

Draft Genome Sequences of Legionella Presumptive Novel Species Isolated during Environmental Surveillance in Artificial Water Systems

[Luna Girolamini,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5916-9634)ª <mark>©</mark> [Silvano Salaris,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8389-7460)ª © [Massimiliano Orsini,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0087-3349)^b © [Maria Rosaria Pascale,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6333-3492)ª © [Marta Mazzotta,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6966-3115)ª [Antonella Grottola](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3577-5095),^c ® Sandra Cristinoª

aDepartment of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy bLaboratory of Microbial Ecology and Genomics of Microorganisms, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Legnaro, Italy c Regional Reference Laboratory for Clinical Diagnosis of Legionellosis, Unit of Microbiology and Virology, Modena University Hospital, Modena, Italy

Luna Girolamini and Silvano Salaris contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined by drawing straws.

ABSTRACT We present the draft genome sequences of three Legionella strains that were isolated from a hotel water distribution system. Legionella species identification was performed by macrophage infectivity potentiator (mip) and RNA polymerase β subunit (rpoB) gene sequencing. Whole-genome sequencing and average nucleotide identity results supported the hypothesis of new Legionella species isolation.

The Legionella genus contains pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria that are ubiquitous in soil and water environments. It consists of more than 60 species, all of them potentially able to cause Legionnaires' disease, a severe form of pneumonia ([1](#page-1-0)).

The Legionella sp. strains 27fs60 (S60), 30fs61 (S61), and 30cs62 (S62) were isolated from three different samples from a hotel's hot water distribution system in the Emilia-Romagna region (Italy) during a routine Legionella surveillance program. Water sampling and Legionella isolation were performed according to ISO 19458:2006 and ISO 11731:2017, respectively [\(2,](#page-1-1) [3](#page-1-2)). Samples were seeded onto selective medium with glycine-vancomycin-polymyxin B-cycloheximide (GVPC) and were incubated for 15 days at 35°C \pm 2°C in 2.5% CO₂. Suspected colonies were subcultured on buffered charcoal yeast extract (BCYE) without L-cysteine (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Basingstoke, UK).

The DNA was extracted with InstaGene matrix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), and identification of isolates was performed by macrophage infectivity potentiator (mip) and RNA polymerase β subunit (rpoB) gene sequencing [\(4,](#page-2-0) [5](#page-2-1)). Amplicons were sequenced using BigDye chemistry and analyzed on an ABI Prism 3100 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The mip sequences were compared with the European Working Group for Legionella Infections (EWGLI) database. A BLAST search of the NCBI database was carried out for both mip and rpoB gene sequences. The best match returned was Legionella quateirensis reference strain ATCC 49507 (GenBank accession number [GCA_001467955.1\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_001467955.1), with similarities of 98.45% and 94.8% for mip and rpoB, respectively.

One hundred nanograms of genomic DNA was used for next-generation sequencing (NGS) library preparation using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform (2 \times 150-bp paired-end reads). Raw reads were used as input data for TORMES v.1.2.0 [\(6\)](#page-2-2), an automated pipeline for analysis of whole bacterial genomes. TORMES includes sequence quality filtering (PRINSEQ v.0.20.4) [\(7\)](#page-2-3) and de novo genome assembly (SPAdes v.13.4.1) [\(8\)](#page-2-4), as well as other downstream analyses not used for our purpose. Scaffolding was performed using TORMES contigs as input for CSAR v.1.1.1 [\(9\)](#page-2-5) with an evolutionarily related reference genome, i.e., Legionella fallonii (GenBank accession Citation Girolamini L, Salaris S, Orsini M, Pascale MR, Mazzotta M, Grottola A, Cristino S. 2021. Draft genome sequences of Legionella presumptive novel species isolated during environmental surveillance in artificial water systems. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e00307- 21. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00307-21.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00307-21)

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2021 Girolamini et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Sandra Cristino, sandra.cristino@unibo.it.

Received 24 March 2021 Accepted 18 April 2021 Published 13 May 2021

number [NZ_LN614827.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_LN614827.1)) The final assemblies were further improved using Geneious Prime v.2020.2.4 software [\(10\)](#page-2-6) and were submitted to GenBank with annotation by the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v.4.3 [\(11](#page-2-7)). Default parameters were used for all software tools unless otherwise noted. [Table 1](#page-1-3) summarizes results from assembly and annotation by the PGAP and the completeness of genome assembly determined by Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) v.5.0.0 ([12\)](#page-2-8).

The FastANI tool [\(13\)](#page-2-9) was used to compare the average nucleotide identity (ANI) of the three strains against 1,009 Legionella sequences that had been downloaded from the NCBI database using the ncbi-genome-download tool ([https://github.com/](https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-download) [kblin/ncbi-genome-download](https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-download)). FastANI identified the closest relative of strain S60 to be L. quateirensis NCTC 12376 (GenBank accession number [GCA_900452695.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_900452695.1)) (91.31%) and the closest relative of strains S61 and S62 to be L. quateirensis ATCC 49507 (91.45% and 91.44%, respectively). Since the assumption is that two strains showing pairwise ANI values below a given threshold (95% or 96 %) belong to different species [\(14](#page-2-10)), our results led us to consider these strains new species.

Studying the whole genome allows investigators to better identify already known species and to discover new ones, improving the knowledge of the ecological, virulence, and resistance characteristics of Legionella.

Data availability. The draft genome assemblies are available in the GenBank database and can be accessed with SRA and assembly accession numbers [SRP292355](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRP292355) and [JADOBG000000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JADOBG000000000) (S60), [SRP295125](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRP295125) and [JADWVM000000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JADWVM000000000) (S61), and [SRP295130](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRP295130) and [JADWVN000000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JADWVN000000000) (S62).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

L.G., S.S., and S.C. conceived and designed the experiments and wrote the paper. M.R.P. and M.M. performed sample collection and culture experiments. M.O. performed the whole-genome sequencing. A.G. performed gene sequencing. L.G., S.S., and M.O. performed the bioinformatics analysis.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jomehzadeh N, Moosavian M, Saki M, Rashno M. 2019. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: an overview. J Acute Dis 8:221–232.
- 2. International Organization for Standardization. 2006. ISO 19458:2006:

water quality: sampling for microbiological analysis. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

3. International Organization for Standardization. 2017. ISO 11731:2017:

water quality: enumeration of Legionella. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

- 4. Ratcliff RM, Lanser JA, Manning PA, Heuzenroeder MW. 1998. Sequencebased classification scheme for the genus Legionella targeting the mip gene. J Clin Microbiol 36:1560–1567. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.36.6](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.36.6.1560-1567.1998) [.1560-1567.1998.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.36.6.1560-1567.1998)
- 5. Ko KS, Lee HK, Park MY, Lee KH, Yun YJ, Woo SY, Miyamoto H, Kook YH. 2002. Application of RNA polymerase β -subunit gene (rpoB) sequences for the molecular differentiation of Legionella species. J Clin Microbiol 40:2653–2658. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.7.2653-2658.2002.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.7.2653-2658.2002)
- 6. Quijada NM, Rodríguez-Lázaro D, Eiros JM, Hernández M. 2019. TORMES: an automated pipeline for whole bacterial genome analysis. Bioinformatics 35:4207–4212. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz220.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz220)
- 7. Schmieder R, Edwards R. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 27:863–864. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026) [.1093/bioinformatics/btr026](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026).
- 8. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- 9. Chen KT, Liu CL, Huang SH, Shen HT, Shieh YK, Chiu HT, Lu CL. 2018.

CSAR: a contig scaffolding tool using algebraic rearrangements. Bioinformatics 34:109–111. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx543>.

- 10. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28:1647–1649. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199>.
- 11. Tatusova T, Dicuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569) [.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569).
- 12. Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. 2019. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness. Methods Mol Biol 1962:227–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0_14.
- 13. Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. 2018. High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nat Commun 9:5114. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9) [-018-07641-9.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9)
- 14. Kim M, Oh HS, Park SC, Chun J. 2014. Towards a taxonomic coherence between average nucleotide identity and 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity for species demarcation of prokaryotes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:346–351. [https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.059774-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.059774-0)