Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



## Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcte



Original research

# Dyslipidemia: The untreated metabolic dysfunction in people with type 2 diabetes in Latin America. ARETAEUS study outcomes



Juan José Gagliardino<sup>a,\*</sup>, Rosario Arechavaleta<sup>b</sup>, Freddy Goldberg Eliaschewitz<sup>c</sup>, Kristy Iglay<sup>d</sup>, Kimberly Brodovicz<sup>d</sup>, Claudio D. Gonzalez<sup>e</sup>, Shengsheng Yu<sup>d</sup>, R. Ravi Shankar<sup>d</sup>, Olaf Heisel<sup>f</sup>, Paul Keown<sup>f</sup>, Kaan Tunceli<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CENEXA, Centro de Endocrinología Experimental y Aplicada (UNLP-CONICET La Plata), La Plata, Argentina

<sup>b</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Universidad Autonoma de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

<sup>c</sup> CPClin Clinical Research Center, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA

<sup>e</sup> MSD-Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>f</sup> Syreon Corporation, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Type 2 diabetes Dyslipidemia Treatment initiation Clinical inertia Antihyperglycemic agent Statin

## ABSTRACT

*Objective:* To assess oral antihyperglycemic agents (OAHA) and/or statin treatment initiation in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) and time from diagnosis to both types of treatment initiation and intensification. *Research design and methods:* We reviewed 662 retrospective medical records of patients with T2D diagnosed by 31 general practitioner or specialist sites across Mexico, Argentina, and Brazil. Demographic and clinical information was abstracted from patients' medical records and summarized using descriptive statistics. Betweengroup differences were assessed with Student's *t*-test for continuous variables and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. The starting time of each therapy (OAHA and statins, separately) was assessed using Kaplan-Meier estimates.

*Results*: At diagnosis, patients' mean age was 53 years; 44% had hypertension, 42% were obese, and 23% had dyslipidemia. During the 2-year follow-up, 95% of patients received OAHAs but only 29% of those eligible for statins received this prescription. Mean  $\pm$  SD and median (Q1, Q3) time to first OAHA was 59  $\pm$  141 days and 1 (1, 31) day, respectively, and 230  $\pm$  232 days and 132 (30, 406) days, respectively, for a statin. During follow-up, 51–53% of patients with HbA1c/FPG values above target did not intensify hyperglycemia treatment.

Conclusion: Dyslipidemia treatment in patients with T2D was delayed despite its known deleterious effect on atherosclerosis development and  $\beta$ -cell mass/function. Anti-hyperglycemic treatment was not intensified when targets were not attained. This prescriptive inertia needs to be corrected because attainment of HbA1c treatment goals becomes more difficult, favoring the development of diabetes complications.

## Introduction

Diabetes impacts a large number of people worldwide and its prevalence continues to grow, particularly in developing countries [1,2]. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is frequently associated with other cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF) and chronic complications, resulting in a worldwide burden that negatively impacts patient health, productivity and the health care budget [3–5]. The latter impact has also been demonstrated in Latin American countries [6,7], namely the INTERHE-ART study showed that obesity, dyslipidemia and smoking together account for 78% of the population-attributable risk in this Region [8]. Data from the CARMELA study reinforce the concept, showing the high prevalence of these risk factors in Latin American cities [9].

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is an important cause of mortality worldwide and is expected to increase in the future [10]. Several studies demonstrated that lipid metabolism disorders are significantly associated with the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, a key process for the development of CVD; in particular, one third of coronary artery disease (CAD) cases are attributable to high serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) levels [11]. A systematic review and meta-analysis

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcte.2019.01.002

Received 7 September 2018; Received in revised form 2 January 2019; Accepted 24 January 2019

Abbreviations: T2D, type 2 diabetes; CVRF, cardiovascular risk factors; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHD, coronary heart disease; OAHA, oral antihyperglycemic agents

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: CENEXA (UNLP-CONICET-CA CICPBA), Facultad de Ciencias Médicas UNLP, Calle 60 y 120, 1900 La Plata, Argentina. *E-mail address:* cenexaar@yahoo.com.ar (J. José Gagliardino).

<sup>2214-6237/ © 2019</sup> Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

showed that in 2011 mortality rate due to cardiac failure in people with diabetes was responsible for a 55.56% death rate in Argentina and 9.09 in Brazil in 2014 [12]. It has also been shown that in 2015 the number of deaths related to diabetes (40–64 years of age) in Latin America accounted for 313,205 cases [13]. Further, years of life lost have increased globally for causes such as diabetes or some neoplasms [14]. On account of these data, treatment and control of these levels is the primary goal of CVD prevention in most guidelines [15–17].

Despite these recommendations, several studies have shown that management of high cholesterol levels is often suboptimal [18–20]. In fact, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) in the US from 2005 to 2008 showed that 33.5% of adults over 20 years of age had high LDL-c, but only 48.1% were treated [21].

Despite the fact that T2D significantly increases the risk of CVD and that several clinical trials have clearly shown that drugs like statins exert beneficial effects upon coronary heart disease (CHD) and can be used for primary CVD prevention [22,23], many primary care physicians have not yet adopted evidence-based lipid management strategies. Moreover, a meta-analysis of 14 randomized trials of statin therapy (mean follow-up 4.3 years) with data from more than 18,000 patients with diabetes demonstrated a 9% reduction in all-cause mortality, and a 13% reduction in vascular mortality, for each mmol/L (38.67 mg/dL) reduction in LDL-c [24]. Thus, LDL-C appears to have the greatest role in the development of early atherosclerosis and CAD in people with diabetes and thus must be treated aggressively to reduce such risk [25].

Despite this evidence on morbidity and mortality, data regarding the initiation of OAHA and statin therapy, as well as the degree of control of LDL-c and HbA1c levels in patients with T2D in Latin America are lacking [26–28]. Since this information is important to establish public health awareness and treatment policies, the current study was conducted to evaluate these issues in real-world clinical practice in Mexico, Argentina, and Brazil. In this context, our study examines: 1) the average time elapsed from T2D diagnosis to initiation of OAHAs and/or statins, 2) the percentage of people eligible for, but not receiving treatment with OAHAs and/or statins and 3) the average time elapsed before intensification of T2D monotherapy when patients have not attained their treatment goal.

#### Patients and methods

This multinational, retrospective chart review study included 662 eligible patients managed by 31 physicians (GPs and specialists) across Mexico, Argentina, and Brazil (Mexico: 15 sites/373 patients; Argentina: 8 sites/193 patients; Brazil: 8 sites/96 patients). Physicians and sites were randomly selected among those with experience in treating regularly patients with T2D and CVRF in those countries.

## Inclusion criteria

Patients were eligible for the study if 1) they were at least 21 years of age at the time of T2D diagnosis, 2) their disease was diagnosed by a physician within 24–48 months prior to the date of signing the written informed consent form, 3) they had verifiable pre-diagnosis history of relevant medication use (for the treatment of diabetes or dyslipidemia) and diabetes-associated preexisting medical illnesses/risk factors (e.g. obesity, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular events, or hypertension) for 12 months prior to the initial T2D diagnosis, 4) they were under the care/treatment of the study physician for a minimum of two years following the initial diagnosis of T2D, and 5) they were willing to provide written informed consent.

#### Exclusion criteria

Patients were excluded if they were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes (diagnosis made after a ketoacidosis episode and treated with insulin immediately after), pregnancy, or had been pregnant since the diagnosis of T2D, treated with any pharmacological antihyperglycemic medication prior to the date of their initial T2D diagnosis or, treated with insulin as their first medication after that diagnosis or had participated in another interventional clinical trial during the data collection period.

#### Patient selection

Investigators screened their medical records to find participants diagnosed with T2D within the preceding 24-48 months who met the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Selected participants were invited to participate in this study and then asked to provide written informed consent according to local regulations. After consent was obtained, information on demographics and CVRF at the time of diagnosis was collected. Additionally, information was collected on T2D history, medication usage, and relevant comorbid conditions including potential disease complications at the time of diagnosis of T2D and in the 12 months prior to this diagnosis. The investigator also gathered information on all changes in relevant medications. CVRF and diabetic complications for the subsequent 2 years. Clinical and laboratory indicators were recorded at the time of diagnosis of T2D and during the follow-up period at a maximum frequency of once per quarter or less (as available in the medical record). When available, clinical and laboratory indicators were also collected for the 12 months prior to T2D diagnosis (Fig. 1).

## Statistical analysis

All demographic and clinical data were summarized for the total study population using descriptive statistics. Numerical variables were summarized using the number of observations (N), mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables were summarized using the number and percent of participants in the analysis set belonging to each category. Between-group differences with respect to participant demographics and baseline characteristics were assessed for statistical significance with Student's *t*-test for continuous variables and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

The starting time of each therapy (OAHA and statins, separately) was assessed using Kaplan-Meier estimates. This method described the cumulative incidence of therapy (number of participants having started therapy, independent of current use).

#### Results

Table 1a summarizes the baseline characteristics of the study population. Mean age of the 662 eligible patients was 53 years, with slightly more female than male participants. Only 21.5% of patients were diagnosed by a diabetologist and less than half of the population sample was obese (BMI  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and had hypertension. However, average BMI was 31 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Mean HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels were above diagnostic



Fig. 1. Study Design.

#### Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology 15 (2019) 76-80

#### Table 1

Baseline and follow-up characteristics of patients diagnosed with T2D in Mexico, Argentina, and Brazil.

	Overall (	N = 662)
a) Baseline	n	Mean ± SD or %
Age (years)	662	$53 \pm 12$
Gender		
Male	310	46.8%
Female	352	53.2%
Family History of T2D	427	64.5%
Family History of CVD	240	36.3%
Smoking Status		
Never	458	69.2%
Current/Former	173	26.2%
Unknown	31	4.7%
CV Risk Factors		
Hypertension	289	43.7%
Obesity	280	42.3%
High Cholesterol	150	22.7%
Diagnosis done by		
PCP/GP/FM/IM	463	69.9%
Diabetologist/Endocrinologist	142	21.5%
Other specialist	57	8.6%
Comorbid Conditions		
Heart failure or diabetic cardiomyopathy	7	1.1%
PVD or intermittent claudication	7	1.1%
Diabetic retinopathy	5	0.8%
Diabetic nephropathy	4	0.6%
Diabetic neuropathy	10	1.5%
Diabetic foot	2	0.3%
Clinical data		
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	294	$31 \pm 6$
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	282	$130 \pm 14$
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	282	$80 \pm 8$
Laboratory data		
HbA1c (%)	142	$7.8 \pm 1.6$
Fasting plasma glucose(mg/dL)	362	$181 \pm 77$
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	224	$206 \pm 43$
LDL-c (mg/dL)	111	$126 \pm 31$
HDL-c (mg/dL)	103	45 ± 11
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	213	196 ± 104

	Overall (N=662)		
b. Follow-up	n	Mean $\pm$ SD or %	
Smoking Status			
Yes	70	10.6%	
No	592	89.4%	
CV Risk Factors			
Hypertension	352	53.2%	
Obesity	302	45.6%	
High Cholesterol	268	40.5%	
Clinical data			
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	554	$31 \pm 6$	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	542	$128 \pm 14$	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	542	79 ± 8	
Laboratory Measures			
HbA1c (%)	504	$7.4 \pm 1.4$	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)	547	$145 \pm 48$	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	472	$192 \pm 35$	
LDL-c (mg/dL)	313	$114 \pm 31$	
HDL-c (mg/dL)	296	$44 \pm 12$	
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	465	$176 \pm 96$	

values for diabetes according to international guidelines [29].

Although average total cholesterol values were only slightly above target values, LDL-c and triglyceride levels were above those recommended by international guidelines.

Micro- and macroangiopathic complications at diagnosis were present in around 1% of the population, thus suggesting some delay in the diagnosis of T2D and CVRF.

In the follow-up period, neither BMI values nor the lipid profile showed significant changes.

Table 2	
Baseline clinical and laboratory data by OAHA and Statin Initiation Status	s.

	Initiated OAHA (n = 627; 95%)		Did Not Initiate OAHA (n = 35; 5%)	
	Ν	Mean ± SD	n	Mean ± SD
a. By OAHA Initiation	Status			
Age at diagnosis	627	$53 \pm 12$	35	$54 \pm 9$
BMI	352	$31 \pm 6$	10	$33 \pm 7$
Systolic blood pressure	324	$130 \pm 16$	12	$130 \pm 15$
Diastolic blood pressure	324	$81 \pm 9$	12	85 ± 13
HbA1c	150	$7.9 \pm 1.6$	6	$7.2 \pm 0.8$
Fasting plasma glucose	373	187 ± 77	13	$138 \pm 39^{*}$
Total cholesterol	235	$206 \pm 44$	9	$198 \pm 22$
LDL-c	110	$125 \pm 30$	5	$135 \pm 38$
HDL-c	102	44 ± 11	5	45 ± 15
Triglyceride	220	$199~\pm~106$	9	$150 \pm 74$
	Initiated Statin (n=152; 29%)		Did Not Initiate Statin (n=373; 71%)	
	20,000		(11 0)	3, 71%)
	n	Mean ± SD	n	Mean ± SD
b. By Statin Initiation S	n		n	· ·
b. By Statin Initiation S Age at diagnosis	n		n	· ·
	n Status (of t	hose eligible to receive	n a statin)	Mean ± SD
Age at diagnosis	n Status (of 1 152	hose eligible to receive 57 ± 12	n e a statin) 373	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup>
Age at diagnosis BMI Systolic blood	n Status (of 1 152 96	hose eligible to receive 57 ± 12 30 ± 4	n a statin) 373 187	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup> 31 $\pm$ 6 <sup>*</sup>
Age at diagnosis BMI Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood	n Status (of t 152 96 87	hose eligible to receive 57 ± 12 30 ± 4 131 ± 17	n a statin) 373 187 184	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup> 31 $\pm$ 6 <sup>*</sup> 131 $\pm$ 15
Age at diagnosis BMI Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood pressure	n Status (of t 152 96 87 87	hose eligible to receive 57 ± 12 30 ± 4 131 ± 17 81 ± 9	n a statin) 373 187 184 184	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup> 31 $\pm$ 6 <sup>*</sup> 131 $\pm$ 15 81 $\pm$ 9
Age at diagnosis BMI Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood pressure HbA1c Fasting plasma	n Status (of i 152 96 87 87 87 39	hose eligible to receive 57 ± 12 30 ± 4 131 ± 17 81 ± 9 7.4 ± 1.4	n a statin) 373 187 184 184 184	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup> 31 $\pm$ 6 <sup>*</sup> 131 $\pm$ 15 81 $\pm$ 9 7.7 $\pm$ 1.4
Age at diagnosis BMI Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood pressure HbA1c Fasting plasma glucose	n Status (of t 152 96 87 87 87 39 94	hose eligible to receive 57 ± 12 30 ± 4 131 ± 17 81 ± 9 7.4 ± 1.4 192 ± 87	n 2 a statin) 373 187 184 184 89 223	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup> 31 $\pm$ 6 <sup>*</sup> 131 $\pm$ 15 81 $\pm$ 9 7.7 $\pm$ 1.4 180 $\pm$ 71
Age at diagnosis BMI Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood pressure HbA1c Fasting plasma glucose Total cholesterol	n 152 96 87 87 39 94 56	hose eligible to receive $57 \pm 12$ $30 \pm 4$ $131 \pm 17$ $81 \pm 9$ $7.4 \pm 1.4$ $192 \pm 87$ $208 \pm 43$	n 2 a statin) 373 187 184 184 89 223 207	Mean $\pm$ SD 54 $\pm$ 11 <sup>*</sup> 31 $\pm$ 6 <sup>*</sup> 131 $\pm$ 15 81 $\pm$ 9 7.7 $\pm$ 1.4 180 $\pm$ 71 211 $\pm$ 44

\*P-value < 0.05.

\*P-value < 0.001.

Ninety five percent of patients started treatment with OAHA after T2D diagnosis (Table 2a). Compared to those patients without treatment prescription, their fasting blood glucose as well as HbA1c levels were significantly higher. Anyhow, untreated patients still had abnormally high values of both fasting blood glucose and HbA1c levels. Most patients treated with OAHA (82%) initiated treatment as monotherapy, while the rest used different combinations of these drugs; the average time elapsed before starting treatment was close to 2 months. No significant differences were found between patients diagnosed by general practitioners, diabetologists/endocrinologists or other specialists.

Although 51% of patients who initiated OAHA monotherapy had HbA1c values  $\geq$ 7% and 53% had FPG values  $\geq$ 126 mg/dl during the follow-up period, only 20% intensified their treatment during such period (data not shown). The time elapsed until prescription of treatment intensification (i.e., add-on therapy) was 308 ± 213 days.

Data from the follow-up period (Table 2) showed an increase in the percentage of patients with hypertension, obesity, and dyslipidemia.

In spite of a slight decrease in the average levels of HbA1c, fasting blood glucose, LDL-c and triglyceride levels remained above treatment target values in 18% and 35%, respectively. This observation suggests that these people were not appropriately treated to prevent the development and progression of diabetes chronic complications.

Of the patients eligible to receive statins according to ADA guidelines [29], only 29% initiated this treatment (Table 2b) after an average period of 8 months. Unexpectedly, diabetologists and endocrinologists started the statin prescription less often than general practitioners.

Comparing the clinical and metabolic profiles of the treated and untreated groups, the only significant difference was that people in the former group were older age and had lower BMI (Table 2b).

## Discussion

In our study, following T2D diagnosis OAHA were prescribed only after a period in which fasting blood glucose and HbA1c levels were markedly above treatment target levels. Delayed diagnosis/treatment of T2D has been reported previously in Argentina and Mexico [30,31], even though the ADA has developed recommendations to test for T2D in high-risk populations [29]. This attitude was associated with a delayed intensification treatment prescription that played against prevention of diabetic chronic complications, increased cost of care and decreased quality of life of people with the disease. Similarly, Brown and Nichols reported that practitioners delayed treatment intensification for long periods even in the presence of sustained high HbA1c levels [32], a prescriptive inertia that also favors the development and progression of chronic complications [33].

Statin treatment initiation was also delayed in spite of the presence of high LDL-c levels, either before or after diagnosis of T2D, even though large-scale clinical trials have demonstrated that statins substantially reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in both primary and secondary prevention [34-37]. Based on our results we could assume that physicians involved in the study are neither aware of the above mentioned benefits nor of the ADA guideline recommendations to use statins in patients over 40 years of age with diabetes presenting with one or more CVRF regardless of the value of serum lipids [29]. Patients in our study also had high triglyceride levels, which have shown indirect association with increased risk of death and major CV events [35]. Similar prescriptive attitudes have been reported in other latitudes: only 47% of people who suffered a stroke were treated with lipid-lowering drugs although 72.5% of the cases presented dyslipidemia, and only 24.8% of those treated attained LDL-c target values  $(\leq 100 \text{ mg/dL})$  [38].

Although dyslipidemia in patients with T2D has mostly been related to the prevention of macrovascular complications, the Verona Study demonstrated that it also affects microvascular complications, highlighting the importance of high fasting triglycerides/HDL-c ratio in relation to increased risk of developing diabetic retinopathy or nephropathy [39]. A *post-hoc* analysis from the ADVANCE study also highlighted low levels of HDL-c as a prognostic factor for the development of diabetic-related renal events, in particular newonset albuminuria [36]. Similarly, the REALIST study showed that elevated levels of triglyceride and low levels of HDL-c were both significant and independently associated with diabetic microvascular complications [40].

Statin prescription however, does not always attain its aim: in patients with a combination of coronary heart disease plus diabetes, target LDL-c values (2.6 mmol/L) were reached by only 31.8% of patients treated with simvastatin 40 mg/day at entry and by 50.0% after 12 months of follow up [41]. Low patient compliance with drugs prescribed contributes to this low target value attainment [42].

Untreated dyslipidemia could also impair other metabolic functions not always considered: LDL-c can decrease maximal glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in isolated human and murine islets [43] and it also does under *in vivo* conditions [44]. Data in the literature suggest that the deleterious effect of increased LDL-c on  $\beta$ -cell function/mass could be potentiated by the simultaneous decrease in HDL-c concentration [43,44], making the attainment of HbA1c target values more difficult, as recently reported [45].

Our data also show that these dual negative effects of dyslipidemia are not seriously considered by our physicians since an important percentage of people with LDL-c and TG levels are not treated or not appropriately treated to bring them down to target values. Another factor that contributes to this problem is the low frequency of dyslipidemia assessment in general population and in particularly in people with T2D [46]. Although clear and statistically significant, our data have some limitations that should be considered: 1) the sample size was small, which limits its statistical power; 2) certain geographic areas may have been under-represented or not represented in the study population; 3) some patient charts were incomplete; and 4) we cannot discard some kind of population bias. Despite these limitations, the current study provides important real world information for decision makers and public health authorities regarding deficiencies in the quality of care provided to people with T2D. All together, they call attention to the urgent need to implement efficient strategies to decrease the present and future diabetes burden for patients as well as for the health care system. According to evidence provided by randomized long-term prospective studies, education implemented at every level could be an effective tool to achieve this goal [47,48].

## Conclusion

Even though the majority of patients in our population were treated with an OAHA, statin prescription and intensification of OAHA therapy were delayed and suboptimal. These data demonstrate the existing treatment gap between guideline recommendations for the prevention of chronic complications and current real-world practice, at least in clinics from the three Latin American countries studied.

## Funding

Funding for this research was provided by Merck & Co. Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

## Author contribution

JJG, RA, FGE, KI, KB, CDG, SY, OH, PK, and KT were involved in the conception and design of the study. JJG, RA and FGE were responsible for patient recruitment and data collection in their own countries, as well as preparation of the draft manuscript. All co-authors interpreted the study data, critically revised the draft for intellectual content and approved the final manuscript.

## **Conflict of interest**

JJG, RA, FGE, OH and PK have disclosed that they received research support from Merck & Co. Inc., Kenilworth, NJ USA for the conduct of this study. KI, KB, CDG, SY, RRS and KT have disclosed that they are/ were full-time employees of Merck & Co. Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA at the time of the analysis and may potentially own stock and/or hold stock options in the company. RA and JJG have served as advisors to Merck Sharp and Dohme Corp., Sanofi, Eli Lilly, and Novo Nordisk, as well as speakers for all these companies and Astra Zeneca; they have also received unrestricted research support from Sanofi, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk and AstraZeneca. FGE has served as a speaker and an advisor to Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, AstraZeneca, Merck Sharpe and Dohme Corp., Takeda, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Eli Lilly. KB is currently employed by Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcte.2019.01.002.

## References

- International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 6th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2013. http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas.
- [2] Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J. DF Diabetes Atlas: global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Diab Res Clin Pract 2011;94:311–21.
- [3] Tunceli K, Bradley CJ, Nerenz D, Williams LK, Pladevall M, Elston Lafata J. The impact of diabetes on employment and work productivity. Diab Care

2005;28(11):2662-7.

- [4] Zhang P, Zhang X, Brown J, et al. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diab Res Clin Pract 2010;87(3):293–301.
- [5] Li R, Bilik D, Brown MB, et al. Medical costs associated with type 2 diabetes complications and comorbidities. Am J Manage Care 2013;19(5):421–30.
- [6] Arredondo A, De Icaza E. The cost of diabetes in Latin America: evidence from Mexico. Value Health 2011;14(5 Suppl 1):S85–8.
- [7] Elgart JF, Asteazarán S, De La Fuente JL, Camillucci C, Brown JB, Gagliardino JJ. Direct and indirect costs associated to type 2 diabetes and its complications measured in a social security institution of Argentina. Int J Public Health 2014. Sep 5. [Epub ahead of print].
- [8] Lanas F, Avezum A, Bautista LE, et al. Risk factors for acute myocardial infarction in Latin America: the INTERHEART Latin American study. Circulation 2007:115:1067–74.
- [9] Schargrodsky H, Hernández-Hernández R, Champagne BM, Silva H, Vinueza R, Silva Ayçaguer LC, et al. CARMELA: assessment of cardiovascular risk in seven Latin American cities. Am J Med 2008;121(1):58–65.
- [10] Yusuf S, Reddy S, Ounpuu S, Anand S. Global burden of cardiovascular diseases-part I: general considerations, the epidemiologic transition, risk factors, and impact of urbanization. Circulation 2001;104:2746–53.
- [11] World Health Organization, Global Health Observatory (GHO): Raised Cholesterol, 2014. http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.A884. Accessed on June 18, 2015.
- [12] Ciapponi A, Alcaraz A, Calderón M, Matta MG, Chaparro M, Soto N, et al. Burden of heart failure in Latin America: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2016 Nov;69(11):1051–60.
- [13] Barcelo A, Arredondo A, Gordillo-Tobar A, Segovia J, Qiang A. The cost of diabetes in Latin America and the Caribbean in 2015: evidence for decision and policy makers. J Glob Health 2017 Dec;7(2):020410https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.07. 020410).
- [14] GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2017;390:1151–210.
- [15] Graham I, Atar D, Borch-Johnsen K, Boysen G, Burell G, Cifkova R, et al. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: executive summary. Atherosclerosis 2007;194:1–45.
- [16] Reiner Z, Catapano AL, De Backer G, et al. ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: the task force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of cardiology (ESC) and the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). Eur Heart J 2011;32:1769–818.
- [17] Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, et al. European gidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The fifth joint task force of the European Society of cardiology and other societies on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for cardiovascular prevention and rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur Heart J 2012;33:1635–701.
- [18] Ferrieres J, Gousse ET, Fabry C, et al. Assessment of lipid lowering treatment in France-the CEPHEUS study. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2008;101:557–63.
- [19] Van Ganse E, Souchet T, Laforest L, et al. Ineffectiveness of lipid-lowering therapy in primary care. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2005;59:456–63.
- [20] Kuklina EV, Yoon PW, Keenan NL. Trends in high levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in the United States, 1999–2006. JAMA 2009;302:2104–10.
- [21] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Vital signs: prevalence, treatment, and control of high levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol-United States, 1999–2002 and 2005–2008. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:109–14.
- [22] Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators. Efficacy and safety of holesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. Lancet 2005;366:1267–78.
- [23] Mihaylova B, Emberson J, Blackwell L, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with statin therapy in people at low risk of vascular disease: meta-analysis of individual data from 27 randomised trials. Lancet 2012;380:581–90.
- [24] Kearney PM, Blackwell L, Collins R, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators. Efficacy of cholesterol lowering therapy in 18,686 people with diabetes in 14 randomised trials of statins: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2008;371:117–25.
- [25] Daniel MJ. Lipid management in patients with type 2 diabetes. Am Health Drug Benefits 2011;4(5):312–22.
- [26] Caceres M, Teran CG, Rodriguez S, Medina M. Prevalence of insulin resistance and

its association with metabolic syndrome criteria among Bolivian children and adolescents with obesity. BMC Pediatr 2008;8:31.

- [27] Davies M, Lavalle-González F, Storms F, Gomis R, AT.LANTUS Study Group. Collaborators (1026). Initiation of insulin glargine therapy in type 2 diabetes subjects suboptimally controlled on oral antidiabetic agents: results from the AT.LANTUS trial. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:387–99.
- [28] Commendatore V, Dieuzeide G, Faingold C, et al. DIFAR Academic Committee. Registry of people with diabetes in three Latin American countries: a suitable approach to evaluate the quality of health care provided to people with type 2 diabetes. Int J Clin Pract 2013;67:1261–6.
- [29] American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2017 abridged for primary care providers. Clin Diab 2017;35(1):5–26.
- [30] Sereday M, Damiano M, Lapertosa S. Chronic complications in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. Endocrinol Nutr 2008;55(2):64–8.
- [31] Castro-Ríos A, Nevárez-Sida A, Tiro-Sánchez MT, Wacher-Rodarte N. Triggering factors of primary care costs in the years following type 2 diabetes diagnosis in Mexico. Arch Med Res. 2014;45(5):400–8.
- [32] Brown JB, Nichols GA. Slow response to loss of glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Manage Care 2003;9:213–7.
- [33] Brown JB, Nichols GA, Perry A. The burden of treatment failure in type 2 diabetes. Diab Care 2004;27(7):1535–40.
- [34] Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of LDLlowering therapy among men and women: meta-analysis of individual data from 174,000 participants in 27 randomised trials. Lancet 2015;385:1397–405.
- [35] Mills EJ, Rachlis B, Wu P, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular mortality and events with statin treatments. A network meta-analysis involving more than 65,000 patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:1769–2178.
- [36] Morton J, Zoungas S, Li Q, Patel AA, Chalmers J, Woodward M, et al. Low HDL cholesterol and the risk of diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy: results of the ADVANCE study. Diab Care 2012;35:2201–6.
- [37] Aguiara C, Alegria E, Bonadonna RC, Catapano AL, Cosentino F, Elisaff M, et al. A review of the evidence on reducing macrovascular risk in patients with atherogenic dyslipidaemia: a report from an expert consensus meeting on the role of fenofibrate-statin combination therapy. Atherosclerosis 2015;19:1–12.
- [38] Rubio Gil E, Martínez Pastor A, López-Picazo Ferrer J, Leal Hernández M, Morales Ortiz A, Martinez Navarro A, et al. Quality of records in OMI-AP of the patients with stroke followed in primary care. Rev Calid Asist 2010;25:341–7.
- [39] Zoppini G, Negri C, Stoico V, Casati S, Pichiri I, Bonora E. Triglyceride-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol is associated with microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Metabolism 2012;61:22–9.
- [40] Sacks FM, Hermans MP, Fioretto P, Valensi P, Davis T, Horton E, et al. Association between plasma triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and microvascular kidney disease and retinopathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a global casecontrol study in 13 countries. Circulation 2014;129:999–1008.
- [41] Schaefer JR, Gitt AK, Sonntag F, Weizel A, Jannowitz C, Karmann B, et al. Lipid management in 13,000 high risk cardiovascular patients treated under daily practice conditions: LIMA Registry. Vasc Health Risk Manage 2013;9:71–80.
- [42] Chodick G, Shalev V, Gerber Y, et al. Long-term persistence with statin treatment in a not-for-profit health maintenance organization: a population-based retrospective cohort study in Israel. Clin Ther 2008;30:2167–79.
- [43] Rutti S, Ehses JA, Sibler RA, et al. Low- and high-density lipoproteins modulate function, apoptosis, and proliferation of primary human and murine pancreatic beta-cells. Endocrinology 2009;150:4521–30.
- [44] Hao M, Head WS, Gunawardana SC, Hasty AH, Piston DW. Direct effect of cholesterol on insulin secretion: a novel mechanism for pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction. Diabetes 2007;56:2328–38.
- [45] Klisic A, Kavaric N, Jovanovic M, Zvrko E, Skerovic V, Scepanovic A, et al. Association between unfavorable lipid profile and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Res Med Sci 2017;22:122.
- [46] THETA. Frequency of testing for dyslipidemia: a systematic review and budget impact analysis. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser 2014;14:1–27.
- [47] Trento M, Gamba S, Gentile L, Investigators ROMEO, et al. Rethink Organization to iMprove Education and Outcomes (ROMEO). A multicenter randomized trial of lifestyle intervention by group care to manage type 2 diabetes. Diab Care 2010;33:745–7.
- [48] Gagliardino JJ, Lapertosa S, Pfirter G, et al. PRODIACOR. Clinical, metabolic and psychological outcomes and treatment costs of a prospective randomized trial based on different educational strategies to improve diabetes care (PRODIACOR). Diab Med 2013;30(9):1102–11.