

References

1. Culver DA, Behr J, Belperio JA, Corte TJ, de Andrade JA, Flaherty KR, *et al.* Patient registries in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:160–167.
2. Cottin V, Annesi-Maesano I, Günther A, Galvin L, Kreuter M, Powell P, *et al.*; Ariane-IPF Clinical Research Collaboration consortium. The Ariane-IPF ERS Clinical Research Collaboration: seeking collaboration through launch of a federation of European registries on idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 2019;53:1900539.
3. King TE, Pardo A, Selman M. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Lancet* 2011;378:1949–1961.
4. Winterbottom CJ, Shah RJ, Patterson KC, Kreider ME, Panettieri RA Jr, Rivera-Lebron B, *et al.* Exposure to ambient particulate matter is associated with accelerated functional decline in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chest* 2018;153:1221–1228.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society



Hyperoxemia and Death of the Critically Ill: Is There a Problem of Confounding by Indication or Outcome?

To the Editor:

Palmer and colleagues present findings using data and tools from the National Institute of Health Research Critical Care Health Informatics Collaborative in five United Kingdom university hospitals (1). This publication now makes a second recent database report that seemingly indicates an association between exposure to hyperoxemia and death during critical illness (1, 2). In the current report, the authors found an association between “any hyperoxemia” exposure and increased ICU mortality over the first week (Days 0–7). Rather intriguingly, there was no effect of “hyperoxemia dose” (time integral of $\text{PaO}_2 > 100$ mm Hg per epoch) in this relationship, which challenges a causal relationship but indicates a potential all-or-nothing problem, such as confounding by indication.

For example, confounding by severity is the problem whereby patients with more severe illness are likely to receive a hyperoxemia exposure; the authors site the issue of unstable patients undergoing multiple transfers and procedures that necessitate being placed in high FiO_2 for transfer. Another confounding by severity might be use of supplemental oxygen during resuscitation, with such sick patients often receiving an FiO_2 of 1.0. The hyperoxemia exposure will appear to result in poorer outcomes because degree of severity affects both the exposure and the patient outcome and is not an intermediate between the exposure and outcome (3). The authors have used methods to minimize this

Ⓒ This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

The views expressed are those of the author.

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201909-1860LE on October 31, 2019

problem but, as they say, “at the expense of reducing the number of cases from which to learn.”

There is, however, another confounder not considered in the report (1): The hyperoxemia exposure is independently associated with the definition of the outcome (death). For example, in the patient undergoing apnea testing as part of the assessment of death by neurological criteria (DNC), the test is started after a period of preoxygenation (10 min with FiO_2 1.0) with an arterial blood gas (ABG) test result confirming an appropriate starting PaCO_2 . In the United Kingdom, two sets of tests with separate evaluation of apnea are performed (i.e., at least two ABG tests, by definition, with hyperoxemia). After determination of DNC, there may be further ABG tests with hyperoxemia in the instances in which lung organ donation is being considered. The so-called standard criteria for choosing lungs are to ventilate with FiO_2 1.0 and positive end-expiratory pressure 5 cm H_2O and then check that PaO_2 is > 300 mm Hg. Palmer and colleagues do not provide the number of deaths (outcomes) meeting DNC or the number of instances in which lung organ donation was considered. Also, it is not clear from the supplementary methodological references about the National Institute of Health Research Critical Care Health Informatics Collaborative database (4, 5) how ABG test results up to the first apnea test can be identified or how time of death can be differentiated from time of “discharge” in organ donors. I wonder whether the authors would consider restricting their statistical procedures by stratifying according to criteria for death (cardiac vs. DNC) and reexploring the associations between “any hyperoxemia,” “hyperoxemia dose,” and death. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Robert C. Tasker, M.B. B.S., M.D.*
Boston Children's Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3647-8113 (R.C.T.).

*Corresponding author (e-mail: robert.tasker@childrens.harvard.edu).

References

1. Palmer E, Post B, Klapaukh R, Marra G, MacCallum NS, Brealey D, *et al.* The association between supraphysiologic arterial oxygen levels and mortality in critically ill patients: a multicenter observational cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:1373–1380.
2. Ramgopal S, Dezfulian C, Hickey RW, Au AK, Venkataraman S, Clark RSB, *et al.* Association of severe hyperoxemia events and mortality among patients admitted to a pediatric intensive care unit. *JAMA Netw Open* 2019;2:e199812.
3. Kyriacou DN, Lewis RJ. Confounding by indication in clinical research. *JAMA* 2016;316:1818–1819.
4. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Health data finder for research. 2018 [accessed 2019 Sep 26]. Available from: <http://www.hdf.nihr.ac.uk>.
5. Harris S, Shi S, Brealey D, MacCallum NS, Denaxas S, Perez-Suarez D, *et al.* Critical Care Health Informatics Collaborative (CCHIC): data, tools and methods for reproducible research. A multi-centre UK intensive care database. *Int J Med Inform* 2018;112:82–89.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society