

Exploring Varied Experiences of Three Stakeholders of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Ahmed Jojan Nandonik¹, Asibul Islam Anik², Zarina Nahar Kabir³

¹Monitoring and Results Measurement Department, SAJIDA Foundation, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ²Research and Evaluation Department, SAJIDA Foundation, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ³Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden

Correspondence: Ahmed Jojan Nandonik, Research, Monitoring and Evaluation Department, SAJIDA Foundation, OTOBI Center, 5th Floor, Plot 12, Block CWS(C), Gulshan South Avenue, Dhaka, 1212, Bangladesh, Tel +8801674649698, Email ahmedjojan@gmail.com; jojan@sajida.org

Abstract: Rapid communication describes the multifaceted impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on three stakeholder groups: family caregivers of COVID-19 patients, frontline health workers attending to COVID-19 patients, and hospital-discharged COVID-19 patients. This communication aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the challenges faced by each group and their experience during the critical period of the pandemic. Three descriptive qualitative studies were conducted between July 2020 and November 2021, using individual in-depth interviews and focus group discussions. Participants were selected purposively. The findings of the three studies were collated in this rapid communication to compare the unique perspectives of the different stakeholders. Thematic analysis led to categories of *Varied challenges and family realities*, *Accepting risks*, *Support*, and *Unexpected positive outcomes*. These findings emphasise the need for context-specific dissemination of public health messages, particularly in densely populated urban areas. Policymakers are urged to consider the diverse challenges faced by different groups when formulating pandemic response strategies. Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the intricacies of navigating public health crises, fostering a deeper understanding of human connections and resilience during challenging times.

Keywords: COVID, Bangladesh, family caregiver, healthcare professionals, discharged patients

Background

Public health crises, such as pandemics, are inherently complex and pose multiple challenges, resulting in the overburdening of health systems,^{1,2} economic shocks,³ and disruption of personal and social processes.^{4,5} Such complex situations involve multiple stakeholders, including policymakers, service providers, recipients, and the public, requiring a comprehensive response.⁶ It is important to note that each social and stakeholder group offers unique perspectives regarding experienced challenges and adopted strategies that describe their coping (and survival) strategies while navigating through turbulence. These perspectives can form the basis for devising comprehensive contextual responses and mitigation plans for future pandemics.^{7,8}

Policy actions require evidence from multiple perspectives. While much evidence exists from the perspective of single stakeholders, similarities or differences in the experiences of multiple stakeholders are rarely reported during the COVID-19 pandemic. In Bangladesh, the government endeavoured to overcome potential obstacles through the COVID-19 response plan called “Bangladesh Preparedness and Response Plan for COVID-19” (BPRP).⁹ Nonetheless, diverse perspectives of various stakeholders have been overlooked because of the limited time available to thoroughly assess and respond to each stakeholder’s specific challenges. This was perhaps the critical gap that led to a somewhat blanket approach while devising response and mitigation plans in most countries across the globe, including Bangladesh.¹⁰

Pandemic related studies are typically portrayed from the viewpoint of specific communities, overlooking diversity in experiences. This paper describes the nuanced impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on three different stakeholders, drawing from three qualitative studies. It sheds light on the distinct experiences faced by each group and how their

experiences varied based on their unique situation. The paper emphasizes tailored responses by policymakers to foster a more resilient and compassionate approach to future pandemics.

Objective

In this rapid communication, our objective is to highlight three distinct stakeholder experiences: patients with COVID-19, their family caregivers, and frontline health workers that emerged within a specific timeframe during the COVID-19 pandemic, with particular emphasis on the unique experiences given their different contexts.

Methodology

This rapid communication presents stakeholders' experiences from the three qualitative studies presented in Table 1. The stakeholders represented: i) family members responsible for the care of confirmed or suspected COVID-19 patients residing in the same household, ii) frontline health workers engaged in the treatment of patients with COVID-19, and iii) individuals who had been hospitalised due to COVID-19 and subsequently discharged following initial recovery. All three studies were conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic using semi-structured in-depth online interviews and focus-group discussions. The study on family caregivers (conducted during July–August 2020) comprised seven family members of individuals who had recovered from COVID-19.¹¹ The study on frontline health workers (conducted during July–August 2020) explored experience of 18 healthcare professionals from different health intervention including hospital, home-based caregiving facility and health information hotline facility.¹² The study on recovered patients (conducted during October–November 2021) includes 14 individuals. All participants were purposively selected.¹³ Notably, these studies were conducted using either thematic or content analysis. Deductive thematic analysis was used to analyse the data in this paper.

Table 1 Methodology of the Three Included Studies

Category	Study On		
	Family Caregivers ¹¹	Frontline Health Workers ¹²	Recovered Patients ¹³
Study design	Descriptive qualitative study		
Data collection timeline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> July – August, 2020 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> July – August, 2020 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> October – November, 2021
Respondents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Family member of a person who recovered from COVID-19 (No. of participants: 7) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physician Nurse Formal caregiver who provides care at home (No. of participants: 18) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously hospitalized patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (No. of participants: 14)
Data Collection Method	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online In-depth Interview 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online In-depth interview Online Focus group discussion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online In-depth Interview
Data analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thematic Analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Analysis

Results

The analysis of the data of the three studies^{11–13} revealed four key categories presented in Table 2: a) varied challenges and family realities, b) accepting risks, c) support, and d) unexpected positive outcomes.

Varied Challenges and Family Realities

The COVID-19 pandemic presented a formidable challenge to society, manifested in distinctly different forms among the three groups of stakeholders presented in this report.

Table 2 Results of the Three Categories of Participants

Category	Family Caregivers ¹¹	Frontline Health Workers ¹²	Recovered Patients ¹³
Varied challenges and family realities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited space at home Heavy drawing from savings 	Personal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Life still in lockdown Resistance from family and society to join COVID dedicated hospital Professional <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Irrational demand of specific prescription Usage of Personal Protective Equipment Continuous flow of new information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical changes ie, heaviness around chest, lack of sleep, memory loss. Financial loss during lockdown forced to take unwanted decision
Accepting risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Calculated risk of going out for daily necessities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sense of responsibility motivated to carry on serving 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urgency of going back to work or working extra hours prolonged recovery
Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mixed response 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization support was a breath of relief 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support from family, workplace and relatives accelerated recovery
Unexpected positive outcome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Family bond grew stronger Habit of better hygiene 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rewarding experience Doctor-patient bond grew stronger Resistance turned into pride 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Being grateful towards life Discovering self

Urban families have limited space at home, as urban spaces in Dhaka City are typically crowded compared to peri-urban or rural areas. Moreover, the scarcity of affordable housing compels families to resort to more confined spaces to curtail monthly expenditures. Many people seeking livelihood opportunities in Dhaka live in informal arrangements and often share common spaces with other households. The COVID-19 protocol (from the Bangladesh government and World Health Organization) promoting safe distance between family members and infected household members seemed to be an ambitious directive for urban families, given the spatial constraints in their homes.

On the other hand, frontline health workers (FHWs) treating COVID-19 patients experienced a sense of detachment from their families. Their isolation persisted even after the government eased the mobility restrictions. Owing to the consistent exposure of patients with COVID-19, FHWs were compelled to reside in separate accommodations at the end of their shifts. This extended isolation from family members for months created a void in their lives, causing psychological distress.

Speaking of mobility restriction, it imposed financial strain on the general populace. Business closed down. Families found themselves heavily reliant on their savings to meet monthly financial obligations. With the relaxation of lockdown, income earners rushed back to work in an attempt to regain financial stability. However, this rush for financial recovery deprived recently recovered COVID-19 patients—those recently discharged from hospitals—of the necessary time to recuperate adequately. This hastiness led to physical distress like feeling heaviness around chest, loss of memory and sleep deprivation for those individuals.

Therefore, it is important for policymakers to acknowledge different challenges faced by different groups while developing policies for pandemics.

Accepting Risks

In the context of the pandemic, people going out for daily needs and livelihood was a point of discussion in families in considering the risk of contracting COVID-19 by stepping out of the safety of one's home. The underlying reason of risking exposure varied for the different stakeholders. Fear of death was prevalent during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, as people grappled with the realization that they were experiencing a global health crisis, and reports of fatalities were widespread in the media. Despite the fear and mobility restriction, the general population had no choice but to go out of their homes to buy essentials such as groceries or medicine. Usually, male household members ventured out. Moreover, many were forced to leave home in search of livelihood as food and daily essentials from the government were not assured for everyone. Unlike the general population, many professionally established healthcare professionals who were financially solvent had the option to step back and protect themselves by staying at home. However, despite the

risk of exposure, their deep-rooted desire to positively impact society and sense of ethical responsibility towards patients motivated them to embrace the fear and attend to patients with COVID-19.

Support

The stakeholders reported mixed experiences with respect to the Support they received from their social networks. Some received emotional support and financial aid from their networks including family members, neighbors and workplace which was beyond their expectations. Those who were hospitalized for COVID-19 expressed profound gratitude towards healthcare practitioners for their care and treatment during the challenging period of the pandemic. Some even received financial support from their employers covering treatment expenses and allowing paid leave. Also, these individuals were assured about continuation of their employment after being discharged from the hospital. A few faced social discrimination when a family member contracted COVID-19. Property owners issued eviction notices due to heightened fear of contraction and mortality, which in the early stages of the pandemic led to COVID-19 becoming associated with stigma.

Government and non-profit entities offered training and education sessions to inform professionals about safety protocols and care provision. Importantly, adequate provision of personal protective equipment (PPE) arranged by hospital authorities have not only ensured safety but also alleviated the anxiety allowing FHWs to focus on providing necessary care to the one in need without constant worry of catching the virus. Furthermore, healthcare professionals who were unable to stay at the frontline for various reasons were able to contribute remotely through platforms, such as telehealth, established by organizations which played a crucial role in creating opportunities for them to combat the COVID-19 pandemic.

Unexpected Positive Outcome

Despite the unprecedented challenges posed by the pandemic, the different stakeholders noted some positive outcomes of the pandemic. These varied among the stakeholders at professional, personal and family level, and particularly evident among those who either cared for a patient with COVID-19 or contracted the virus themselves.

The appreciation of heightened family bonds and the adoption of improved hygiene practices are noteworthy positive outcomes during the pandemic as observed by the stakeholders. Sudden mobility restriction granted families an unusual opportunity to spend substantial amount of uninterrupted time together, a departure from the fast-paced urban living that is typical during non-pandemic times. Increased family togetherness fostered a deeper sense of connection among the family members, which proved particularly valuable during a period characterized by adversity. It provided vital emotional support and gave courage to individuals' resolve to confront the challenges they faced.

Experience of working amidst pandemic left enduring impact on FHWs' professional and personal lives, with many experiences yielding positive outcomes. Firstly, FHWs found their roles profoundly rewarding despite daunting challenges of risk of exposure. Opportunity of serving patients and potentially saving lives amidst pandemic was a source of self fulfilment for them. Secondly, doctor-patient relationship took on heightened significance during this period. When family members of patients with COVID-19 had to maintain physical distance from the patients and could not remain with them at the hospital, FHWs and patients found themselves sharing unique and close bonds forged through the time of the pandemic.

The pandemic also led to self-discovery for many individuals. Forced isolation and uncertainty about the future gave patients who recovered from COVID-19 an opportunity for self-reflection. Many discovered that they had the inner strength they did not know they possessed to cope with such a challenging situation, while some re-evaluated their life choices. The pandemic allowed people to explore new interests. Some devoted themselves into the spiritual realm.

Overall, the pandemic led people to realize the value human connection and foster a renewed appreciation for relationships and social bonds.

Discussion

This report shares valuable insights that can be instrumental in the development of a comprehensive plan to address diverse experiences of different stakeholders in future pandemics.

The significant psychological stress faced by all three groups is well documented in literature focusing on mental health consequences of COVID-19. In line with our findings, increasing depression, anxiety and stress level was well documented for specific groups including general population, patients and frontline workers.^{14–16} Family caregivers experienced the dual burden of taking care of loved ones and managing household responsibilities, often under constraints of living conditions. Prolonged working hours, constantly changing medical information during the pandemic as well as isolation from family led to FHWs' burnout and stress. Recovered patients struggled with regaining normality.

Coping mechanisms for different stakeholders varied but it was crucial to understand the support system coming from different sources. Institutional support including protective gear and assurance about safety alleviated challenges and helped FHWs to cope during difficult times.^{17,18} Family caregivers and recovered patients relied on emotional and often financial support which underscores the importance of community solidarity during crises. However, consistent with literature, stigmatization^{19,20} at the initial period of the pandemic highlighted the social challenges associated with the crisis. Later on, as the pandemic progressed the stigma reduced. Similarly, FHWs mentioned diminished societal stigma and increased societal appreciation for the dedication of health workers. The varying levels of support and stigma underscore the importance of comprehensive community and social intervention to address both the needs and the societal perception of affected individuals.

Evidence suggests that absence of social safety nets and economic instability influence individual's risk-taking behaviour.²¹ Shifting priorities for health and livelihood often forced individuals or families to accept the risk resulting from non-compliance of recommended safety measures. Our findings align with this, showing that the economic pressure forced individuals to prioritize immediate financial needs over health concerns, highlighting the need for robust economic support measures in public health crises. Our findings also highlight altruism and moral commitment of healthcare providers during the pandemic, a finding consistent with existing literature.²²

COVID-19 pandemic also brought positive outcomes, such as self-reflection and greater awareness of family bonding. The pandemic underscores the human connections, as people yearned for social interaction and a sense of community. Some found it to be a unique opportunity for personal growth and professional fulfilment.²³

Conclusion

Policymakers should adopt a more context-specific approach in disseminating public health messages, in the context of densely populated urban areas. Practical and actionable advice tailored to their living conditions would maximize the effectiveness of delivering awareness messages. For instance, emphasizing mask-wearing and hand hygiene at home can be effective in crowded living conditions. Policymakers will be able to connect better with the urban population and promote more effective adherence to public health guidelines in complex environments. Moreover, economic support measures by creating emergency relief funds are crucial to alleviate the financial pressures that compel individuals to take health risks.

A contingency plan is paramount for policymakers responding to global health crises. Such a plan can safeguard the existing healthcare infrastructure and maintain economic stability. Simultaneously, economic resilience can be established by creating emergency relief funds to support individuals and businesses.

Ethics and Consent

The paper is based on secondary analyses of three studies^{11–13} previously conducted. Due to the non-invasive nature of the primary data, it did not require formal ethical approval. However, informed consent was obtained from all study participants in which they were informed about the study objective, that their participation was voluntary and they could withdraw from the study at any time. Participants were also ensured of confidentiality of the data. The study on patients recovered from COVID-19 was approved by the Bangladesh Medical Research Council (Reference number: 35115102020).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank study participants for their time and SAJIDA Foundation for financial support to conduct the study.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

Implementation of the study was financed by SAJIDA Foundation.

Disclosure

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Tangcharoensathien V, Bassett MT, Meng Q, Mills A. Are overwhelmed health systems an inevitable consequence of covid-19? Experiences from China, Thailand, and New York State. *BMJ*. 2021;372.
2. Din M, Asghar M, Ali M. COVID-19 and dengue coepidemics: a double trouble for overburdened health systems in developing countries. *J med virol*. 2021;93(2):601–602. doi:10.1002/jmv.26348
3. Barua S. Understanding Coronanomics: the Economic Implications of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic. *SSRN Electron J*. 2020.
4. Papp LM, Kouros CD. Effect of COVID-19 disruptions on young adults' affect and substance use in daily life. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2021;35(4):391–401. doi:10.1037/adb0000748
5. Yip YC, Yip KH, Tsui WK. The Transformational Experience of Junior Nurses Resulting from Providing Care to COVID-19 Patients: from Facing Hurdles to Achieving Psychological Growth. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(14):7383. doi:10.3390/ijerph18147383
6. Khalifa SAM, Mohamed BS, Elashal MH, et al. Comprehensive Overview on Multiple Strategies Fighting COVID-19. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(16):5813. doi:10.3390/ijerph17165813
7. Li B, Zhang T, Hua N, Wang Y. A dynamic model of crisis management from a stakeholder perspective: the case of COVID-19 in China. *Tour Rev*. 2021;76(4):764–787. doi:10.1108/TR-09-2020-0413
8. Tagoe ET, Sheikh N, Morton A, et al. COVID-19 Vaccination in Lower-Middle Income Countries: national Stakeholder Views on Challenges, Barriers, and Potential Solutions. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:709127. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.709127
9. Ministry of health and family welfare. Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh Bangladesh Preparedness and Response Plan for COVID-19. *Ministry Health Fam Welfare*. 2020;1–72.
10. Alam MA. Leading in the shadows: understanding administrative leadership in the context of COVID-19 pandemic management in Bangladesh. *Int J Public Leadership*. 2020;17(1):95–107. doi:10.1108/IJPL-06-2020-0050
11. Nandonik AJ, Das Pooja S, Kabir ZN, Kiriam S. Families adapting to COVID-19 in urban Bangladesh: "It felt like the sky fell apart and we were in shock. *Front Psychol*. 2024;15:1296083. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1296083
12. Pooja SD, Nandonik AJ, Ahmed T, Kabir ZN. "Working in the Dark": experiences of Frontline Health Workers in Bangladesh During COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2022;15:869–881. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S357815
13. Nandonik AJ, Das Pooja S, Ahmed T, Parvez A, Kabir ZN. Experiences of aftermath of COVID-19 in relation to social, financial and health related aspects among previously hospitalized patients: a qualitative exploration. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11:1196810. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2023.1196810
14. Anik AI, Ahmed T, Nandonik AJ, Parvez A, Das Pooja S, Kabir ZN. Evidence of mental health-related morbidities and its association with socio-economic status among previously hospitalized patients with symptoms of COVID-19 in Bangladesh. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11:1132136. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2023.1132136
15. Braquehais MD, Vargas-Cáceres S, Gómez-Durán E, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of healthcare professionals. *QJM: Int J Med*. 2020;113(9):613–617. doi:10.1093/qjmed/hcaa207
16. Park SS. Caregivers' Mental Health and Somatic Symptoms During COVID-19. *J Gerontol*. 2021;76(4):e235–40. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbaa121
17. Ahmed T, Musarrat P, Kabir ZN. Lessons learned from pandemic response to COVID-19 in Bangladesh: NGO-based emergency response framework for low- and middle-income countries. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2023;23(1):656. doi:10.1186/s12913-023-09643-w
18. Reitz KM, Terhorst L, Smith CN, et al. Healthcare providers' perceived support from their organization is associated with lower burnout and anxiety amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(11):e0259858. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259858
19. Bhanot D, Singh T, Verma SK, Sharad S. Stigma and Discrimination During COVID-19 Pandemic. *Front Public Health*. 2021;8:577018. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.577018
20. Bagechi S. Stigma during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(7):782. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30498-9
21. Ali TO, Hassan M, Hossain N. The moral and political economy of the pandemic in Bangladesh: weak states and strong societies during Covid-19. *World Dev*. 2021;137:105216. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105216
22. Feingold JH, Hurtado A, Feder A, et al. Posttraumatic growth among health care workers on the frontlines of the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Affect Disord*. 2022;296:35–40. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.032
23. Jaeger SR, Vidal L, Ares G, Chheang SL, Spinelli S. Healthier eating: covid-19 disruption as a catalyst for positive change. *Food Qual Prefer*. 2021;92:104220. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2021.104220

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on all aspects of public health, policy, and preventative measures to promote good health and improve morbidity and mortality in the population. The journal welcomes submitted papers covering original research, basic science, clinical & epidemiological studies, reviews and evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/risk-management-and-healthcare-policy-journal>