R-NC) cusps usually

Ajda Mutlu Mihçioğlu <sup>ID</sup><sup>1</sup>

Fezay Aysenur Paç <sup>ID</sup><sup>1</sup>

Muharrem Tola <sup>ID</sup><sup>2</sup>

Ahmet Vedat Kavurt <sup>ID</sup><sup>1</sup>

Serhat Koca <sup>ID</sup><sup>1</sup>

Denizhan Bağrul <sup>ID</sup><sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Türkiye Yüksek İhtisas Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Türkiye Yüksek İhtisas Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

**Corresponding author:**

Ajda Mutlu Mihçioğlu

✉ ajdamutlu@yahoo.com

**Received:** June 15, 2021

**Accepted:** February 2, 2022

**Available Online Date:** May 8, 2022

**Cite this article as:** Mutlu Mihçioğlu A, Paç FA, Tola M, Kavurt AV, Koca S, Bağrul D. The relationship between arterial elasticity parameters of ascending aorta, abdominal aorta and carotid arteries with carotid intima media thickness in children with bicuspid aortic valve. *Anatol J Cardiol.* 2022;26(6):466-475.



Copyright@Author(s) - Available online at anatoljcardiol.com.  
Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

results in valvular pathology, while fusion of right and left (R-L) cusps is usually associated with vascular pathology.<sup>1</sup> Aortic insufficiency is reported to be more prominent with R-L cusp fusion, while AS is more prominent with R-NC cusp fusion.<sup>16</sup>

Aortic dissection and rupture are life-threatening complications in patients with BAV, although these are rare in childhood. Aortic dissection and rupture are more frequently seen in patients with ascending aortic (AAo) dilatation but are not always associated with dilatation, and arterial elasticity problems should therefore also be evaluated. Stiffness (SI) and distensibility (DIS) parameters are usually used for this purpose. Stiffness is related to compliance, the absolute change in arterial volume that reflects the arterial ability to store volume and reduce pressures. Lower compliance reflects increased stiffness. Distensibility refers to the relative change in arterial volume against the change in pressure and reflects the mechanical load placed on the arterial wall.<sup>1,17,18</sup> The dissection risk increases when SI increases and DIS decreases.<sup>1,17</sup> Increased SI is associated with aortopathy and endothelial dysfunction in patients with BAV.<sup>18</sup> These can affect not only the AAo but also the other central arteries such as abdominal aorta (AbdAo) and carotid arteries (CAs).

Elasticity parameters have usually been investigated in adult patients with BAV. However, the number of studies evaluating the relationship between BAV and aortic diameters and elasticity indexes in children is limited. The risk of ascending aortic dilatation in children, risk factors for rapid progression, and appropriate methods for follow-up in children have not been clearly identified. Moreover, only 1 study to date has investigated the elasticity indexes of the abdominal aorta in children with BAV.<sup>19</sup> No studies have evaluated carotid arterial elasticity properties and carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) in children with BAV.

## HIGHLIGHTS

- This is the first study to evaluate the ascending and abdominal aorta and carotid arteries together with carotid intima-media thickness in addition to the aortic valve and left ventricle in children with bicuspid aortic valve.
- Aortic valves with normal functioning and/or mild dysfunction and also without ascending aortic dilatation may exhibit impairment in aortic elasticity parameters. Impairment in elasticity may be present not only in ascending aorta but also in the other parts of the aorta and in large central arteries and may trigger atherosclerosis.
- Therefore, detection of clear and definitive impaired elasticity at early ages may help us in the selection of patients who may benefit from closer follow-up, especially in terms of cardiovascular risk prevention, and eventually preventive drug therapy if indicated.

This is the first study to evaluate AAo, CAs, and AbdAo together with CIMT in addition to the aortic valve and left ventricle in children with BAV. The purpose of this study was to evaluate valvular and ventricular functions and vascular changes in these vessels in terms of diameters and elasticity indexes.

## METHODS

Thirty-four children patients with BAV and a control group of 34 individuals were included in the study, which was performed in a pediatric cardiology department. Detailed patient and family histories were taken, physical examinations were performed, and blood pressure, height, weight measurements, blood analysis, and 12-channel ECG records were recorded. Transthoracic two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography and Doppler echocardiographic evaluations were performed for all participants.

Individuals aged between 0 and 18 years, with normofunctional, mild AI (vena contracta <2 mm)<sup>10,17</sup> and mild stenosis (peak flow velocity <3 m/s)<sup>10,17</sup> valves who were consenting to participate were included in the study. The control group consisted of individuals with tricuspid aortic valve (TAV), matched with the patient group in terms of body surface area (BSA), age, and sex, who were consulted with the pediatric cardiology clinic for various reasons and with normal echocardiographic findings.

Children with BAV who were refusing to take part, BAV with moderate or severe valve stenosis, BAV more than minimal AI, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, cardiovascular drug use, cardiomyopathy, genetic cardiovascular disease (Marfan syndrome, Turner syndrome, or Noonan syndrome), with other congenital or acquired heart diseases (aortic coarctation, endocarditis or a history of aortic balloon valvuloplasty), or a decreased left ventricular ejection fraction <55%<sup>10,17,19</sup> were excluded.

## Study Protocol

All patients underwent clinical evaluations, echocardiographic study, and ultrasonographic study. Blood pressure was measured with a sphygmomanometer by placing an age-appropriate cuff on the patient's right arm. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were recorded as the averages of 3 separate measurements<sup>19</sup> like all echocardiographic and ultrasonographic measurements. The systolic and diastolic diameters of the vessels were calculated in M-mode. Systolic diameter (S) and diastolic diameter (D) values were then taken from inner face to inner face to calculate the elasticity parameters.<sup>20</sup> Diastolic diameter was measured at the R peak of ECG, and systolic diameter at the maximal anterior motion of the artery. The stiffness index and distensibility of all the arteries investigated in this study were calculated with the same formula.<sup>19,21-26</sup> The following indices of aortic elasticity were calculated:

Beta stiffness index =  $\ln(\text{systolic pressure} / \text{diastolic pressure}) / (\text{systolic diameter} - \text{diastolic diameter}) / \text{diastolic diameter}$

Distensibility =  $2 \times (\text{systolic diameter} - \text{diastolic diameter}) / \text{diastolic diameter} / (\text{systolic pressure} - \text{diastolic pressure})$

### Echocardiographic Study

The evaluation was performed in the left lateral decubitus position using a GE Vivid 7 Ultrasound device (GE Medical Systems, Horten, Norway) and a 2.5-3.5 MHz transducer with a transthoracic approach in both groups. Measurements were performed using 2D echocardiography and Doppler echocardiography. The bicuspid aortic valve was diagnosed based on the short-axis image of the aortic valve showing the presence of 2 commissures and 2 aortic valve cusps. Pulse wave (PW) and color Doppler were used to identify the aortic flow velocity, peak gradient, and degree of AI. The presence of AI was assessed on color Doppler images using standard criteria.<sup>21,27</sup> Bicuspid aortic valve with AI, AS, and AI+AS were defined as BAV with valvular dysfunction (BAV-DYSF). Bicuspid aortic valve with normal functions was defined as BAV with normal function (BAV-NF). Aortic measurements were taken using 2D echocardiography at 4 different levels—annulus, sinus of Valsalva (SVS), sinotubular junction (STJ), and ascending aorta (AAo) at end-diastole.<sup>20</sup> Measurements were normalized in accordance with BSA using z-scores with the Halifax method. BSA was calculated using the Haycock method.<sup>28</sup> Z-scores between -2 and 2 were defined as normal, and z-scores > 2 as dilated for each level of the aorta. Patients with AAo z scores >2 were defined as BAV with AAo dilatation (BAV-D), and AAo z scores <2 as BAV with non-dilated AAo (BAV-ND). Left ventricular systolic functions were measured on the parasternal long-axis using M mode.<sup>21,29</sup> Mitral early diastolic flow velocity (E), its decreasing time (EDT) and late diastolic flow velocity (A), and the duration (A duration) were measured with the sample volume of PW placed on the level of the mitral annulus in apical 4-chamber view.<sup>30</sup> M-mode records were taken at a rate of 50 mm/sec and Doppler records at a rate of 100 mm/sec.<sup>29,30</sup>

Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI): Tissue Doppler imaging was recorded at a frame rate of >180 fr/sec and narrowest image sector angle (usually 30°) in an apical 4-chamber view. A sample volume was placed on the conjunction of the septal and lateral walls of the left ventricle with the mitral annulus. Peak septal and lateral early diastolic wave velocity (E' septal and E' lateral), peak septal and lateral late diastolic wave velocity (A' septal and A' lateral), E/A ratio, septal and lateral E'/E' ratios, and septal and lateral isovolumetric relaxation times (IVRT septal and IVRT lateral) were obtained.<sup>10,31</sup>

Ascending aorta elasticity measurements: The measurements were performed during an echocardiographic study. The probe was placed perpendicular to the AAo at the level of the right pulmonary artery and systolic and diastolic measurements of the vessel were obtained to calculate elasticity.<sup>21,22</sup>

### Evaluation of CAs with Elasticity Measurements and Intima-Media Thickness

Carotid arteries were evaluated using a LOGIQ 7 ultrasonography device and a 7-12 Mhz linear probe. Patients were placed in the supine position with the head in hyperextension. CA diameter and CIMT measurements were performed on the same arterial segment, 1-2 cm proximal to the bifurcation of the right and left CAs to measure the arterial

diameters.<sup>22-24,26</sup> Carotid artery diameters were evaluated with 20 fr/sec for 10 seconds, while CIMT was evaluated with a frequency of 100 Mhz. Carotid intima-media thickness is defined as the distance from the leading edge of the lumen-intima interface to the media-adventitia interface of this wall. It was measured on the far and near walls of both the left and right CAs at end-diastole in the longitudinal and transverse planes using anterior, lateral, and posterior approaches.<sup>22,26,32,33</sup>

### Evaluation of Abdominal Aorta with Elasticity Measurements

Abdominal aortic measurements were made in the supine position using LOGIQ 7 ultrasonography and a 3.5 MHz convex probe. The probe was placed on the subxiphoid area by viewing the AbdAo on the short axis and aortic diameters were measured.<sup>19,22</sup>

### Statistics

All analyses were performed on Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 11.5 statistical software. Frequency and percentage were used for categorical variables. Data were expressed by using mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum values for continuous variables. Normal distribution of continuous variables was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The relationship between categorical variables was assessed using the chi-square test. Continuous independent variables exhibiting normal distribution were evaluated using the t-test for 2-group comparisons, and with analysis of variance for more than 2 groups. Non-normally distributed variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test for 2 independent groups, and with the Kruskal-Wallis test for more than 2 groups. Correlation analysis for non-normally distributed variables was performed using Spearman correlation analysis. The post hoc Tukey test was performed for individual group differences in group comparisons. Ratios were compared using a 4 × 2 cross table and the chi-square test. P values <.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

### RESULTS

No differences were determined in terms of age, gender, BSA, arterial blood pressure, or lipid parameters between patients with BAV and TAV. C-reactive protein and glucose values differed between the 2 groups but were still within normal ranges in both. Patients with BAV exhibited higher pulse pressure (PP) (P = .01) (Table 1).

Left ventricular diameters were similar between the groups. However, EDT and IVRT septal were longer (P = .020 and P = .030, respectively) in BAV patients compared to TAV. E' septal was lower and E'/E' septal was higher in patients with BAV than in TAV (P = .040, P = .010). Aortic diameters reflected by z-scores of the annulus, SVS, STJ, and AAo were higher in BAV children than in controls (Table 2).

Systolic and diastolic diameters of AAo were higher (P < .05 for both) in BAV patients with respect to controls, but AAo S-D was similar between the groups. Systolic and diastolic diameters of CAs were similar, while S-D was lower in both of the CAs in BAV than in TAV. Both of the CAs, AbdAo,

**Table 1. Demographic and Laboratory Parameters of the Patients**

	BAV (n=34) (mean ± SD)	TAV (n=34) (mean ± SD)	P
Age (years)	11.19 ± 4.37	10.88 ± 4.18	.840
Gender (F/M)	10/24	10/24	
BSA (m <sup>2</sup> )	1.24 ± 0.36	1.25 ± 0.36	.880
SBP (mmHg)	101.94 ± 9.79	99.50 ± 7.71	.250
DBP (mmHg)	59.50 ± 7.59	61.58 ± 5.76	.200
PP (mmHg)	42.44 ± 6.69	37.91 ± 7.49	<b>.010</b>
Glucose (mg/dL)	90.00 ± 8.49	93.69 ± 6.15	<b>.040</b>
LDL (mg/dL)	77.50 ± 22.20	81.03 ± 22.72	.520
Total cholesterol	150.29 ± 23.85	156.63 ± 25.39	.290
Triglyceride	85.02 ± 36.30	74.42 ± 28.87	.190
CRP (mg/dL)	2.22 ± 1.55	1.55 ± 0.67	<b>.020</b>

**Significant P value <.05.**

BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; BSA, body surface area; CRP, C-reactive protein; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; F, female; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; M, male; n, number; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TAV, tricuspid aortic valve.

and AAO had higher SI and lower DIS in patients with BAV than in TAV ( $P < .05$ , for all). Carotid intima-media thickness of the near wall of the right carotid artery (RCA) and both near and far walls of the left carotid artery (LCA) were higher in BAV patients than in controls ( $P = .001$ , for all) (Table 3).

Valve dysfunction was present in 26 of 34 of the patients with BAV. Fifty percent of BAV had raphe between R and NC cusps and 47% between R and L cusps. Raphe was present between R and NC cusps in 16 of the patients with valve dysfunction, and between R and L cusps in 9. In addition, 50% of the patients had AI, 5% had AS and 20% had AI+AS (Table 4).

Bicuspid aortic valve with no and mild dysfunction had higher PP compared to TAV ( $P = .040$ , for both). The z-scores of the annulus, SVS, STJ, and AAO were higher in both BAV-NF and BAV-DYSF groups with respect to controls ( $P = .001$ , for annulus, SVS, STJ;  $P = .010$  for AAO). Beta stiffness index of AAO and AbdAo were higher in both BAV-DYSF and BAV-NF groups compared to controls ( $P = .001$  and  $P = .020$ ). Both of the CAs demonstrated higher SI in BAV-DYSF group than in TAV ( $P < .05$ , for all) (Table 5).

Half of the patients had AAO dilatation. Fifty-three percent of the patients with BAV having AAO dilatation had raphe between the R and L cusps, and 41% of dilated AAO had raphe between the R and NC cusps (Table 6).

Bicuspid aortic valve with both dilated and non-dilated AAO had lower DIS than controls ( $P = .010$  and  $P = .001$ , respectively). The difference between systolic and diastolic diameters of AAO was higher in BAV-D than in BAV-ND ( $P = .050$ ). The difference between systolic and diastolic diameters of both of the CAs was lower in BAV-D than in TAV ( $P = .016$ ,

**Table 2. Two-dimensional, Doppler, and Tissue Doppler Parameters in the Patients**

	BAV (Mean ± SD)/(Median (Min/Max) (n=34)	TAV (Mean ± SD)/(Median (Min/Max) (n=34)	P
IVSDd (cm)	0.88 ± 0.18	0.83 ± 0.19	.270
LVEDd (cm)	3.87 ± 0.44	3.85 ± 0.63	.870
LPWDd (cm)	0.79 ± 0.19	0.75 ± 0.16	.330
FS (%)	40.20 ± 4.14	38.73 ± 3.22	.100
E (m/s)	1.03 ± 0.14	1.01 ± 0.15	.450
A (m/s)	0.58 ± 0.12	0.55 ± 0.09	.270
E/A	1.79 ± 0.41	1.86 ± 0.40	.440
E/E' lateral	6.35 ± 1.74	5.88 ± 1.25	.230
EDT (ms)	157.12 ± 35.20	141.85 ± 18.59	<b>.020</b>
A duration (ms)	143.90 ± 0.03	138.52 ± 0.61	.270
E' lateral (m/s)	0.18 ± 0.11	0.17 ± 0.03	.710
A' lateral (m/s)	0.14 ± 0.21	0.08 ± 0.09	.130
IVRT lateral (ms)	59.69 ± 12.20	59.76 ± 8.9	.970
E/E' septal	8.15 ± 1.84	7.11 ± 1.37	<b>.010</b>
E' septal (m/s)	0.13 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	<b>.040</b>
A' septal (m/s)	0.06 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	.600
IVRT septal (ms)	67.65 ± 14.23	61.13 ± 9.12	<b>.030</b>
Ann z-score	1.32 (-1.36/3.85)	-0.29 (-2.16/1.95)	<b>.001</b>
SVS z-score	0.92 (-1.86/3.94)	0.01 (-1.91/2.22)	<b>.005</b>
STJ z-score	0.09 (-2.68/2.73)	-0.56 (-2.59/2.05)	<b>.005</b>
AAo z-score	1.94 (-2.05/5.91)	-0.39 (-1.75/1.98)	<b>.001</b>

**Significant P value <.05.**

A, late diastolic flow velocity; A', peak late diastolic wave velocity; AAO, ascending aorta; Ann, annulus; BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; E, mitral early diastolic flow velocity; E', peak early diastolic wave velocity; EDT, mitral E wave decreasing time; FS, fractional shortening; IVRT, isovolumetric relaxation time; IVSDd, interventricular septal diastolic diameter; LPWDd, left posterior wall diastolic diameter; LVEDd, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; n, number; TAV, tricuspid aortic valve; STJ, sinotubular junction; SVS, sinus of Valsalva



**Table 3. Arterial Diameters and Elasticity Parameters of Aortic and Carotid Arteries of the Patients**

	BAV (mean ± SD) (n=34)	TAV (mean ± SD) (n=34)	P
AAo S (mm)	24.00 ± 5.20	20.90 ± 3.60	.007
AAo D (mm)	20.90 ± 4.80	17.5 ± 3.3	.001
AAo S-D (mm)	3.10 ± 1.10	3.40 ± 0.9	.810
AAo SI	4.48 ± 2.86	2.75 ± 1.46	.003
AAo DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	7.53 ± 3.47	10.62 ± 3.43	.001
RCa S (mm)	5.82 ± 0.45	5.93 ± 0.50	.356
RCa D (mm)	4.95 ± 0.44	4.87 ± 0.46	.476
RCa S-D (mm)	0.86 ± 0.26	1.05 ± 0.25	.004
RCa SI	3.41 ± 1.01	2.40 ± 0.81	.001
RCa DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	8.51 ± 3.32	11.97 ± 4.18	.001
LCa S (mm)	5.77 ± 0.50	5.76 ± 0.54	.920
LCa D (mm)	4.93 ± 0.47	4.73 ± 0.48	.090
LCa S-D (mm)	0.83 ± 0.21	1.02 ± 0.26	.002
LCa SI	3.58 ± 1.39	2.46 ± 1.12	.001
LCa DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	8.38 ± 3.03	12.01 ± 4.25	.001
AbdAo S (mm)	11.15 ± 2.89	10.51 ± 2.50	.341
AbdAo D (mm)	9.01 ± 2.62	8.23 ± 1.98	.173
AbdAo S-D (mm)	2.13 ± 0.75	2.28 ± 0.79	.432
AbdAo SI	2.81 ± 1.77	1.86 ± 0.63	.005
AbdAo DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	12.30 ± 5.67	15.20 ± 4.73	.027
RfCIMT (mm)	0.42 ± 0.04	0.41 ± 0.10	.480
RnCIMT (mm)	0.44 ± 0.04	0.40 ± 0.02	.001
LfCIMT (mm)	0.43 ± 0.04	0.39 ± 0.02	.001
LnCIMT (mm)	0.42 ± 0.04	0.39 ± 0.02	.001

**Significant P value <.05.**

AbdAo, abdominal aorta; AAo, ascending Aorta; BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; D, diastolic diameter; DIS, distensibility; LCa, left carotid artery; LfCIMT, left carotid artery far wall intima media thickness; LnCIMT, left carotid artery near wall intima media thickness; n, number; RCa, right carotid artery; RfCIMT, right carotid artery far wall intima media thickness; RnCIMT, right carotid artery near wall intima media thickness; S, systolic diameter; S-D, difference in diameter; SI, stiffness index; TAV, tricuspid aortic valve.

**Table 4. Valvular Functions in Patients with BAV Based on Raphe Location**

Raphe in BAV	BAV-NF (n=8)	BAV-DYSF (n=26)	
		AI (n=17)	AS (n=2)
		AI+AS (n=7)	Total (n=34)
R-NC	1	16	17 (50%)
R-L	7	9	16 (47%)
L-NC	0	1	1 (3%)
Total	8 (24%)	26 (76%)	34 (100%)

AI, aortic insufficiency; AS, aortic stenosis; BAVs, patients with bicuspid aortic valve; BAV-DYSF, BAV with valvular dysfunction; BAV-NF, BAV with normal functioning valves; L-NC, raphe between the left and non-coronary cusp; n, number; R-NC, raphe between the right and non-coronary cusp; R-L, raphe between the right and left coronary cusp.

$P = .030$ ). Dilated and non-dilated AAo had higher SI and lower DIS in LCA than in TAV ( $P < .05$ , for all). The right carotid artery had higher SI in BAV-D than in BAV-ND and also than in TAV ( $P = .045$ ,  $P = .001$ ), with lower DIS in BAV-D than in TAV ( $P = .001$ ). Beta stiffness index of AbdAo was higher in BAV-D ( $P = .029$ ), with similar DIS values compared to TAV. Carotid intima-media thickness values were higher in near walls of CAs and left far wall in both dilated and non-dilated AAo than in TAV ( $P < .05$ , for all) (Table 7).

Analysis of the relationships between the elasticity parameters of AAo, CA, and AbdAo revealed a positive correlation between SI of AbdAo and SI of AAo, RCA, and LCA ( $P < .01$ , for all) (Table 8).

**DISCUSSION**

The relationship between aortic elastic properties and aortopathy in pediatric patients with BAV has only recently been investigated. Impaired elasticity is important as the cause of vascular hypertrophy with an increase in wall thickness, and dilatation of the artery with an increase in arterial length known as remodeling. This leads to degeneration in fibers and results in a vicious cycle.<sup>34</sup> When arterial stiffness increases, the majority of the pulse volume is sent to the periphery, and the quick return of the waves reflected from the periphery is followed by a decrease in buffering mechanisms. This situation increases the late systolic afterload that affects thick-thin myofilament interactions and cross-bridge dissociation, which leads to impaired relaxation. As a result increase in SBP, decline in DBP and increase in PP are determined that leads to left ventricular hypertrophy and a decreased capillary/ myositis ratio.<sup>23,35-37</sup> These findings support the idea that aortic diameters are enlarged and aortic elasticity is impaired even in BAV patients with normal function or only mild dysfunction.<sup>23,35</sup> As a result of the inverse correlation between aortic SI and DIS, changes may occur in left ventricular systolic and diastolic functions, and abnormal left ventricular remodeling may be seen.<sup>36</sup> In the present study, SBP and DBP values were similar between the groups, but PP was higher in the patients with BAV, showing that PP may be affected as a result of the nature of BAV. Impairment in diastolic functions indicated by EDT, E' septal, E/E' septal, and IVRT septal also confirmed the knowledge in the previous literature. Weismann et al<sup>37</sup> also demonstrated lower E' parameters in children with BAV than in controls. However, this was not associated with diastolic dysfunction because it was within the normal range for age. Santarpia et al<sup>10</sup> demonstrated that LV longitudinal, circumferential, and radial strains were lower in subjects with BAV. Stefani et al<sup>38</sup> suggested that young trained athletes with BAV have a normal LV performance but that these athletes also tend to have a lower strain in LV basal segments than healthy subjects. Bilen et al<sup>39</sup> suggested that left atrial volume and E/e' ratio are increased in BAV patients. Pees et al<sup>40</sup> reported higher annulus and AAo z-scores in patients with BAV. Oulego-Eroz et al<sup>17</sup> and Nistri et al<sup>21</sup> also determined increased annulus, SVS, and STJ diameters in addition to proximal aortic dilatation in BAV with higher AAo S and AAo D, higher SI, and lower AAo S-D in BAV compared to TAV. We observed similar

**Table 5. Evaluation of Children with BAV According to Valvular Function**

	BAV-NF (n=8) (Mean ± SD)/ Median (Min/Max) (n=34)	BAV-DYSF (n=26) (Mean ± SD)/ Median (Min/Max) (n=34)	TAV (n=34) (Mean ± SD)/Median (Min/ Max) (n=34)	P	P BAV-NF vs BAV-DYSF	P BAV-NF vs. TAV	P BAV- DYSF vs. TAV
SBP (mm Hg)	102.37 ± 9.36	101.80 ± 10.10	99.50 ± 7.71	0.520	NS	NS	NS
DBP (mm Hg)	59.12 ± 8.85	59.61 ± 7.35	61.58 ± 5.76	.440	NS	NS	NS
PP (mm Hg)	43.25 ± 5.44	42.19 ± 7.11	37.91 ± 7.49	<b>.030</b>	NS	<b>.040</b>	<b>.040</b>
Ann z-score	1.46 (-1.21/2.32)	1.32 (-1.36/3.85)	-0.29 (-2.16/1.95)	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>
SVS z-score	1.17 (-0.36/3.94)	0.75 (-1.86/3.24)	0.10 (-1.91/2.22)	<b>.010</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>
STJ z-score	0.26 (-0.64/2.27)	0.02 (-2.68/2.73)	-0.56 (-2.59/2.05)	<b>.010</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>
AAo z-score	1.39 (-0.73/4.69)	2.07 (-2.05/5.91)	-0.39 (-1.75/1.98)	<b>.001</b>	<b>.010</b>	<b>.010</b>	<b>.010</b>
Aortic gradient (mm Hg)	7.00 ± 1.32	16.50 ± 0.52	5.00 ± 1.23	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>
AAo SI	5.20 ± 3.93	4.27 ± 2.50	2.75 ± 1.46	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.020</b>	<b>.040</b>
AAo DIS	6.88 ± 3.23	7.72 ± 3.58	10.62 ± 3.43	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.020</b>	<b>.001</b>
RCa SI	3.05 ± 0.93	3.52 ± 1.02	2.40 ± 0.81	<b>.001</b>	NS	NS	<b>.001</b>
RCa DIS	9.22 ± 3.04	8.29 ± 3.42	11.97 ± 4.18	<b>.002</b>	NS	NS	<b>.001</b>
LCa SI	3.53 ± 1.13	3.60 ± 1.48	2.46 ± 1.12	<b>.003</b>	NS	NS	<b>.004</b>
LCa DIS	7.94 ± 2.32	8.51 ± 3.24	12.01 ± 4.25	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.021</b>	<b>.002</b>
AbdAo SI	2.89 ± 2.00	2.78 ± 1.74	1.86 ± 0.63	<b>.020</b>	NS	<b>.040</b>	<b>.035</b>
AbdAo DIS	11.42 ± 4.84	12.58 ± 5.96	15.20 ± 4.73	.076	NS	NS	NS

Significant P value <.05.

AAo, ascending aorta; AbdAo, abdominal aorta; Ann, annulus; Ao, aorta; BAV-NF, bicuspid aortic valve with normal functioning valves; BAV-DYSF, bicuspid aortic valve with valvular dysfunction; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DIS, distensibility; LCa left carotid artery; n, number; NS, Non significant; RCa, Right carotid artery; SBP, systolic blood pressure SI, stiffness index; STJ, sinotubular junction; SVS, sinus of Valsalva; TAV, tricuspid aortic valve.

results to the previous literature, supporting the idea that BAV exerts a negative effect on aortic diameters in all segments of the aorta.

Studies have reported abnormal elasticity characteristics of the aorta, sometimes resulting in dissection. However, thoracic and abdominal aortic dissections are rare with BAV during childhood. One case with BAV was reported with dissection in the celiac artery, this being attributed to systemic disease rather than to local factors.<sup>41</sup> In a study from Turkey, Gürses et al<sup>19</sup> reported decreased DIS and increased SI in the abdominal aorta of the children with BAV compared to TAV.

Endothelial dysfunction with increased PP and increased SI causes atherosclerosis with thickening of the intima and media layers of large and medium-sized muscular arteries

and CAs.<sup>42</sup> A close relationship exists between SI and CIMT. A study involving patients with Fallot tetralogy<sup>43</sup> reported an increase in SBP and a decrease in DBP with increased PP, causing stiffening of the central arteries with lower compliance and with a reduced difference in the diameters of CAs.

The present study represents the first evaluation of CAs stiffness together with CIMT and AbdAo elasticity parameters in children with BAV. We determined higher SI and lower DIS of CAs with lower CAs S-D but similar AbdAo S-D in patients with BAV, suggesting that CAs may be affected more easily than AbdAo, in association with the short distance between the valve and CAs, which is more easily exposed to valvular hemodynamics than AbdAo. The positive correlation between SI of AbdAo with SI of AAo and CAs supports the idea that stiffening of the aorta is not localized only in a restricted region but affects the entire arterial system. The increased CIMT values in our study also indicated stiffening of the vessels as an indicator of atherosclerosis. However, Hanedan Onan et al<sup>44</sup> suggested similar CIMT values in their study performed between children with BAV and TAV.

Nistri et al<sup>21</sup> reported higher annulus, SVS, STJ, and AAo diameter z-scores in both patients with BAV-NF and BAV-DYSF than in TAV in the study performed in adults. Interestingly, SI was lower and DIS was higher in patients with BAV-AI than in BAV-NF, and both differed from TAV. It was thought that the elastic behavior of BAV-AI may have permitted larger aortic root diameters and prevented full coaptation of the aortic valve leaflets.<sup>21</sup> Pees et al<sup>40</sup> stated higher SI and lower

**Table 6. The Relationship Between Raphe Location and Ascending Aortic Diameters**

Raphe Location in BAV Patients	P	BAV Without AAo Dilatation (n=17)	Total (n=34)
R-NC (n=17)	7 (41%)	10 (59%)	17 (50%)
R-L (n=16)	9 (53%)	7 (41%)	16 (47%)
L-NC (n=1)	1 (6%)	0	1 (3%)
TOTAL (n=34)	17 (100%)	17 (100%)	34 (100%)

AAo, ascending aorta; BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; L-NC, raphe between the left and non-coronary cusp; n, number; R-L, raphe between the right and left coronary cusp; R-NC, raphe between the right and non-coronary cusp.

**Table 7. Evaluation of Patients with BAV According to Ascending Aortic Diameters**

	BAV-D (mean ± SD) (n=17)	BAV-ND (mean ± SD) (n=17)	TAV (mean ± SD) (n=34)	P	P BAV-D vs BAV-ND	P BAV-D vs TAV	P BAV-ND vs TAV
SBP (mm Hg)	113.17 ± 10.47	100.7 ± 9.22	99.5 ± 7.71	.380	NS	NS	NS
DBP (mm Hg)	61.17 ± 7.96	57.82 ± 7.03	61.58 ± 5.76	.150	NS	NS	NS
PP (mm Hg)	42.00 ± 6.39	42.88 ± 7.14	37.91 ± 7.49	<b>.037</b>	NS	<b>.050</b>	<b>.050</b>
AAo S (mm)	27.00 ± 4.60	21.1 ± 4.10	20.90 ± 3.60	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>	NS
AAo D (mm)	23.50 ± 4.40	18.30 ± 3.70	17.50 ± 3.30	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>	NS
AAo S-D (mm)	3.50 ± 0.90	2.70 ± 1.00	3.40 ± 0.90	<b>.035</b>	<b>.050</b>	NS	NS
AAo SI	4.00 ± 1.88	4.97 ± 3.59	2.75 ± 1.46	<b>.001</b>	NS	NS	<b>.001</b>
AAo DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	7.66 ± 3.24	7.39 ± 3.78	10.62 ± 3.43	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.010</b>	<b>.001</b>
LCa S (mm)	5.68 ± 0.49	5.86 ± 0.50	5.76 ± 0.54	.590	NS	NS	NS
LCa D (mm)	4.86 ± 0.52	5.01 ± 0.42	4.70 ± 0.48	.163	NS	NS	NS
LCa S-D (mm)	0.81 ± 0.25	0.85 ± 0.16	1.02 ± 0.26	<b>.008</b>	NS	<b>.016</b>	NS
LCa SI	3.68 ± 1.74	3.47 ± 0.97	2.46 ± 1.12	<b>.003</b>	NS	<b>.006</b>	<b>.029</b>
LCa DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	8.53 ± 3.58	8.22 ± 2.45	12.01 ± 4.25	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.008</b>	<b>.003</b>
RCa S (mm)	5.96 ± 0.53	5.68 ± 0.31	5.93 ± 0.50	.151	NS	NS	NS
RCa D (mm)	5.17 ± 0.46	4.74 ± 0.31	4.87 ± 0.46	<b>.013</b>	<b>.014</b>	NS	NS
RCa S-D (mm)	0.78 ± 0.27	0.94 ± 0.24	1.05 ± 0.25	<b>.003</b>	NS	<b>.003</b>	NS
RCa SI	3.79 ± 0.93	3.03 ± 0.96	2.40 ± 0.81	<b>.001</b>	<b>.045</b>	<b>.001</b>	NS
RCa DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	7.34 ± 2.71	9.67 ± 3.53	11.97 ± 4.18	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	NS
AbdAo S (mm)	11.35 ± 3.41	10.94 ± 2.34	10.51 ± 2.50	.579	NS	NS	NS
AbdAo D (mm)	9.23 ± 2.91	8.80 ± 2.37	8.23 ± 1.98	.344	NS	NS	NS
AbdAo S-D (mm)	2.12 ± 0.81	2.14 ± 0.71	2.28 ± 0.79	.733	NS	NS	NS
AbdAo SI	2.93 ± 1.98	2.68 ± 1.58	1.86 ± 0.63	<b>.018</b>	NS	<b>.029</b>	NS
AbdAo DIS (10 <sup>-6</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	11.78 ± 5.14	12.83 ± 6.27	15.20 ± 4.73	.075	NS	NS	NS
LfCIMT (mm)	0.43 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.04	0.39 ± 0.02	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	<b>.001</b>
LnCIMT (mm)	0.43 ± 0.04	0.42 ± 0.03	0.39 ± 0.02	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	<b>.004</b>
RfCIMT (mm)	0.43 ± 0.04	0.42 ± 0.03	0.41 ± 0.10	.640	NS	NS	NS
RnCIMT (mm)	0.45 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.02	0.40 ± 0.02	<b>.001</b>	NS	<b>.001</b>	<b>.040</b>

**Significant P value <.05.**

AAo, ascending aorta; AbdAo, abdominal aorta; BAV-D, bicuspid aortic valve with ascending aortic dilatation; BAV-ND, bicuspid aortic valve with non-dilated ascending aorta; D, diastolic diameter; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DIS, distensibility; S, systolic diameter; S-D, difference in diameter; SI, stiffness index; LCa, left carotid artery; LfCIMT, left carotid artery far wall intima media thickness; LnCIMT, left carotid artery near wall intima media thickness; n, number; NS, Non significant; PP, pulse pressure; RCa, right carotid artery; RfCIMT, right carotid artery far wall intima media thickness; RnCIMT, right carotid artery near wall intima media thickness; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TAV, tricuspid aortic valve

DIS in pediatric patients with BAV-AI than BAV-AS and BAV-NF. These results could arise from the profound differences between congenital and acquired forms of AS accompanied with differences in flow pattern due to distinct BAV morphology. Our results were compatible with the literature suggesting that BAV increases aortic valvular z-scores and SI of AAo independently of valvular function. We also stated higher SI of AbdAo in both no and mild valvular dysfunctioning BAV in our study. Aortic velocity and peak gradient were higher in both subgroups in Nistri et al's study<sup>21</sup> compared to TAV, similarly to our study, supporting the idea that abnormal flow patterns exist in BAV even with normal functions. In addition, detected higher SI of CAs in the valvular dysfunction group, suggested that valve hemodynamics have an impact on the other parts of big central arteries, such as CAs.

Pees et al<sup>40</sup> reported higher AAo z-scores in patients with R-NC cusp fusion compared to those with R-L cusp fusion, although no differences were determined in other segments of the aorta. Girdauskas et al<sup>45</sup> stated that fusion of R-L cusps orients the aortic flow toward the convexity of the AAo, resulting in the right-front systolic jet. Right and non-coronary cusp fusion causes left-back eccentric flow as a result of extension towards the proximal aortic arch.<sup>45</sup> This could explain the increased proximal AAo and aortic arch diameters as a result of flow with left-back orientation in patients with BAV with R-NC fusion, and higher aortic root diameters as a result of flow with right-front orientation in patients with BAV with R-L fusion.<sup>45</sup> Erolu et al<sup>46</sup> reported higher z scores of SVS and STJ in R-L morphology than R-NC morphology. We stated AAo dilatation in half of our patients.

**Table 8. The Relationships Between the Elasticity Parameters of Aorta and Carotid Arteries in the Patients with BAV**

	AAo SI (r)	AAo DIS (r)	LCa SI (r)	LCa DIS (r)	RCa SI (r)	RCa DIS (r)	AbdAo SI (r)	AbdAo DIS (r)
AAo SI (r)	NS	<b>-0.765</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	<b>0.487</b>	<b>-0.498</b>
AAo DIS (r)	<b>-0.765</b>	NS	NS	<b>0.635</b>	NS	NS	<b>-0.507</b>	<b>0.627</b>
LCa SI (r)	NS	NS	NS	<b>-0.864</b>	NS	NS	<b>0.634</b>	<b>-0.502</b>
LCa DIS (r)	NS	<b>0.635</b>	<b>-0.864</b>	NS	NS	NS	<b>-0.557</b>	<b>0.597</b>
RCa SI (r)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	<b>-0.898</b>	<b>0.516</b>	<b>-0.416</b>
RCa DIS (r)	NS	NS	NS	NS	<b>-0.898</b>	NS	<b>-0.436</b>	<b>0.560</b>
AbdAo SI (r)	<b>0.487</b>	<b>-0.507</b>	<b>0.634</b>	<b>-0.557</b>	<b>0.516</b>	<b>-0.436</b>	NS	<b>-0.839</b>
AbdAo DIS (r)	<b>-0.498</b>	<b>0.627</b>	<b>-0.502</b>	<b>0.597</b>	<b>-0.496</b>	<b>0.560</b>	<b>-0.839</b>	NS

**Pearson Correlation analysis, significant  $P < .01$** 

AAo, ascending aorta; AbdAo, abdominal aorta; DIS, distensibility; LCa, left carotid artery; LfCIMT, left carotid artery far wall intima media thickness; LnCIMT, left carotid artery near wall intima media thickness; NS, Non significant; RCa, right carotid artery; RfCIMT, right carotid artery far wall intima media thickness; RnCIMT, right carotid artery near wall intima media thickness; SI, stiffness index.

They had raphe between R and L cusps in 9 patients and between R-NC cusps in 7 patients. Even though R-NC cusp fusion seems as it was predominantly present in our study, differently from the literature, the number of the patients was close to each other and it can be attributed to the limited number of patients included in the study.

Aortic wall anomalies in patients with BAV are usually associated with cystic medial necrosis.<sup>11,47</sup> Arterial stiffness increases as elastic fibers are degraded and replaced with collagen fibers in the aortic media. Intrinsic aortic wall pathology, hemodynamic flow anomalies in the proximal aorta, and pulsatile stress also play a role in aortic dilatation.<sup>16</sup> Oulero-Erroz et al<sup>17</sup> compared patients with BAV with and without AAo dilatation both with each other and with patients with TAV. Diastolic blood pressure was higher in the BAV-D group than in BAV-ND. The BAV-ND and TAV groups had similar AAo S and AAo D values, with lower AAo S-D in BAV-ND than in controls. Bicuspid aortic valve with AAo dilatation and BAV-ND patients exhibited similar SI and DIS, confirming that impaired elasticity was independent from dilatation of the aorta.<sup>17</sup> SI of the patients were higher with lower DIS than controls. But Oner et al's<sup>48</sup> results were different from this finding because they reported increased DIS in patients who had AAo z-score > 4. We reported similar blood pressures but higher PP in both of the dilated and non-dilated AAo patients compared to controls as a result of bicuspid aortic valve morphology. Dilated AAo exhibited a lower difference in diameters of CAs than controls reflecting the negative effect of dilatation on vascular compliance. Impairment of elasticity parameters of CAs in both BAV-DYSF and BAV-D group show the adverse effect of valvular hemodynamics and aortic diameters on elasticity parameters of CAs. Both of the dilated and non-dilated groups in our study exhibited lower AAo and Ca DIS and higher CIMT than TAV, thus supporting the relationship between elasticity and atherosclerosis detected in childhood. BAV with AAo dilatation exhibited increased stiffness of AbdAo, indicating that dilatation has an additional impact on elasticity indexes in farther places from the origin. Similarly, systolic and diastolic arterial diameters being observed in AbdAo and left carotid artery suggested that SI and DIS can be affected

independently of diameters and can lead the vessels to the next step to thickening and atherosclerosis.

**Study Limitations**

The study could be performed in a large patient group performed in multicenters. Therefore the patient number included in all the subgroups could be higher and the influence of other factors like the rate of aortic growth or cardiovascular risk factors can be defined more confidentially. Another limitation of the study is that blood pressure was measured by cuff sphygmomanometry of the brachial artery and non-invasively in the ascending aorta. However, PP measured by using brachial artery pressure was significantly related to central PP obtained with radial artery tonometry and pulse wave analysis. It was stated that using brachial pressure results would not bias follow up changes in distensibility.<sup>49</sup>

**CONCLUSION**

The measurements showed that children with BAV exhibited increased PP, aortic valvular and AAo diameters, and impaired elasticity parameters, irrespective of valvular functions, with increased CIMT triggering atherosclerosis. In addition, the presence of mild valvular dysfunction and AAo dilatation exerted adverse effects on the elasticity parameters of different parts of the central arteries. Patients with BAV should therefore be carefully evaluated in terms not only of the aortic valve and adjacent structures but also of the CAs and AbdAo, even if the diameters are within normal ranges. Aortic elasticity parameters can be easily measured using transthoracic echocardiography, and the findings may serve as a clinical indicator of aortic wall deterioration. The detection of clear and definitive impaired elasticity at early ages may help in the selection of patients who may benefit from closer follow-up, especially in terms of cardiovascular risk prevention, and eventually preventive drug therapy if indicated.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the Ethical Standards of the Institutional Research Committee (Türkiye Yüksek



Ihtisas Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee- September 26, 2013-07) and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Informed Consent:** Written informed consent was obtained from all participants who participated in this study.

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed.

**Author Contributions:** Concept – A.M.M., F.A.P., M.T.; Design – A.M.M., F.A.P., M.T.; Supervision – A.M.M., F.A.P.; Fundings – None; Materials – A.M.M., F.A.P., A.V.K., S.K., D.B.; Data collection &/or processing – A.M.M., F.A.P., A.V.K., S.K., D.B.; Analysis &/or interpretation – A.M.M., F.A.P., M.T., A.V.K., S.K., D.B.; Literature search – A.M.M., M.T., A.V.K., S.K., D.B.; Writing – A.M.M., F.A.P., M.T.; Critical review – A.M.M., F.A.P.

**Acknowledgments:** None.

**Declaration of Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

**Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## REFERENCES

1. Siu SC, Silversides CK. Bicuspid aortic valve disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55(25):2789-2800. [CrossRef]
2. Huang P, Wang H, Zhang Zhenlu, et al. A clinicopathological study on aortic valves in children. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol Med Sci.* 2007;27(3):321-325. [CrossRef]
3. Sawaimoon SK, Jadhav MV, Rane SR, Sagale M, Khedkar B. Aortic dissection and bicuspid aortic valve: an autopsy study. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol.* 2006;49(3):327-329.
4. Ciotti GR, Vlahos AP, Silverman NH. Morphology and function of the bicuspid aortic valve with and without coarctation of the aorta in the young. *Am J Cardiol.* 2006;98(8):1096-1102. [CrossRef]
5. Novaro GM, Tiong IY, Pearce GL, Grimm RA, Smedira N, Griffin BP. Features and predictors of ascending aortic dilatation in association with a congenital bicuspid aortic valve. *Am J Cardiol.* 2003;92(1):99-101. [CrossRef]
6. Mordi I, Tzemos N. Bicuspid aortic valve disease: a comprehensive review. *Cardiol Res Pract.* 2012;2012:196037. [CrossRef]
7. Losenno KL, Goodman RL, Chu MW. Bicuspid aortic valve disease and ascending aortic aneurysms: gaps in knowledge. *Cardiol Res Pract.* 2012;2012:145202. [CrossRef]
8. Braverman AC, Güven H, Beardslee MA, Makan M, Kates AM, Moon MR. The bicuspid aortic valve. *Curr Probl Cardiol.* 2005;30(9):470-522. [CrossRef]
9. Borger MA, David TE. Management of the valve and ascending aorta in adults with bicuspid aortic valve disease. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;17(2):143-147. [CrossRef]
10. Santarpia G, Scognamiglio G, Di Salvo G, et al. Aortic and left ventricular remodeling in patients with bicuspid aortic valve without significant valvular dysfunction: a prospective study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2012;158(3):347-352. [CrossRef]
11. Al-Atassi T, Hynes M, Sohmer B, Lam BK, Mesana T, Boodhwani M. Aortic root geometry in bicuspid aortic insufficiency versus stenosis: implications for valve repair. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2015;47(4):e151-e154. [CrossRef]
12. Girdauskas E, Rouman M. Is there any difference in aortic wall quality between patients with bicuspid aortic valve stenosis and those with bicuspid aortic valve insufficiency? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2014;46(2):337. [CrossRef]
13. Rodrigues I, Agapito AF, de Sousa L, et al. Bicuspid aortic valve outcomes. *Cardiol Young.* 2017;27(3):518-529. [CrossRef]
14. Sinning C, Zengin E, Kozlik-Feldmann R, et al. Bicuspid aortic valve and aortic coarctation in congenital heart disease-important aspects for treatment with focus on aortic vasculopathy. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* 2018;8(6):780-788. [CrossRef]
15. Girdauskas E, Rouman M, Borger MA, Kuntze T. Comparison of aortic media changes in patients with bicuspid aortic valve stenosis versus bicuspid valve insufficiency and proximal aortic aneurysm. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2013;17(6):931-936. [CrossRef]
16. Bissell MM, Hess AT, Biasioli L, et al. Aortic dilation in bicuspid aortic valve disease: flow pattern is a major contributor and differs with valve fusion type. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2013;6(4):499-507. [CrossRef]
17. Oulego-Eroz I, Alonso-Quintela P, Mora-Matilla M, Gautreaux Minaya S, Lapeña-López de Armentia S. Ascending aorta elasticity in children with isolated bicuspid aortic valve. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;168(2):1143-1146. [CrossRef]
18. Marlatt KL, Kelly AS, Steinberger J, Dengel DR. The influence of gender on carotid artery compliance and distensibility in children and adults. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2013;41(6):340-346. [CrossRef]
19. Gürses D, Ozyürek AR, Levent E, Ulger Z. Elastic properties of the abdominal aorta in the children with bicuspid aortic valve: an observational study. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg.* 2012;12(5):413-419. [CrossRef]
20. Lopez L, Colan SD, Frommelt PC, et al. Recommendations for quantification methods during the performance of a pediatric echocardiogram: a report from the Pediatric Measurements Writing Group of the American Society of Echocardiography Pediatric and Congenital Heart Disease Council. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2010;23(5):465-95; quiz 576. [CrossRef]
21. Nistri S, Grande-Allen J, Noale M, et al. Aortic elasticity and size in bicuspid aortic valve syndrome. *Eur Heart J.* 2008;29(4):472-479. [CrossRef]
22. Giannattasio C, Achilli F, Failla M, et al. Radial, carotid and aortic distensibility in congestive heart failure: effects of high-dose angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or low-dose association with angiotensin type 1 receptor blockade. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002;39(8):1275-1282. [CrossRef]
23. Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Clinical appraisal of arterial stiffness: the Argonauts in front of the Golden Fleece. *Heart.* 2006;92(11):1544-1550. [CrossRef]
24. Akyüz Özkan E, Serin Hİ, Khosroshahi HE, et al. Arterial stiffness, distensibility, and strain in asthmatic children. *Med Sci Monit.* 2016;22(22):251-257. [CrossRef]
25. D'Andrea A, Cocchia R, Riegler L, et al. Aortic stiffness and distensibility in top-level athletes. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2012;25(5):561-567. [CrossRef]
26. Cheung YF, Wong SJ, Ho MH. Relationship between carotid intima-media thickness and arterial stiffness in children after Kawasaki disease. *Arch Dis Child.* 2007;92(1):43-47. [CrossRef]
27. Kume T. Echocardiography is necessary to confirm the presence and severity of valvular heart disease. *Kyobu Geka.* 2007;60(8):647-652.
28. Haycock GB, Schwartz GJ, Wisotsky DH. Geometric method for measuring body surface area: a height-weight formula validated in infants, children, and adults. *J Pediatr.* 1978;93(1):62-66. [CrossRef]
29. Lai WW, Geva T, Shirali GS, et al. Guidelines and standards for performance of a pediatric echocardiogram: a report from the Task Force of the Pediatric Council of the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2006;19(12):1413-1430. [CrossRef]
30. Ommen SR, Nishimura RA, Appleton CP, et al. Clinical utility of Doppler echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging in the

- estimation of left ventricular filling pressures: a comparative simultaneous Doppler-catheterization study. *Circulation*. 2000;102(15):1788-1794. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17(12):1321-1360. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  32. Dalla Pozza R, Bechtold S, Urschel S, Kozlik-Feldmann R, Netz H. Subclinical atherosclerosis, but normal autonomic function after Kawasaki disease. *J Pediatr*. 2007;151(3):239-243. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  33. Yang XZ, Liu Y, Mi J, Tang CS, DU JB. Pre-clinical atherosclerosis evaluated by carotid artery intima-media thickness and the risk factors in children. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2007;120(5):359-362. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  34. Menees S, Zhang D, Le J, Chen J, Raghuvver G. Variations in carotid artery intima-media thickness during the cardiac cycle in children. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2010;23(1):58-63. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  35. Vaccarino V, Berger AK, Abramson J, et al. Pulse pressure and risk of cardiovascular events in the systolic hypertension in the elderly program. *Am J Cardiol*. 2001;88(9):980-986. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  36. Shim CY, Cho IJ, Yang WI, et al. Central aortic stiffness and its association with ascending aorta dilation in subjects with a bicuspid aortic valve. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2011;24:847-52.
  37. Weismann CG, Lombardi KC, Grell BS, Northrup V, Sugeng L. Aortic stiffness and left ventricular diastolic function in children with well-functioning bicuspid aortic valves. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17(2):225-230. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  38. Stefani L, De Luca A, Maffulli N, et al. Speckle tracking for left ventricle performance in young athletes with bicuspid aortic valve and mild aortic regurgitation. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2009;10(4):527-531. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  39. Bilen E, Akçay M, Bayram NA, et al. Aortic elastic properties and left ventricular diastolic function in patients with isolated bicuspid aortic valve. *J Heart Valve Dis*. 2012;21(2):189-194.
  40. Pees C, Michel-Behnke I. Morphology of the bicuspid aortic valve and elasticity of the adjacent aorta in children. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012;110(9):1354-1360. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  41. Zeina AR, Nachtigal A, Troitsa A, Admon G, Avshovich N. Isolated spontaneous dissection of the celiac trunk in a patient with bicuspid aortic valve. *Vasc Health Risk Manag*. 2010;6:383-386. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  42. Jouret B, Dulac Y, Bassil Eter R, et al. Endothelial function and mechanical arterial properties in children born small for gestational age: comparison with obese children. *Horm Res Paediatr*. 2011;76(4):240-247. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  43. László A, Pintér A, Horváth T, et al. Impaired carotid artery elastic function in patients with tetralogy of Fallot. *Heart Vessels*. 2011;26(5):542-548. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  44. Hanedan Onan S, Baykan A, Sezer S, et al. Evaluation of cardiovascular changes in children with BAVs. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2016;37(3):472-481. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  45. Girdauskas E, Disha K, Borger MA, Kuntze T. Relation of bicuspid aortic valve morphology to the dilatation pattern of the proximal aorta: focus on the transvalvular flow. *Cardiol Res Pract*. 2012;2012:1-5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  46. Erolu E, Akalin F, Çetiner N, Şaylan BÇ. Aortic elasticity and the influence of valve morphology in children with bicuspid aortic valve. *Cardiol Young*. 2018;28(11):1338-1344. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  47. Yuan SM, Jing H. The bicuspid aortic valve and related disorders. *Sao Paulo Med J*. 2010;128(5):296-301. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  48. Oner T, Akgun G, Ergin SO, Karadag H, Yuçel İK, Celebi A. Risk factors associated with ascending aortic aneurysms and aortic elasticity parameters in children with a bicuspid aortic valve. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2019;40(5):980-986. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
  49. Wilson K, MacCallum H, Wilkinson IB, Hoskins PR, Lee AJ, Bradbury AW. Comparison of brachial artery pressure and derived central pressure in the measurement of abdominal aortic aneurysm distensibility. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2001;22(4):355-360. [\[CrossRef\]](#)