

## Commentary

# Sex and Stress Steroid Crosstalk Reviewed: Give Us More

## Jan Kroon,<sup>1,2</sup> and Onno C. Meijer<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA, Leiden, the Netherlands; and <sup>2</sup>Einthoven Laboratory for Experimental Vascular Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA, Leiden, the Netherlands

Received: 3 August 2020; Accepted: 20 August 2020; First Published Online: 7 September 2020; Corrected and Typeset: 11 September 2020.

Key Words: glucocorticoids, nuclear receptor, sexual dimorphism, sex hormones

For many diseases, the incidence, severity, and/or symptoms differ between males and females. This holds true, for example, for autoimmune diseases (more prevalent in females) and cardiometabolic diseases (typically more common in males). In addition to the obvious chromosomal differences, sex hormones, such as the predominantly male testosterone and the predominantly female estradiol, contribute to sex-dependent disease development. However, the paradigm of "male and female" hormones is too simplistic. Intracellular testosterone-to-estradiol conversion (by the enzyme aromatase) plays a key role in male physiology [1], while androgen signaling is prominent in many tissues in females [2]. To add to this complexity, evidence is accumulating that *crosstalk* between sex steroid hormones and glucocorticoid stress hormones substantially contributes to sex-dependent physiology and disease [3].

The importance of this steroid hormone crosstalk was comprehensively described in a recent publication by Daniel Ruiz, Vasantha Padmanabhan, and Robert Sargis [4]. In their review, the authors focus on metabolic (mis)programming during fetal development, and discuss that early overexposure to glucocorticoids (either exogenous, or as a consequence of stress) can disrupt sex steroid action later in life. Also in adult tissues, there is clear evidence for extensive crosstalk at a molecular (e.g., interactions between steroid receptors), enzymatic (e.g., synthesis and breakdown of hormones) and functional level.

Glucocorticoid-sex steroid crosstalk can be—and often is—bidirectional [5]. This is intrinsic to direct receptor interactions, but also may involve hormone metabolism, mutual effects on the brain-pituitary axes that drive steroid secretion, and yet more indirect mechanisms. The sex-dependency in glucocorticoid outcome can be quantitative (a glucocorticoidinduced effect is present in both sexes but is stronger in males or females) or qualitative ("all or nothing," e.g., a robust upregulation of particular glucocorticoid-responsive genes, specifically in males or females, but not in the other sex) [6].

While much research focuses on androgens and estrogens, progesterone receptor signaling is often ignored but may in fact contribute to sex-differences in metabolism as well, as covered by Ruiz et al [4]. In fact, steroid hormone receptors-glucocorticoid, androgen, progesterone, and the mineralocorticoid receptors; and to a lesser extent the estrogen receptor- $\alpha$  and -β-are very similar in molecular structure. While small differences between the steroid hormones and between their receptors lead to remarkable specificity, it should come as no surprise that their transcriptional targets are often not specific for one nuclear receptor and that ligands/receptors can be promiscuous. Indeed, there is good evidence for heterodimerization between nuclear receptors, but the quaternary structure of nuclear receptor complexes may in fact involve tetramers or even more complicated transcriptional complexes. As an example of a more indirect manner of crosstalk, transcriptional activity of the glucocorticoid receptor has been shown to be modulated by follicle stimulating hormone [7]. Functionally, crosstalk is thus not necessarily limited to the steroidal hormones.

In the current research landscape, steroid hormone crosstalk is often overlooked despite the fact that many (metabolic) processes

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. are sex-dependent. For example, in the field of endocrinology, as little as 10% of animal studies include both males and females, although fortunately this percentage is much higher in studies with human subjects (>50%) [8]. An argument that is often used in animal research is that the rodent 3- to 5-day estrous cycle creates more variation in experimental outcomes in females, and that much higher numbers of female animals are therefore required to generate statistically robust data. While this may be true for short-term studies, many longer experiments cover multiple full cycles making it unlikely to substantially influence long-term (longitudinal) outcomes, and it is possible to control for the estrous cycle phase for short-term studies. It should therefore be relatively easy to bypass the constraint that the estrous cycle evokes too much variation in many experimental settings.

It makes perfect sense that scientific societies and funding bodies advocate the use of both males and females in biomedical research—which is nowadays endorsed by many (e.g., Dutch Heart Foundation, NWO-Dutch Science Agenda, National Institutes of Health). We are pleased that the current review by Ruiz and colleagues [4] puts additional focus on the importance of sex-dependency and steroid hormone crosstalk, and points out the many underresearched aspects of sex differences in general, and steroid crosstalk in particular.

### Additional Information

*Correspondence:* Jan Kroon. Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA, Leiden, the Netherlands. E-mail: j.kroon@lumc.nl.

Disclosure Summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

#### References

- Della Torre S, Mitro N, Meda C, et al. Short-term fasting reveals amino acid metabolism as a major sex-discriminating factor in the liver. *Cell Metab.* 2018;28(2):256-267.e5. doi:10.1016/j. cmet.2018.05.021.
- Dart DA, Waxman J, Aboagye EO, Bevan CL, Visualizing androgen receptor activity in male and female mice. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(8):e71694. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071694.
- Kroon J, Pereira AM, Meijer OC. Glucocorticoid sexual dimorphism in metabolism: dissecting the role of sex hormones. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2020;31(5):357–367. doi:10.1016/j. tem/2020.01.010.
- 4. Ruiz D, Padmanabhan V, Sargis RM. Stress, sex, and sugar: glucocorticoid and sex steroid crosstalk in the sex-specific misprogramming of metabolism. *J Endocrine Soc.* 2020 In Press.
- Gasparini SJ, Swarbrick MM, Kim S, et al. Androgens sensitise mice to glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance and fat accumulation. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(8):1463-1477. doi:10.1007/ s00125-019-4887-0.
- Duma D, Collins JB, Chou JW, Cidlowski JA. Sexually dimorphic actions of glucocorticoids provide a link to inflammatory diseases with gender differences in prevalence. *Sci Signal*. 2010;3(143):ra74. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2001077.
- Quinn MA, Xu X, Ronfani M, Cidlowski JA. Estrogen deficiency promotes hepatic steatosis via a glucocorticoid receptordependent mechanism in mice. *Cell Rep*. 2018;22(10):2690-2701. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.041.
- Beery AK, Zucker I. Sex bias in neuroscience and biomedical research. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2011;35(3):565-572. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.07.002.