ORIGINAL ARTICLE

mycoses

WILEY

Fluconazole plus flucytosine is a good alternative therapy for non-HIV and non-transplant-associated cryptococcal meningitis: A retrospective cohort study

Zhanyi Li¹ | Yu Liu² | Yutian Chong¹ | Xiangyong Li¹ | Yusheng Jie¹ | Xiaoyan Zheng¹ | Ying Yan¹

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

²Department of General Surgery (Thyroid and Breast), Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Correspondence

Xiaoyan Zheng, Department of Infectious Diseases, Lingnan Hospital, The Third Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, 2693, Kaichuang Road, Huangpu District, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510000, China. Email: 850526689@qq.com Ying Yan, Department of Infectious Diseases, The Third Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, 600, Tianhe Road, Tianhe District, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510630, China. Email: mf600@126.com

Funding information

This research was supported by the 5010 Project of Sun Yat-sen University (2016009).

[The copyright line for this article was change on 14 June 2019 after original online publication].

Summary

Cryptococcal meningitis (CM) carries a high risk of mortality with increasing incidences in immune competent hosts. Current treatments are not well tolerated, and evaluation of other treatments is needed. Fluconazole and 5-flucytosine in treating immune competent hosts have not been characterised. To evaluate the efficacy of fluconazole and 5-flucytosine in treating non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM. We performed a retrospective cohort study of the outcomes in immune competent patients with CM treated with fluconazole and 5-flucytosine or deoxycholate-amphotericin B and 5-flucytosine. The primary outcome was treatment response evaluated at the 12th week after initiation of antifungal therapy. A total of 43 and 47 patients received amphotericin B deoxycholate and 5-flucytosine or fluconazole and 5-flucytosine, respectively. A total of 38 (88.4%) patients cannot tolerate recommended doses of amphotericin B deoxycholate and 5-flucytosine (patients needed dose reduction during the treatment). Patients given fluconazole and 5-flucytosine had higher baseline cryptococcal burdens (median 3632 versus 900 cryptococci/mL, P = 0.008). No significant differences were seen in cryptococcus clearance (74.4% vs 70.2%, P = 0.814), treatment time (39 days, 20-69 days vs 21 days, 7-63 days, P = 0.107) and successful response (including complete and partial responses) rates (69.7% vs 72.3%, P = 0.820). Fluconazole and 5-flucytosine treatment had lower total adverse events (19.1% vs 90.7%, P < 0.001). Fluconazole and 5-flucytosine had relatively high efficacy with few adverse events in treating CM. Fluconazole and 5-flucytosine therapy is promising in patients that do not tolerate or are not suited for amphotericin B deoxycholate treatment.

KEYWORDS

amphotericin B (AmB), cryptococcal meningitis (CM), fluconazole (FCZ)

Zhanyi Li and Yu Liu are contributed equally.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Mycoses published by Blackwell Verlag GmbH.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Cryptococcus neoformans (C. neoformans s.l.) and Cryptococcus gattii (C. gattii s.l.) species complex are opportunistic pathogens, and cryptococcal meningitis (CM) is the most common cause of fungal meningitis by a considerable high morbidity and mortality. It was estimated the global incidence of CM to be substantial at 223 100 cases annually, resulting in 181 100 annual deaths in 2014.¹ This infection is common in immunosuppressed patients including those with HIV or who have undergone solid organ transplantation.²⁻⁴ There has been an increase in the incidence of this disease among immunocompetent hosts that are not HIV-infected or not transplant recipients in recent years.⁵⁻⁷ Reports have noted that about half of all reported cases of cryptococcosis are in non-HIV-infected and non-transplant patients (between 44% and 55%).⁸⁻¹¹ Additionally, CM is associated with excessively high disability and mortality rates.^{12,13} Induction therapy is a critical treatment for CM in non-HIV-infected and non-transplant CM patients. Induction therapy of amphotericin B (AmB) (0.7-1.0 mg/kg per day) combined with 5-flucytosine (5-FC) (100 mg/kg per day) for at least four weeks is the globally preferred regimen for non-HIV-infected and non-transplant patients with CM worldwide.¹⁴ However, high doses of AmB and 5-FC can result in severe toxic side effects such as phlebitis, liver impairment, renal impairment, haematological impairment, myocardial damage and a majority of CM patients do not tolerate the recommended dosages.¹⁵⁻²⁰ The triazole antifungal drug fluconazole (FCZ) has been widely used in treating deep mycosis and has low rates of adverse events. FCZ combined with 5-FC was recommended to treat HIV-associated CM.¹⁴ However, FCZ combined with 5-FC in treating non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM has not been well characterised and thus the data are limited. Thus, in this study we compared the efficacy of combination therapies using AmB and 5-FC versus FCZ and 5-FC. Our goals were to analyse this drug combination therapy in order to propose alternative therapeutic regimens for CM in patients without HIV or patients that are not transplant recipients.

2 | PATIENTS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients and definitions

We performed a retrospective review of all patients diagnosed with non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University between January 2010 and December 2017. The diagnosis was identified according to physical signs and symptoms along with at least one of the following factors: positive India ink stain microscopy of the CSF or a positive CSF culture for *Cryptococcus*.²¹ The patients were confirmed to be HIVnegative based on negative results of serum HIV antibody tests, and medical records were examined to ensure no patient had undergone organ transplantation. These patients were divided into two groups according to treatment regimens they have received. In group I, the patients were treated with a combination of AmB and 5-FC. In group II, the patients were treated with a combination of FCZ and 5-FC. Exclusion criteria included (a) presence of severe hepatic or renal mycoses

damage; (b) antifungal therapy administration before admission; (c) prior surgical intervention due to intracranial hypertension; and (d) recurrent CM.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University. The study was in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. All study participants provided informed consent. Identifiable data involving the individuals in this study were encrypted.

2.2 | Laboratory examination

Enrolled patients underwent lumbar punctures (LP) at least once a week in accordance with treatment guidelines.^{14,22} Then CSF open pressure, CSF white blood cell count and classification, glucose, protein, India ink stain and cultures were recorded. In addition, other conventional blood tests and imaging studies including brain computerised tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were also performed during treatment. CSF burden of cryptococcal organisms was evaluated by CSF cryptococcal organisms count through India ink stain. We use canavanine-glycine-bromothymol (CGB) medium to distinguish *C. gattii s.l.* from *C. neoformans s.l.* CGB medium showed clear colour change for *C. gattii s.l.* and can be used to differentiate it from *C. neoformans s.l.*²³

2.3 | Therapeutic methods

In group I, all patients were treated with amphotericin B deoxycholate (AmB): 0.7-1.0 mg/kg per day and 5-flucytosine (5-FC):100 mg/ kg per day.¹⁴ In group II, all patients were treated with fluconazole (FCZ): 400-800 mg/d and 5-flucytosine (5-FC):100 mg/kg per day.¹⁴ During the course of treatment in the AmB and 5-FC group, patients treated with intravenous AmB actually received an average maximum tolerated dosage of approximately 0.57 \pm 0.98 mg/kg (range 0.3521-0.7778 mg/kg) daily plus oral 5-FC at an average maximum tolerated dosage of 57.75 \pm 14.30 mg/kg (range 37.50-78.95 mg/kg) daily. In FCZ and 5-FC group, the patients were treated with oral 5-FC at an average maximum tolerated dosage of 57.64 \pm 13.34 mg/kg (range 38.46-88.89 mg/kg) daily.

2.4 | Outcome assessments

The primary outcome was treatment response evaluated at the 12th week after initiation of antifungal therapy. Therapeutic outcomes were classified into five levels: (a) complete response: survival and resolution of all attributable symptoms and signs of disease with CSF clearance; (b) partial response: survival and CSF clearance with persistence of attributable symptoms and signs of disease; (c) successful response rate: including both complete and partial responses; (d) stable response: survival with minor or no improvement in attributable symptoms and signs of disease progression: worsening clinical disease symptoms or signs and persistently positive CSF culture results; (e) disease progression: worsening clinical disease symptoms or signs and persistently positive CSF culture results; and (f) death: death during the prespecified evaluation period, regardless

WILEY-<mark>E</mark>mycoses

	AmB + 5-FC (n = 43)	FCZ + 5-FC (n = 47)	P value
Age, years (mean ± SD)	38.0 ± 14.5	43.9 ± 13.9	0.088
Gender, male (n, %)	27 (62.8%)	33 (70.2%)	0.507
CSF opening pressure mmCSF (med, range)	325 (80-1070)	330 (130-856)	0.685
CSF WBC count×10 ⁶ /L (med, range)	50 (0-340)	46 (0-649)	0.753
CSF Protein g/L (med, range)	0.66 (0.05-1.72)	0.78 (0.05-4,51)	0.351
CSF glucose mmol/L (med, range)	1.88 (0.06-3.56)	1.32 (0.03-3.90)	0.056
CSF Cryptococci count/mL (med, range)	900 (1-166929)	3632 (10-120000)	0.008

Abbreviations: AmB, amphotericin B; 5-FC, flucytosine; FCZ, fluconazole; med, median.

of cause.²⁴ CSF clearance means negative of CSF cryptococcal organisms culture and CSF cryptococcal organisms count through India ink stain.

2.5 | Assessment of adverse events

Data of adverse events were collected and assessed by National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 5.0 (NCI CTCAE v5.0).

2.6 | Statistical analysis

Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are presented as percentages, mean with standard deviations (SD) or medians with range; comparisons were performed using the chi-square or Fisher's exact tests for categorical data, and with Student's t or Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous data. Efficacy of treatment responses was estimated using the Wilcoxon rank sum test based on the five grades over ten weeks between the two study groups. Chi-square tests were used to compare CSF sterilisation within 2, 4 and 12 weeks. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to estimate the incidence of adverse events between groups. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistics version 19 (IBM). All analyses were two-sided and *P*values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Basic clinical characteristics

A total of 132 patients were excluded because of exclusion criteria including 50 patients present with severe hepatic or renal damage, 43 patients had accepted antifungal therapy administration before admission, 22 patients had prior surgical intervention due to intracranial hypertension and 17 patients with recurrent CM. There were 43 patients in group I treated with AmB and 5-FC, whereas 47 patients in group II were treated with FCZ and 5-FC. There was no clinical evidence of enrolled patients having occult underlying immune deficit based on patients' past medical histories. All patients were *C. neoformans s.l.*-positive. The demographics and baseline CSF parameters of the study patients are listed in Table 1. Patients in the FCZ and 5-FC.

TABLE 2 CSF sterility data

	AmB + 5-FC (n = 43)	FCZ + 5-FC (n = 47)	P value
Case (n, %)	32 (74.4%)	33 (70.2%)	0.814
Days (med, range)	39 (20-69)	21 (7-63)	0.107
Cases ≤ 2 weeks (n, %)	1 (2.3%)	4 (8.5%)	0.355
>2 weeks (n, %)	31 (72.1%)	29 (61.7%)	
Cases, ≤ 4 weeks (n, %)	8 (18.6%)	14 (29.8%)	0.191
> 4 weeks (n, %)	24 (55.8%)	19 (40.4%)	

TABLE 1 Patient baseline

characteristics

Note: Continuous variables analysed by t test; categorical variables analysed by chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Days indicate the time from diagnosis to the first negative CSF India ink stain. Abbreviations: AmB, amphotericin B; 5-FC, flucytosine; FCZ, fluconazole: med. median.

group had higher median CSF burden of cryptococcal organisms before treatment compared to patients in the AmB and 5-FC group (median 3632 vs 900 Cryptococci/mL, P = 0.008). No significant differences were observed between groups with respect to age, gender, CSF opening pressure, CSF WBC, CSF protein and CSF glucose.

3.2 | CSF sterility

CSF sterility results within 12 weeks are detailed in Table 2, and no significant differences were observed in the incidence of CSF cryptococcus clearance (32/43, 74.4% vs 33/47, 70.2%, P = 0.814) or time to clearance (39 days, range 20-69 days vs 21 days, range 7-63 days, P = 0.107) between group I and group II. There were no significant differences in early fungicidal activity (CSF sterility within 2 weeks) (1/43, 2.3% vs 4/47, 8.5%, P = 0.355) or persistent infection (CSF sterility beyond 4 weeks¹⁴) (24/43, 55.8% vs 19/47, 40.4%, P = 0.191) between group I and group II.

3.3 | Treatment response

The primary treatment response outcome was evaluated in the 12th week following initial therapy. No significant difference was observed in the treatment response rate as shown in Table 3 (*P* = 0.402) between group I and group II. The complete response (26/43, 60.4% vs 23/47, 48.9%, *P* = 0.297), partial response (4/43, 9.3% vs 11/47, 23.4%, *P* = 0.093) and stable response (10/43, 23.3% vs 8/47, 17.0%, *P* = 0.599) were not significant differences between group I and group II. The total successful response rate (including both complete and partial responses) (30/43, 69.7% vs 34/47, 72.3%, *P* = 0.820) was not significantly different between group I and group II. Differences in incidence of disease progression (2/43, 4.7% vs 3/47, 6.4%, *P* = 1.000) and mortality (1/43, 2.3% vs 2/47, 4.3%, *P* = 1.000) were not significant between group I and group II.

3.4 | Adverse events

A total of 38 (88.4%) patients cannot tolerate recommended doses of AmB and 5-FC for they needed dose reduction during the treatment attributed to adverse events. Details of all adverse events are provided in Table 4. The incidence of adverse events was lower in the FCZ and 5-FC group compared to the AmB and 5-FC group (9/47, 19.1% vs 39/43, 90.7%, P = 0.000). The most comment adverse event was hypokalaemia in both the AmB and 5-FC group (39/43, 90.7%) as well as the FCZ and 5-FC groups (8/47, 17.0%). The incidences of chills and fever (1/47, 2.1% versus 14/43, 32.6%, P < 0.001), phlebitis (1/47, 2.1% vs 8/43, 18.6%, P = 0.012), hypokalaemia (8/47, 17.0% vs 39/43, 90.7%, P = 0.000), gastrointestinal discomfort (1/47, 2.1% vs 8/43, 18.6%, P = 0.012) and liver impairment (8/47, 17.0% vs 20/43, 46.5%, P = 0.003) were lower in the FCZ and 5-FC group compared to the AmB and 5-FC group.

4 | DISCUSSION

We found that the majority of patients with non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM do not tolerate the recommended induction therapy doses of AmB or 5-FC. Our findings were in accordance with those of previous studies which depict medication intolerance as a commonly documented problem in China.^{15,17,18} Although dosages of AmB and 5-FC were adjusted, the incidence of adverse events in this study was still high (90.7%). AmB activity is concentration-dependent, so it is vital to ensure that drug concentrations reach the level of therapeutic effect.^{25,26} As a result of severe toxic side effects, CM patients are unable to endure the recommended dosages of AmB or 5-FC, which may result in a corresponding decrease of fungicidal activity and response to treatment^{14,16} even increase the risk of relapse.^{20,27-29}

TABLE 3	Treatment outcomes	based on	induction	therapy
---------	--------------------	----------	-----------	---------

	AmB + 5- FC (n = 43)	FCZ + 5- FC (n = 47)	P value
Complete response (n, %)	26 (60.4%)	23 (48.9%)	0.297
Partial response (n, %)	4 (9.3%)	11 (23.4%)	0.093
Stable response (n, %)	10 (23.3%)	8 (17.0%)	0.599
Disease progression	2 (4.7%)	3 (6.4%)	1.000
Death	1 (2.3%)	2 (4.3%)	1.000

mycoses

FCZ is widely applied in clinical treatment of deep mycosis and high pharmacological efficacy with few adverse reactions. FCZ combined with 5-FC has been recommended to treat HIV-associated CM.¹⁴ However, limited comparative data related to FCZ combined with 5-FC in treating non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM exist. Total fungal infection burden is regarded as an important predictor of poor outcomes. In the present study, while the baseline fungal infection burden was higher in the patients treated with FCZ and 5-FC, the total successful response rate, which include complete and partial responses, was higher compared to the AmB and 5-FC treatment, although these differences did not reach significance (34/47, 72.3% versus 30/43, 69.7%; P = 0.820). Moreover, the incidence of CSF culture sterility at two weeks, indicative of early fungicidal activity, or at four weeks, indicative of persistent infection, was not significantly different between the groups. The rate of fungal clearance from the CSF can help us to evaluate the clinical outcomes of patients.³⁰ These findings indicated that FCZ and 5-FC was effective with satisfactory outcomes (72.3%) in treating non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM.

Side effect rates during induction therapy in the FCZ and 5-FC group were significantly lower than in the AmB and 5-FC group (9/47, 19.1% vs 39/43, 90.7%, P = 0.000). The incidence of adverse events including chills, fever, phlebitis, hypokalaemia, gastrointestinal discomfort and liver impairment were significantly lower in the FCZ and 5-FC group compared to the AmB and 5-FC group. The most common adverse event detected in patients treated with FCZ and 5-FC was hypokalaemia, and we suspect this might be attributed to the use of mannitol for dehydration in order to lower intracranial pressure. In fact, the incidence of adverse events caused by fluconazole was typically low with reports indicating that larger doses (1200 mg per day) do not increase toxicity.³¹

FCZ and 5-FC has high pharmacological efficacy with few adverse reactions in treating non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM. AmB dosages of 0.7-1.0 mg/kg per day may promote better outcomes and less relapse compared to lower doses used in previous studies.^{20,27-29,32} However, AmB dosages are often decreased prematurely due to severe toxicity, a common problem we faced in our treatment of non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated

TABLE 4Adverse events in patients with non-HIV- and non-
transplant-associated CM

	AmB + 5- FC (n = 43)	FCZ + 5- FC (n = 47)	P value
Adverse events (n, %)	39 (90.7%)	9 (19.1%)	0.000
Chills and fever (n, %)	14 (32.6%)	1 (2.1%)	0.000
Phlebitis (n, %)	8 (18.6%)	1 (2.1%)	0.012
Hypokalaemia	39 (90.7%)	8 (17.0%)	0.000
Gastrointestinal discomfort	8 (18.6%)	1 (2.1%)	0.012
Liver impairment	20 (46.5%)	8 (17.0%)	0.003
Renal impairment	8 (18.6%)	4 (8.5%)	0.218
Haematological impairment	10 (23.3%)	5 (10.6%)	0.157
Myocardial damage	2 (4.7%)	0	0.225

V-mmycose

CM cases in China. Adverse reactions including thrombophlebitis, febrile reactions and anaemia as well as kidney, liver and cardiac toxicity may occur during treatment and slow treatment progression.³³⁻³⁵ Patients with CM who are older, those that have critical conditions, or combined diseases involving other organs such as liver and kidney may not be candidates for AmB treatment. An alternative drug escalation regimen for these specific CM cases was needed. FCZ and 5-FC had acceptable successful response rates (72.3%) with low incidences of adverse events (19.1%). This combination was shown to be a good alternative treatment for non-HIV-and non-transplant-associated CM in cases where patients were unable to tolerate the recommended AmB dosage or in those not suitable for AmB treatment.

Our study was limited due in part to its retrospective nature and the fact that the total number of enrolled patients was limited. Moreover, we did not further apply molecular methods to distinguish *C. neoformans s.l.* from *C. gattii s.l.* after CGB culture. As a result, a definite consensus regarding the efficacy of FCZ and 5-FC therapy for non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM was needed. Future studies, particularly additional prospective large-scaled randomised controlled trials including molecular methods to distinguish cryptococcal species, are warranted in order to definitively determine the best standard of care in these patient populations.

In conclusion, our study found that the majority of patients with non-HIV- and non-transplant-associated CM do not tolerate the recommended doses of AmB during induction therapy due to side effect severity. Adjusting the dosages and treatment regimen of AmB still resulted in a high incidence of adverse events in this group. We showed that FCZ and 5-FC combination therapy had relatively high efficacy with few adverse events in treating non-HIV- and nontransplant-associated CM. FCZ and 5-FC combination treatment proved to be a good alternative therapy for patients with non-HIVand non-transplant-associated CM. This treatment regimen is particularly efficacious in patient groups that are unable to tolerate the recommended dosages of AmB or in those that are not suitable candidates for AmB treatment.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

ORCID

Ying Yan (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8802-8072

REFERENCES

- Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, et al. Global burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2017;17(8):873-881.
- Park BJ, Wannemuehler KA, Marston BJ, et al. Estimation of the current global burden of cryptococcal meningitis among persons living with HIV/AIDS. AIDS. 2009;23:525-530.

- Lin YY, Shiau S, Fang CT. Risk factors for invasive Cryptococcus neoformans diseases: a case-control study. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:e0119090.
- 4. Henao-Martinez AF, Beckham JD. Cryptococcosis in solid organ transplant recipients. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2015;28:300-307.
- Pukkila-Worley R, Mylonakis E. Epidemiology and management of cryptococcal meningitis: developments and challenges. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2008;9:551-560.
- Chen YC, Che FB, Chen JH, et al. Cryptococcosis in China (1985– 2010): review of Cases from Chinese Database. *Mycopathologia*. 2012;173:329-335.
- Chen M, Xu Y, Hong N, et al. Epidemiology of fungal infections in China. Front Med. 2018;12(1):58-75.
- George IA, Spec A, Powderly WG, Santos CAQ. Comparative epidemiology and outcomes of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), non-HIV non-transplant, and solid organ transplant associated cryptococcosis: a population-based study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;66:608-611.
- Kashef Hamadani BH, Franco-Paredes C, McCollister B, et al. Cryptococcosis and cryptococcal meningitis: new predictors and clinical outcomes at a United States academic medical centre. *Mycoses*. 2018;61(5):314-320.
- Jianghang C, Varma A, Diaz MR, et al. Cryptococcus neoformans strains and infection in apparently immunocompetent patients, China. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2008;14:755-762.
- 11. Lee SJ, Choi HK, Son J, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis patients with or without human immunodeficiency virus: experience in a tertiary hospital. *Yonsei Med J.* 2011;52:483-487.
- Mwaba P, Mwansa J, Chintu C, et al. Clinical presentation, natural history, and cumulative death rates of 230 adults with primary cryptococcal meningitis in Zambian AIDS patients treated under local conditions. *Postgrad Med J.* 2001;77:769-773.
- French N, Gray K, Watera C, et al. Cryptococcal infection in a cohort of HIV-1-infected Ugandan adults. AIDS. 2002;16:1031-1038.
- John R, William E, Dismukes WE, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease:2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50:291-322.
- Zhu L, Wu JQ, Xu B, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis in non-HIV-infected patients in a Chinese tertiary care hospital, 1997–2007. *Med Mycol.* 2010;48:570-579.
- 16. Brouwer AE, Rajanuwong A, Chierakul W, et al. Combination antifungal therapies for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2004;363:1764-1767.
- Yu C, Qing YL, Zhu LP, et al. Clinical analysis of 27 patients with cryptococcal meningitis. *Chin J Exp Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;10:231-234.
- Haiyan Z. Curative effect analysis of cryptococcal meningitis with the treatment of amphotericin B plus 5-flucytosine and azoles. *Contemp Med Forum*. 2015;13:144-146.
- Molloy SF, Kanyama C, Heyderman RS, et al. Antifungal Combinations for Treatment of Cryptococcal Meningitis in Africa. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(11):1004-1017.
- Day JN, Chau TT, Wolbers M, et al. Combination antifungal therapy for cryptococcal meningitis. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(14):1291-1302.
- Bahr NC, Boulware DR. Methods of rapid diagnosis for the etiology of meningitis in adults. *Biomark Med.* 2014;8:1085-1103.
- Azure TM, Grace MHG. New approaches to the diagnosis and treatment of cryptococcal meningitis. Semin Neurol. 2014;34:47-60.
- Klein KR, Hall L, Deml SM, et al. Identification of Cryptococcus gattii by use of L-canavanine glycine bromothymol blue medium and DNA sequencing. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47(11):3669-3672.
- Brahm HS, Raoul H, David AS, et al. Defining response to therapy and study outcomes in clinical trials of invasive fungal disease: Mycoses Study Group and European Organization for Research

mycoses

and Treatment of Cancer consensus criteria. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;47:674-683.

- 25. Andes D. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antifungals. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2006;20:67-97.
- De Socio GV, Fiorio M, Stagni G. Am Bisome administration for Candida albicans shunt infections. J Antimierob Chemother. 2003;52:1048-1049.
- Beardsley J, Wolbers M, Kibengo FM, et al. Adjunctive Dexamethasone in HIV-Associated Cryptococcal Meningitis. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(6): 542-554.
- van der Horst CM, Saag MS, Cloud GA, et al. Treatment of cryptococcal meningitis associated with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group and AIDS Clinical Trials Group. N Engl J Med. 1997;337(1):15-21.
- Saag MS, Cloud GA, Graybill JR, et al. A comparison of itraconazole versus fluconazole as maintenance therapy for AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1999;28(2):291-296.
- Bicanic T, Muzoora C, Brouwer AE, et al. Independent association between rate of clearance of infection and clinical outcome of HIVassociated cryptococcal meningitis: analysis of a combined cohort of 262 patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49:702-709.
- Longley N, Muzoora C, Taseera K, et al. Dose response effect of high-dose fluconazole for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis in southwestern Uganda. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;47(12):1556-1561.

- 32. Bicanic T, Wood R, Meintjes G, et al. High-dose amphotericin B with flucytosine for the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-infected patients: a randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;47:123-130.
- Butler EK, Boulware DR, Bohjanen PR, Meya DB. Long term 5-year survival of persons with cryptococcal meningitis or asymptomatic subclinical antigenemia in Uganda. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(12):e51291.
- 34. Muzoora CK, Kabanda T, Ortu G, et al. Short course amphotericin B with high dose fluconazole for HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *J Infect*. 2012;64(1):76-81.
- 35. Barchiesi F, Schimizzi AM, Caselli F, et al. Interactions between triazoles and amphotericin B against Cryptococcus neoformans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2000;44(9):2435-2441.

How to cite this article: Li Z, Liu Y, Chong Y, et al. Fluconazole plus flucytosine is a good alternative therapy for non-HIV and non-transplant-associated cryptococcal meningitis: A retrospective cohort study. *Mycoses*. 2019;62:686-691. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12944</u>