

## Orthogonal Catalysis for an Enantioselective Domino Inverse-Electron Demand Diels—Alder/Substitution Reaction

Sebastian Beeck,<sup>[a]</sup> Sebastian Ahles,<sup>[a]</sup> and Hermann A. Wegner<sup>\*[a, b]</sup>

**Abstract:** An enantioselective domino process for the synthesis of substituted 1,2-dihydronaphthalenes has been developed by the combination of chiral amines and a bidentate Lewis acid in an orthogonal catalysis. This new method is based on an inverse electron-demand Diels–Alder and a subsequent group exchange reaction. An enamine is generated in situ from an aldehyde and a chiral secondary amine catalyst that reacts with phthalazine, activated by the coordination to a bidentate Lewis acid catalyst. The absolute

The demand for new efficient chemical processes is constantly increasing, as sustainability,<sup>[1]</sup> environmental compatibility,<sup>[2]</sup> selectivity as well as time and cost efficiency have become crucial parameters.<sup>[3]</sup> Hence, the development of synthetic methods which addresses these requirements is of ever increasing importance.<sup>[4]</sup> One successful strategy to meet this specifications is to improve the step-economy by performing two or more bond-forming transformations in one single procedure.<sup>[5]</sup> The obvious advantages compared to a stepwise approach are: use of less solvents and adsorbents and, therefore, a prevention of waste, lower energy consumption, and fewer technical operations. If such a process, in addition, takes place under constant reaction conditions, without adding further reactants or reagents and the individual steps are based on each other, one enters the creative field of domino reactions.<sup>[6]</sup> Especially Diels-Alder reactions have shown to be effectively incorporated in such domino processes, because they are very valuable transformations themselves and offer various opportunities to be involved in domino processes.<sup>[7]</sup> A multitude of utilizations of the concept can be found, for example, in a very elegant synthesis of pagodane,<sup>[8]</sup> via a

- [a] S. Beeck, Dr. S. Ahles, Prof. Dr. H. A. Wegner Justus Liebig University Giessen Institute of Organic Chemistry Heinrich-Buff-Ring 17, 35392 Giessen (Germany) E-mail: Hermann.A.Wegner@org.chemie.uni-giessen.de
- [b] Prof. Dr. H. A. Wegner
  Justus Liebig University Giessen
  Center for Materials Research (LaMa)
  Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, 35392 Giessen (Germany)
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202104085
- © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

configuration of the product is controlled by chiral information provided by the amine. The formed *ortho*-quinodimethane intermediate is then transformed via a group exchange reaction with thiols. The new method shows a broad scope and tolerates a wide range of functional groups with enantiomeric ratios up to 91:9. All-in-all, this enantioselective synthesis tool provides an easy access to complex 1,2dihydronaphthalenes starting from readily available phthalazine, aldehydes and thiols in a combinatorial way.

twofold Diels-Alder event in the synthesis of (-)chlorothricolide,<sup>[9]</sup> a cascade of pericyclic reactions of a polyene precursor to form endiandric acids A-G<sup>[10]</sup> or the insitu generation of an imine dienophile by retro-cycloaddition of an azanorbornene.<sup>[11]</sup> In the past years we and others investigated domino processes in which phthalazines and enamines undergo an inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) reactions to provide reactive ortho-quinodimethane intermediates.<sup>[12]</sup> The subsequent domino step depends on the used enamine and the reaction conditions applied. So far, we have reported an IEDDA/elimination,<sup>[13]</sup> IEDDA/Diels–Alder reaction,<sup>[14]</sup> IEDDA/ group transfer reaction,<sup>[15]</sup> IEDDA/ring-opening/reduction sequence<sup>[16]</sup> and IEDDA/photo-induced ring-opening reaction.<sup>[17]</sup> In all of these reactions, phthalazine is activated by complexation to a (bidentate) Lewis acid. Without catalyst the IEDDA reaction of 1,2-diazenes requires much harsher conditions as, for example, demonstrated in the synthesis of strychnine or vinblastin.<sup>[18]</sup> In the past years, dimethyl-9,10-diboraanthracene (Me<sub>2</sub>-DBA 2) was established as an efficient Lewis acid catalyst for IEDDA reactions of phthalazines<sup>[19]</sup> and its ubiquitous potential was illustrated by Burns in the total synthesis of azamerone.<sup>[20]</sup>

The IEDDA/group transfer reaction published in 2018 produces 1-amino-1,2-dihydronaphthalines, generated in a single step starting from easy available reactants.<sup>[15]</sup> Based on this work, we envisioned to advance this approach by introducing other functional entities besides amines and also control the absolute stereochemical outcome of this process at the same time. In the previous study we could show, that in fact two amine molecules are involved at different stages of the reaction sequence (Scheme 1): One amine molecule **4** generates the enamine **A** required for the IEDDA reaction and the second one operates as nucleophilic exchange group. The group transfer reaction is mainly regulated by the nucleophilicity of the entering group. Therefore, the usage of suitable alternative

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202104085



Scheme 1. Overview of the IEDDA/group transfer reaction.

nucleophiles should allow the introduction of other functionalities, by replacing the second amine.

We started our investigations with the search for such a transfer group. Since thiols are better nucleophiles compared to secondary amines it quickly turned out that, for example, thiophenol (Scheme 2, **6a**) is suitable to displace the amine. Two additional aspects of the group transfer sequence were also known from the previous study: It is a concerted process, and the admission of the exchange group is *syn* to the leaving amine. Hence, the absolute configuration of the product **5** is controlled beforehand in the IEDDA step.

Importantly, if an additional, suitable transfer group is present in the reaction mixture, the amine required for the enamine formation is not consumed and hence can be used in catalytic amounts. That opens up the possibility of controlling the stereochemistry with chiral amines, in analogy to many



Scheme 2. Optimization of chiral, secondary amines as co-catalysts. Reaction conditions: phthalazine (1, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), isovaleraldehyde (3 a, 1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), thiophenol (6 a, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), dry THF (4.0 mL).

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202104085 (2 of 6)

established organocatalyzed reactions.<sup>[21]</sup> Thus, we tested different chiral, secondary amines to induce enantioselectivity. For this purpose, various amines were applied to the IEDDA/group transfer reaction of phthalazine (1), isovaleralde-hyde (**3a**) and thiophenol (**6a**) (Scheme 2). The formation of the desired 1,2dihydronaphthalene **5a** was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The product was isolated by silica gel column chromatography and its enantiomeric ratio was determined by chiral HPLC (see details in the Supporting Information).

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Well-established organocatalysts like MacMillan's imidazolidinone 7 or Jørgensen-type pyrrolidine 8, as well as the chiral alkaloid cytisine or semi-synthetic nornicotine were ineffective in this reaction (Scheme 2, an entire table of examined chiral amines can be found in the Supporting Information). However, applying (S)-proline (9) to the described benchmark reaction provided the desired thioether 5a in 75% yield, although as a racemic mixture. Moderate enantioselectivity was obtained when amine 10 was applied (70:30 e.r.). Chiral pyrrolidine 10 is functionalized by a methylene-bridged methoxy group in the 2position. Based on this framework we varied the substituents on the bridge and in this manner, we improved the yield up to 81% and the enantiomeric ratio to 85:15 using chiral pyrrolidine 11. We also synthesized and applied the corresponding piperidine 12, which gave a higher yield of 91%, but a lower enantiomeric ratio of 61:39. Interestingly, an additional OH-group at the pyrrolidine ring also results in slightly enantiomeric enriched 5a (46:54 and 55:45 e.r.) compared to the parent proline (9). Cis-configuration represents, at this point, the matched case, positively influencing the asymmetric induction (trans-13 vs. cis-13). The increase in selectivity of the additional OH-groups is small, but it can easily be tuned by introducing bulky substituents, for example by silyl chlorides. A sterically demanding tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) group was chosen as modification. The pyrrolidine derivative with a methylene-bridged diethylamine at the 2-position and a TBS ether in the 4-position 14 gave an enantiomeric ratio of 91:9, but a comparable low yield of only 30%. If the amine in the 2position is changed to another TBS ether 15, a yield of 87% can be achieved, while maintaining a high enantioselectivity of 91:9 e.r. In addition to its good performance chiral amine 15 can be prepared in a straightforward procedure starting from Boc-protected hydroxyproline ester (3 steps, see Supporting Information) making this secondary amine the preferred choice. Considering, that a TBS-group is very bulky, we assume that the enantioselectivity is mainly controlled by steric effects.

The absolute configuration of the product is of particular interest, especially if it comes to pharmacological uses, as one enantiomer can be effective in the sense of application, while the other is ineffective or even harmful.<sup>[22]</sup> Vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) is a powerful method to identify the absolute configuration by comparing experimental data and computed spectra.<sup>[23]</sup> In order to accurately simulate the VCD spectra of both enantiomers, we conducted a conformational analysis using CREST (<u>conformer-rotamer ensemble sampling tool</u>).<sup>[24]</sup> After that, we optimized the geometries and energies at B3LYP–D3(BJ)/def2tzvpp<sup>[25]</sup> and selected the relevant conformers on the basis of relative free energies (at 298 K). We

**Research Article** 



First, the substrate scope was evaluated by applying different aldehydes 3a-k to the IEDDA group transfer reaction (Table 1). Aliphatic aldehydes (entry 1-3, 7 and 9) gave moderate to high yields with high enantioselectivities. It can be noted that larger groups at the aldehyde result in lower yields. However, the sterically more demanding moieties appear to have an amplifying effect on the enantioselectivities (entry 1 vs. entry 3). Aldehydes bearing unsaturated moieties, for instance an alkene (entry 8) or phenyl (entry 4 and 5), gave good yields as well as high enantiomeric ratios. Compound 5e (entry 5) contains an additional chiral center and was isolated as a mixture of four stereoisomers, which could not be properly separated. If cyclohexane carbaldehyde (3k) (entry 11) is used in this reaction, no conversion of phthalazine (1) was observed. This substrate would lead to a trifold substituted enamine double bond and the steric demand seems to outweigh the +Ieffect on the enamine, which should accelerate the IEDDA reaction.



Figure 1. Assignment of absolute configuration of 5 a by VCD: Experimental (black, 91:9 e.r.) and computed (B3LYP-D3(BJ)/def2-tzypp, gas phase, Boltzmann-averaged, orange) VCD-spectra of (1R,2S)-5 a.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202104085 (3 of 6)



Reaction conditions: phthalazine (1, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), aldehyde 3a-k (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), thiophenol (6a, 1.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv.), dry THF (4.0 mL). [a] Indicated vields are after purification by column chromatography. E.r.s were determined by chiral HPLC. [b] Alternative reaction conditions: 1.5 equiv. isovaleraldehyde (3 a) and 2.0 equiv. thiophenol (5a). [c] D.r. determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. [d] Product 5h was isolated as a mixture of cis-trans-isomers.

© 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH





Next, we extended the substrate scope of the method by using different thiols **6** (Scheme 3). As previously described, a



Scheme 3. Scope of thiols in the enantioselective IEDDA/group transfer reaction. Reaction conditions: phthalazine (1, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), isovaleraldehyde (3 a, 1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), thiol (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), dry THF (4.0 mL). [a] Alternative reaction conditions: 2.0 equiv. isovaleraldehyde (3 a) and 1.1 equiv. thiophenol. Given yields are after purification by column chromatography. E.r.s were determined by chiral HPLC.

yield of 87% (91:9 e.r.) is achieved with unsubstituted thiophenol (6a). Electron-rich para-substituted thiophenols did not yield the desired thioether-substituted products. This is the case for both, substituents with +I-effect (5I and 5m) as well as + M-effect However, meta-substituted (5 n). 3-methoxythiophenol provides the desired product 50 in a yield of 35%. Aliphatic thiols are represented in the substrate scope by 1-hexanethiol and also did not give the expected product 5 x. A possible reason is, that aliphatic moieties also offer a + I-effect. In contrast, electron-deficient substrates provided moderate yields (5 p-u). There is only a small difference, if the substituent is in ortho-, meta- or para-position to the thiol group (5 p-r). The electron-deficient, heterocyclic 4-mercaptopyridine gave no product 5w, probably due to the competing nucleophilicity of the nitrogen atom. The enlargement of the aromatic system at the thiophenol significantly reduces the yield to 21% (5v). If the ratio of isovaleralde-hyde (3a) to thiophenol 6 is increased from 1.5:2.0 to 2.0:1.1, which was in same cases beneficial for specific aldehydes, the yields were lower (5 p and 5 s).

Regardless of the applied thiophenol, the e.r.s remain the same of at about 90:10. If the thiol is not suitable to react as an exchange group, the amine is eliminated from the 3-amino-2,3-dihydronaphthalene intermediate, and the isopropyl substituted naphthalene was isolated (see Supporting Information).

Based on previous studies on the bidentate Lewis acid catalyzed IEDDA reactions of phthalazines<sup>[26]</sup> as well as organocatalysis<sup>[27]</sup> we propose an orthogonal,<sup>[28]</sup> bicyclic mechanism (Scheme 4). One cycle is centered around the bidentate complexation of phthalazine (1) to Me<sub>2</sub>-DBA **2**. In the resulting complex **C** the LUMO<sub>phthalazine</sub> is lowered and thus the phthalazine is activated for the crucial cycloaddition step. In parallel, the second cycle describes the generation of the enamine **A**. It results from a condensation reaction of an aldehyde and the chiral secondary amine catalyst. Then, phthalazine complex **C** and enamine **A** undergo the central IEDDA reaction resulting in complex **D**. Because the (*E*)-isomer of enamine **A** is exclusively formed, the amine group (–NR<sup>1</sup>R<sup>2</sup>) and R<sup>4</sup> are oriented *trans* to



Scheme 4. Mechanistic proposal of the enantioselective IEDDA/group transfer reaction.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202104085 (4 of 6)

© 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



each other in IEDDA product D. This explains the relative configuration at the newly generated stereogenic centers. Furthermore, the preferred alignment of enamine A to complex C in the transition state of the IEDDA reaction is mainly influenced by bulky, chiral groups on enamine A. These chiral elements originate from the secondary amine catalyst controlling the absolute configuration in IEDDA product D. After dissociation of the Lewis acid catalyst 2 and elimination of N<sub>2</sub> ortho-quinodimethane intermediate B is formed, where the group transfer reaction takes place: The attack of the thiol at C1 and the simultaneously release of the amine catalyst at C3 reestablishes aromaticity and leads to the 1-thio-substituted 1,2dihydronaphthalene product 5. Following our mechanistic proposal, the thiol enters the reaction cascade in the group exchange reaction after the IEDDA reaction resulted in the 3amino-2,3-dihydronaphthalene intermediate B. In this mechanism the stereochemical outcome of the reaction is solely determined in the preliminary IEDDA step, which is in accordance with the observation, that the influence of different thiols on the enantiomeric ratio is negligible (Scheme 3). It completely depends on the chirality of the applied chiral amine catalyst.

In summary, we successfully combined the concept of bidentate Lewis acid activation of phthalazine with the asymmetric features of organocatalysis to launch a new enantioselective IEDDA/group transfer domino process. It is a trans-selective approach, in which the absolute stereochemistry is controlled by a new chiral amine catalyst developed for the requirements of the process (e.r.s up to 91:9). Well-established catalysts did not provide the desired product. Its simple preparation makes it a promising candidate to be applied also in other organocatalyzed methods. Simple and readily available substances, like phthalazine, aldehydes and thiophenoles were used and gave preparative access to new thioether substituted trans-1,2-dihydronaphthalenes. This so far unexplored compound class bears high potential for drug development, as sulfur-containing compounds represent a large portion of FDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration) approved drugs and they conform to Lipinski's rule of five.[29]

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Dr. Dennis Gerbig for VCD measurements. Calculations for this research were conducted on the "Fuchs" high performance computer of the Goethe University Frankfurt. The authors thank the German Research Council (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG) for funding. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

**Keywords:** bidentate Lewis acids · dihydronaphthalenes · domino processes · inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction · organocatalysis

- [1] a) J. B. Zimmerman, P. T. Anastas, H. C. Erythropel, W. Leitner, *Science* 2020, 367, 397–400; b) P. T. Anastas, J. B. Zimmerman, *Green Chem.* 2019, 21, 6545–6566.
- [2] a) B. W. Brooks, Green Chem. 2019, 21, 2575–2582; b) C. J. Clarke, W.-C. Tu, O. Levers, A. Bröhl, J. P. Hallett, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 747–800.
- [3] United Nations, "Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development", A/Res/70/1, to be found under https://sdgs. un.org/publications/transforming-our-world-2030-agenda-sustainabledevelopment-17981, 2015.
- [4] a) C. Blum, D. Bunke, M. Hungsberg, E. Roelofs, A. Joas, R. Joas, M. Blepp,
  H.-C. Stolzenberg, Sustain. Chem. Pharm. 2017, 5, 94–104; b) R. A.
  Sheldon, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1437–1451.
- [5] a) D. Bonne, T. Constantieux, Y. Coquerel, J. Rodriguez, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 2218–2231; b) P. A. Wender, R. V. Quiroz, M. C. Stevens, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, *48*, 752–760.
- [6] L. F. Tietze, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115-136.
- [7] K. C. Nicolaou, S. A. Snyder, T. Montagnon, G. Vassilikogiannakis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1668–1698; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1742– 1773.
- [8] a) W. D. Fessner, G. Sedelmeier, P. R. Spurr, G. Rihs, H. Prinzbach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4626–4642; b) F.-G. Klärner, U. Artschwager-Perl, W.-D. Fessner, C. Grund, R. Pinkos, J.-P. Melder, H. Prinzbach, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3137–3140.
- [9] W. R. Roush, R. J. Sciotti, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6457-6458.
- [10] K. C. Nicolaou, N. A. Petasis, R. E. Zipkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5560–5562.
- [11] W. A. Carroll, P. A. Grieco, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1164-1165.
- [12] a) E. Oishi, N. Taido, K.-i. Iwamato, A. Miyashita, T. Higashino, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990**, *38*, 3268–3272; b) C. S. Sumaria, Y. E. Türkmen, V. H. Rawal, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3236–3239; c) Y. E. Türkmen, T. J. Montavon, S. A. Kozmin, V. H. Rawal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 9062–9065.
- [13] S. N. Kessler, M. Neuburger, H. A. Wegner, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 3238– 3245.
- [14] L. Schweighauser, I. Bodoky, S. N. Kessler, D. Häussinger, C. Donsbach, H. A. Wegner, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1330–1333.
- [15] S. Ahles, S. Götz, L. Schweighauser, M. Brodsky, S. N. Kessler, A. H. Heindl, H. A. Wegner, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 7034–7038.
- [16] S. Ahles, J. Ruhl, M. A. Strauss, H. A. Wegner, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 3927– 3930.
- [17] J. Ruhl, S. Ahles, M. A. Strauss, C. M. Leonhardt, H. A. Wegner, Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 2089–2093.
- [18] a) G. J. Bodwell, J. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3261–3262; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 3395–3396; b) S. Yang, K. Sankar, C. K. Skepper, T. J. Barker, J. C. Lukesh, D. M. Brody, M. M. Brütsch, D. L. Boger, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 1560–1569.
- [19] a) A. Lorbach, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, M. Wagner, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 3592–3594; b) S. N. Kessler, H. A. Wegner, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 4062–4065; c) S. N. Kessler, M. Neuburger, H. A. Wegner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 17885–17888; d) L. Schweighauser, I. Bodoky, S. N. Kessler, D. Häussinger, H. Wegner, *Synthesis* 2012, 44, 2195–2199; e) L. Schweighauser, H. A. Wegner, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 14094–14103.
- [20] M. L. Landry, G. M. McKenna, N. Z. Burns, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 2867–2871.
- [21] a) J. Seayad, B. List, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 719–724; b) W. Notz, F. Tanaka, C. F. Barbas, Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 580–591.
- [22] A. Calcaterra, I. D'Acquarica, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2018, 147, 323-340.
- [23] a) L. A. Nafie, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1997, 48, 357–386; b) T. B. Freedman, X. Cao, R. K. Dukor, L. A. Nafie, Chirality 2003, 15, 743–758.
- [24] a) P. Pracht, F. Bohle, S. Grimme, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2020, 22, 7169–7192; b) S. Grimme, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2019, 15, 2847–2862.
- [25] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li,

© 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, Williams, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16 Rev. C.01*, Wallingford, CT, **2016**.

- [26] M. A. Strauss, D. Koh, J. Ruhl, H. A. Wegner, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2021, 3866–3873.
- [27] a) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffmann, B. List, *Chem. Rev.* 2007. 107, 5471–5569; b) A. Gualandi, L. Mengozzi, C. M. Wilson, P. G. Cozzi, *Chem. Asian J.* 2014, 9, 984–995.
- [28] D. E. Fogg, E. N. dos Santos, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2365-2379.
- [29] a) M. Feng, B. Tang, S. H. Liang, X. Jiang, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2016, *16*, 1200–1216; b) K. A. Scott, J. T. Njardarson, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2018, *376*, 5; c) C. A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B. W. Dominy, P. J. Feeney, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 2001, *46*, 3–26.

Manuscript received: November 12, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: November 23, 2021 Version of record online: December 7, 2021