

 $(\mathbf{\hat{I}})$

©Drosos AA, Pelechas E, Georgiadis AN, Voulgari PVV

(cc)

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

CASE-BASED REVIEW

A not-to-miss Cause of Severe Cervical Spine Pain in a Patient with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Case-Based Review

Alexandros A. Drosos 回, Eleftherios Pelechas 回, Athanasios N. Georgiadis, Paraskevi V. Voulgari 回

Rheumatology Clinic, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece

ABSTRACT

Background: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) may affect any diarthrodial joint with a predilection on the peripheral skeleton in a symmetrical manner. When the axial skeleton is affected, it is the cervical spine (CS) that gets involved with potentially detrimental effects, if not treated promptly. Case: A 60-year-old female suffering from RA presented with severe neck pain and stiffness, difficulty of standing and walking with brisk tendon reflexes, Babinski sign positive, and clonus. Despite the high inflammatory markers and high titres of autoantibodies (rheumatoid factor and anticitrullinated protein antibodies), she never received proper treatment. She was using only paracetamol and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Conventional radiography (CR) of CS showed extensive degenerative changes affecting the C3-C5 vertebral level. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the neck showed sub-axial subluxation (SAS) and spinal cord compression at C3 level, and to a lesser extent, in other levels. A multi-level cervical laminectomy and spinal cord decompression were deployed with good results. To this end, literature review was performed until September 2020 and showed that the frequency of radiological findings varies substantially, ranging between 0,7-95% in different studies. The most common radiological feature is the atlanto-axial subluxation (AAS) followed by SAS. Because CS involvement can often be clinically asymptomatic, its assessment should not be forgotten by physicians and should be assessed using CR, which is an easy-to-perform technique and gives important information as a screening tool. On the other hand, RA patients need to be treated in a prompt and efficient manner in order to avoid any potentially fatal complications.

```
Mediterr J Rheumatol 2021;32(3):256-63
https://doi.org/10.31138/mjr.32.3.256
```

Article Submitted: 28 Dec 2020; Article Accepted: 10 Feb 2021; Available Online: 25 Aug 2021

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis, cervical spine, conventional radiography, neck pain, joint instability, atlanto-axial subluxation, subaxial subluxation, imaging

Corresponding Author:

Alexandros A. Drosos Rheumatology Clinic, Department of Internal Medicine Medical School, University of Ioannina Ioannina 45110, Greece Tel.: +30 26510 07503 Fax: +30 26510 07054 E-mail: adrosos@cc.uoi.gr Web: www.rheumatology.gr

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory disease affecting mainly the synovial membrane of the peripheral joints, as well as the cervical spine (CS) of the axial skeleton. It affects females more frequently than males in a ratio of 3:1 at all ages.^{1,2} The most common site of inflammation of CS is the atlanto-ax-

ial region, the articulation between C₁ and C₂ vertebrae. The most common radiological manifestations of CS in RA are the atlanto-axial subluxation (AAS), followed by the sub-axial subluxation (SAS), the articulations below the C₂ vertebrae.^{3,4} Although CS involvement is a common radiological finding in RA patients, the clinical manifestations are

256 Cite this article as: Drosos AA, Pelechas E, Georgiadis AN, Voulgari PVV. A not-to-miss Cause of Severe Cervical Spine Pain in a Patient with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Case-Based Review. Mediterr J Rheumatol 2021;32(3):256-63.



Figure 1. Longstanding RA findings in a 60-year-old patient. The findings are more pronounced on the right hand (dominant).

scarce, but sometimes potentially severe and life-threatening with serious neurological complications,⁵⁻⁷ as in the case we present below.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 60-year-old female presented to us with severe neck pain and stiffness, as well as standing and walking difficulty that had been persisting the last four days. Ten years earlier, she had been diagnosed with RA, on the basis of symmetrical polyarthritis, affecting the small joints of the hands and wrists bilaterally, high erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) 68 mm/h, C-reactive protein (CRP) 49 mg/dl, positive rheumatoid factor (RF) 680 U, and positive anticitrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) 410 U.⁸

synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (csD-MARDs), nor biological (b) DMARDs except for paracetamol, and, occasionally, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Past medical and family history were unremarkable. Clinical examination showed swelling



Figure 2. Conventional radiography of the neck - Lateral view (and after the interpretation of the image - Extensive degenerative changes involving the C3, C4 and C5 vertebral bodies, as well as fusion of the apophyseal joints C2-C3 and C4-C5.

and tenderness of the metacarpophalangeal (MCP) and proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints bilaterally, as well as muscle atrophy (**Figure 1**). Clinical evaluation of the CS showed severe neck pain and stiffness at any head movement. Neurological examination revealed brisk tendon reflexes, symmetrical on the upper and lower extremities, and Babinski sign along with clones on the right foot. Laboratory tests revealed anaemia of chronic disease (ACD, Hb 9 g/dl, serum ferritin 158 mg/dl and serum ferrum 7 mg/dl), elevated acute phase reactants and high titres of RF and ACPA antibodies.

Radiological evaluation of the CS disclosed extensive degenerative changes involving the C_3 , C_4 and C_5 vertebral bodies, as well as fusion of the apophyseal joints C_2, C_3 and C_4, C_5 (**Figure 2**). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed SAS and spinal cord compression at C_3 level and to a less extent in other levels (**Figure 3A,B**). Hand x-rays showed severe erosive changes and sublux-



Figure 3. MRI of the neck. Subaxial subluxation (A - sagittal plane) and spinal compression at the C3 level (B - axial plane).

MEDITERRANEAN JOURNAL | 32 OF RHEUMATOLOGY | 2021



Figure 4. Hand x-rays. Note the severe erosive changes and subluxations of the MCP joints bilaterally, fusion of the carpal bones, and severe osteopenia.





ations of the MCP joints bilaterally, fusion of the carpal bones and severe osteopenia (**Figure 4**). The patient was admitted and directed to the neurosurgery department and underwent multi-level cervical laminectomy and spinal cord decompression with excellent results. Before discussing our case, we review the CS anatomy, its imaging evaluation, the role of conventional radiography (CR), the radiographic changes of CS, and the studies investigating the CS involvement in the setting of RA patients.

ANATOMY AND RADIOGRAPHIC FINDINGS OF THE CS IN RA PATIENTS

For a better understanding of the findings in the CS of a patient with RA, the basic anatomic features are presented. Furthermore, for the evaluation of the CS in RA the classical diagnostic technique used mostly is conventional radiography (CR).^{3,4} On the other hand, CR does not provide good information regarding synovial inflammation or other soft-tissue structural changes. Thus, other imaging modalities are used, such as MRI and computed tomography (CT). MRI demonstrates active synovitis of the odontoid process, or pannus formation and erosions. Finally, a CT scan may visualize better the erosive changes of the disease.^{3,4} However, CR is the most valuable tool for screening the CS in RA patients. It is an easy-to-perform technique and gives important information about CS involvement.⁵ We reviewed the literature until December 2019 for studies regarding CS radiological manifestations in RA patients. In this review, we will discuss the value of CR as a screening tool for the evaluation of the CS and the radiological findings occurring in this setting.

Cervical spine anatomy

The spinal (or vertebral) column is part of the axial skeleton and is divided in five anatomic regions: cervical spine, thoracic spine, lumbar spine, sacrum, and coccyx (Figure 5). CS is composed by seven cervical vertebrae from C1 to C7 (cranial to caudal), from the base of the skull (C1) down to the top of the shoulders (C7). Vertebrae present anatomic variations among them. The topmost vertebrae, and especially the C1 (atlas) and the C2 (axis), are more mobile than the lower. Atlas and axis are smaller and have a unique role allowing movements such as flexion, extension, lateral flexion, and rotation. The lower part of the cervical spine is thicker in order to handle greater loads from the neck and head (Figure 6). Atlas is the only vertebra without a vertebral body. It is an atypical, ring-shaped vertebra articulating to the occipital bone in order to support the base of the skull forming the atlanto-occipital joint. The second cervical vertebra (C2) has a large bony protrusion, the odontoid process or dens, that extends upward from its vertebral body and fits into the atlas forming the atlanto-axial joint.



Figure 6. Anatomy of the cervical spine (lateral view).

Unlike other vertebral joints, this joint does not have an intervertebral disc. The dens is held in place by a thick strong ligament, the transverse ligament, and by the alar and apical ligaments. The rest of the CS vertebrae bellow C2 are known as typical vertebrae because they share the same basic characteristics with the other vertebrae of the spine. They separate between them by an intervertebral disc.^{9,10}

Radiological evaluation of CS in RA patients

CR, iis a useful screening tool in RA patients giving important information for any cervical instability. Anteroposterior (AP), upright, lateral, flexion, and extension views can be used in the radiological evaluation of the CS. In addition, an open-mouth x-ray can be used for the evaluation of the odontoid process (**Figure 7**). When abnormalities are suspected or confirmed with CR, then other imaging modalities such as MRI or CT scan must be performed. MRI is the most sensitive imaging modality for the detection of active synovitis of the odontoid process, pannus formation, ligament laxity, and erosions. On the other hand, CT scan with multiple projection reconstruction (MPR) is superior in demonstrating any erosive changes.^{3,4}

The atlanto-axial region is the most common site of inflammation of the CS, and more specifically, between the articulation of the C1 and C2 vertebrae. Weakening of the structures or rupture of ligaments as well as subchondral bone erosions may lead to AAS instability which is the mostly observed radiological finding in the CS region of the spine.¹¹⁻¹³ SAS of the CS is defined as the segment bellow the C2 vertebra, that is from C3 to C7. Other CS abnormalities comprise: upper disc space narrowing, vertebral plate erosions and sclerosis as well as apophyseal joints erosions and sclerosis. CS abnormalities as described above are frequent radiographic findings in RA patients, but the clinical features are scarce and minimal but potentially life-threatening. One of the most common and with underlying risk radiographic finding in CS is AAS.^{5,6,14}

Radiological findings of AAS

The atlanto-axial joint can be subluxed in multiple di-



Figure 7. Open-mouth x-ray. Schematic representation.

rections, leading to cervical cord compression and cause myelopathy.^{4,11,14} The atlas can move anteriorly, posteriorly, laterally, vertically, or rotationally related to the odontoid process of the axis. More specifically, there is an articulation between the transverse ligament of the atlas and the posterior aspect of the odontoid process. This thick and strong articulation acts as a sling in maintaining the odontoid process against the posterior surface of the atlas constant, and preventing forward movement of C1 on C2 vertebrae. Persistent inflammation of this articulation may produce dens erosions, damage of the transverse, alar and apical ligaments, and laxity leading to joint instability.^{3,4,7} The distance between the anterior aspect of the odontoid process and the posterior surface of the anterior arch of the atlas usually measures ≤3mm. If this distance increases and exceeds more than 8mm the chance of CS cord compression is high. However, the posterior atlanto-dental distance has been found to be a better predictor for cord compression. Indeed, the distance from the posterior border of the dens to the anterior aspect of the posterior arch of the C1 vertebra, represents the maximum amount of space for the CS cord. In detail: in CS the cord occupies 10mm of the canal diameter, requires 1mm for the dura and 1mm for the CS fluid anterior to the cord, and 1mm posteriorly. Thus, the total space is 14mm. If the available space becomes <14mm, then CS cord becomes compressed. Thus, in AAS if the anterior atlanto-dental distance increases more than 3mm and the posterior atlanto-dental distance decreases less than 14mm, then the CS cord is prone to compression.7,15

Radiological findings of the lateral AAS

Lateral AAS is rare, resulting in a rotational deformity. For a better evaluation, the open-mouth view is preferred. If any asymmetry or lateral displacement of the atlas on the axis by >2mm or an asymmetrical collapse of the lateral mass takes place on an open-mouth radiographic view, then lateral AAS must be suspected.^{3,4}

Radiological findings of the vertical AAS

Vertical AAS, also known as basilar impression on cranial setting, is a superior migration of the odontoid process, resulting in brainstem compression by the dens and/or the pannus itself. It may cause stroke, obstructive hydrocephalus, heart arrest and sudden death.¹⁶ Vertical AAS is present if the tip of the dental peg lays >4.5mm above the McGregor line.¹⁷ This is a hypothetical line drawn between the hard palate and the most caudal point of the occipital curve (**Figure 2**).

SAS in CS in RA patients

SAS is the second most common form of CS instability in RA patients affecting the C3 to C7 vertebrae. In this type of instability, inflammation of the apophyseal joints, the intervertebral disc and the interspinous ligaments, ensues. SAS may be an isolated finding but it can involve multiple levels leading to the characteristic "staircase" deformity. SAS and AAS may appear with late neurological complications. It may also occur simultaneously. In this case severe neurological consequences may prove fatal.^{13,18} It is of interest that of a significant number of RA patients with radiographically detectable CS abnormalities, only a small number will develop CS myelopathy or other neurological complications.

DISCUSSION

The diagnosis of CS involvement among RA patients is extremely important because it is associated with high morbidity and mortality.^{19,20} Because CS involvement can often be clinically asymptomatic, its assessment should not be forgotten by physicians. However, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations, as to when to evaluate the CS in RA, are missing.21 Most of the studies are describing patients with CS involvement as a late manifestation during the disease course and in some cases as the presenting symptom.^{11,19,22-79} The majority of them are cross-sectional or retrospective and only few in a prospective design. RA disease duration was high ranged between 2.5-30.1 years (approx. 12.3 years on average). The incidence of CS involvement ranged between 0.7 in Turkey⁷⁰ and 95% in China,⁶⁴ and the CS abnormalities were assessed using CR. The most common radiological features were AAS, followed by SAS. Symptoms ranged from asymptomatic to localised head and neck pain with stiffness, and a few presented neurological manifestations. The majority of RA patients were seropositive, while a few were seronegative. The diagnosis of CS involvement in RA requires a detailed questionnaire for symptoms, minute musculoskeletal and neurological examination, and radiological assessment with CR as a screening test. Usually, there is a discrepancy between the clinical symptoms of CS involvement and the radiological abnormalities occurring in this setting. Only one study of RA patients with CS disease showed correlation between clinical symptoms, neurological manifestations and radiological damage.80 In the absence of clinical symptoms, if AAS or SAS or atlanto-axial impaction are present in the radiological assessment, then attention is required for surgical consultation.15,81

The present case describes an RA patient with a long-standing, seropositive untreated disease who later developed SAS and spinal cord compression. SAS may develop as the first radiological manifestation of CS in RA or consequently, from prior fusion of AAS at C_1 - C_2 levels. Our patient underwent multi-level cervical laminectomy and spinal cord decompression with excellent results.^{81,82} Two weeks after her surgical operation, she was treated

with methotrexate (15 mg/week) and prednisone (10 mg/day). Two months later, she presented substantial clinical and laboratory improvement, without any signs of neurological manifestation, and prednisone was tapered. This case teaches us that RA is a chronic inflammatory disease and, if left untreated, may lead to a catastrophic course, especially to patients with unfavourable prognostic factors. Indeed, our patient suffered from a long-standing, seropositive disease, with ACD and elevated acute phase reactance, without receiving any treatment. All the above are considered poor prognostic factors and are associated with radiological damage progression and disease complications.83-86 Thus, early and intensive intervention⁸⁷ with close follow-up and monitoring are imperative to control the disease's activity and avoid RA complications.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have participated equally in the production and approval of the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Ms Areti Fili for the excellent secretarial assistance.

FUNDING

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Pelechas E, Kaltsonoudis E, Voulgari PV, Drosos AA. (2019) Rheumatoid arthritis. In: Pelechas E (ed) Illustrated handbook of rheumatic and musculo-skeletal diseases. Springer, Cham, pp 45-76.
- 2. Drosos AA, Pelechas E, Voulgari PV. Conventional radiography of the hands and wrists in rheumatoid arthritis. What a rheumatologist should know and how to interpret the radiological findings. Rheumatol Int 2019;39(8):1331-41.
- Joaquim AF, Appenzeller S. Cervical spine involvement in rheumatoid arthritis - a systematic review. Autoimmun Rev 2014;13(12):1195-202.
- Joaquim AF, Ghizoni E, Tedeschi H, Appenzeller S, Riew KD. Radiological evaluation of cervical spine involvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Neurosurg Focus 2015;38(4):E4.
- Matteson EL. Cervical spine disease in rheumatoid arthritis: how common a finding? How uncommon a problem? Arthritis Rheum 2003;48(7):1775-8.
- Neva MH, Hakkinen A, Makinen H, Hannonen P, Kauppi M, Sokka T. High prevalence of asymptomatic cervical spine subluxation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis waiting for orthopaedic surgery. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65(7):884-8.
- Drosos AA, Pelechas E, Voulgari PV. Radiological findings of the cervical spine in rheumatoid arthritis: What a rheumatologist should know. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2020;22(6):19.
- 8. Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, O Bingham C,

et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62(9):2569-81.

- Yoganandan NJ, Dickman CA, Benzel EC (2012). Practical anatomy and fundamental biomechanics. In Spine Surgery III: Techniques, Complication Avoidance, and Management. Benzel EC (ed). Elsevier – Saunders, Philadelphia, PA. pp 267-290.
- Yoganandan N, Bass CR, Voo L, Pintar FA. Male and Female Cervical Spine Biomechanics and Anatomy: Implication for Scaling Injury Criteria. J Biomech Eng 2017;139(5).
- Blom M, Creemers MCW, Kievit W, Lemmens JAM, van Riel PLCM. Long-term follow-up of the cervical spine with conventional radiographs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 2013;42:281-8.
- Younes M, Belghali S, Kriaa S, Zrour S, Bejia I, Touzi M, et al. Compared imaging of the rheumatoid cervical spine: prevalence study and associated factors. Joint Bone Spine 2009;76(4):361-8.
- 13. Yurube T, Sumi M, Nishida K, Miyamoto H Kohyama K, Matsubara T, et al. Kobe Spine Conference. Incidence and aggravation of cervical spine instabilities in rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective minimum 5-year follow-up study of patients initially without cervical involvement. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2012;37(26):2136-44.
- Krauss WE, Bledsoe JM, Clarke MJ, Nottmeier EW, Pichelmann MA. Rheumatoid arthritis of the craniovertebral junction. Neurosurgery 2010;66(3 Suppl):83-95.
- Boden SD, Dodge LD, Bohlman HH, Rechtine GR. Rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine. A long-term analysis with predictors of paralysis and recovery. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1993;75(9):1282-97.
- Riew KD, Hilibrand AS, Palumbo MA, Sethi N, Bohlman HH. Diagnosing basilar invagination in the rheumatoid patient. The reliability of radiographic criteria. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2001;83:2:194-200.
- McGreger M. The significance of certain measurements of the skull in the diagnosis of basilar impression. Br J Radiol 1948;21(244):171-81.
- Wasserman BR, Moskovich R, Razi AE. Rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine – clinical considerations. Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis 2011;69(2):136-48.
- 19. Riise T, Jacobsen BK, Gran JT. High mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and atlantoaxial subluxation. J Rheumatol 2001;28(11):2425-2429.
- Manczak M, Gasik R. Cervical spine instability in the course of rheumatoid arthritis – imaging methods. Reumatologia 2017;55(4):201-7.
- Colebatch AN, Edwards CJ, Ostergaard M, van der Heijde D, Balint PV, D'Agostino MA, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72(6):804-14.
- 22. Sharp J, Purser DW, Lawrence JS. Rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine in the adult. Ann Rheum Dis 1958;17:303-13.
- Bland JH, Davis PH, London MG, Van Buskirk FW, Duarte CG. Rheumatoid arthritis of cervical spine. Arch Intern Med 1963;112:892-8.
- Serre H, Betoulieres P, Simon L, Janicot JY, Levy F. The cervico-occipital joint during rheumatic chronic polyarthritis. Apropos of 60 examined cases. J Radiol Eletrol Med Nucl 1964;54:361-3.
- 25. Conlon PW, Isdale IC, Rose BS. Rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine: an analysis of 333 cases. Ann Rheum Dis 1966;25:120-6.
- Park WM, O'Brien W. Computer-assisted analysis of radiographic neck lesions in chronic rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh) 1969;8:529-34.
- 27. Meikle JA, Wilkinson M. Rheumatoid ainvolvement of the cervical spine. Ann Rheum Dis 1971;30:154-61.
- 28. Isdale IC, Conlon PW. Atlanto-axial subluxation. Ann Rheum Dis 1971;30:387-9.
- Stevens JC, Cartlidge NE, Saunders M, Appleby A, Hall M, Shaw DA. Atlanto-axial subluxations and cervical myelopathy in rheumatoid arthritis. Q J Med 1971;159:391-408.
- 30. Smith PH, Benn RT, Sharp J. Natural history of rheumatoid cervical

luxations. Ann Rheum Dis 1972;31:431-9.

- 31. Ornilla E, Ansell BM, Swannell AJ. Cervical spine involvement in patients with chronic arthritis undergoing orthopaedic surgery. Ann Rheum Dis 1972;31:364-8.
- 32. Henderson DR. Vertical atlanto-axial subluxation in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Rehabil 1975;14:31-8.
- 33. Shaw DA, Cartlidge NE. Cervical myelopathy in rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Neurol Belg 1976;76:279-82.
- Chevrot A, Correas G, Pallardy G. Cervical involvement in rheumatoid arthritis. J Radio Electrol 1978;59:545-50.
- Cabot A, Becker A. The cervical spine in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1978;131:130-40.
- Rasker JJ, Cosh JA. Radiological study of cervical spine and hand in patients with rheumatoid arthritis of 15 years' duration: an assessment of the effects of corticosteroid treatment. Ann Rheum Dis 1978;37:529-35.
- Winfield J, Cooke D, Brook AS, Corbett M. A prospective study of the radiological changes in the cervical spine in early rheumatoid disease. Ann Rheum Dis 1981;40:109-14.
- Pellici PM, Ranawat CS, Tsairis P, Bryan WJ. A prospective study of the progression of rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981;63:342-50.
- 39. Halla JT, Fallahi S, Hardin JG. Nonreducible rotational head tilt and lateral mass collapse. Arthritis Rheum 1982;25:1316-24.
- Winfield J, Young A, Williams P, Corbett M. Prospective study of the radiological changes in hands, feet, and cervical spine in adult rheumatoid disease. Ann Rheum Dis 1983;42:613-18.
- Haaland K, Aadland HA, Haavik TK, Vallersnes FM, Atlantoaxial subluxation in rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 1984;13:319-23.
- Redlund-Hohnell I, Pettersson H. Subaxial antero-posterior dislocation of the cervical spinein rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 1985;14:355-63.
- 43. Morizono Y, Sakou T, Kawaida H. Upper cervical involvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Spine 1987;12:721-5.
- 44. Halla JT, Hardin JG. The spectrum of atlantoaxial facet joint involvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1990;33:325-9.
- Collins DN, Barnes CL, FitzRandolph RL. Cervical spine instability in rheumatoid patients having total hip or knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1991;272:127-35.
- Veerapen K, Mangat G, Watt I, Dieppe P. The expression of rheumatoid arthritis in Malaysian and British patients: a comparative study. Br J Rheumatol 1993;32:541-5.
- Kauppi M, Hakala M, Prevalence of cervical spine subluxations and dislocations in a community-based rheumatoid arthritis population. Scand J Rheumatol 1994;23:133-6.
- Montemerani M, Venturi C, Bracco S, Coviello G, Minari C, et al. Involvement of atlanto-axial joint in rheumatoid arthritis: rare or frequent? Clin Rheumatol 1994;13:459-64.
- Stiskal MA, Neuhold A, Szolar DH, Saeed M, Czerny C, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis of the craniocervical region by MR imaging: detection and characterization. AJR AM J Roentgenol 1995;165:585-92.
- Aggarwal A, Kulshreshtha A, Chaturvedi V, Misra R. Cervical spine involvement in rheumatoid arthritis: prevalence and relationship with overall disease severity. J Assoc Physicians India 1996;44:468-71.
- Paimela L, Laasonen L, Kankaanpaa E, Leirisalo-Repo M. Progression of cervical spine changes in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1997;24:1280-4.
- Fujiwara K, Fujimoto M, Owaki H, Kono J, Nakase T, et al. Cervical lesions related to systemic progression in rheumatoid arthritis. Spine 1998;23:2052-6.
- Yoshida K, Hanyu T, Takahashi HE. Progression of rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine: radiographic and clinical evaluation. J Orthop Sci 1999;4:399-406.
- Neva MH, Kaarela K, Kauppi M. Prevalence of radiological changes in the cervical spine – a cross sectional study after 20 years from presentation of rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2000;27:90-3.
- 55. Laiho K, Belt E, Kauppi M. The cervical spine in mutilant rheuma-

toid arthritis. Rheumatol Int 2001;20:225-8.

- Carmona L, Gonzalez-Alvaro I, Balsa A, Belmonte MA, Tena X, Sanmarti R. Rheumatoid arthritis in Spain: occurrence of extra-articular manifestations and estimates of disease severity. Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:897-900.
- 57. Mitsuka T, Miura T, Ueyama K, Sannohe A, Katano H, et al. Correlation between severity of rheumatoid arthritis and manner and extent of cervical lesion. Mod Rheumatol 2004;14:301-5.
- Pisitkun P, Pattarowas C, Siriwongpairat P, Totemchokchyakaran K, Nantiruj K, et al. Reappraisal of cervical spine subluxation in Thai patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2004;23:14-8.
- 59. Naranjo A, Carmona L, Gavrila D, Balsa A, Belmonte MA, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of anterior atlantoaxial luxation in a nation-wide sample of rheumatoid arthritis patients. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2004;22:427-32.
- Schwarz-Eywill M, Friedberg R, Stosslein F, Unger L, Nusslein H. Rheumatoid arthritis at the cervical spine – an underestimated problem. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2005;130:1866-70.
- Zikou AK, Alamanos Y, Argyropoulou MI, Tsifetaki N, Tsampoulas C, et al. Radiological cervical spine involvement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional study. J Rheumatol 2005;32:801-6.
- Vesela M, Stetkarova I, Lisy J. Prevalence of C1/C2 invelvement in Czech rheumatoid arthritis patients, correlation of pain intensity, and distance of ventral subluxation. Rheumatol Int 2005;26:12-5.
- 63. Raczkiewicz-Papierska A, Bachta A, Naganska E, Zagrodzka M, Skrobowska E, Tlustochowicz M, et al. Prevalence of cervical spine inflammatory changes in rheumatoid arthritis patients and the value of neurological examination in their diagnosis. Pol Arch Med Wewn 2006;116:938-46.
- 64. Yan WJ, Liu TL, Zhou XH, Chen XS, Yuan W, Jia LS. Clinical characteristics and diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis of upper cervical spine: analysis of 71 cases. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2008;88:901-4.
- 65. Younes M, Belghali S, Kriaa S, Zrour S, Bejia I, Touzi M, et al. Compared imaging of the rheumatoid cervical spine: prevalence study and associated factors. Joint Bone Spine 2009;76:361-8.
- Imagama S, Oishi Y, Miura Y, Kanayam Y, Ito Z, et al. Predictors of aggravation of cervical spine instability in rheumatoid arthritis patients: the large joint index. J Orthop Sci 2010;15:540-6.
- 67. Ahn JK, Hwang JW, Oh JM, Lee J, Lee YS, Jeon CH, et al. Risk factors for development and progression of atlantoaxial subluxation in Korean patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Int 2011;31(10):1363-8.
- Yurube T, Sumi M, Nishida K, Takabatake M, Kohyama K, Matsubara T, et al. Progression of cervical spine instabilities in rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective cohort study of outpatients over 5 years. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2011;36(8):647-53.
- Yurube T, Sumi M, Nishida K, Miyamoto H, Kohyama K, et al. Incidence and aggravation of cervical spine instabilities in rheumatoid arthritis. Spine 2012;37:2136-44.
- Eser F, Garip Y, Bodur H. Extraarticular manifestations in Turkish patients with rheumatoid arthritis: impact of EAMs on the health-related quality of life in terms of disease activity, functional status, severity of pain, and social and emotional functioning. Rheumatol Int 2012;32:1521-5.
- Kaito T, Ohshima S, Fujiwara H, Makino T, Yonenobu K. Predictors for the progression of cervical lesion in rheumatoid arthritis under the treatment of biological agents. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2013;38(26):2258-63.
- 72. Takahashi S, Suzuki A, Koike T, Yamada K, Yasuda H, Tada M, et al. Curent prevalence and characteristics of cervical spine instability in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in the era of biologics. Mod Rheumatol 2014;24(6):904-9.
- Nazarinia M, Jalli R, Kamali Sarvestani E, Farahangiz S, Ataollahi M. Asymptomatic atlantoaxial subluxation in rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Med Iran 2014;52(6):462-6.
- 74. Ibrahim M, Suzuki A, Yamada K, Takahashi S, Yasuda H, Dohzono S, et al. The relationship between cervical and lumbar spine lesions

in rheumatoid arthritis with a focus on endplate erosion. J Spinal Disord Tech 2015;28(3):E154-60.

- Macovei LA, Rezus E. Cervical spine lesions in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi 2016;120(1):70-6.
- Kaito T, Ohchima S, Fujiwara H, Makino T, Yonenobu K, Yoshikawa H. Incidence and risk factors for cervical lesions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis under the current pharmacologic treatment paradigm. Mod Rheumatol 2017;27(4):593-7.
- 77. Morita O, Miura K, Hirano T, Watanabe K, Hanyu T, Netsu T, et al. Changes in the incidence of cervical lesions owing to the development of rheumatoid arthritis treatement and the impact of cervical lesions on patients' quality of life. Mod Rheumatol 2019;13:1-7.
- Chung J, Bak KJ, Yi HJ, Chun HJ, Ryu JI, Han MH. Upper cervical subluxation and cervicomedullary junction compression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2019;62(6):661-70.
- 79. Sandstrom T, Rantalaiho V, Yli-Kerttula T, Kautiainen H, Malmi T, Karjalainen A, et al. Cervical spine involvement is very rare in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated actively with treat to target strategy. Ten-year results of the NEORACo Study. J Rheumatol 2020 Aug 1;47(8):1160-1164.
- Babic-Naglic D, Potocki K, Curkovic B. Clinical and radiological features of atlantoaxial joints in rheumatoid arthritis. Z Rheumatol 1999;58(4):196-200.
- 81. Grob D. Principles of surgical treatment of the cervical spine in rheumatoid arthritis. Eur Spine J 1993;2:180-90.
- Gillick JL, Wainwright J, Das K. Rheumatoid arthritis and the cervical spine: A review on the role of surgery. Int J Rheumatol 2015;2015:252456. Doi: 10.1155/2015/252456.
- Markatseli TE, Papagoras C, Drosos AA. Prognostic factors for erosive rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2010;28(1):114-23.
- Abu-Shakra M, Toker R, Flusser D, Flusser G, Friger M, Sukenik S, et al. Clinical and radiographic outcomes of rheumatoid arthritis patients not treated with disease-modifying drugs. Arthritis Rheumatol 1998;41(7):1190-5.
- 85. Dixey J, Solymossy C, Young A. Is it possible to predict radiological damage in early rheumatoid arthritis (RA)? A report on the occurrence, progression, and prognostic factors of radiological erosions over the first 3 years in 866 patients from the Early RA Study (ERAS). J Rheumatol Suppl 2004;69:48-54.
- 86. Syversen SW, Gaarder PI, Goll GL, Ødegård S, Haavardsholm EA, Mowinckel P, et al. High anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide levels and an algorithm of four variables predict radiographic progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from a 10-year longitudinal study. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67(2):212-7.
- 87. Smolen JS, Landewe RBM, Bijlsma JWJ, Burmester GR, Dougados M Kerschbaumer A, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2019 update. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79(6):685-99.