

### Journal of Behavioral Addictions

11 (2022) 2, 210-215

Debate: Behavioral addictions in the ICD-11

DOI: 10.1556/2006.2022.00029 © 2022 The Author(s)

### **COMMENTARY**





### Compulsive Sexual Behavior Disorder should not be classified by solely relying on component/symptomatic features

Commentary to the debate: "Behavioral addictions in the ICD-11"

JESÚS CASTRO-CALVO<sup>1</sup>\* ©, MAÈVA FLAYELLE<sup>2</sup> ©, JOSÉ C. PERALES<sup>3</sup> ©, MATTHIAS BRAND<sup>4</sup> ©, MARC N. POTENZA<sup>5,6,7,8</sup> © and JOËL BILLIEUX<sup>2,9</sup>\* ©

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Personality, Assessment, and Psychological Treatments, University of Valencia, Spain
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Psychology, University of Lausanne (UNIL), Lausanne, Switzerland
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Experimental Psychology, Mind, Brain and Behavior Research Center (CIMCYC), Universidad de Granada, Spain
- <sup>4</sup> General Psychology: Cognition and Center for Behavioral Addiction Research (CeBAR), University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Psychiatry and the Child Study Center, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Neuroscience and the Wu Tsai Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
- <sup>7</sup> Connecticut Mental Health Center, New Haven, CT, USA
- <sup>8</sup> The Connecticut Council on Problem Gambling, Wethersfield, CT 06109, USA
- <sup>9</sup> Centre for Excessive Gambling, Addiction Medicine, Lausanne University Hospitals (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland

Received: January 14, 2022 • Revised manuscript received: March 14, 2022 • Accepted: April 15, 2022

#### **ABSTRACT**

The paper by Sassover and Weinstein (2022) contributes to a timely and complex debate related to the classification of Compulsive Sexual Behavior Disorder (CSBD). The recent inclusion of CSBD as an impulse-control disorder in the ICD-11 has generated debate since a competitive view is that CSBD should rather be classified as an addictive disorder. Sassover and Weinstein (2022) reviewed existing evidence and concluded it does not support the conceptualization of CSBD as an addictive disorder. Although we agree regarding the relevance and timely nature of considering the classification of CSBD, we respectfully disagree with the position that relying on the components model of addiction (Griffiths, 2005) is the optimal approach for determining whether or not CSBD is an addictive disorder. In this commentary, we discuss potential pitfalls of relying on the components model to conceptualize CSBD as an addictive disorder and argue that considering a process-based approach is important for advancing this timely debate.

#### **KEYWORDS**

compulsive sexual behavior, classification, nosology, addictive behavior, components model of addiction, compulsive behavior, impulsive behavior, psychological processes

### INTRODUCTION

The publication by Sassover & Weinstein (2022) contributes to a timely discussion regarding the classification of Compulsive Sexual Behavior Disorder (CSBD). Its recent inclusion as an

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: jesus.castro@uv.es, Joel. Billieux@unil.ch



impulse-control disorder in the ICD-11 has been debated (Gola & Potenza, 2018a; Potenza, Gola, Voon, Kor, & Kraus, 2017), since a competitive view is that CSBD should rather be classified as an addictive disorder. The conceptualization of CSBD within an addiction framework has been discussed for decades (Orford, 1978), and this debate intensified with the release of the DSM-5, when CSBD - operationalized as "Hypersexual Disorder" - was not included (Reid & Kafka, 2014). Since then, and given emerging data, there has been increasing consideration of conceptualizing CSBD as an addictive disorder (Kor, Fogel, Reid, & Potenza, 2013; Kowalewska et al., 2018; Kraus, Voon, & Potenza, 2016; Stark, Klucken, Potenza, Brand, & Strahler, 2018). The inclusion of CSBD in the ICD-11 (Kraus, Krueger, et al., 2018) advanced nosological debates regarding CSBD (e.g., Brand et al., 2022). The multiple potentially conflicting conceptualizations of CSBD may complicate its clinical diagnosis, as well as research efforts (e.g., estimating the prevalence of CSBD in population-based epidemiological studies or national databases [Kraus et al., 2016]).

In this context, Sassover & Weinstein (2022) reviewed existing evidence, and concluded it does not support the conceptualization of CSBD as an addictive disorder. In reaching this conclusion, the authors relied on the components model of addiction (Griffiths, 2005) to determine whether symptomatic features of CSBD match traditional diagnostic criteria for addictive disorders. Here, we contend that considering a process-based approach is important when classifying CSBD.

## Potential pitfalls of relying on the components model of addiction

The components model of addiction (Griffiths, 2005) posits that excessive/interfering behavioral engagement (such as in CSBD) needs to display six co-occurring symptomatic features (i.e., salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, and relapse) to be conceptualized as addictive. A main pitfall of such a perspective is that certain components, characteristic of substance-use disorders (SUDs), may not be valid when considering non-substancerelated addictive behaviors (Billieux, Schimmenti, Khazaal, Maurage, & Heeren, 2015; Flayelle, Schimmenti, Starcevic, & Billieux, 2022; Starcevic, Billieux, & Schimmenti, 2018). This appears particularly relevant for tolerance and withdrawal, which may have limited clinical relevance for nonsubstance-related addictive behaviors (Castro-Calvo, King, et al., 2021; Starcevic, 2016). For example, tolerance in the context of gambling, gaming, or sexual behaviors has frequently been operationalized as escalations in various behavioral aspects (e.g., time spent, frequency, intensity, type of contents) to achieve desired effects (King, Herd, & Delfabbro, 2017). Similarly, withdrawal symptoms are often described as aversive emotional states (e.g., irritability, anxiety, moodiness) arising from not engaging in the behavior (i.e., following abstinence [Kaptsis, King, Delfabbro, & Gradisar, 2016]). As an illustration, Sassover & Weinstein (2022)) based their review on specific articles

including debatable and not necessarily valid proxies of tolerance, such as "time spent on sexual activity" or "pursuit of new sexual partners" (e.g., Coleman-Kennedy & Pendley, 2002). However, these aspects also vary according to multiple factors (e.g., oscillations of sexual desire [Hällström & Samuelsson, 1990], mood [Bancroft et al., 2003], relationship development [Birnbaum, 2018], transient changes of hormonal levels [Bancroft, 2005], normal habituation of sexual arousal [Over & Koukounas, 1995]), thus not necessarily reflecting tolerance per se. Furthermore, the frequency of pornography use may neither be an appropriate indicator to distinguish problematic from non-problematic engagement (Bőthe, Tóth-Király, Potenza, Orosz, & Demetrovics, 2020) nor a significant predictor of treatment-seeking for problematic pornography use (Gola, Lewczuk, & Skorko, 2016). Therefore, equating an increase in the frequency or characteristics of the sexual activity with tolerance does not appropriately consider the multiple biopsychosocial factors potentially promoting escalations of sexual behavior, which may be relatively distinct from or peripheral to addictions. A similar reasoning is applicable regarding withdrawal. Instead of an addiction feature, negative emotional states (e.g., anxiety, irritation, low mood) following the cessation of sexual behaviors that are often considered a consequence of withdrawal (Fernandez, Kuss, & Griffiths, 2021) may be better understood as normal psychological reactions to sexual deprivation.

Sassover & Weinstein (2022) concluded that current evidence does not support the existence of CSBD-related tolerance and withdrawal. Yet, a major issue is that, based on the rationale adopted by the authors (i.e., using the components model of addiction as decisional criteria), such a lack of evidence is sufficient to dismiss this condition as an addictive behavior, as "all these components need to be present for a behaviour to be operationally defined as addictive" (Griffiths, 2005, p. 195), while tolerance and withdrawal criteria may not be particularly relevant to CSBD and, more generally, to non-substance-related addictive behaviors. The same consideration relates to mood modification, which is involved in substance and non-substancerelated addictions but also in many other mental health conditions (e.g., obsessive-compulsive-related disorders) and may be considered a process involved in the development and maintenance of addictive (and other) disorders and not a core symptom (Brand, Rumpf, King, Potenza, & Wegmann, 2020).

Another potentially problematic aspect of the components model of addiction is the assumption that, besides their co-occurrence, all components are considered of equal weight in terms of their diagnostic value. In the context of gaming disorder, data have challenged this notion by showing that, while some components constitute "core criteria" (i.e., key symptoms for diagnosis), others constitute "peripheral criteria" (i.e., symptoms also typically presented by highly yet healthily engaged gamers) (Charlton & Danforth, 2007, 2009). This distinction (i.e., non-problematic vs. problematic gaming), crucial for ensuring the validity and



utility of the diagnosed condition (Billieux, Flayelle, Rumpf, & Stein, 2019), resonates with the terms "diagnostic validity" and "clinical utility" (Jablensky, 2016). Diagnostic validity refers to the extent to which a criterion is a feature of a condition (matching the above definition of a peripheral criterion), whereas clinical utility refers to the extent to which a criterion can distinguish normal/healthy from problematic behaviors (thus constituting a core criterion). A recent Delphi study involving an international panel of experts on gaming disorder concluded that symptoms derived from the components model of addiction, such as withdrawal, tolerance, or mood modification, lack clinical utility (i.e., are not able to distinguish highly engaged but nonproblematic from problematic gamers) (Castro-Calvo, King et al., 2021), thus implying that they should not be used to diagnose the condition. Although past research supports the view that frequent involvement in activities such as consuming pornography is not necessarily problematic (e.g., Kraus, Sturgeon, & Potenza, 2018), and that certain symptoms (e.g., diminished/lost control, continued engagement despite interference) may constitute core criteria of CSBD (Castro-Calvo, Gil-Llario, Giménez-García, Gil-Juliá, & Ballester-Arnal, 2020; Knight & Du, 2021; Werner, Štulhofer, Waldorp, & Jurin, 2018), evidence supporting potential distinctions between "core" and "peripheral" criteria is still scarce for CSBD. Therefore, elucidating the diagnostic validity and clinical utility of specific symptoms used to define CSBD (especially those mimicking SUDs) warrants further research (Bőthe, Lonza, Štulhofer, & Demetrovics, 2020).

Finally, the components model assumes that symptomatic similarities between SUDs and addictive behaviors such as those in gambling or gaming disorders may derive from common etiological mechanisms. Although appealing due to its simplicity and apparent validity, this approach has a considerable limitation in that the same symptom may arise from different mechanisms (Brand et al., 2022). Accordingly, placing exclusive focus on symptoms may neglect underlying cognitive, psychological, and learning processes that may account for the initiation and/or maintenance of addictive behaviors, which may warrant targeting in treatment (Billieux, Philippot, et al., 2015). As opposed to a symptom-focused approach, alternative theoretical models and hypotheses have been proposed for characterizing CSBD (Walton, Cantor, Bhullar, & Lykins, 2017), although most still require empirical validation (Gola & Potenza, 2018b). Consistent with contemporary approaches to the conceptualization of addictive behaviors (e.g., Brand et al., 2019; Perales et al., 2020), we argue that considering a process-based perspective will help elucidate whether or not CSBD may be best conceptualized within an addiction framework.

# On the relevance of considering a process-based approach

Recently, studies have been investigating similarities between CSBD, SUDs, and recognized behavioral addictions

(e.g., gambling disorder) beyond symptom-level analyses, such as exploring common neural and psychological processes (e.g., Castro-Calvo, Ballester-Arnal, Gil-Llario, & Giménez-García, 2016; Stark et al., 2018; Yip et al., 2018). Such data may identify similarities, although these would not unequivocally mean that such processes contribute mechanistically identically to SUDs and gambling or gaming disorders (Perales et al., 2020). The conceptualization of CSBD as a potential addictive disorder would benefit from identification of specific processes leading to the initiation and maintenance of CSBD to evaluate their compatibility with an addiction framework.

From a process-based perspective, Perales et al. (2020, p. 772) proposed that "the addictive process can be defined as a transition between behavioral control modes", which consist in goal-directed and stimulus-driven modes (akin to "liking" and "wanting" systems of the incentive sensitization theory [Robinson & Berridge, 2001], or "model-based" and "model-free" control from the reinforcement learning model [O'Doherty, Cockburn, & Pauli, 2017]). Should the pathogenesis of addictive behaviors imply a dynamic transition between control modes, exploring potentially "static" etiological factors associated with CSBD (such as neurobiological and/or dispositional underpinnings) may provide limited insight regarding involved etiological processes. Rather, research efforts focusing on identifying learninginduced and psychobiological changes underlying such transitions, while also considering the stage of the addiction process, may provide important insights. According to Perales et al. (2020), two processes are of crucial importance to define addictive behaviors: (1) domain-specific compulsivity and (2) relative outcome utility.

Domain-specific compulsivity refers to diminished or "lost" control contributing to the experience of being "forced" or "compelled" to act despite related negative consequences (Yücel et al., 2019). The incremental contribution of domain-specific compulsivity might progressively overcome the one of other central constructs in addictive disorders, such as impulsivity. Therefore, exploring the longitudinal evolution of compulsivity throughout the addiction pathogenesis, and delineating the differential contribution of compulsivity versus impulsivity, could help to elucidate whether CSBD should be conceptualized as an impulse-control or addictive disorder.

In contrast, relative outcome utility refers to the efficiency of a certain behavior for obtaining positive and/or negative reinforcement, compared to alternative behaviors. By means of this mechanism, a specific behavior grows more likely to become problematic when it is the only (or most efficient) strategy to achieve a particular outcome (e.g., obtaining sexual pleasure, reducing discomfort). While the relevance of this mechanism has been recognized in models of problematic internet use (Kardefelt-Winther, 2014), evidence regarding CSBD remains scarce. Addressing the relevance of such a framework for characterizing CSBD requires an in-depth understanding of specific motives underlying dysfunctional engagement in sexual behaviors. Preliminary studies in this area seem to offer promising results (e.g.,



Castro-Calvo, Giménez-García, Gil-Llario, & Ballester-Arnal, 2018; Koós, Fuss, Klein, Demetrovics, & Bőthe, 2021), but further research is required before considering relative outcome utility central to CSBD and its classification as an addictive disorder.

Beyond this framework, other types of evidence, largely ignored by Sassover & Weinstein (2022), should be considered to determine whether or not CSBD should be viewed as an addictive disorder, including (but not limited to) experimental and clinical findings emphasizing roles of specific affective (e.g., cue-reactivity and craving) and cognitive processes (e.g., attentional bias, inhibitory control, cognitive flexibility, decision making-related mechanisms) in CSBD's development and maintenance (Brand et al., 2019). A recent systematic review addressing this topic notably concluded that problematic use of pornography is characterized by marked attentional biases toward sexual stimuli, deficient inhibitory control, worse performance in tasks assessing working memory, and decision-making impairments (Castro-Calvo, Cervigón-Carrasco, Ballester-Arnal, & Giménez-García, 2021), a pattern of cognitive biases commonly observed in patients presenting with SUDs (Kluwe-Schiavon et al., 2020), gaming disorder (Billieux et al., 2020), and other behavioral addictions (Wegmann & Brand, 2020).

In sum, solely relying on the components model of addiction to determine whether or not CSBD should be conceptualized as an addictive disorder, as per the approach adopted by Sassover & Weinstein (2022), is problematic because (1) some components of this model (e.g., tolerance) may not distinguish disordered from intensive yet non-problematic sexual behaviors, and (2) it largely ignores potential etiological mechanisms from a process-based perspective. For the moment, the clinical applicability of this process-based perspective is limited, but we believe that research efforts advancing knowledge on these mechanisms may contribute to the classification of CSBD and the development of more accurate diagnostic and treatment approaches.

Funding sources: No financial support was received for the preparation of this commentary.

Authors' contribution: JCC and JB wrote the first draft of the commentary. All authors contributed to and have approved the final version of the paper.

Conflict of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest with respect to the content of this manuscript. MB receives funding (to University of Duisburg-Essen) from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) for the Research Unit ACSID, FOR2974 – 411232260. MNP has consulted for and advised Game Day Data, the Addiction Policy Forum, AXA, Idorsia and Opiant/Lakelight Therapeutics; has been involved in a patent application with Yale University and Novartis; received research support from the Veteran's Administration, Mohegan Sun Casino and the National Center for Responsible Gaming (now the

International Center for Responsible Gaming); participated in surveys, mailings, or telephone consultations related to drug addiction, impulse-control disorders, or other health topics; consulted for law offices, the federal public defender's office and gambling entities on issues related to impulse-control and addictive disorders; provided clinical care in the Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services Problem Gambling Services Program; performed grant reviews for the National Institutes of Health and other agencies; edited journals and journal sections; given academic lectures in grand rounds, CME events, and other clinical/ scientific venues; and generated books or chapters for publishers of mental health texts. MB, MNP, and JB are associate editors of Journal of Behavioral Addictions. The other authors (JCC, MF, and JCP) report no disclosures. The views presented in this manuscript represent those of the authors and not necessarily those of the funding agencies.

### REFERENCES

Bancroft, J. (2005). The endocrinology of sexual arousal. *Journal of Endocrinology*, 186, 411–427. https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.1. 06233.

Bancroft, J., Janssen, E., Strong, D., Carnes, L., Vukadinovic, Z., & Long, J. S. (2003). The relation between mood and sexuality in heterosexual men. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, *32*(3), 217–230. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1023409516739.

Billieux, J., Flayelle, M., Rumpf, H.-J., & Stein, D. J. (2019). High involvement versus pathological involvement in video games: A crucial distinction for ensuring the validity and utility of gaming disorder. *Current Addiction Reports*, 6(3), 323–330. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-019-00259-x.

Billieux, J., Philippot, P., Schmid, C., Maurage, P., De Mol, J., & Van der Linden, M. (2015). Is dysfunctional use of the mobile phone a behavioural addiction? Confronting symptom-based versus process-based approaches. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 22(5), 460–468. https://doi.org/10.1002/CPP.1910.

Billieux, J., Potenza, M. N., Maurage, P., Brevers, D., Brand, M., & King, D. L. (2020). Cognitive factors associated with gaming disorder. In A. Verdejo-Garcia (Ed.), Cognition and addiction (pp. 221–230). Academic Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815298-0.00016-2.

Billieux, J., Schimmenti, A., Khazaal, Y., Maurage, P., & Heeren, A. (2015). Are we overpathologizing everyday life? A tenable blueprint for behavioral addiction research. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 4(3), 119–123. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.4. 2015.009.

Birnbaum, G. E. (2018). The fragile spell of desire: A functional perspective on changes in sexual desire across relationship development. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 22(2), 101–127. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868317715350.

Bőthe, B., Lonza, A., Štulhofer, A., & Demetrovics, Z. (2020). Symptoms of problematic pornography use in a sample of treatment considering and treatment non-considering men: A network approach. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 17(10), 2016–2028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.05.030.



- Bőthe, B., Tóth-Király, I., Potenza, M. N., Orosz, G., & Demetrovics, Z. (2020). High-frequency pornography use may not always be problematic. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 17(4), 793–811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.01.007.
- Brand, M., Rumpf, H., Demetrovics, Z., Müller, A., Stark, R., King, D. L., ... Potenza, M. N. (2022). Which conditions should be considered as disorders in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) designation of "other specified disorders due to addictive behaviors"? *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 11(2), 150–159. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00035.
- Brand, M., Rumpf, H. J., King, D. L., Potenza, M. N., & Wegmann, E. (2020). Clarifying terminologies in research on gaming disorder and other addictive behaviors: Distinctions between core symptoms and underlying psychological processes. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 36, 49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.04.006.
- Brand, M., Wegmann, E., Stark, R., Müller, A., Wölfling, K., Robbins, T. W., & Potenza, M. N. (2019). The Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model for addictive behaviors: Update, generalization to addictive behaviors beyond internet-use disorders, and specification of the process character of addictive behaviors. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 104, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.06. 032.
- Castro-Calvo, J., Ballester-Arnal, R., Gil-Llario, M. D., & Giménez-García, C. (2016). Common etiological pathways between toxic substance use, Internet and cybersex addiction: The role of expectancies and antisocial deviance proneness. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 383–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb. 2016.05.066.
- Castro-Calvo, J., Cervigón-Carrasco, V., Ballester-Arnal, R., & Giménez-García, C. (2021). Cognitive processes related to problematic pornography use (PPU): A systematic review of experimental studies. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2021.100345.
- Castro-Calvo, J., Gil-Llario, M. D., Giménez-García, C., Gil-Juliá, B., & Ballester-Arnal, R. (2020). Ocurrence and clinical characteristics of compulsive sexual behavior disorder (CSBD): A cluster analysis in two independent community samples. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 9(12), 446–468. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00025.
- Castro-Calvo, J., Giménez-García, C., Gil-Llario, M. D., & Ballester-Arnal, R. (2018). Motives to engage in online sexual activities and their links to an excessive and problematic use: A systematic review. *Current Addiction Reports*, *5*(4), 491–510. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-018-0230-y.
- Castro-Calvo, J., King, D. L., Stein, D. J., Brand, M., Carmi, L., Chamberlain, S. R., ... Billieux, J. (2021). Expert appraisal of criteria for assessing gaming disorder: An international Delphi study. *Addiction*, *116*(9), 2463–2475. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15411.
- Charlton, J. P., & Danforth, I. D. W. (2007). Distinguishing addiction and high engagement in the context of online game playing. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(3), 1531–1548. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHB.2005.07.002.
- Charlton, J. P., & Danforth, I. D. W. (2009). Validating the distinction between computer addiction and engagement: Online game playing and personality. Behaviour & Information

- Technology, 29(6), 601-613. https://doi.org/10.1080/01449290903401978.
- Coleman-Kennedy, C., & Pendley, A. (2002). Assessment and diagnosis of sexual addiction. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 8(5), 143–151. https://doi.org/10.1067/mpn.2002.128827.
- Fernandez, D. P., Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2021). The pornography "rebooting" experience: A qualitative analysis of abstinence journals on an online pornography abstinence Forum. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, *50*(2), 711–728. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-020-01858-w.
- Flayelle, M., Schimmenti, A., Starcevic, V., & Billieux, J. (2022). The pitfalls of recycling substance-use disorder criteria to diagnose behavioral addictions. In Heather, N., Field, M., Moss, A. C., & Satel, S. (Eds.), Evaluating the brain disease model of addiction (pp. 339–349). London, UK: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003032762-34.
- Gola, M., Lewczuk, K., & Skorko, M. (2016). What matters: Quantity or quality of pornography use? Psychological and behavioral factors of seeking treatment for problematic pornography use. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 13(5), 815–824. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jsxm.2016.02.169.
- Gola, M., & Potenza, M. N. (2018a). Promoting educational, classification, treatment, and policy initiatives. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 7(2), 208–210. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.7.2018.51.
- Gola, M., & Potenza, M. N. (2018b). The proof of the pudding is in the tasting: Data are needed to test models and hypotheses related to compulsive sexual behaviors. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 47, 1323–1325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-018-1167-x.
- Griffiths, M. D. (2005). A "components" model of addiction within a biopsychosocial framework. *Journal of Substance Use*, 10(4), 191–197. https://doi.org/10.1080/14659890500114359.
- Hällström, T., & Samuelsson, S. (1990). Changes in women's sexual desire in middle life: The longitudinal study of women in Gothenburg. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, *19*(3), 259–268. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01541551.
- Jablensky, A. (2016). Psychiatric classifications: Validity and utility. World Psychiatry, 15(1), 26–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps. 20284.
- Kaptsis, D., King, D. L., Delfabbro, P., & Gradisar, M. (2016).
  Withdrawal symptoms in internet gaming disorder: A systematic review. Clinical Psychology Review, 43, 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.11.006.
- Kardefelt-Winther, D. (2014). A conceptual and methodological critique of internet addiction research: Towards a model of compensatory internet use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31(1), 351–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.10.059.
- King, D. L., Herd, M. C. E., & Delfabbro, P. (2017). Tolerance in internet gaming disorder: A need for increasing gaming time or something else? *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 6(4), 525–533. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.6.2017.072.
- Kluwe-Schiavon, B., Viola, T. W., Sanvicente-Vieira, B., Lumertz, F. S., Salum, G. A., Grassi-Oliveira, R., & Quednow, B. B. (2020). Substance related disorders are associated with impaired valuation of delayed gratification and feedback processing: A multilevel meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 108, 295–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.11.016.



- Knight, R. A., & Du, R. (2021). The structure, covariates, and etiology of hypersexuality: Implications for sexual offending. Current Psychiatry Reports, 23(50), 1–11. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-021-01260-w.
- Koós, M., Fuss, J., Klein, V., Demetrovics, Z., & Bőthe, B. (2021). Sexual motivations underlying compulsive sexual behavior in women and men from Germany and Hungary. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2021.11. 005.
- Kor, A., Fogel, Y., Reid, R. C., & Potenza, M. N. (2013). Should hypersexual disorder be classified as an addiction? *Sexual Addiction & Compulsivity*, 20(1–2). https://doi.org/10.1080/10720162.2013.768132.
- Kowalewska, E., Grubbs, J. B., Potenza, M. N., Gola, M., Draps, M., & Kraus, S. W. (2018). Neurocognitive mechanisms in compulsive sexual behavior disorder. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 10, 255–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11930-018-0176-z.
- Kraus, S. W., Krueger, R. B., Briken, P., First, M. B., Stein, D. J., Kaplan, M. S., ... Reed, G. M. (2018). Compulsive sexual behaviour disorder in the ICD-11. World Psychiatry, 17(1), 109–110. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20499.
- Kraus, S. W., Sturgeon, J. A., & Potenza, M. N. (2018). Specific forms of passionate attachment differentially mediate relationships between pornography use and sexual compulsivity in young adult men. Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity, 25(4), 380–395. https://doi.org/10.1080/10720162.2018.1532362.
- Kraus, S. W., Voon, V., & Potenza, M. N. (2016). Should compulsive sexual behavior be considered an addiction? *Addiction*, 111(12), 2097–2106. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13297.
- O'Doherty, J. P., Cockburn, J., & Pauli, W. M. (2017). Learning, reward, and decision making. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 68, 73. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-PSYCH-010416-044216.
- Orford, J. (1978). Hypersexuality: Implications for a theory of dependence. *British Journal of Addiction*, 73(3), 299–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1978.tb00157.x.
- Over, R., & Koukounas, E. (1995). Habituation of sexual arousal: Product and process. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 6(1), 187–223. https://doi.org/10.1080/10532528.1995.10559905.
- Perales, J. C., King, D. L., Navas, J. F., Schimmenti, A., Sescousse, G., Starcevic, V., ... Billieux, J. (2020). Learning to lose control: A process-based account of behavioral addiction. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 108, 771–780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.12.025.
- Potenza, M. N., Gola, M., Voon, V., Kor, A., & Kraus, S. W. (2017). Is excessive sexual behaviour an addictive disorder? *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 4(9), 663–664. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30316-4.

- Reid, R. C., & Kafka, M. P. (2014). Controversies about hypersexual disorder and the DSM-5. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(4), 259–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11930-014-0031-9.
- Robinson, T. E., & Berridge, K. C. (2001). Incentive-sensitization and addiction. *Addiction*, *96*(1), 103–114. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j.1360-0443.2001.9611038.x.
- Sassover, E., & Weinstein, A. (2022). Should compulsive sexual behavior (CSB) be considered as a behavioral addiction? A debate paper presenting the opposing view. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 11(2), 166–179. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006. 2020.00055.
- Starcevic, V. (2016). Tolerance and withdrawal symptoms may not be helpful to enhance understanding of behavioural addictions. Addiction, 111(7), 1307–1308. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13381.
- Starcevic, V., Billieux, J., & Schimmenti, A. (2018). Selfitis, selfie addiction, Twitteritis: Irresistible appeal of medical terminology for problematic behaviours in the digital age. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 52(5), 408–409. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867418763532.
- Stark, R., Klucken, T., Potenza, M. N., Brand, M., & Strahler, J. (2018). A current understanding of the behavioral neuroscience of compulsive sexual behavior disorder and problematic pornography use. *Current Behavioral Neuroscience Reports*, 5(4), 218–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40473-018-0162-9.
- Walton, M. T., Cantor, J. M., Bhullar, N., & Lykins, A. D. (2017). Hypersexuality: A critical review and introduction to the "Sexhavior cycle". *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 46, 2231–2251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-017-0991-8.
- Wegmann, E., & Brand, M. (2020). Cognitive correlates in gaming disorder and social networks use disorder: A comparison. Current Addiction Reports, 7(3), 356–364. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40429-020-00314-y.
- Werner, M., Štulhofer, A., Waldorp, L., & Jurin, T. (2018). A network approach to hypersexuality: Insights and clinical implications. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 15(3), 410–415. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2018.01.009.
- Yip, S. W., Worhunsky, P. D., Xu, J., Morie, K. P., Constable, R. T., Malison, R. T., ... Potenza, M. N. (2018). Gray-matter relationships to diagnostic and transdiagnostic features of drug and behavioral addictions. *Addiction Biology*, 23(1), 394–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/ADB.12492.
- Yücel, M., Oldenhof, E., Ahmed, S. H., Belin, D., Billieux, J., Bowden-Jones, H., ... Verdejo-Garcia, A. (2019). A transdiagnostic dimensional approach towards a neuropsychological assessment for addiction: An international Delphi consensus study. *Addiction*, 114(6), 1095–1109. https://doi.org/10.1111/ add.14424.



