

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Cardiology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijcard

Mortality from ischaemic heart disease by country, region, and age: Statistics from World Health Organisation and United Nations $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sim}$

Judith A. Finegold ^{a,*}, Perviz Asaria ^b, Darrel P. Francis ^a

^a International Centre for Circulatory Health, National Heart and Lung Institute, London, UK

^b Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College School of Public Health, Imperial College London, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 July 2012 Received in revised form 24 October 2012 Accepted 28 October 2012 Available online 4 December 2012

Keywords: Ischaemic heart disease Coronary heart disease Mortality Trends

ABSTRACT

Background: Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) is the leading cause of death worldwide. The World Health Organisation (WHO) collects mortality data coded using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases (ICD) code. Methods: We analysed IHD deaths world-wide between 1995 and 2009 and used the UN population database to calculate age-specific and directly and indirectly age-standardised IHD mortality rates by country and region. Results: IHD is the single largest cause of death worldwide, causing 7,249,000 deaths in 2008, 12.7% of total global mortality. There is more than 20-fold variation in IHD mortality rates between countries. Highest IHD mortality rates are in Eastern Europe and Central Asian countries; lowest rates in high income countries. For the working-age population, IHD mortality rates are markedly higher in low-and-middle income countries than in high income countries.

Over the last 25 years, age-standardised IHD mortality has fallen by more than half in high income countries, but the trend is flat or increasing in some low-and-middle income countries. Low-and-middle income countries now account for more than 80% of global IHD deaths.

Conclusions: The global burden of IHD deaths has shifted to low-and-middle income countries as lifestyles approach those of high income countries. In high income countries, population ageing maintains IHD as the leading cause of death. Nevertheless, the progressive decline in age-standardised IHD mortality in high income countries shows that increasing IHD mortality is not inevitable. The 20-fold mortality difference between countries, and the temporal trends, may hold vital clues for handling IHD epidemic which is migratory, and still burgeoning.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. Open access under CC BY license.

1. Introduction

Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) is the leading cause of death worldwide [1–4], placing a major economic and resource burden on health and public health systems. High income countries have seen declines in mortality rates from IHD [5–10], but elsewhere the picture is less favourable, with continued high IHD mortality [11]. Reliable information describing time-trends in IHD mortality is essential to understand and monitor the disease [12]. In this article we provide an overview of the global epidemiology of IHD mortality using data submitted by individual member states to the World Health Organisation. The data cover the period 1995 to 2009, and are more complete for some countries than for others. We have analysed the data to allow identification of country-specific and broad regional trends.

We present absolute IHD burden, along with directly and indirectly standardised IHD mortality rates. Absolute burden reflects the total number of deaths a health system has to deal with, which will tend to be larger in more populous countries. Standardised rates are preferable for comparing countries because they remove the effects of population size and age structure. The most comprehensive standardisation is direct standardisation (Fig. 1), which requires age-specific data for both the number of deaths in each country and its population size. In many countries age-specific data on deaths from IHD are not available, but the UN does provide modelled estimates of age-specific population counts for all countries. Indirect standardisation (Fig. 2) divides the deaths observed in a country, by the deaths expected if that country had the same age-specific death rates as a population group chosen to be the standard for comparison. We present indirectly standardised ratios for countries where direct standardisation is not possible due to lack of age-specific death data.

Funding sources and disclosures: DPF (FS/010/083) was supported by the British Heart Foundation. PA is supported by a Wellcome Trust Clinical PhD Fellowship 092853/Z/10/Z.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Office of Dr Francis, International Centre for Circulatory Health, 59 North Wharf Road, National Heart and Lung Institute, London, UK. Tel.: +44 207 594 1093; fax: +44 208 082 5109.

E-mail address: JudyFinegold@doctors.org.uk (J.A. Finegold).

^{0167-5273 © 2012} Elsevier Ireland Ltd. Open access under CC BY license http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.10.046

Young

(a) Reference Country r. Deaths Population Age specific death rate Young 2 1000 2/1000 1000 18/1000 Old 18 20/2000 Crude Death 20 2000 = 10/1000 Rate

Standard Population1000 young + 1000 oldCalculated deaths2+18

Age-specific rates applied to standard age distribution:

Directly standardised death rate 20/2000 = 10/1000



(b) Country with older population

· /							
	Deaths	Population	Age specific death rate	•	Id 💼	, , ,	•
Young	1	500	2/1000	ė 🔶	÷ .	•	_ • `
Old	27	1500	18/1000	mi .	. •	. I	
Crude De Rate	ath 28	2000	28/2000 = 14/1000	ŵ.	n h mì		
Age-specific	rates appli	ed to standard a	ge distribution:	â 🕺	, ń	Y	oung
Standard Po	pulation	1000	0 young + 1000	old 🔒	۳		÷
Calculated d	leaths		2 + 18	3	· "	1	•
Directly sta	andardised	death rate	20/2000 = 10/1	000	" ė -		



	Deaths	Population	Age specific death rate		· · · ·
Young	2	500	4/1000		· · · · · · ·
Old	54	1500	36/1000		•. • • •
Crude Deat Rate	h 56	2000	56/2000 = 28/1000		,
ge-specific ra	ites applie	d to standard a	ge distribution:		Young
tandard Popu	lation	1000	young + 1000 d	old 📲 🖷 📥 💼	•
alculated dea	iths		4 + 36	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Directly stan	dardised	death rate 4	0/2000 = 20/1	000	

Fig. 1. (a) A standard population of 2000 people, distributed equally amongst "young" (under 60 years) and "old" (60 years and older) groups which have different death rates. This distribution of ages will be used as the "standard" in the other panels. (b) A country with the same age-specific risks, but whose population is older. Crude death rate is higher because a greater proportion of people are in the high-risk age group. However, age-standardisation prevents the ageing artefact by reconstituting a population of the "standard" age distribution, to obtain the same standardised death rate as (a). (c) A country which, compared with (a), has double the death rate at each age group. Crude death rates, and age-standardised death rates are doubled (d) A country with double the age-specific mortality and an older population. Crude mortality is very much higher but age-standardised mortality, which reconstitutes a standard distribution of ages, is only twice that of panel (a).



Fig. 2. If a country reports age-specific population but only total IHD deaths (without an age breakdown), it is not possible to calculate directly standardised mortality rates. Instead, by making the assumption that the age relationship of mortality is a scaled-up or scaled-down version of that of a standard population, it is possible to calculate an indirectly standardised mortality ratio expressing the country's mortality relative to that of the standard population. Panels (b), (c) and (d) calculate the indirectly standardised mortality ratio for the same country data as the corresponding panels in Figure 1, but with the age breakdown of deaths concealed.

Table 1

Global burden of IHD deaths (thousands).

Data from the World Health Organisation 2008. Ranked by country burden.

High	income countrie	es	East A	Asia and Pacific		Europ	oe and Central As	sia	Latin	American and th	e Caribbean	Midd	le East and Nort	h Africa	South	n Asia		Sub-S	Saharan Africa	
Rank	Country	Number	Rank	Country	Number	Rank	Country	Number	Rank	Country	Number	Rank	Country	Number	Rank	Country	Number	Rank	Country	Number
1	USA	445.8	1	China	1040.6	1	Russian Federation	659.5	1	Brazil	133.9	1	Iran	88.0	1	India	1248.0	1	Nigeria	71.6
2	Germany	155.8	2	Indonesia	242.8	2	Ukraine	338.1	2	Mexico	77.0	2	Egypt	78.8	2	Pakistan	195.5	2	Ethiopia	43.5
3	Japan	105.5	3	Myanmar	58.2	3	Turkey	81.2	3	Argentina	36.4	3	Morocco	33.7	3	Bangladesh	163.4	3	Sudan	39.2
4	United Kingdom	92.3	4	Philippines	57.8	4	Romania	56.7	4	Colombia	27.7	4	Iraq	26.7	4	Afghanistan	30.9	4	Dem Republic Congo	27.0
5	Italy	87.8	5	Thailand	53.3	5	Belarus	56.1	5	Venezuela	21.2	5	Yemen	17.7	5	Nepal	21.1	5	South Africa	20.5
6	Poland	79.0	6	Malaysia	22.7	6	Uzbekistan	51.7	6	Cuba	18.2	6	Algeria	14.7	6	Sri Lanka	15.9	6	Tanzania	19.1
7	Spain	43.5	7	Cambodia	9.1	7	Kazakhstan	48.3	7	Chile	9.8	7	Syrian Arab Republic	14.3	7	Bhutan	0.9	7	Côte d'Ivoire	14.9
8	France	42.6	8	Lao People's Republic	5.7	8	Bulgaria	23.1	8	Dominican Republic	9.7	8	Tunisia	10.1	8	Maldives	0.1	8	Uganda	13.5
9	Canada	42.0	9	Papua New Guinea	4.5	9	Georgia	20.0	9	Peru	9.2	9	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	6.7				9	Kenya	13.5
10	Hungary	33.6	10	Mongolia	1.1	10	Serbia	17.5	10	Honduras	6.2	10	Lebanon	5.9				10	Ghana	13.1
11	Czech Republic	28.5	11	Fiji	0.8	11	Azerbaijan	16.3	11	El Salvador	4.9	11	Jordan	4.7				11	Mozambique	12.9
12	Australia	24.9	12	Timor-Leste	0.5	12	Republic of Moldova	16.2	12	Ecuador	4.4	12	Djibouti	0.8				12	Cameroon	11.3
13	Saudi Arabia	20.9	13	Solomon Islands	0.2	13	Lithuania	14.9	13	Guatemala	4.4							13	Malawi	9.4
14	Republic of Korea	20.5	14	Samoa	0.1	14	Kyrgyzstan	12.9	14	Uruguay	4.0							14	Angola	7.6
15	Slovakia	18.4	15	Vanuatu	0.1	15	Turkmenistan	11.7	15	Paraguay	3.1							15	Madagascar	7.4
16	Sweden	17.0	16	Tonga	0.1	16	Armenia	10.5	16	Jamaica	3.1							16	Somalia	7.1

17	Austria	14.6	17	Latvia	9.9	17	Nicaragua	3.1	17	Zambia	6.9
18	Belgium	13.9	18	Tajikistan	6.7	18	Haiti	2.9	18	Guinea	5.7
19	Greece	13.1	19	Bosnia and	5.5	19	Costa Rica	2.8	19	Chad	5.3
				Herzegovina							
20	Netherlands	12.7	20	Albania	5.4	20	Panama	1.7	20	Zimbabwe	5.2
21	Croatia	12.3	21	Montenegro	0.6	21	Guyana	0.9	21	Burkina Faso	5.1
22	Finland	11.4		, in the second s		22	Suriname	0.4	22	Benin	4.1
23	Portugal	10.4				23	St Vincent and	0.1	23	Mali	4.0
							Grenades				
24	Switzerland	9.8				24	Belize	0.1	24	Niger	3.7
25	Denmark	6.7				25	Saint Lucia	0.1	25	Burundi	3.6
26	Norway	6.0				26	Grenada	0.1	26	Senegal	3.5
27	New Zealand	5.7							27	Rwanda	3.4
28	Ireland	5.3							28	Togo	2.9
29	Estonia	4.9							29	Republic	2.8
30	Singapore	4.7							30	Congo	2.1
31	Israel	4.7							31	Sierra Leone	1.8
32	Slovenia	2.4							32	Liberia	1.6
33	Oman	2.2							33	Eritrea	1.5
34	Trinidad and	1.7							34	Mauritius	1.4
	Tobago										
35	Kuwait	1.2							35	Lesotho	1.4
36	United Arab	1.2							36	Namibia	1.3
	Emirates										
37	Cyprus	1.0							37	Mauritania	1.2
38	Malta	0.7							38	Guinea-Bissau	1.0
39	Luxemberg	0.5							39	Botswana	0.8
40	Iceland	0.4							40	Gabon	0.8
41	Equatorial	0.4							41	Swaziland	0.7
	Guinea										
42	Bahrain	0.2							42	Gambia	0.7
43	Qatar	0.2							43	Comoros	0.3
44	Barbados	0.2							44	Cape Verde	0.2
45	Bahamas	0.1							45	Principe	0.1
46	Brunei	0.1									
	Darussalam										

2. Methods

2.1. Data sources

IHD mortality data (ICD9 codes 410–414 and ICD10 codes I20–25) between 1995 and 2009 were extracted from the online World Health Organisation (WHO) mortality database [13] and from WHO publications [14,15]. These data comprise all deaths registered by national civil registration systems which were submitted to WHO, with underlying cause of death coded by the relevant national authority using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) 9th or 10th revision [16]. In some countries data were only available for parts of this time period: in these cases the available years are shown. Population data were from UN population estimates also available online [17]. For comparisons by region and country income group, we have used 2001 data from the WHO, which are the most complete.

2.2. Statistics

.2.2.1. Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates

Age specific mortality rates for each five year age group are presented. In addition we present directly standardised IHD mortality rates (standardised to WHO world standard population) [18] to allow comparison of mortality rates between countries. We also present indirectly standardised ratios for countries where direct standardisation is not possible, by calculating deaths expected if a country had the same age-specific death rate as the standard population (defined here as the average age-specific mortality rates in 2001 of the UK, USA, Canada, France and Germany).

The authors of this manuscript have certified that they comply with the principles of ethical publishing in the International Journal of Cardiology.

These authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

3. Results

3.1. Burden of IHD worldwide in 2008

In 2008 there were 7,249,000 deaths from IHD, accounting for 12.7% of all global deaths. India and China together had over 2 million deaths or over 30% of the world's total IHD burden (Table 1). Large numbers of deaths were also seen in the Russian Federation (659,000) and in USA (445,800) reflecting their large population sizes.

Worldwide in 2001 [15], IHD was the leading cause of death in both low-and-middle income countries (11.8% of all deaths) and high income countries (17.3% of all deaths). At that time IHD was the leading cause of death in all but two world regions: Sub-Saharan Africa and East Asia and the Pacific. IHD was the leading cause of death in Europe and Central Asia (29.7% of total deaths), Middle East and North Africa (16.9% of total deaths), South Asia (13.6% of total deaths) and Latin America (10.9% of total deaths). In contrast, in Sub-Saharan Africa IHD was the eighth cause of death after HIV/AIDS, malaria, lower respiratory tract infections, diarrhoeal disease, perinatal conditions, measles, cerebrovascular disease, accounting for only 3.2% total deaths. In East Asia and the Pacific, IHD was the third leading cause of death accounting for 8.8% of total deaths.

3.2. Impact of age on IHD mortality

Fig. 3 shows the progressive increase in IHD mortality with age in 4 selected countries: UK, USA, Japan and France. In the years illustrated, women have a lower IHD mortality rate than men. There is a progressive increase in IHD mortality with age, which fits an exponential trend. In these countries, chosen for illustration because they have complete data, there is a 2.3 to 2.7-fold increase in IHD mortality for every decade of life for men and a 2.9 to 3.7-fold increase for women. Fig. 4 illustrates that the exponential rise in mortality with age is present in all countries regardless of starting mortality level or country income level.

3.3. Age specific mortality rates from IHD in 2001

Age specific mortality rates for IHD were higher in low-andmiddle income countries than in high income countries (Tables 2a and 2b). For older ages highest rates were seen in Europe and Central Asia, South Asia and the Middle East and North Africa. For example, for men in the age range 80 years and over the death rates per 100,000 population were 8598 in Europe and Central Asia, 3758 in Middle East and North Africa and 3644 in South Asia. Meanwhile in high income countries the rate was 2253 per 100,000 population.

The difference in mortality between regions was even more marked for premature IHD mortality in the working-age population (defined as those aged <60 years). In men this was again highest in Europe and Central Asia and then Middle East and North Africa and South Asia. For example, in men in the age range 45–59 years the death rate in Europe and Central Asia was 517 per 100,000 population, in Middle East and North Africa 304 and in South Asia 302. By contrast in high income countries, the IHD death rate in the same age group was 91 per 100,000 population, less than one-fifth of the mortality seen in low-and-middle income Europe and Central Asia.

3.4. Time trends in age-standardised IHD mortality rates

Time series of directly-standardised IHD mortality rates between 2000 and 2009 (standardised to the WHO world standard population) are shown for selected countries with available data in Table 3.

Age-standardisation is essential when comparing countries, as shown in Fig. 5a and b which contrast the crude and age-standardised mortality trends amongst the same set of countries. A decrease in age-standardised mortality rates is seen in most countries in Western Europe, but countries in Eastern Europe have a flat pattern (Croatia, Serbia, Slovakia, Hungary and Czech Republic) and an increasing trend can be seen in some Central Asian countries (e.g. Kyrgyzstan).

In the majority of countries for which age-specific data are available, there has been a steady decline in IHD death rates between 2000 and 2009. These data tend to be for high income countries. Reporting of time trends in IHD mortality is limited for most low-and-middle income countries.

3.5. Extended analysis across 177 countries: standardised mortality ratios

In order to compare a more comprehensive set of low, middle and high income countries that do not have complete age-specific IHD death data, we use indirectly standardised IHD mortality ratios presented in Table 4. Countries are ranked by their 2008 IHD mortality ratio. The spectrum is wide, with some countries such as Turkmenistan, Afghanistan and Ukraine having a mortality ratio that is approximately 20-fold that of other countries such as Japan.

4. Discussion

IHD has been, and continues to be, the single largest cause of death in the world. This is because the majority of the world's population lives in low-and-middle income countries, where IHD mortality rates are often flat or increasing, and total populations are growing.

Overall, age-standardised mortality has fallen significantly in many high income countries since the early 1980s [19]. However, the age effect on IHD mortality is so strong that high income countries, which have older and ageing populations, have a total mortality burden which remains high and is falling only slowly over time.

The larger populations and higher age-specific death rates for IHD in low-and-middle income countries mean that they already account for the majority of global IHD deaths and will bear the brunt of the IHD epidemic in the years to come. Moreover the combined effect of population growth and ageing is so strong that despite all current efforts, total numbers of IHD deaths worldwide are increasing.



Fig. 3. Change in mortality with age in UK, USA, Japan and France.

Of the many factors that contribute to the favourable trend in IHD mortality in high income countries, three may be particularly important [20]. Firstly, policy changes may favour risk factor modification,



Fig. 4. IHD mortality by population age group for selected countries. Age-specific mortality rate for selected countries. The most recent year of available data between 2005 and 2009 is displayed.

such as decreased exposure to tobacco smoke [21] and improvement in primary prevention strategies [22,23] (e.g. hypertension control [24–26]). Second, rapid response times and improved treatments (such as thrombolysis and primary angioplasty) for acute IHD events may lead to reduced IHD case-fatality [27]. Third, secondary prevention, which may further reduce mortality, is making more headway in implementation in wealthier countries [28].

The contribution of these factors to the decreasing mortality rates from IHD is complex. The WHO MONICA (MONitoring trends and determinants In CArdiovascular disease) study [29,30] reported that between the mid-1980s and 1990s, on average two thirds of the decline in mortality from IHD could be attributed to a decline in coronary event rates and one third to decreasing case-fatality. A more recent study by Smolina et al. [31] during the 2000s in England reported just over half of the decline in IHD mortality could be attributed to a decline in event rates and just less than half to improved survival at thirty days.

The increasing mortality in some countries in Eastern Europe is likely to reflect a combination of continued high exposure to cardiovascular risk factors (including tobacco smoke [32]) and inadequate prevention strategies e.g. poor control of hypertension [33,34]. In addition, evidence suggests a positive association between excess alcohol consumption in Eastern European countries and increased mortality from cardiovascular disease [35]. Unfortunately, data are sparse for many areas of the world e.g. Latin America, Africa that are likely to be incurring continued unfavourable trends in IHD mortality due to rapid urbanisation and the shifting focus of tobacco companies and processed food and drinks manufacturers to low-and-middle

Table 2a

Age specific death rates from IHD in 2001 (Male).

Data from the World Health Organisation.

Regions	Age specific death rates from IHD (per 100,000 population)													
	0-4	5-14	15–29	30-44	45-59	60–69	70–79	80+						
Low income countries														
East Asia and Pacific	1	1	3	15	79	304	779	1606						
Europe and Central Asia	0	0	6	89	517	1591	3571	8598						
Latin America and Caribbean	0	0	3	17	126	414	939	1956						
Middle East and North Africa	0	0	5	45	304	956	2156	3758						
South Asia	2	2	5	35	302	1005	2207	3644						
Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0	1	14	139	526	1345	2291						
High income countries														
High income countries	0	0	1	13	91	298	805	2253						

Table 2b

Age specific death rates from IHD in 2001 (Female). Data from the World Health Organisation.

	Age Specific Death Rates from IHD (per 100,000 population)													
Regions	0-4	5-14	15–29	30-44	45-59	60–69	70–79	80+						
Low income countries														
East Asia and Pacific	0	0	3	8	47	227	647	1776						
Europe and Central Asia	0	0	2	16	132	666	2261	7911						
Latin America and Caribbean	0	0	1	7	55	223	567	1758						
Middle East and North Africa	0	0	2	16	137	587	1565	3618						
South Asia	2	1	9	25	163	790	1945	3217						
Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0	1	6	86	410	1041	2212						
High income countries														
High income countries	0	0	0	3	23	107	401	1789						

Table 3

Age-standardised IHD mortality for selected countries between 2000 and 2009. Data directly standardised to WHO world standard population.

	Standardised mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease (per 100,000 population)														
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009					
Australia	21.7	20.4	18.9	17.7	16.6		13.5								
Austria			23.7	21.8	19.9	19.4	18.8	18.5	17.1	17.3					
Bulgaria						34.3	31.8	29.1	27.0						
Canada	22.9	21.3	20.0	19.5	18.1										
Croatia	39.1	33.4	31.8	36.1	31.5	32.8	31.3	29.5	29.8	30.4					
Cyprus					16.7	17.6	15.9	18.5	15.7						
Czech Republic	39.7	38.0	36.4	35.1	32.7	33.9	31.9	34.7	32.5	31.4					
Denmark	21.8	21.7	18.8	17.5	16.2	14.7	13.5								
Egypt					14.9	17.3	22.6	23.4	20.1						
Estonia	67.8	66.3	63.1	59.9	55.1	50.4	48.8	46.1	42.0						
Finland	35.1	32.3	31.4	29.8	27.8	26.2	25.5	24.6	23.3	22.4					
France	9.9	9.4	8.9	8.6	8.0	7.7	7.1	6.8							
Germany	25.0	23.7	22.9	22.3	20.7	19.4	18.4								
Hong Kong		9.6	9.7	10.3	10.4	10.0	9.2	10.1	9.8	9.3					
Hungary	49.1	46.1	45.0	46.8	46.9	52.4	48.7	46.0	43.8	43.6					
Iceland	23.1	21.2	22.3	19.3	21.6	17.5	16.2	16.8	16.4						
Israel	18.8	16.8	15.3	15.0	12.9	12.4	12.2	12.5							
Japan	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.7	6.3	6.5	6.2	6.0	5.9	5.7					
Kuwait	26.4	27.9	27.0					25.3	25.2	30.2					
Kyrgyzstan	71.8	69.4	78.6	81.8	77.5	84.1	90.2	88.4	90.0	91.5					
Latvia	65.6	64.9	62.3	60.7	61.0	61.3	60.4	62.3	56.1	54.5					
Lithuania	57.4	62.8	62.3	62.6	61.7	64.9	64.0	62.5	58.9	56.1					
Luxembourg	18.3	16.4	15.9	18.7	16.1	13.3	15.4	13.1	12.1						
Malta	35.4	33.9	30.8	30.9	26.5	30.2	27.9	24.0	24.7						
Netherlands	18.9	17.2	16.2	15.4	13.5	12.6	11.3	10.3	9.6	8.9					
New Zealand	27.5	27.7	26.1	24.2	23.8	20.8	20.1	19.1							
Norway	23.4	22.1	20.9	18.7	17.0	15.0	14.1	13.6	12.9	12.2					
Poland	31.3	29.4	27.2	26.6	25.2	24.1	23.5	22.4	21.5						
Romania	47.8	47.3	48.3	46.6	44.5	44.0	42.8	40.6	39.3	37.9					
Serbia	28.7	28.0	28.7	28.7	28.1	31.4	30.4	27.9	27.3	26.5					
Slovakia	59.0	53.9	52.4	53.2	52.5	50.8									
Slovenia	20.8	20.0	17.6	18.3	16.5	16.0	14.0	13.9	14.4	13.3					
Spain	13.7	13.1	12.7	12.5	11.7	11.5	10.6	10.1	9.5						
Sweden	24.5	23.5	22.7	21.7	19.9	18.9	18.2	17.2	16.5						
United Kingdom		29.3	27.7	26.2	24.0	22.4	20.6	19.4	18.2	16.7					
USA	29.7	28.2	27.2	25.9	24.0	23.1									



Fig. 5. (a). Changes in crude annual mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease for selected Countries between 2000 and 2009. (b). Changes in directly standardised annual mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease for selected Countries between 2000 and 2009.

income regions [36]. Rapid urbanisation has been positively associated with risk factors related to IHD [37] e.g. smoking, high BMI, poor blood pressure control and lower physical activity. Without accurate baseline mortality data it will be difficult to target prevention strategies for the future.

5. Limitations

A major limitation to our analysis of world-wide IHD trends is the paucity of data from certain geographical areas such as Latin America and Africa. The majority of data currently reported to the WHO is from high income countries with poor representation from lowand-middle income countries.

Despite the existence of the WHO ICD coding system which tries to standardise cause of death coding, there may still be differences in reporting patterns between countries, for example in the handling of deaths where multiple causes may have contributed, or where background medical information is scarce. In addition the validity of the ICD code assigned may vary from place to place. This may lead to either over or under-reporting of death due to ischaemic heart disease. In addition, the uncertainty around cause of death coding may be exaggerated in low-and-middle income countries, as some large countries such as India and China have not implemented fully comprehensive death registration systems but rely on sample surveillance for vital statistics [38,39].

Although low-and-middle income countries will struggle more than high income countries to find resources to target IHD prevention, it is in these countries that the impact could be greatest as they have the highest age-specific death rates in younger people. IHD contributes substantially to premature mortality in these populations, and reducing IHD mortality will increase the lifespan of working-age populations, and may therefore have an important effect on economic growth [40]. Not all preventative strategies will be prohibitively expensive. For example generic aspirin and statins are available cheaply, and government initiatives to reduce smoking need not be financially demanding [41]. While we acknowledge that many low-and-middle income countries may have competing healthcare priorities, this paper highlights the burden of IHD, and the critical need to target preventative and treatment interventions for IHD in these areas. It is particularly important that we do not hastily assume intervention in these countries would be futile, since they now bear the majority of the global burden of IHD deaths [42,43]. The impact of prevention and treatment strategies has been projected for non-communicable diseases in low-and-middle income countries and can potentially reduce age standardised death rates by 2% per year [44].

6. Conclusion

This paper illustrates mortality trends from IHD between 1995 and 2009 derived from WHO international data. IHD mortality rises sharply with age and IHD thus remains the leading cause of death even in high income countries where age-standardised death rates have fallen by as much as 50% in the last 25 years, as their populations age. In addition, premature mortality (at age <60 years) from IHD is far from trivial, and age-specific death rates in the working age population are much higher in low-and-middle income countries than high income countries. This, combined with the large number of persons at risk in this age group in low-and-middle income countries, makes IHD a particular challenge for these countries.

Rising trends in IHD mortality are not inevitable as the declining age-standardised mortality rates in many high income countries demonstrate. Reliable information is the first step to successful initiatives to tackle this potentially tractable but currently uncontrolled epidemic. The opportunities are great because — unlike many other causes of death — the susceptibility of IHD to prevention and treatment is exquisite.

Table 4

Indirectly standardised mortality ratios (SMR)^a for IHD for all WHO member states ranked by country SMR in 2008.

	Indirectly standardised mortality ratios for ischaemic heart disease															
	Year	'95	'96	'97	'98	'99	'00'	'01	'02	'03	'04	'05	'06	'07	'08	'09
Turkmenistan Afghanistan Ukraine Kazakhstan															536 489 482 437	
Belarus Kyrgyzstan Uzbekistan							288	288	327	339	320 288	357 306	386	387	414 401 400	397
Moldova Russian Federation			409	351	341	382	384	372	398	410	390	416	394	389	377 349	388
Georgia Yemen Armenia Somalia Sudan Bhutan Azerbaijan Pakistan Oman					249	246	267	258							329 318 302 296 291 291 290 282	
Guyana Lithuania Iraq Tajikistan Libya Bangladesh					264	251	237	139 262	136 263	178 265	192 265	195 283	186 283	282	281 275 275 273 270 261	271
Slovakia Myanmar Malawi Côte d'Ivoire Saudi Arabia Iran Jordan India		211	206	208	209	213	229	222	217	224	222	223			237 251 243 240 237 236 232 227 226	248
Latvia Egypt Morocco Guinea-Bissau Turkey Lebanon Indonesia Gambia Nepal Zambia Swaziland Timor-Leste			252	250	267	246	242	232	223	223	227	225	220	240	216 214 212 211 207 207 206 201 200 197	215
Estonia Albania Guinea Mozambique Cambodia				253	273	256	252	249	245	239	219	208	206	198	193 194 193 192 191	184
Hungary Honduras Malaysia Syria Di Vicasia an			181	179	182	182	172	173	172	182	185	213	202	194	190 190 189 188 188	192
Ethiopia Cameroon Angola						103	110	114	129	129	00	115	124	140	184 182 182 181	
Uganda Liberia							91	94	103	79	98	115	134	140	181 180 178	
Trinidad and Tobago Congo Benin Namibia Sierra Leone Equatorial Guinea						206	172	172	179		172	166	166		177 174 173 173 170	
Romania Burkina Faso Comoros Togo Burundi Ghana Congo						186	176	177	182	178	174	176	176	171	170 169 163 162 161 160 160	169
Czech Republic		188	172	161	148	151	144	142	139	137	130	144	142	162	159	159

	Year	'95	'96	'97	'98	'99	'00	'01	'02	'03	'04	'05	'06	'07	'08	'09
Lesotho															158	
Tanzania															156	
Nigeria															154	
Mauritania															153	
Viet Nam															121	
United Arab Emirates															143	
Kuwait		97	87	100	102	101	87	94	94	95	104	115	121	115	142	16
Senegal															142	
Mali															138	
Croatia		100	94	92 138	97	98 121	101	106	105	113	113	115	119	125	136	14
Rwanda		120	125	120	120	121	120	120	119	141	124	154	152	151	130	14
Eritrea															132	
Jamaica															129	
Kenya															129	
Madagascar															125	
Niger															124	
Botswana															124	
Macedonia															121	
Finland			130	127	129	130	130	125	128	124	117	118	118	119	118	11
Mauritius			150	127	120	150	150	120	120			132	134	127	118	11
Nicaragua												-	-		118	-
Bosnia and Herzegovina															115	
Malta		107	113	123	114	128	122	120	115	119	108	128	125	109	113	
Suriname Republic of Karaa		39	38	47	49	62	60				67	76			113	
xepublic of Korea								105	00	104	100	110	100	107	112	
Bulgaria								105	99	104	106	113	106	107 117	110	
Zimbabwe												100	120	11/	110	
El Salvador															108	
Singapore															106	
Colombia				76	77	83	85	87	87		94	100	101	102	105	
Mongolia															100	
China			40	20	41	20	25	20	20	27	40	40			99	
Falaguay Sri Lanka			48	30	41	38	35	38	38	37	48	48	57		98	
South Africa			58	46	51	54	55	56	58	63	60	61	62	59	97	
Algeria															96	
USA						113	109	107	105	102	96	95			95	
Grenada								43	54	52	74	72	75	58	94	
Sao Tome and Principe															93	
New Zealallu Serbia					77	70	97	103	102	101	102	94 101	96	91	92	0
reland					//	79	65	65	87	66	88	101	99	92	92	9
Germany					103	101	97	96	95	95	88	86	83	50	90	5
Austria					105	101	07	50	102	98	93	93	93	94	90	9
Fhailand															89	
Poland						103	100	97	93	93	90	90	90	87	88	
celand Sweden			101	96	90	102	89	82	92	89	91	78	84	80	87	8
oweden				112	111	108	103	101	101	98	92	90	90	86	84	
Montenegro															82	
Mexico					50	61	61	63	67	70	70	73	74	75	80	
Jruguay				62	65	66	59	59	07	70	55	75	/4	75	79	
Canada							79	77	76	76	73				78	
Haiti															77	
United Kingdom								104	101	98	91	87	81	79	76	7
Australia					85	83	80	79	78	76	74		66		75	
BidZll Danama			106	106	109	110	113	114	117	60	63	61	65	67	69	
Costa Rica				61	52 62	49 69	52 70	54 70	55 71	59 70	61 70	69	65 71	/3	68	F
Denmark		178	111	109	101	100	92	94	83	70	72	08 68	63	08	67	U
Belize		120	111	73	60	41	84	53	60	57	41	65	51	61	67	
Belgium					63	59					57	55		51	67	
Cape Verde															66	
Switzerland															66	
Norway			103	104	101	100	94	91	90	84	78	70	67	67	65	6
Italy										60			54	55	64	

(continued on next page)

Table 4 (continued)

	Year	'95	'96	'97	'98	'99	'00'	'01	'02	'03	'04	'05	'06	'07	'08	'09
Brunei Darussalam															62	
Chile				48	49	49	47	48	48	50	49	49	49	50	61	
Bahamas						75	82	79	51	42	51	57			60	
Greece															60	
Slovenia				80	82	77	73	71	65	69	62	63	55	57	59	58
Saint Lucia			26	33	34	34	25	30	32	27	32	54			56	
Israel					81	69	69	65	62	64	57	58	58	63	56	
Qatar		67									44	61	64	56	55	54
Maldives															55	
Peru						11	21							28	54	
Barbados							51	36	40	52	34		48		54	
Cyprus											51	56	55	62	54	
Luxembourg					65	58	62	58	57	69	59	53	62	54	52	
Guatemala												39	44	47	48	
Hong Kong								32	33	37	40	40	37	44	46	43
Bahrain				118	74	77	66	58	64	64	46	38	34	41	42	38
Netherlands			75	71	70	67	64	61	58	57	52	49	46	43	42	39
Spain						47	45	45	45	46	45	45	43	43	41	
Argentina				47	50	52	48	47	45	45	42	44	43	44	41	
Portugal									48	48				41	39	38
Ecuador				22	25	27	26	26	29	26	26	28	29	31	31	25
France							36	35	34	34	32	32	31	30	30	
French Guiana								20	23	24	21	20	16		27	
Japan		25	23	23	23	24	23	23	23	24	23	25	25	24	25	25
Martinique							16	14	12	16	13	14	15		16	
Guadeloupe							15	12	17	17	18	16	16		15	

^a Standard population is defined as the average age-specific mortality rates of the UK, USA, Canada, France and Germany in 2001.

References

- [1] http://www.who.int/whosis/whostat/2008/en/index.html.
- [2] Xu JQ, Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Tejada-Vera B. Deaths: final data for 2007. National vital statistics reports, vol. 58 no. 19. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2010.
- [3] Scarborough P, Wickramasinghe K, Bhatnagar P, Rayner M. Trends in coronary heart disease 1961–2011. London: British Heart Foundation; 2011.
- [4] Rosamond W, Flegal K, Furie K, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2008 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Circulation 2008;117(4):e25-146.
- [5] Scarborough P, Bhatnagar P, Wickramasinghe K, Smolina K, Mitchell C, Rayner M. Coronary heart disease statistics. British Heart Foundation; 2010.
- [6] Allender S, Scarborough P, Peto V, et al. European cardiovascular disease statistics 2008. Brussels: European Heart Network; 2008.
- [7] Uemura K, Pisa Z. Trends in cardiovascular disease mortality in industrialized countries since 1950. World Health Stat Q 1988;41:155-78.
- [8] Ragland KE, Selvin S, Merrill DW. The onset of decline in ischemic heart disease mortality in the United States. Am J Epidemiol 1988;127:516-31.
- [9] Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Måhönen M, Tolonen H, Ruokokoski E, Amouyel P. Contribution of trends in survival and coronary-event rates to changes in coronary heart disease mortality: 10-year results from 37 WHO MONICA project populations. Monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease. Lancet 1999;353(9164):1547-57.
- [10] Rodríguez T, Malvezzi M, Chatenoud L, et al. Trends in mortality from coronary heart and cerebrovascular diseases in the Americas: 1970–2000. Heart 2006;92(4):453-60.
- [11] Levi F, Chatenoud L, Bertuccio P, Lucchini F, Negri E, La Vecchia C. Mortality from cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases in Europe and other areas of the world: an update. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2009;16(3):333-50.
- [12] Roger VL. Epidemiology of myocardial infarction. Med Clin North Am 2007;91(4): 537-52.
- [13] World Health Organisation statistical Information System. WHO mortality database. http://www.who.int/healthinfo/statistics/mortality.
- [14] http://www.who.int/evidence/bod.
- [15] Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, Jamison DT, Murray C. Global burden of disease and risk factors. Chapter 3The burden of disease and mortality by condition: data, methods, and results for 2001; 2001.
- [16] World Health Organisation. International statistical classification of disease and related health problems. 10th revision. World Health Organisation; 1992.
- [17] http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Excel-Data/population.htm.
- [18] Ahmad OB, Boschi-Pinto C, Lopez AD, Murray C, Lozano R, Inoue M. Age standardisation of rates: a new WHO standard. GPE discussion paper series: no.31EIP/GPE/EBD. World Health Organization; 2001.
- [19] Levi F, Lucchini F, Negri E, La Vecchia C. Trends in mortality from cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases in Europe and other areas of the world. Heart 2002;88(2):119-24.
- [20] Goldman L. The decline in coronary heart disease: determining the paternity of success. Am J Med 2004;117:274-6.

- [21] Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. Lancet 2004;364:937-52.
- [22] Ezzati M, Hoorn SV, Rodgers A, et al. Estimates of global and regional potential health gains from reducing multiple major risk factors. Lancet 2003;362(9380):271-80.
- [23] Kuulasmaa K, Tunstall-Pedoe H, Dobson A, et al. Estimation of contribution of changes in classic risk factors to trends in coronary event rates across the WHO MONICA project populations. Lancet 2000;355:675-87.
- [24] WHO Monica project. Ecological analysis of the association between mortality and major risk factors of cardiovascular disease. Int J Epidemiol 1994;23:505-16.
- [25] MacMahon S, Peto R, Cutler J, et al. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 1. Prolonged differences in blood pressure: prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. Lancet 1990;335:765-74.
- [26] Collins R, Peto R, MacMahon S, et al. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 2. Short-term reductions in blood pressure: overview of randomised drug trials in their epidemiological context. Lancet 1990;335:827-38.
- [27] Tunstall-Pedoe H, Vanuzzo D, Hobbs M, et al. Estimation of contribution of changes in coronary care to improving survival, event rates, and coronary heart disease mortality across the WHO MONICA project populations. Lancet 2000;355:688-700.
- [28] Yusuf S, Islam S, Chow CK, et al. Use of secondary prevention drugs for cardiovascular disease in the community in high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries (the PURE Study): a prospective epidemiological survey. Lancet 2011;378(9798):1231-43.
- [29] Tunstall-Pedoe H for the WHO MONICA Project. MONICA monograph and multimedia sourcebook: world's largest study of heart disease, stroke, risk factors, and population trends 1979–2002. WHO; 2003 [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/ publications/2003/9241562234].
- [30] Rosamond WD, Chambless LE, Folsom AR, et al. Trends in the incidence of myocardial infarction and in mortality due to coronary heart disease, 1987 to 1994. N Engl J Med 1998;339:861-7.
- [31] Smolina K, Wright FL, Rayner M, Goldacre MJ. Determinants of the decline in mortality from acute myocardial infarction in England between 2002 and 2010: linked national database study. BMJ 2012;344:d8059.
- [32] Roberts B, Gilmore A, Stickley A, et al. Changes in smoking prevalence in 8 countries of the former Soviet Union between 2001 and 2010. Am J Public Health 2012;102(7):1320-8.
- [33] Roberts B, Stickley A, Balabanova D, Haerpfer C, McKee M. The persistence of irregular treatment of hypertension in the former Soviet Union. J Epidemiol Community Health 2012;66(11):1079-82.
- [34] Kotseva K, Wood D, De Backer G, et al. Cardiovascular prevention guidelines in daily practice: a comparison of EUROASPIRE I, II, and III surveys in eight European countries. Lancet Mar 14, 2009;373(9667):929-40.
- [35] Ramstedt M. Fluctuations in male ischaemic heart disease mortality in Russia 1959–1998: assessing the importance of alcohol. Drug Alcohol Rev 2009;28(4): 390-5.
- [36] Stuckler D, McKee M, Ebrahim S, Basu S. Manufacturing epidemics: the role of global producers in increased consumption of unhealthy commodities including processed foods, alcohol, and tobacco. PLoS Med 2012;9(6):e1001235.

- [37] http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/88/4/09-065847/en/index.html.

- [37] http://www.wito.int/builetin/volumes/s8/4/09-065847/elt/intex.ittini.
 [38] http://unstats.un.org/unsd/vitalstatkb/KnowledgebaseArticle50391.aspx.
 [39] http://unstats.un.org/unsd/vitalstatkb/Attachment476.aspx.
 [40] Abegunde DO, Mathers CD, Adam T, Ortegon M, Strong K. The burden and costs of chronic diseases in low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet 2007;370(9603):1929-38.
- [41] Beaglehole R, Bonita R, Horton R, et al. Priority actions for the non-communicable disease crisis. Lancet 2011;377(9775):1438-47.
- [42] Lim SS, Gaziano TA, Gakidou E, et al. Prevention of cardiovascular disease in high-risk individuals in low-income and middle-income countries: health effects and costs. Lancet 2007;370(9604):2054-62.
- [43] Asaria P, Chisholm D, Mathers C, Ezzati M, Beaglehole R. Chronic disease preven-tion: health effects and financial costs of strategies to reduce salt intake and con-trol tobacco use. Lancet 2007;370(9604):2044-53.
- [44] Strong K, Mathers C, Leeder S, Beaglehole R. Preventing chronic diseases: how many lives can we save? Lancet 2005;366(9496):1578-82.