RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Photography-based method for assessing fluorescein clearance test in dogs

Arianne Pontes Oriá^{1*}, Miriam Flores Rebouças¹, Emanoel Martins Filho¹, Francisco de Assis Dórea Neto¹, Ana Cláudia Raposo¹ and Lionel Sebbag²

Abstract

Background: The fluorescein clearance test (FCT) provides insight into the tear film dynamics. The purpose of this study was to describe an inexpensive and practical method for assessing FCT in dogs, using photography and software analysis, and to assess the retention time of 1 vs. 2 eye drops on the canine ocular surface.

Methods: (i) In vivo - Eight healthy German Shepherd dogs were recruited. Following topical anesthesia with 0.5% proxymetacaine, each eye sequentially received (1 week apart) either 1 drop (35 μ L) or 2 drops (70 μ L) of 0.5% fluorescein. A Schirmer strip was inserted in the ventral conjunctival fornix for 10 s at the following times: each 10 min for 100 min, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h. (ii) In vitro - Schirmer strips were placed for 10 s in contact with microplate wells containing 1 or 2 drops of 0.5% fluorescein. In both experiments, the fluorescein-impregnated Schirmer strips were immediately imaged, and the area and intensity of fluorescein uptake were analyzed with ImageJ software. For the in vitro experiment, images were evaluated by the same examiner (repeatability) or two examiners (reproducibility).

Results: Photography-based FCT was easy to perform and showed high repeatability and reproducibility (coefficients of variation $\leq 2.75\%$). In vivo, the area and intensity of fluorescein uptake on Schirmer strips were significantly greater at 30 min and 40 min post- fluorescein instillation in the 2 drops vs. 1 drop groups ($p \leq 0.044$). Compared to baseline, the residual fluorescein uptake on Schirmer strips was < 5% at 60 min and 90 min in the 1 drop and 2 drops groups, respectively.

Conclusions: Photography-based FCT is a practical and reliable diagnostic tool with various clinical and research applications in veterinary medicine. Instillation of two drops provided greater amount and longer retention on the anesthetized canine ocular surface than a single drop. Fluorescein clearance time of a single drop in dolichocephalic dogs is 60 min.

Keywords: Dogs, Fluorescein clearance test, Schirmer tear test, Tear film

Background

The ocular surface is covered by a thin layer of tear film, mostly responsible for lubrication, nutrition, and protection against microbial and toxic agents [1]. Tears are secreted by the lacrimal glands, spread onto the corneo-conjunctival surface, then either evaporate, absorb into tissues of the ocular surface, or drain through the nasolacrimal duct. Together, these physiological aspects (secretion, distribution, turnover and elimination) are termed 'tear film dynamics'

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



and are critical for the health and function of the eye [1]. Tear film dynamics can be assessed by various modalities including interferometry, lacrimal scintigraphy and fluorescein clearance test (FCT) [1].

FCT relies on measuring the decay of fluorescein in the tear film over a period of time. It is often performed with a commercial fluorophotometer device that can quantify fluorescein concentrations in a sensitive manner [2]. However, the fluorophotometry technique requires costly equipment and is not practical for routine clinic use. Thus, a simpler clinical test has been developed [3]. It involves photography of Schirmer strips to monitor dye disappearance, and has been reported to have

© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: arianneoria@ufba.br

¹School of Veterinary Medicine and Zootechny, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

excellent correlation with the fluorometric assessment of tear clearance in humans [3].

The main purpose of the study was to describe the Schirmer-based FCT in healthy dogs. Further, we aimed to determine the retention time of one vs. two drops on the canine ocular surface, thus describing a practical application of the FCT in veterinary species.

Methods

In vivo evaluation

Animals

Eight healthy intact German shepherd dogs (6 males and 2 females), aged 3 to 5 years $(3.9 \pm 0.83 \text{ years})$ were recruited from the Military Police of Bahia, Brazil. All dogs were confirmed to be ophthalmoscopically healthy with patent nasolacrimal ducts by slit lamp examination, indirect funduscopy, rebound tonometry, Schirmer tear test, fluorescein staining of the ocular surface, and Jones test (fluorescein passage test).

Experimental design

A test solution of 0.5% fluorescein was made by 1:1 dilution between 1% fluorescein solution¹ and 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose sodium lubricating solution.² Based on findings of a preliminary study using an automatic pipette³ to measure the drop size of 5 ophthalmic solutions commonly used in Brazil, the volume of a drop was set to 35 µL for the experiments described below (data were not shown).

The study was conducted in the morning hours, with a temperature of 27.9-38.0 °C and an ambient humidity of 38-55%. Schirmer tear test I (STT-I) was performed in each eye by inserting a standard Schirmer strip⁴ in the lower lateral conjunctival fornix for 1 min. Ten minutes later, a drop of 0.5% proxymetacaine hydrochlorid⁵ was instilled in each eye, and after removing the excess solution with a sterile swab, Schirmer tear test II (STT-II) was performed and recorded in mm/min. Ten minutes later, an automatic pipette was used to instill 35 μ L (1 drop) of 0.5% fluorescein in the left eye and 70 µL (2 drops) in the right eye. The excess of fluorescein solution was gently removed from the conjunctival sac with a sterile swab. At t = 10 min and every 10 min thereafter for 100 min, as well as 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, a Schirmer strip was inserted into the lateral lower conjunctival fornix of each eye for 10 s. Immediately after removal from the conjunctival fornix, the Schirmer strips were placed in a box and a Nikon camera⁶ with a yellow filter⁷ placed 23 cm above the strips was used to picture the tear fluorescence in a blue light⁸ (Fig. 1). The protocol was repeated in each animal after 1 week of washout period, instilling 35 µL (1 drop) of 0.5% fluorescein in the right eye and 70 μ L (2 drops) in the left eye (crossover study design).



yellow filter is placed 23 cm above Schirmer strips in a box illuminated with blue light

In vitro evaluation

The 0.5% fluorescein solution was transferred to a 96-well microplate,⁹ 15 wells containing 35 µL (1 drop) and 15 wells containing 70 µL (2 drops). Schirmer strips were placed in contact with the wells for 10 s, then immediately transferred to the box and photographed as described in the in vivo experiment.

Data analysis

All pictures were analyzed with the ImageJ Program¹⁰ [4], recording the following two measure outcomes in each Schirmer strip (Fig. 2): area of fluorescein uptake (mm²) and fluorescein intensity (arbitrary units; AU). The areas of fluorescein uptake were automatically delineated by the software although slight manual adjustments were needed on selected cases.

For the in vitro study, the same image was analyzed twice by the same examiner (intra-examiner variability, i.e. repeatability) or by two different examiners (inter-examiner variability, i.e. reproducibility), evaluating the area of fluorescein uptake and fluorescein intensity as described above. The coefficient of variation (CV, expressed in %) was calculated for assessing test repeatability and reproducibility.



Normality of the data was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The Wilcoxon signed-ranked test was used to compare STT-I and STT-II values in the same eye and to compare the right and left eyes for STT values, area of fluorescein uptake and fluorescein intensity. Differences in fluorescein areas and fluorescein intensities between one drop and two drops groups were examined with the Friedman test followed by Dunn's pairwise comparisons. The same test was used to compare the residual fluorescein areas and intensities between both groups, calculated at each time as a percentage compared to the initial data collected (i.e. 10 min post fluorescein instillation). Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism,¹¹ and values p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

In vivo evaluation

Data was not normally distributed for any test (P < 0.05), so all results are presented as median ± semi-interquartile

range. Results of STT-I testing ($30 \pm 3.6 \text{ mm/min}$) were significantly greater (p = 0.004) than STT-II values ($28.2 \pm 3.8 \text{ mm/min}$). The FCT was well tolerated in all dogs. An example of fluorescein strip analyzed by ImageJ is depicted in Fig. 3, in which the fluorescein area was 155 mm² and the fluorescein intensity was 1828 AU.

The area and intensity of 0.5% fluorescein impregnated onto the Schirmer strips decreased over time (Figs. 4 and 5). At 30 min, eyes that were administered two drops vs. one drop of 0.5% fluorescein solution yielded



Schirmer strips that had significantly greater fluorescein areas $(63.1 \pm 40.3 \text{ and } 10.8 \pm 15.4 \text{ mm}^2$, respectively; P =0.025) and fluorescein intensities (4916.6 \pm 2418.6 and 796.0 \pm 1163.1 AU, respectively; P = 0.044). The same finding was noted at 40 min for both fluorescein areas $(79.0 \pm 28.8 \text{ and } 10.4 \pm 17.1 \text{ mm}^2, \text{ respectively; } P = 0.039)$ and fluorescein intensities (6766.9 \pm 2324.0 and 798.7 \pm 1183.2 AU, respectively; P = 0.002).

Although the fluorescein areas and intensities were overall greater in the 2 drops group compared to the 1 drop group during other timepoints, differences were not statistically significant ($p \ge 0.078$). Table 1 describes the residual fluorescein areas and intensities at each time point (times 20-100 min) for each group, calculated as a percent relative area or intensity compared to the first data collected (time = 10 min). Despite noticeable differences in the median values between both groups, no statistical significances were noted at any time point for either fluorescein area (P = 1.000) or fluorescein intensity (p > 0.441).

Twenty-four hours after dye instillation, fluorescein was still noticeable on Schirmer strips in 1/16 eyes (6.25%) of the 1 drop group and 6/16 eyes (37.5%) of the 2 drops group. At 48 h, fluorescein was present in 1/16 eyes (6.25%) and 2/16 eyes (12.5%) of the 1 drop and 2 drops groups, respectively. At 72 h, none of the Schirmer strips had fluorescein uptake, indicating that 3-day washout period is a minimum for FCT in dogs.

In vitro evaluation

The coefficients of variation of intra-examiner and inter-examiner assessments were very low ($\leq 2.75\%$), as summarized in Table 2, indicating excellent test repeatability and reproducibility.







(*) represents statistically significant difference between the 1 drop and 2 drops group

Discussion

The present study describes a practical and cost-effective method to evaluate FCT in dogs. This test provides insight into the tear film dynamics and can be a valuable addition in diagnosis and management of ocular surface disease in veterinary species. In human patients, FCT has been shown to have a greater predictive value for ocular irritation than Schirmer tear testing and it correlates better with decreased corneo-conjunctival sensation and meibomian gland dysfunction [5, 6].

The technique used herein is a modification of previous reports in humans [3, 6, 7]. First, the duration of Schirmer strip insertion at each time point was set to 10 s, in contrast to 1 min [7, 8] or 5 min [3] in human subjects. Since standard Schirmer tear testing is performed over 5 min vs. 1 min in humans and dogs, respectively [9, 10] the duration of FCT was adjusted

Table 1 Median ± semi interguartile range residual area and intensity uptake over time in healthy German shepherd dogs that received 1 drop or 2 drops of 0.5% fluorescein solution

that received 1 drop of 2 drops of 0.3% hubblescent solution						
Time	Fluorescein area (%)		Fluorescein intensity (%)			
	1 drop	2 drops	1 drop	2 drops		
20 min	61.5 ± 35.1	70.7 ± 28.7	53.5 ± 25.7	52.6 ± 17.6		
30 min	12.4 ± 17.3	50.8 ± 26.4	8.7 ± 10.8	40.6 ± 21.4		
40 min	9.1 ± 17.8	60.7 ± 32.1	6.0 ± 11.4	49.9 ± 19.5		
50 min	18.6 ± 28.0	20.4 ± 22.0	12.5 ± 16.3	13.4 ± 14.7		
60 min	0.8 ± 6.2	24.4 ± 21.3	0.4 ± 3.5	13.5 ± 14.0		
70 min	4.1 ± 14.4	17.2 ± 15.4	2.5 ± 8.1	9.7 ± 12.7		
80 min	1.3 ± 9.4	16.5 ± 29.5	0.7 ± 5.3	12.4 ± 20.0		
90 min	0.4 ± 3.6	1.3 ± 10.5	0.3 ± 2.0	4.6 ± 7.2		
100 min	0.2 ± 1.8	0.2 ± 3.2	0.2 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 4.2		

Residual fluorescein is calculated as a percentage compared to the initial data collected (i.e. 10 min post fluorescein instillation)

Table 2 Median \pm interquartile range of the coefficients of variation (CV) in measuring the area and intensity of fluorescein uptake in ImageJ by the same examiner (intra-examiner variability, i.e. repeatability) or two different examiners (inter-examiner variability, i.e. reproducibility)

	1 drop		2 drops	
Coefficient of variation (%)	Area of fluorescein uptake	Intensity of fluorescein uptake	Area of fluorescein uptake	Intensity of fluorescein uptake
Intra-examiner (repeatability)	2.01 ± 3.42	2.01 ± 3.03	1.20 ± 1.66	1.20 ± 1.94
Inter-examiner (reproducibility)	2.75 ± 2.78	2.38 ± 3.80	1.55 ± 2.70	1.59 ± 2.52

accordingly to avoid depleting the majority of the fluorescein dye from the canine ocular surface at the first time points. Second, our method employed standardized imaging technique in which a camera with yellow filter was set to a fixed distance from the Schirmer strips and a blue light was used to excite the fluorescein dye. The images were then analyzed objectively using the ImageJ software, thus representing an advantage over previous reports in which the fading of fluorescein dye was assessed subjectively with the naked eye [7], comparing the intensity of staining to a standard Schirmer color plate [3].

The main advantage of the present FCT over fluorophotometric evaluation [3] is the use of equipment readily available to most investigators. However, it may not be as sensitive as fluorophotometry to detect low concentrations of fluorescein, and one should only use the same type of Schirmer strips [11] of the same lot number [12] to reduce the variability in strip absorption of fluid.

The amount of fluorescein retained on the canine ocular surface was greater when 2 drops (70 μ L) rather than 1 drop (35 μ L) were instilled at baseline, with a statistically greater fluorescein area and intensity noted at 30 min and 40 min. The human palpebral fissure is capable of holding only 25–30 μ L of fluid [13] so any excess would rapidly escape through the nasolacrimal duct or spill over the lower eyelid. However, this information is lacking in dogs, and it is possible that the palpebral fissure of a large German Shepherd dog can accommodate larger volumes of fluid. In beagle dogs, Gelatt and colleagues [14] noted that only 0.139% of fluorescein was retained on the ocular surface after 45 min of dye instillation, an amount much lower than the 8.7% noted in the present study. Differences in methodology notwithstanding, this variation between species warrant further investigation and may be partly explained by differences in nasolacrimal duct transit among brachycephalic, mesaticephalic and dolichocephalic dogs [15].

The homogenous population selected (all German Shepherd breed) represent a limitation of the study, as well as the relatively low number of subjects examined, although the cross-over study design improved the experiment's statistical power. The use of topical anesthetic in FCT may also represent a limitation of the protocol, as it has been shown to suppress the rate of tear turnover in rabbits and thereby increase the pre-corneal residence time of ophthalmic solutions [16]. Thus, the differences noted between one vs. two drops should be interpreted with caution as these findings may differ in the non-anesthetized eye. Similar to human reports, topical anesthetic was used herein to reduce reflex tearing and thereby improve the reliability of Schirmer testing, and because tear clearance rates in anesthetized eyes are supposedly the same as basal tear turnover in non-anesthetized eyes [3]. However, the impact of topical anesthetic on Schirmer testing is not straightforward, with some authors reporting a greater variability of Schirmer values in anesthetized vs. non-anesthetized eyes [17].

Conclusions

FCT represents a diagnostic tool with clinical and research potential in veterinary medicine. Clinically, FCT could be used to assess the clearance of tear film in patients with suspected aqueous tear deficiency or nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Based on our findings, clearance would be defined as normal (in dolichocephalic dogs) if a single drop of 0.5% fluorescein could not be detected (< 5% area and intensity) at the 60 min time point. Of note, this duration is longer than the 20 min timeline reported in human subjects [18]. In a research setting, FCT could have multiple applications such as determination of tear turnover rate in various breeds and investigation of the retention time of drugs that have different properties (e.g. viscosity, pH, etc.).

Endnotes

¹Drogavet[®], Salvador, BA, Brazil

²Ecofilm[®], Latinofarma, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³Automatic pipette, Kasvi, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

⁴Ophthalmos[®], São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁵Anestalcon[®], Alcon, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁶D7000, ISO 200, f40, 2 s

⁷Yellow filter, Hoya HMC Y [K2], California, USA

⁸Led light, 12v, wavelength 470 nm, LedSafe°, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁹96-Well Plates, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA

¹⁰Image J, Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997–2016

¹¹GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Windows, Graph-Pad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com

Abbreviations

ARVO: Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology; AU: Arbitrary units; FCT: Fluorescein clearance test; STT-I: Schirmer tear test I; STT-II: Schirmer tear test II

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Military Police of Bahia for providing the dogs used in this study.

Availability of data and materials

Data and materials are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

APO and LS designed the experimental study and critically revised the manuscript. MFR and APO execution of the study. APO, ACR and MFR contributed to the analyses of the overall experimental data used in this study. APO, MFR and LS participated in discussion. EMF and LS performed statistical analyses. FADN was responsible for the design and use of the equipment to capture the images. All authors contributed to drafting the manuscript and gave final approval of the version to be published.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Veterinary Medicine and Zootechny of the Federal University of Bahia (protocol number 72/2016) and adhered to the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹School of Veterinary Medicine and Zootechny, Federal University of Bahia, UFBA, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. ²Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Ames, Iowa, USA.

Received: 29 May 2018 Accepted: 23 August 2018 Published online: 03 September 2018

References

- Tomlinson A, Khanal S. Assessment of tear film dynamics: quantification approach. Ocul Surf. 2005; https://doi.org/10.1016/S1542-0124(12)70157-X.
- van Best JA, Benitez del Castillo JM, Coulangeon LM. Measurement of basal tear turnover using a standardized protocol. European concerted action on ocular fluorometry. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 1995; https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00177778.
- Xu KP, Tsubota K. Correlation of tear clearance rate and fluorophotometric assessment of tear turnover. Br J Ophthalmol. 1995; https://doi.org/10.1136/ bjo.79.11.1042.
- Labno C. Basic Intensity Quantification with ImageJ. In. University of Chicago. http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/docs/examples/index.html -- Accessed 18 July 2018.
- Afonso A. Correlation of tear fluorescein clearance and schirmer test scores with ocular irritation symptoms, historical image. Ophthalmology. 1999; https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(99)90170-7.

- Macri A, Rolando M, Pflugfelder S. A standardized visual scale for evaluation of tear fluorescein clearance. Ophthalmology. 2000; https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0161-6420(00)00101-9.
- Prabhasawat P, Tseng SCG. Frequent association of delayed tear clearance in ocular irritation. Br J Ophthalmol. 1998; https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.82.6.666.
- Pflugfelder SC, Tseng SC, Sanabria O, Kell H, Garcia CG, Felix C, et al. Evaluation of subjective assessments and objective diagnostic tests for diagnosing tear-film disorders known to cause ocular irritation. Cornea. 1998;17:38–56.
- Halberg GP, Berens C. Standardized Schirmer tear test kit. Am J Ophthalmol. 1961; https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394(61)91827-X.
- Hamor RE, Roberts SM, Severin GA, Chavkin MJ. Evaluation of results for Schirmer tear tests conducted with and without application of a topical anesthetic in clinically normal dogs of 5 breeds. Am J Vet Res. 2000; https:// doi.org/10.2460/ajvr.2000.61.1422.
- van der Woerdt A, Adamcak A. Comparison of absorptive capacities of original and modified Schirmer tear test strips in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2000; https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2000.216.1576.
- Hawkins EC, Murphy CJ. Inconsistencies in the absorptive capacities of Schirmer tear test strips. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1986;188:511–3.
- 13. Mishima S, Gasset A, Klyce SD, Baum JL. Determination of tear volume and tear flow. Investig Ophthalmol. 1966;5:264–76.
- Gelatt KN, MacKay EO, Widenhouse C, Widenhouse TS, Stopek JB. Effect of lacrimal punctal occlusion on tear production and tear fluorescein dilution in normal dogs. Vet Ophthalmol. 2006; https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-5224. 2005.00430.x.
- Binder DR, Herring IP. Evaluation of nasolacrimal fluorescein transit time in ophthalmically normal dogs and nonbrachycephalic cats. Am J Vet Res. 2010; https://doi.org/10.2460/ajvr.71.5.570.
- Patton TF, Robinson JR. Influence of topical anesthesia on tear dynamics and ocular drug bioavailability in albino rabbits. J Pharm Sci. 1975; https:// doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600640215.
- Clinch TE. Schirmer's test. Arch Ophthalmol. 1983; https://doi.org/10.1001/ archopht.1983.01040020385009.
- Savini G, Prabhawasat P, Kojima T, Grueterich M, Espana E, Goto E. The challenge of dry eye diagnosis. Clin Ophthalmol. 2008; https://doi.org/10. 2147/OPTH.S1496.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

