

REVIEW ARTICLE

Jornal de Pediatria www.jped.com.br



Diagnostic accuracy of lung ultrasound for transient tachypnea: a meta-analysis



Yueqi Wang 💿 , Na Li 💿 *, Yangming Qu 回

The First Hospital of Jilin University, Department of Neonatology, Jilin, China

Received 10 August 2021; accepted 13 October 2021 Available online 19 November 2021

KEYWORDS Transient tachypnea; Lung ultrasound; Diagnosis; Meta-analysis

Abstract

sound (LUS) for transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN). Methods: Embase, Cochrane Library, PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were searched, and the last search date was October 31, 2020. Studies on the diagnostic accuracy of pulmonary ultrasound for transient tachypnea were included. The quality assessment of the included study was assessed using the Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 tool. A meta-analysis was performed using Meta-Disc 1.4. A random-effects model was used and subgroup analysis was carried out to identify possible sources of heterogeneity.

Objective: The objective of this meta-analysis was to study the diagnostic value of lung ultra-

Results: A total of 378 articles were retrieved and nine studies with 3239 patients were included in the present meta-analysis. The overall quality of the included studies was moderate to high. The result of threshold analysis shows that there was no threshold effect. However, there was a significant heterogeneity caused by non-threshold effects in the included studies. A randomeffects model was used. The pooled sensitivity, specificity, PLR and NLR were 0.55 (95% CI: 0.51-0.58), 0.98 (95% CI: 0.98-0.99), 58.30 (95% CI: 14.05-241.88) and 0.28 (95% CI: 0.18-0.43). The pooled DOR and AUC were 689.12 (95% CI: 68.71 to 6911.79) and 0.994. The results of subgroup analysis showed that the LUS diagnostic criteria and gold standard might be responsible for heterogeneity. Choosing "DLP combined with B line" as the diagnostic standard of LUS and choosing CXR as the gold standard could significantly improve the diagnostic performance of LUS.

Conclusion: LUS is a promising method to diagnose TTN. Only DLP is not enough to diagnose TTN, while DLP combined with B-line has good diagnostic performance.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: l_n@jlu.edu.cn (N. Li).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2021.10.003

Transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN) is a self-limited respiratory disease caused by delayed fluid clearance from the fetal lungs.¹ It is the most common cause of dyspnea in

0021-7557/© 2021 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

newborns, with an incidence of 4-5.7% in full-term newborns and 10% in premature infants.² Although symptoms of TTN are generally transient and usually improve within 24 to 48 h, the dyspnea it causes can be severe. Clinically, the diagnosis of TTN is mainly used to differentiate other causes of dyspnea, such as respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), pneumonia, and meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS). Generally, the diagnosis of TTN is mainly based on the medical history, clinical manifestations and chest X-ray (CXR) examination. In recent years, the application of lung ultrasound (LUS) in the diagnosis and differentiation of pulmonary diseases in neonates, children, and adults has been rapidly increasing.³⁻⁵ Ultrasound examination of the lung is different from other organs in that it combines the interpretation of real anatomical images with the interpretation of artifacts generated by ultrasound beam at the air/fluid interface.⁶ In 2007, Copetti et al.⁷ first used LUS to diagnose TTN and proposed a "double lung point (DLP)" to describe the sharp limit of echogenicity between the lower lung fields with a hyperechoic, thin pleural line and compact B-line, and the normal or near-normal upper lung areas. However, the value of DLP in diagnosing TTN varies greatly in published studies^{2,7} (sensitivity range 100–45.6% and specificity range 100–94.8%). In addition, the ultrasonic image of TTN is also variable. such as the "prevalence of A-lines" in the initial stage of the disease and the "white lung" in severe cases. These differences may cause confusion for physicians and hinder the application of LUS in diagnosing and differentiating TTN. The objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to study the diagnostic value of LUS for TTN.

Methods

Search strategy

This meta-analysis followed PRISMA guidelines. The authors searched five databases, including Embase, Cochrane Library, PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, and the last search date was October 31, 2020. Studies published in English languages were included. The search strategy using the following text words: 'Transient Tachypnoea' or 'wet lung' or 'TTN', and 'ultrasound' OR 'Ultrasonography' OR 'ultrasonographies' OR 'ultrasonic' OR 'ultra sound' OR 'ultra-shell' OR 'sonography' OR 'LUS' OR 'sonographies' OR 'echography' OR 'echographies' OR 'sonogram' OR 'echogram' OR 'echogram' OR 'echogram' OR 'echogram' Kernes for these words).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) diagnostic accuracy studies, cohort, and case-control studies were included; (2) studies that evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of LUS for TTN; (3) TTN was clearly diagnosed; (3) the study subjects were newborns, including term and preterm infants; (4) the extracted data can be used to calculate true-positive, false-positive, false-negative, and true-negative values;

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) basic experiments, case reports, reviews, conference abstracts, and letters to journal editors were excluded; (2) studies published in non-English languages; (3) not enough data to form 2*2 tables.

Data extraction and methodological quality evaluation

The authors (YQ and CP) independently perform the search as described above and screen the titles and abstracts to select relevant studies. Selected articles were evaluated by two independent authors (YQ and HW) according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The authors (YQ and XL) independently extracted data, including first author, year of publication, study design, sample size, inclusion criteria, exclusion criteria, diagnostic criteria, and key results from the included studies. If more than one LUS diagnoses exist in a study, the result of diagnosis associated with DLP was used. The results of the conflict were resolved through discussion and consensus. The methodological quality of the included study was assessed independently by the two authors (YQ and HW) using the diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 (QUADAS-2), and difference was resolved by discussion and consensus. As an evidence-based guality assessment tool, QUADAS-2⁸ consisted of four domains: patient selection, index test, reference standard, and flow and timing. The quality assessment of the included study was performed using RevMan 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration).

Statistical analysis

Spearman correlation coefficient was measured to assess the potential threshold effect. If p > 0.05, heterogeneity was considered to be caused by the non-threshold effect. The p-value of Cochran-Q statistic and I-square statistic were used to assess the heterogeneity. If p > 0.05 or $l^2 \le 50\%$, a fixed-effect model was used to calculate the pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio (PLR), negative likelihood ratio (NLR), and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR)with 95% confidence intervals. If p < 0.05 or $l^2 > 50\%$, a random-effects model was used. The value of diagnostic tests was assessed by constructing a summary receiver operator characteristic (SROC) and calculating the area under the curve (AUC). All statistical analysis was performed using Meta-Disc 1.4 (Cochrane Colloquium, Barcelona, Spain).

Results

Characteristics of the included studies

The flow diagram of the study selection process is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 378 articles (EMBASE: 112, Cochrane Library: 31, PubMed: 191, web of science: 21, Google scholar: 23) were retrieved, and nine studies^{2,7,9-15} with 3239 patients were included in the present meta-analysis. The characteristics of included studies were shown in Table 1. Among the nine included studies, 2 were case-control studies, and 7 were cohort studies. Only one study included premature, while others included both premature and full-term infants. Two studies used CXR diagnosis as the gold standard, and seven studies combined CXR diagnosis and clinical history as the gold standard. There were two



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the study selection process.

main LUS diagnostic criteria used in the included studies, including DLP and DLP combined with B-line. The accuracy of included studies of LUS in diagnosing TTN is shown in Table 2.

Methodological quality assessment

The result of methodological quality assessment (bias risk and applicability) is shown in Fig. 2. Except for 2 casecontrol studies,^{7,11} the other 7 were cohort studies, and patients were continuously included. All studies have predefined criteria for LUS to diagnose TTN. The gold standard for TTN was defined as CXR or CXR combined with clinical history in all studies. Seven studies interpreted the LUS results without knowing the results of the gold standard, and two studies^{11,15} did not have detailed information on the use of blinding methods. Seven studies provided the time of LUS diagnosis, and two studies did not elaborate.^{11,14} The overall quality of the included studies was moderate to high.

Primary outcome

The authors performed a meta-analysis of the included studies, and the diagnostic performance was shown in Fig. 3. The result of threshold analysis shows that there was no threshold effect (Spearman correlation coefficient = -0.201, p = 0.604). However, there was a significant heterogeneity caused by non-threshold effects in the included studies. The l² value of sensitivity, specificity, PLR, and NLR were 96.7%, 90.8%, 83.4%, and 92.3%. As significant heterogeneity was observed, a random-effects model was used. The pooled sensitivity, specificity, PLR and NLR were 0.55 (95% CI: 0.51-0.58), 0.98 (95% CI: 0.98-0.99), 58.30 (95% CI: 14.05-241.88) and 0.28 (95% CI: 0.18-0.43). The pooled DOR and AUC were 689.12 (95% CI: 68.71 to 6911.79) and 0.994.

Subgroup analysis

The subgroup analysis was used to identify possible sources of heterogeneity (Table 3). The results of subgroup analysis showed that the LUS diagnostic criteria and gold standard might be responsible for heterogeneity. In addition, the type and subject of the study had less influence on the diagnostic performance of LUS, while choosing "DLP combined with B line" as the diagnostic standard of LUS and choosing CXR as the gold standard could significantly improve the diagnostic performance of LUS.

Discussion

Ultrasound is increasingly being used in the NICUs. However, the use of LUS in NICUs is more delayed, and the knowledge acquired is still far from that of adult intensive care units.¹⁶ Besides, there is a lack of evidence-based international recommendations for an emergency, and critical care settings, and the diagnostic value of LUS for a neonatal pulmonary disease is still controversial.¹⁷ In this meta-analysis, the authors evaluated the performance of LUS in diagnosing TTN.

The included studies used two criteria to evaluate the diagnostic performance of LUS for TTN. Most studies used DLP, followed by DLP in combination with B lines. Although there are differences between the criteria, the principles are similar. The results of a meta-analysis of all included studies showed that the sensitivity and specificity were 55% and 98%. Zhang et al. reported that DLP might also be present in pneumothorax patients.¹⁸ However, DLP is a rare sign of pneumothorax.¹⁹ The present study shows that the specificity of DLP in diagnosing TTN is high, but the sensitivity is not satisfactory.

The results of subgroup analysis showed that this low sensitivity was mainly due to the use of only DLP as diagnostic

Table 1 Characteristics of includ	ed studies.
-----------------------------------	-------------

332

Study	Sample size (n)	Study type	Gestational age	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	LUS operator	LUS equipment, time	LUS diagnostic criteria	Blinding of test result interpretation	TTN diagnostic criteria
Copetti 2007 ⁷	137	Case-control study	premature	TTN patients and non-TTN patients	None	A pediatrician and a cardiologist skilled in lung and heart sonography	A high-resolution 10- MHz linear probe (Megas CVX Esaote Medical Systems, Flor- ence, Italy)and a sec- tor 5- to 7.5-MHz probe. first hour of life	Double Lung Point	Yes	CXR diagnosis
Grimaldi 2019 ⁹	52	Prospective cohort	newborns	Newborns who needed a CXR because of respiratory conditions occurring at birth or during the first 24 h of life, and could per- form a TUS less than 3 h before or after the CXR.	Any treatment or event susceptible of changing the chest imaging between TUS and CXR, insufficient quality of the CXR or TUS, and the absence of parents' authorization for their child's participation	Six senior neonatolo- gists trained for at least 2 weeks by an experienced senior radiologist.	A Philips1 HD100 device and one linear 5- to 12-MHz trans- ducer, less than 3 h before or after the CXR	Interstitial syndrome with either diffuse noncompact B-lines or gradient of echo- genicity between inferior and superior areas corresponding to double lung point	Yes	Presenting with mild or moderate respiratory distress starting immedi- ately after birth, no sig- nificant cyanosis, clinical improvement within 24–72 h, mild hyperin- flation on CXR with peri- hilar interstitial syndrome, sometimes with pleural effusion or fluid in the fissures.
Ibrahim 2018 ¹⁰	65	Prospective cohort	newborns	Near and full-term neonates	Neonates presented with chest deformity, multiple congenital anomalies or gestational age less than 35 weeks	One single expert	A high-resolution lin- ear transducer with a frequency of 7–12 MHz (Philips HD7), within the first 24 h of admission	Double lung point	Yes	Clinical signs of respira- tory distress, persis- tence of tachypnea for at least 12 h, chest X-ray (CXR) consistent with TTN and absence of any other cause of PD
Liu 2016 ²	886	Retrospective cohort	newborns	Newborn who underwent lung ultrasonography	Patients without lung diseases	One doctor	GE Voluson E6,E8 and Logiq C9 ultrasound equipment was used. The frequency of the linear array probe was 10-14 MHz.At admission	Double lung point	Yes	Typical clinical symp- toms, chest x-ray find- ings and exclusion and vigilance other reasons for respiratory distress.
Liu 2014 ¹¹	120	Case control study	newborns	Newborns with TTN and newborns with RDS /no lung disease	None	An expert	GE Volusioni or Volu- sion E8 (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA) ultrasound instruments and a lin- ear array probe with a frequency of 9.0–12.0 MHz	Double lung point	unknow	Based on medical his- tory, clinical manifesta- tions, arterial blood gas analysis, and CXR examination.

Table 1	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Study	Sample size (n)	Study type	Gestational age	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	LUS operator	LUS equipment, time	LUS diagnostic criteria	Blinding of test result interpretation	TTN diagnostic criteria
Rachuri 2017 ¹²	94	Prospective cohort	newborns	Neonates who underwent x-ray chest and ultrasound (PoC-USG) within 4 h of admission to NICU and the age was less than 24 h after birth.	Neonates born with major congenital malformations or hydrops	The research associate	Philips machine using a linear probe of fre- quency 10–12 MHz. Ultrasound chest and chest x-ray (CXR) were done within 4 h after admission and within a maximum gap of not more than 4 h between them.	Normal pleural line and pleural sliding; Associated with the presence of predomi- nant B-lines in the inferior pulmonary fields and less com- pact B-lines in the superior fields (dou- ble lung point) in both lungs, or bilateral presence of numer- ous noncompact B- lines indicating inter- stitial engorgement or Normal echogenic- ity of lungs	Yes	Combination of radiolog- ical and clinical criteria; Radiological features of prominent peri-hilar vas- cular markings, edema of the inter-lobar sep- tae, fluid in the fissures, and hyperinflation. Respiratory distress onset at birth and pro- gressively decreasing with time.
Vergine 2014 ¹³	59	Prospective cohort	newborns	Neonates with respiratory distress that started within the first 24 h after birth	Patients with a diagnosis of a major congenital malfor- mation, structural heart disease, or chromosomal diseases/syndromes	A trained neonatolo- gist and external referee	LUS was done with Vivid-i (GE Medical Systems, Milan, Italy) using a high-resolution 10–12 MHz linear probe, with a dedi- cated preset. Within 1 h after admission	A normal pleural line and pleural sliding, associated with the presence of very compact B-lines in the inferior pulmo- nary fields and less compact B-lines in the superior fields (double lung point) in both lungs, or bilat- eral presence of numerous noncom- pact B-lines indicat- ing interstitial engorgement.	Yes	TTN was diagnosed when the oxygen requirements and respiratory support were mild or moderate, the clinical condition improved within the first 72–96 h after birth, and CXR (if done) appear- ance was consistent.
Corsini 2019 ¹⁴	134	Prospective cohort	newborns	Infants ≥23 weeks of ges- tational age and had respi- ratory distress requiring CXR in the first 24 h of life.	Lack of parental consent or necessity of cardiopulmo- nary resuscitation.	Neonatologist trained in ultrasound	A Philips CX50 ultra- sound machine (Phi- lips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) using a high-frequency (10–12 MHz) linear transducer.	Normal, thickened, or blurry pleural line, and double lung point or numerous noncom- pact B-lines	Yes	CXR diagnosis
Chen 2017 ¹⁵	1692	Prospective cohort	newborns	Infants with pulmonary disease	Examination time of cases> 48 h after admission	A senior neonatal physician proficient in LUS	A high-frequency lin- ear 10- to 14-MHz probes (GE Voluson E6 or E8 and Logiq C9 ultrasound equipment. Within 48 h after admission	double lung point	unknow	Diagnoses were based on medical history, clinical manifestation, labora- tory examination, and signs on chest radiogra- phy (CR) and/or com- puted tomography (CT).

Study	Sample Size	LUS Diagnostic Criteria	Тр	Fp	Tn	Fn	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	Ppv %	Npv %
Copetti 2007 ⁷	137	Double Lung Point	32	0	0	105	100	100	100	100
Grimaldi 2019 ⁹	52	Interstitial syndrome with either diffuse non-	22	0	0	30	100	100	100	100
		compact B-lines or gradient of echogenicity								
		between inferior and superior areas corre-								
		sponding to double lung point								
Ibrahim 2018 ¹⁰	65	Double lung point	33	0	15	17	68.8	100	100	53.1
Liu 2016 ²	886	Double lung point	104	34	124	624	45.6	94.8	75.4	83.4
Liu 2014 ¹¹	120	Double lung point	46	0	14	60	76.7	100	100	81.1
Rachuri 2017 ¹²	94	Normal pleural line and pleural sliding; Associ-	33	0	0	61	100	100	100	100
		ated with the presence of predominant B-lines,								
		which can be very compact B-lines in the infe-								
		rior pulmonary fields and less compact B-lines in								
		the superior fields (double lung point) in both								
		lungs, or bilateral presence of numerous non-								
		compact B-lines indicating interstitial engorge-								
N .: 201 (13	50	ment or normal echogenicity of lungs	20		2	20	02.2	05.5	04 5	02.4
Vergine 2014	59	A normal pleural line and pleural sliding, associ-	28	1	2	28	93.3	95.5	96.5	93.4
		ated with the presence of very compact B-lines								
		In the inferior pulmonary fields and less com-								
		pact B-lines in the superior fields (double lung								
		point) in both lungs, or bilateral presence of								
		stitial engergement								
Corsini 2010 ¹⁴	17/	Normal thickened or blurny plaural line and	22	r	0	100	100	07.9	04 1	100
C0151111 2019	134	double lung point (in one or both lungs) or	32	2	U	100	100	97.0	74.1	100
		numerous noncompact B-lines (in one or both								
		lungs)								
Chen 2017 ¹⁵	1692	Double lung point	109	0	211	1372	34.1	100	100	86.7

Table 2 The accuracy of included studies of LUS in diagnosing TTN.

Y. Wang, N. Li and Y. Qu





Fig. 2 Methodological quality assessment.

criteria. DLP used to be a specific and sensitive sign to diagnose TTN⁷. However, it has been challenged by some studies. Chen et al.¹⁵ reported that double lung points were observed in 109 of 320 TTN patients. Raimondi et al.⁶ found DLP may disappear during the course of TTN. Liu et al.² reported in a large sample study that the sensitivity of DLP to diagnose TTN was only 45.6%. In the present study, the pooled sensitivity and specificity for DLP were 47% and 98%. Therefore, although DLP is a sign of TTN, it is insufficient for the diagnosis. The combination of DPL and B-line greatly improved the diagnostic value, with a pooled sensitivity of 98% and a pooled specificity of 99%. This combination fits well with the pathophysiology of TTN since TTN is mainly an ab extrinsic edema and B-line is the main manifestation of pulmonary edema.^{20,21} In addition, line B is also a manifestation of interstitial engorgement, which may be caused by edem.²² Therefore, the B-line could exist much longer in the course of TTN than DLP, which makes up for the disadvantage of the low sensitivity of DLP. The meta-analysis shows that LUS is a promising diagnostic method for TTN. The combination of DLP and B-line may be a better diagnostic criterion than only DLP. It is worth noting that this combination is also slightly different in the included studies, and prospective multicenter studies are still needed to determine the best diagnosis.

In addition, the subgroup analysis also showed that the selection of the gold standard had a significant influence on the diagnostic performance of LUS. The studies included used two gold criteria to diagnose TTN, including CXR diagnosis and CXR combined with clinical history. The pooled sensitivity and specificity for CXR diagnosis were 100% and 99%. This suggests that the diagnosis of LUS may be highly consistent with that of CXR. Similar results have been reported in previous studies. Based on three years of clinical experience, Gao et al.²³ reported that LUS could completely replace CXR in the diagnosis and differentiation of pulmonary diseases in NICU. Liu²⁴ also believed that LUS is more suitable than CXR for use in NICU. Since the advantages of no radiation, non-invasion, and simplicity of LUS,^{25,26} the present study's results suggest that LUS may be a reasonable alternative to CXR in diagnosing neonatal TTN.

There were two meta-analyses evaluating the performance of LUS in diagnosing TTN, and the high accuracy of



LUS in diagnosing TTN was proved.^{27,28} The present metaanalysis further summarized the existing problems of LUS in TTN diagnosis and compared the diagnostic performance of two commonly used LUS diagnostic criteria, providing a direction for future research. Furthermore, QUADAS-2 was used to assess the quality of included studies, making diagnostic bias and suitability scores more transparent. Since fewer included studies may lower the value of the funnel plot, no funnel plot was drawn to assess selection bias.

Table 3	Subgroup and	lysis of a	diagnostic	effect.
---------	--------------	------------	------------	---------

Subgroup	No. studies	No. patients	Sensitivity			Specificity			
			value	l ² (%)	р	value	l ² (%)	р	
Study type									
Cohort	7	2982	0.51(0.47-0.54)	96.8	< 0.001	0.98 (0.98-0.99)	92.7	< 0.001	
Case control	2	257	0.85(0.76-0.91)	92.5	< 0.001	1.00 (0.98-1.00)	0.0	1.000	
Patients									
newborn	8	3102	0.53(0.49-0.56)	96.6	< 0.001	0.98 (0.98-0.99)	91.7	< 0.001	
premature	1	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LUS diagnostic criteria									
Only DLP	5	2900	0.47(0.43-0.51)	96.1	< 0.001	0.98 (0.98-0.99)	95.2	< 0.001	
DLP& B-lines	4	339	0.98(0.94-1.00)	45.9	0.136	0.99 (0.96-1.00)	11.7	0.335	
Gold standard									
CXR	2	2968	1.00(0.94-1.00)	0	1.000	0.99 (0.97-1.00)	64.9	0.091	
CXR & clinical history	7	271	0.51(0.47-0.54)	96.3	< 0.001	0.98 (0.98-0.99)	92.8	< 0.001	

In conclusion, LUS is a promising method to diagnose TTN. Only DLP is not enough to diagnose TTN, while DLP combined with B-line has good diagnostic performance. More prospective large sample studies are still needed to determine the optimal criteria for LUS to diagnose TTN.

Availability of data and materials

This is a meta-analysis and all data are included in the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Alhassen Z, Vali P, Guglani L, Ryan RM. Recent Advances in Pathophysiology and Management of Transient Tachypnea of Newborn. J Perinatol. 2021;41:6–16.
- Liu J, Chen XX, Li XW, Chen SW, Wang Y, Fu W. Lung Ultrasonography to Diagnose Transient Tachypnea of the Newborn. Chest. 2016;149:1269–75.
- Ferro F, Delle Sedie A. The use of ultrasound for assessing interstitial lung involvement in connective tissue diseases. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2018;36(Suppl 114):165–70.
- 4. Soldati G, Demi M, Smargiassi A, Inchingolo R, Demi L. The role of ultrasound lung artifacts in the diagnosis of respiratory diseases. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2019;13:163–72.
- Bouhemad B, Mongodi S, Via G, Rouquette I. Ultrasound for "lung monitoring" of ventilated patients. Anesthesiology. 2015;122:437–47.
- Raimondi F, Yousef N, Rodriguez Fanjul J, De Luca D, Corsini I, Shankar-Aguilera S, et al. A Multicenter Lung Ultrasound Study on Transient Tachypnea of the Neonate. Neonatology. 2019;115:263–8.
- Copetti R, Cattarossi L. The 'double lung point': an ultrasound sign diagnostic of transient tachypnea of the newborn. Neonatology. 2007;91:203–9.
- Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155:529-36.
- 9. Grimaldi C, Michel F, Brévaut-Malaty V, Hassid S, Nicaise C, Puech B, et al. Thoracic ultrasound accuracy for the investigation of initial neonatal respiratory distress. Arch Pediatr. 2019;26:459–65.
- Ibrahim M, Omran A, AbdAllah NB, Ibrahim M, El-Sharkawy S. Lung ultrasound in early diagnosis of neonatal transient tachypnea and its differentiation from other causes of neonatal respiratory distress. J Neonatal Perinatal Med. 2018;11:281–7.

- Liu J, Wang Y, Fu W, Yang CS, Huang JJ. Diagnosis of neonatal transient tachypnea and its differentiation from respiratory distress syndrome using lung ultrasound. Medicine (Baltimore). 2014;93:e197.
- Rachuri H, Oleti TP, Murki S, Subramanian S, Nethagani J. Diagnostic Performance of Point of Care Ultrasonography in Identifying the Etiology of Respiratory Distress in Neonates. Indian J Pediatr. 2017;84:267–70.
- **13.** Vergine M, Copetti R, Brusa G, Cattarossi L. Lung ultrasound accuracy in respiratory distress syndrome and transient tachypnea of the newborn. Neonatology. 2014;106:87–93.
- Corsini I, Parri N, Gozzini E, Coviello C, Leonardi V, Poggi C, et al. Lung Ultrasound for the Differential Diagnosis of Respiratory Distress in Neonates. Neonatology. 2019;115:77–84.
- Chen SW, Fu W, Liu J, Wang Y. Routine application of lung ultrasonography in the neonatal intensive care unit. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96:e5826.
- Raimondi F, Yousef N, Migliaro F, Capasso L, De Luca D. Point-ofcare lung ultrasound in neonatology: classification into descriptive and functional applications. Pediatr Res. 2021;90:524–31.
- Razak A, Faden M. Neonatal lung ultrasonography to evaluate need for surfactant or mechanical ventilation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2020;105:164–71.
- **18.** Zhang Z. Double lung point in an 18-month-old child: a case report and literature review. J Thorac Dis. 2015;7:E50–3.
- **19.** Volpicelli G. The double lung point. Am J Emerg Med. 2011;29:832-3.
- 20. Machado LU, Fiori HH, Baldisserotto M, Ramos Garcia PC, Vieira AC, Fiori RM. Surfactant deficiency in transient tachypnea of the newborn. J Pediatr. 2011;159:750–4.
- 21. Shyamsundar M, Attwood B, Keating L, Walden AP. Clinical review: the role of ultrasound in estimating extra-vascular lung water. Crit Care. 2013;17:237.
- 22. Platz E, Merz AA, Jhund PS, Vazir A, Campbell R, McMurray JJ. Dynamic changes and prognostic value of pulmonary congestion by lung ultrasound in acute and chronic heart failure: a systematic review. Eur J Heart Fail. 2017;19:1154–63.
- Gao YQ, Qiu RX, Liu J, Zhang L, Ren XL, Qin SJ. Lung ultrasound completely replaced chest X-ray for diagnosing neonatal lung diseases: a 3-year clinical practice report from a neonatal intensive care unit in China. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2020.1830369. [Ahead of print].
- 24. Liu J. Lung ultrasonography for the diagnosis of neonatal lung disease. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2014;27:856–61.
- 25. Shrestha GS, Weeratunga D, Baker K. Point-of-Care Lung Ultrasound in Critically ill Patients. Rev Recent Clin Trials. 2018;13:15–26.
- 26. Joshi P, Vasishta A, Gupta M. Ultrasound of the pediatric chest. Br J Radiol. 2019;92:20190058.
- Ma HR, Liu J, Yan WK. Accuracy and Reliability of Lung Ultrasound to Diagnose Transient Tachypnoea of the Newborn: Evidence from a Meta-analysis and Systematic Review. Am J Perinatol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1721134. [Ahead of print].
- He L, Sun Y, Sheng W, Yao Q. Diagnostic performance of lung ultrasound for transient tachypnea of the newborn: A metaanalysis. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0248827.