SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 18 October 2016 accepted: 08 March 2017 Published: 07 April 2017

Identification of the driving forces OPENof climate change using the longest instrumental temperature record

GeliWang1, PeicaiYang1 & XiujiZhou2

The identification of causal effects is a fundamental problem in climate change research. Here, a new perspective on climate change causality is presented using the central England temperature (CET) dataset, the longest instrumental temperature record, and a combination of slow feature analysis and wavelet analysis. The driving forces of climate change were investigated and the results showed two independent degrees of freedom —a 3.36-year cycle and a 22.6-year cycle, which seem to be connected to the El Niño–Southern Oscillation cycle and the Hale sunspot cycle, respectively. Moreover, these driving forces were modulated in amplitude by signals with millennial timescales.

Causality analysis in climate change is an active and challenging research area that remains highly uncertain. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)^{[1](#page-5-0)} advocates that human activity is the most important driving force of climate change, while some researchers have argued that natural forces might be the main cause. These different views are mainly due to a lack of methods to address the complexity of climate system and insufficiency in observational climate data.

Global circulation model (GCM) simulations are generally used to investigate the causality of climate change. However, due to the limited knowledge of the climate system, large uncertainties are still associated with GCMs; therefore, the improvement of current GCMs to meet the requirements for causality analysis is still an urgent issue. An alternative method to GCMs is to use long-term observational climate data to study the driving forces of climate change, a method that has recently benefited from the great progress made by physical and biological scientists in studying the driving forces in non-stationary time series. The main advantage of this approach is that observational data can be used to directly extract the driving forces of an unknown dynamical system. This can be achieved by two techniques. The first technique involves finding the driving forces by studying the connections among different physical factors. These types of relations cannot be established using general correlation analysis, but only in dynamical directional influences. Granger causality^{[2](#page-5-1)} is a pioneering approach for achieving this task. Mutual information and transfer entropy^{[3](#page-5-2)} are used to identify cause-effect relationships between components which is equivalent to Granger causality in the linear case and some attempts have been made to extend Granger causality to the nonlinear case^{4,5}. Recently, Sugihara *et al.*^{[6](#page-5-5)} presented another effective method known as conver-gent cross-mapping (CCM) to justify causality in some biological complex systems. Tsonis et al.^{[7](#page-5-6)} used CCM to identify a causal relationship between cosmic rays and interannual variation in global temperature.

The second technique is to directly extract the driving force information behind the observational data. For example, cross-prediction error^{[8](#page-5-7)} and slow feature analysis (SFA)^{[9](#page-5-8)} have been successfully applied to extract slowly changing driving forces from non-stationary time series. To evaluate SFA, a modified logistic map has been used to test the ability of SFA to construct the driving forces from an observational time series, and the results showed that there is a good agreement between the constructed and the true driving forces with a correlation coefficient of 0.998[10.](#page-5-9) This suggests that SFA is suitable for extracting the driving force from observational time series.

Using SFA and the wavelet transformation technique, Yang *et al.*[11](#page-5-10) (hereafter, Yang16) reconstructed and analyzed the driving forces for the monthly mean surface air temperature anomaly time series in the Northern Hemisphere, and found that the driving forces for this temperature climate system included two independent degrees of freedom that represented the effects of a 22-year solar cycle and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) on the climate system. Furthermore, they found that the driving forces are modulated in amplitude by signals with much longer time periods, this is, a long-term natural trend determined by the modulating amplitude signals.

¹LAGEO Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ²Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.W. (email: [wgl@mail.iap.ac.cn\)](mailto:wgl@mail.iap.ac.cn)

Figure 1. The Driving force constructed using CET dataset and SFA with embedding dimension m=13. This figure was produced by using the MATLAB version R2010a software [\(http://cn.mathworks.com\)](http://cn.mathworks.com).

Figure 2. Real part of the wavelet transform coefficient for the driving force. This figure was produced using the MATLAB version R2010a software [\(http://cn.mathworks.com\)](http://cn.mathworks.com).

The application of this method to climate change, which involves nonlinear and complex systems, is at a preliminary stage. The difficulties inherent in climate signal detection led us to further investigate the mechanism of the driving forces of the climate system. The present analysis for the temperature anomaly time series in the Northern Hemisphere needs to be verified and increased excavating and understanding of the causal effects directly from climatic observations is necessary with the longest instrumental record, the central England temperature (CET) dataset, which covers the Little Ice Age and some episodes of natural and anthropogenic warming of multidecadal duration.

Results

By using the SFA approach, we analyzed the reconstructed the driving force of climate change based on the CET dataset. Monthly mean surface air temperature data from January 1659 to December 2013 were used. [Figure 1](#page-1-0) shows the first output of the driving force constructed from the CET dataset, in which the embedding dimension was chosen as 13, which corresponds to a 13-month-window length (approximately one-year resolution)¹¹ and the delay time *τ* was taken as 1.

Next, by using the wavelet transformation technique¹², we analyzed the scale structure of the constructed driving force and the corresponding physical meaning. Figure [2](#page-1-1) shows the local wavelet power spectrum of the driving force output using the Morlet wavelet. As can be seen, there are some major spectral scales, which occur on decadal to centennial time scales.

Figure 3. The time-averaged power spectrum of the driving force. This figure was produced by using the MATLAB version R2010a software [\(http://cn.mathworks.com](http://cn.mathworks.com)).

To show the detailed characteristics of each spectral band based on the wavelet analysis of the driving force output, the time-averaged power spectrum of the driving forces is shown in [Fig. 3](#page-2-0). There are several spectral bands and the characteristic periods occur as spectrum peaks (red dots in [Fig. 3\)](#page-2-0) at 3.36, 7.5, 14.5, 22.6, 67.7, 90.4, 113.9 and 215 years, named L_1 to L_8 , respectively. The blue dotted line in [Fig. 3](#page-2-0) is the 95% confidence level, and all scales pass the confidence test at the 95% level.

The peak L_1 = 3.36 years seems to empirically correspond to the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) signal, which has a period range of within 3 to 6 years. ENSO is arguably the most important global climate pattern and the dominant mode of climate variability^{[13](#page-5-12)}. The effect of ENSO on climate in Europe has been studied intensively using both models and observational or proxy data e.g. refs [14,](#page-5-13) [15,](#page-5-14) and a consistent and statistically significant ENSO signal on the European climate has been found e.g. refs [14](#page-5-13) and [16.](#page-5-15)

The peak $L_4 = 22.6$ years is coincident with the Hale sunspot cycle. This result partly agrees with some of the conclusions of Yang16. Solar variability has been shown to be a major driver of climate in central Europe during the past two millennia using $\Delta^{14}C$ records. Furthermore, this result is essentially in good agreement with the findings of Scafetta e.g. refs [17–19,](#page-5-16) who found that the climate system was mostly characterized by a specific set of oscillations and these oscillations (61, 115, 130 and 983 years) appeared to be synchronous with major astronomical oscillations (solar system, solar activity and long solar/lunar tidal cycles).

The other peaks can be approximately expressed as linear combinations of L_1 and L_4 : $L_2 = 7.5 \approx 1/3L_4$; $L_3= 14.5 \approx L_4 - L_2$; $L_5= 67.7 \approx 3L_4$; $L_6= 90.4= 4L_4$ as the Gleisberg solar cycle; $L_7= 113.9 \approx 5L_4$; and $L_8= 215$ is a Suess solar cycle. From this, it is evident that these scales have harmonic relationships generated by the nonlinear interaction between L_1 and L_4^{20} L_4^{20} L_4^{20} . Therefore, the results suggest that the oscillations found here are induced by ENSO and the Hale sunspot frequency, as all the peaks from the CET data are harmonics of the solar cycle and the ENSO cycle. For L_2 = 7.5 years, oscillations with a period of about 7–8 years have been observed in some instrumental records in Europe, including the CET record^{21,22}. Recently, by quantifying the cross-scale information transfer, it has been shown that the effect of this 7–8-year cycle can influence the interannual variability of air temperature anomalies^{[23,](#page-5-20)24}. This result is in contrast to the results of Yang16, in which the scale signal at 65.8 years was thought to be the AMO signal; however, in this study, a similar scale signal at 67.7 years is regarded as a harmonic of the solar cycle due to the approximately harmonic relationships.

To investigate each scale in detail, [Fig. 4](#page-3-0) shows the variation of the individual scale components $(S_1-S_8$ in [Fig. 4\)](#page-3-0) from band-pass filtering, which indicates the features of the driving forces in the time domain. [Figure 4](#page-3-0) indicates that all the signals are all strongly amplitude and phase modulated. The band-pass filters for the decomposed scale components, termed S_1 to S_8 from low to high frequency, were modulated in amplitude, suggesting that these signals are modulated by other signals with longer timescales. For fitting the envelopes of each filtered signal, the modulating signals, named M_1 to M_8 , are presented using sine functions. The modulating signals can be approximately expressed as:

$$
M_1 = -0.01 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) + 0.085 = -0.01A_{1000} + 0.085
$$
\n(1)

$$
M_2 = -0.05 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) + 0.05 = -0.05A_{1000} + 0.05
$$
\n(2)

Figure 4. The band-pass filtering for S1-S8, where the black curves indicate the filter signals themselves, while the blue.red and green curves indicate their modulating signals from M1 to M8. This figure was produced by using the MATLAB version R2010a software [\(http://cn.mathworks.com\)](http://cn.mathworks.com).

$$
M_3 = \begin{cases} M_{31} = -0.11 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) + 0.02 = -0.11A_{1000} + 0.02\,(if\ t < 1925) \\ M_{32} = -0.05 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) - 0.04 = -0.05A_{1000} - 0.04\,(if\ t \ge 1925) \end{cases} \tag{3}
$$

$$
M_4 = \begin{cases} M_{41} = -0.15 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) - 0.003 = -0.15A_{1000} - 0.003\,(if\ t < 1925) \\ M_{42} = -0.14 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) - 0.085 = -0.15A_{1000} - 0.085\,(if\ t \ge 1925) \end{cases} \tag{4}
$$

$$
M_5 = -0.24 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t - 1925)\right) = -0.24A_{1000}
$$
\n(5)

$$
M_6 = -0.24A_{1000} \times A_{250} \text{ where } A_{250} = 0.2 \text{ Sin}\left(\frac{2\pi}{700}(t - 1700)\right) + 0.04
$$
 (6)

$$
M_7 = -0.24A_{1000} \times A_{420} \text{ where } A_{420} = -0.25 \text{ Sin}\left(\frac{2\pi}{420}(t - 1935)\right) - 0.04 \tag{7}
$$

$$
M_8 = -0.24A_{1000} \times A_{420} \times A_{140} \text{ where } A_{140} = -0.25 \text{ Sin}\left(\frac{2\pi}{140}(t - 1935)\right) - 0.04 \tag{8}
$$

For convenience, $\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{1000}(t-1925)\right)$ is expressed as A_{1000} . It is noted that all the modulating signals M_1 to M_8 have an oscillation period of about 1000 years and the amplitudes appear to increase with The time phase of the zero amplitude of the modulating signal, specifically the year 1925 in *A*₁₀₀₀, is of particular interest, and is called the 'zero phase point'. It denotes the point at which the driving forces change from decreasing to increasing. All the modulating amplitude signals that are connected to the millennial scale, suggest that the recent global warming process intensified from 1925 onwards. In particular, it is noted that modulations M₃ and M_4 have segmented structures, and modulations M_6 and M_7 have a hierarchical structure with a scale of 250 years in M_6 and 420 years in M_7 . The modulating signal of S_8 has a more complex structure, which contains multiple modes (420 years and 140 years) connected under A_{1000} .

These modulating signals with periods of about 1000 years were also found for temperature in the Northern Hemisphere in Yang16, and control the amplitude changes of the driving forces and thus the input of energy. These modulating signals may play a key role in central England temperature and/or global warming. As such, an explanation for the physical background or origin of the amplitude changes should be provided. However, due to a lack of direct evidence, we are not yet able to clearly identify what they represent in physics using the techniques used in this study. More independent knowledge on climate change or a reasonable physical hypothesis is required. As for the most important long periodic signals of 1000 years, a reasonable speculation is that they represent the impacts of greenhouse gases (GHGs) on the climate system. This long period signal is topmost modulated which controls all scale-components, while GHGs is almost the unique factor to directly heat the air by absorbing the longwave radiation from the Earth surface. Thus, it should be considered that this millennial signal may be an impact of GHGs.

This result differs from the conclusions in Scafetta's papers[e.g. refs [17–19\]](#page-5-16), where this millennial period scale (983 years in his papers) is regarded as a harmonic of the solar cycle, but here we prefer to regard it as the GHG signal for the physical energy of the climate system.

The modulating signals in high-frequency scales have more complex structures due to the manifestation of new modulation modes with periods of 420, 250 or 140 years connected under A_{1000} . They are superimposed on the modulating signals and jointly control climate change in central England for past 300 years and may be locally representative in spatial extent.

Discussion and Conclusions

A new investigation on climate change causality is given using the longest instrumental temperature record the CET dataset— which was analyzed using SFA and wavelet analysis. This investigation into the driving forces of climate change reproduces a 3.36-year cycle and a 22.6-year cycle, which may be connected to the ENSO cycle and the Hale sunspot cycle, respectively. Other beats from interdecadal to centennial components were also reproduced at 7.5, 14.5, 67.7, 90.4, 113.9 and 215 years, which could also be induced by ENSO and the Hale sunspot cycle as they are harmonics of the two basic frequencies. They are all strongly amplitude and phase modulated, and the modulating signals acting on the scale components are oscillations with a period of about 1000 years, which represent the impacts of GHGs as presented using the surface air temperature time series in the Northern Hemisphere in Yang16.

Tung and Zhou²⁵ presented an interesting analysis result for the scale structure of the CET time series and found a scale component with a spectrum band from 50 to 90 years that propagates through the phase space of the indices considered as the AMO, due to the large thermal inertia associated with slow oceanic processes. This scale signal is reflected in the Northern Hemisphere area-averaged surface temperature signal and the CET dataset, which explains, by inference, a large fraction of the multidecadal non-uniformity of the observed global surface temperature warming in the twentieth century. However, the relationship between the 67.7 years found in this paper and the solar scales suggests that this climate component plays a key role in multidecadal variability²⁶. This scale signal that has a period of 67.7 years in the CET dataset is regarded as a harmonic of the solar cycle because of the harmonic relationship with the Hale sunspot cycle. Note that a quasi-millennial cycle could also be forced on the Sun by the rotation of the Trigon of the great conjunctions of Jupiter and Saturn¹⁸. These results clearly indicate that both solar and climate oscillations are linked to planetary motion.

Identifying causality in complex climate systems can be difficult; therefore, this study is a further attempt to better understand causality using the longest instrumental time series of temperature based on observed climate data associated with climate change. Such an approach may provide another method to study causality in climate change. As an alternative approach to GCMs, the technique directly utilizes the observed nonstationary data to directly construct the driving forces, referred to as the 'inverse problem' in mathematics.

It has been shown in a number of other fields²⁷⁻²⁹ that SFA can be applied to nonstationary time series to estimate a single underlying driving force with high accuracy. However, application in the climate sciences, which involves nonlinear and complex systems, is at a preliminary stage. There are uncertainties related to observational limitations, as well as missing or uncertain external forces. In particular, SFA may not account for possible nonlinear interactions between the different scales. In addition, this study used the longest instrumental record in central England, in which different sources of uncertainty may exist. Further work to evaluate this source of uncertainty is therefore desirable. These issues, among others, will be considered in forthcoming studies.

Method

As the world's longest instrumental record of temperature, the Met Office Hadley Centre's CET time series represents the monthly mean surface air temperature averaged over the English midlands and spans the period January 1659 to December 2013. The record covers several episodes of natural and anthropogenic warming of multidecadal durations, and has value as one of the longest instrumental temperature records, even though it is limited in spatial extent.

SFA and wavelet analysis were used in this study. Wavelet analysis is used to detect localized structures or to analyze spectral properties. Applications of the wavelet transform technique to analyses of geophysical time series have been wide ranging. SFA is a method for extracting slowly varying driving forces from a quickly varying time series. This method has been applied to nonstationary time series with some success and can be applied to non-stationary time series to estimate a single underlying driving force with high accuracy^{[24–26](#page-5-21)}. The details of SFA can be found in literature (Wiscott, 2003)⁹.

For a given nonstationary time series $\{x(t)\}_{t=1,\ldots,n}$, the SFA algorithm could be simply described as follows:
At first, embed the above time series into an m-dimensional space

$$
X(t) = \{x_1(t), x_2(t), ..., x_m(t)\}_{t=1,...N}
$$
\n(9)

Where $N = n-m + 1$, n is the length of the time series and m is the embedded dimension. Second, generate an expanded space $H(t)$ by using all monomials of orders no more than 2 generated by eq. (9), i.e.

$$
H(t) = \{x_1(t), ..., x_m(t), x_1^2(t), ..., x_1(t)x_m(t), ..., x_{m-1}^2(t), x_{m-1}(t)x_m(t), x_m^2(t)\}_{t=1,...N}
$$
(10)

or rewrite it as

$$
H(t) = \{h_1(t), h_2(t), ..., h_k(t)\}_{t=1,...N}
$$
\n(11)

Here $k = m + m(m + 1)/2$. Third, normalize eq. (11) on an unit sphere then orthogonalize, denote it as

$$
Z(t) = \{z_1(t), z_2(t), \ldots, z_k(t)\}_{t=1,\ldots,N}
$$
\n(12)

Here $\bar{z}_i = 0, z_i z_i^T = 1$.

So far, the output signals can be defined as a linear combination of the coordinate components of $Z(t)$, which are expressed as:

$$
Y(t) = a_1 z_1(t) + a_2 z_2(t) + \dots + a_k z_k(t)
$$
\n(13)

where ${a_i}$ $\big|_{i=1,r,k}$ is a set of weighting coefficients.

If using $\overline{Y}Y^T$ measures the varying rate of Y(t), then finding the most slowly varying signal converts to a problem of variation optimization, i.e. finding a proper $\{a_i\}\big|_{i=1,\dots,k}$ to minimize YY^T . For the end, establish the covariance matrix $(ZZ^T)_{K\times K}$, and calculate its eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_k$ and corresponding eigenvectors. The smallest eigenvalue λ_1 , corresponding to the eigenvector W_1 , which represents the weight coefficient of the slowest varying component, then the driving forcing can be obtained by the following equation

$$
y_1 = rW_1 \cdot Z(t) + c \tag{14}
$$

Here c and r are two given constants, and $\{y_1(t)\}\$ is the output signal of the slowest driving force obtained by equation (14).

References

- 1. IPCC 2007, Climate Change. *Synthesis Report, Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R. K. & Reisinger, A. (Eds.), IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. pp 104 (2007).
- 2. Granger, C. Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica* **37,** 424–438 (1969).
- 3. Schreiber, T. Measuring Information Transfer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85,** 461–464 (2000)
- 4. Marinazzo, D., Pellicoro, M. & Stramaglia, S. Nonlinear parametric model for Granger causality of time series. *Phys. Rev. E*. **73,** 95–104 (2006).
- 5. Marinazzo, D., Pellicoro, M. & Stramaglia, S. Kernel Method for Nonlinear Granger Causality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100,** 144103 (2008).
- 6. Sugihara, G. *et al.* Detecting causality in complex ecosystems. *Science* **338,** 496–500 (2012). 7. Tsonis, A. *et al.* Dynamical evidence for causality between galactic cosmic rays and interannual variation in global temperature. *P.*
- *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112,** 3253–3256 (2015). 8. Verdes, P., Granitto, P., Navone, H. & Ceccatto, H. Nonstationary time-series analysis: Accurate reconstruction of driving forces.
- *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87,** 124101 (2001).
- 9. Wiskott, L. Estimating Driving *Forces of Nonstationary Time Series with Slow Feature Analysis. arXiv.org e-Print archive* (2003).
- 10. Wang, G., Yang, P. & Zhou, X. Extracting the driving force from ozone data using slow feature analysis. *Theo. Appl. Climatol*. **124,** 985–989 (2016).
- 11. Yang, P., Wang, G., Zhang, F. & Zhou, X. Causality of global warming seen from observations: a scale analysis of driving force of the surface air temperature time series in the Northern Hemisphere. *Clim. Dyn*. **46,** 3197–3204 (2016).
- 12. Torrence, C. & Compo, G. A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis. *Bull. Amer. Meteo. Soc*. **79,** 61–78 (1998).
- 13. Mcphaden, M., Zebiak, S. & Glantz, M. ENSO as an integrating concept in earth science. *Science* **314,** 1740–1745 (2006).
- 14. Merkel, U. & Latif, M. A high resolution AGCM study of the El Niño impact on the North Atlantic/European sector. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **29,** 51–54 (2002).
- 15. Tsonis, A., Swanson, K., Sugihara, G. & Tsonis, P. Climate change and the demise of Minoan civilization. *Clim. Past.* **6,** 525–530 (2010).
- 16. Bronnimann, S. Impact of El Niño–Southern Oscillation on European climate. *Rev. Geophys.* **45,** doi: 10.1029/2006RG000199 (2007).
- 17. Scafetta, N. & West, B. Phenomenological reconstructions of the solar signature in the NH surface temperature records since 1600. *J. Geophys. Res.* **112,** D24S03 (2007)
- 18. Scafetta, N. Testing an astronomically based decadal-scale empirical harmonic climate model versus the IPCC (2007) general circulation climate models. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **80,** 124–137 (2012).
- 19. Scafetta, N. High resolution coherence analysis between planetary and climate oscillations. *Adv. Space Res.* **57,** 2121–2135 (2016).
- 20. Gollub, J. & Benson, S. Many routes to turbulent convection. *J. Fluid. Mech.* **100,** 449–470 (1980).
- 21. Plaut, G., Ghil, M. & Vautard, R. Interannual and interdecadal variability in 335 years of central England temperatures. *Science* **268,** 710–713 (1995).
- 22. Palus, M. & Novotna, D. Detecting modes with nontrivial dynamics embedded in colored noise: Enhanced Monte Carlo SSA and the case of climate oscillations. *Phys. Lett. A.* **248,** 191–202 (1998).
- 23. Palus, M. Multiscale Atmospheric dynamics: cross-frequency phase-amplitude coupling in the air temperature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112,** 078702 (2014).
- 24. Jajcay, N. *et al.* Time scales of the European surface air temperature variability: The role of the 7-8 year cycle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43,** doi: 10.1002/2015GL067325 (2016).
- 25. Tung, K. & Zhou, J. Using data to attribute episodes of warming and cooling in instrumental records. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110,** 2058–2063 (2013).
- 26. Knight, J., Folland, C. & Scaife, A. Climate impacts of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33,** L17706 (2006).
- 27. Berkes, P. & Wiskott, L. Slow feature analysis yields a rich repertoire of complex cell properties. *J. Vision*, **5,** 579–602 (2005).
- 28. Gunturkun, U. Sequential reconstruction of driving-forces from nonlinear nonstationary dynamics. *Physica D*. **239,** 1095–1107 (2010).
- 29. Konen, W. & Koch, P. The slowness principle: SFA can detect different slow components in non-stationary time series. *Int. J. Innovative Computing and Applications*. **3,** 3–10 (2011).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant Nos. 41575058 (to G.W. and P.Y.) and 40890052 (to X.Z., G.W. and P.Y.). The authors are grateful to the editor and two anonymous referees for their valuable comments that helped to improve the quality of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

G.W. and P.Y. designed the study. G.W. and P.Y. performed the data analysis. All authors wrote and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wang, G. *et al.* Identification of the driving forces of climate change using the longest instrumental temperature record. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 46091; doi: 10.1038/srep46091 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images \odot $\left[\mathrm{(c)}\right]$ or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017