BRAIN COMMUNICATIONS

Disentangling white-matter damage from physiological fibre orientation dispersion in multiple sclerosis

Kasper Winther Andersen,¹ Samo Lasič,^{1,2} Henrik Lundell,¹ Markus Nilsson,³ Daniel Topgaard,⁴ Finn Sellebjerg,⁵ Filip Szczepankiewicz,⁶ Hartwig Roman Siebner,^{1,7,8} Morten Blinkenberg⁵ and ^bTim B Dyrby^{1,9}

Multiple sclerosis leads to diffuse damage of the central nervous system, affecting also the normal-appearing white matter. Demyelination and axonal degeneration reduce regional fractional anisotropy in normal-appearing white matter, which can be routinely mapped with diffusion tensor imaging. However, the standard fractional anisotropy metric is also sensitive to physiological variations in orientation dispersion of white matter fibres. This complicates the detection of disease-related damage in large parts of cerebral white matter where microstructure physiologically displays a high degree of fibre dispersion. To resolve this ambiguity, we employed a novel tensor-valued encoding method for diffusion MRI, which yields a microscopic fractional anisotropy metric that is unaffected by regional variations in orientation dispersion. In 26 patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, 14 patients with primary-progressive multiple sclerosis and 27 age-matched healthy controls, we compared standard fractional anisotropy mapping with the novel microscopic fractional anisotropy mapping method, focusing on normal-appearing white matter. Mean microscopic fractional anisotropy and standard fractional anisotropy of normal-appearing white matter were significantly reduced in both patient groups relative to healthy controls, but microscopic fractional anisotropy yielded a better reflection of disease-related white-matter alterations. The reduction in mean microscopic fractional anisotropy showed a significant positive linear relationship with physical disability, as reflected by the expanded disability status scale. Mean reduction of microscopic fractional anisotropy in normal-appearing white matter also scaled positively with individual cognitive dysfunction, as measured with the symbol digit modality test. Mean microscopic fractional anisotropy reduction in normal-appearing white matter also showed a positive relationship with total white-matter lesion load as well as lesion load in specific tract systems. None of these relationships between normal-appearing white-matter microstructure and clinical, cognitive or structural measures emerged when using mean fractional anisotropy. Together, the results provide converging evidence that microscopic fractional anisotropy mapping substantially advances the assessment of cerebral white matter in multiple sclerosis by disentangling microstructure damage from variations in physiological fibre orientation dispersion at the stage of data acquisition. Since tensor-valued encoding can be implemented in routine diffusion MRI, microscopic fractional anisotropy mapping bears considerable potential for the future assessment of disease progression in normal-appearing white matter in both relapsing-remitting and progressive forms of multiple sclerosis as well as other white-matter-related brain diseases.

- 1 Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Centre for Functional and Diagnostic Imaging and Research, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, 2650 Hvidovre, Denmark
- 2 Random Walk Imaging, AB, 222 24 Lund, Sweden
- 3 Department of Radiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund, Lund University, 221 00 Lund, Sweden
- 4 Division of Physical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Lund University, 221 00 Lund, Sweden
- 5 Danish Multiple Sclerosis Center, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
- 6 Department of Medical Radiation Physics, Clinical Sciences, Lund, Lund University, 221 00 Lund, Sweden
- 7 Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark

© The Author(s) (2020). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

Received March 21, 2020. Revised April 20, 2020. Accepted May 7, 2020. Advance Access publication June 8, 2020

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2 BRAIN COMMUNICATIONS 2020: Page 2 of 14

- 8 Department of Neurology, Copenhagen University Hospital Bispebjerg, 2400 Copenhagen NV, Denmark
- 9 Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, 2700 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

Correspondence to: Tim B. Dyrby, PhD Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, section 714, Centre for Functional and Diagnostic Imaging and Research, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Kettegaard Alle 30, 4623 Hvidovre Denmark E-mail: timd@drcmr.dk

Keywords: multiple sclerosis; microscopic fractional anisotropy; diffusion MRI; fibre orientation dispersion; tensor-valued diffusion encoding

Abbreviations: CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; CING = cingulum; CST = corticospinal tract; dMRI = diffusion magnetic resonance imaging; DTI = diffusion tensor imaging; EDSS = expanded disability status scale; FA = fractional anisotropy; FOD = fibre orientation distribution; LTE = linear tensor encoding; $\mu FA =$ microscopic fractional anisotropy; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute; NAWM = normal-appearing white matter; PPMS = primary-progressive multiple sclerosis; ROI = regions of interests; STE = spherical tensor encoding; SLF = superior longitudinal fasciculus; RRMS = relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis; SDMT = symbol digit modality test; WM = white matter

Graphical Abstract



Introduction

Multiple sclerosis is a heterogeneous neurodegenerative and inflammatory disease, causing wide-spread pathology in the central nervous system (Thompson et al., 2018). MRI plays a critical role in the clinical assessment of multiple sclerosis revealing spatial dissemination and load (Filippi et al., 2019). Lesion load correlates with clinical scores and disease progression (Bodini et al., 2011; Matias-Guiu et al., 2018). However, correlation is often rather poor and routine MRI lacks specificity to the underlying pathological mechanisms of multiple sclerosis (Poloni et al., 2011). Multiple sclerosis lesions also give rise to wide-spread changes in the surrounding normalappearing white matter (NAWM) such as axonal degeneration and demyelination, however, these changes are poorly visualized and quantified by standard diagnostic MRI techniques (Lassmann, 2011; Filippi et al., 2012; van Horssen et al., 2012; Kutzelnigg and Lassmann, 2014). These limitations explain why the pathological changes, as revealed by clinical MRI, only show a relatively poor correlation with individual clinical disability, contributing to the so-called clinico-radiological paradox (Chard and Trip, 2017).

Diffusion MRI measures the regional mobility of water molecules and has the potential to offer more specific insights into the underlying microstructural changes (Alexander *et al.*, 2019; Dyrby *et al.*, 2018). The diffusion of water molecules is higher along than perpendicular to axons, causing a high degree of diffusion anisotropy in cerebral white matter (WM). Fractional anisotropy (FA) of WM obtained from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996) is tightly associated with the presence of the densely packed and myelinated axonal structures, but also the presence of glial cells in disease (Beaulieu, 2002). DTI in combination with tractography is highly sensitive and has enabled quantification of tract-related microstructural changes in multiple sclerosis, which correlate with lesion load (Droby *et al.*, 2015), clinical scores (Pagani *et al.*, 2005), cognitive measures (Bester *et al.*, 2013) and tract-specific physiological measures (Wahl *et al.*, 2011). However, consistent conclusions between similar studies are not guaranteed (Sbardella *et al.*, 2013). For example, DTI group differences between healthy controls and relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients have been found in some studies (Preziosa *et al.*, 2011), but not all (Nusbaum *et al.*, 2000; Wilting *et al.*, 2016).

The FA metric is challenging to interpret since it intermingles mesoscopic tissue architectonic features (i.e. fibre orientation dispersion and crossings) with microscopic tissue features (i.e. axons, cells and their density). Both can lead to changes in FA that can be interpreted as pathology as illustrated in Fig. 1. Since 90% of WM voxels contain crossing fibres (Jeurissen *et al.*, 2013; Schilling et al., 2017), axonal fibres are never perfectly aligned, making it almost impossible to disentangle tissue microstructural anisotropy from macrostructure using FA (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996; Oouchi *et al.*, 2007; Wheeler-kingshott and Cercignani, 2009; Vos *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2015). For example, increased FA was reported in Alzheimer's disease but was attributed to changes in fibre orientation dispersion rather than to changes in microscopic anisotropy (illustrated in Fig. 1, environment B versus E) (Douaud *et al.*, 2011; Teipel *et al.*, 2014).

The problems inherent to FA are introduced at the acquisition level since only a standard linear diffusion MRI (dMRI) scheme is used. However, the inherent ambiguity of FA as a microstructural measure can be circumvented by optimizing the acquisition scheme. Recently, the conventional DWI acquisition scheme has been combined with an additional tensor-valued acquisition scheme, called spherical tensor encoding (STE) (Mori and Van Zijl, 1995; Eriksson *et al.*, 2015; Westin *et al.*, 2016; Topgaard, 2017). The combination of the two dMRI



Figure 1 Schematic drawing. This schematic illustrates the expected effects on FA and μ FA in various WM environments in normal tissue and damaged multiple sclerosis tissue. In addition, the figure includes voxel-wise averages of FA and μ FA. In straight fibre environments (A) it is expected that both FA and μ FA will be high and approximately equal, but crossing (B) and fanning (C) fibre situations will expectedly decrease FA but keep μ FA high. In straight degenerated fibre bundles (D) both FA and μ FA will be lower as compared with the normal tissue (A). In crossing fibres when one fibre bundle is degenerated (E) FA may be higher and μ FA lower as compared with the normal tissue (B). Lesions in straight fibre bundles (F) will decrease both measures equally. FA = fractional anisotropy; μ FA = microscopic fractional anisotropy.

acquisitions allows the estimation of a micro-FA (μ FA) map which decouples fibre orientation dispersion from microstructural tissue features without the need of advanced modelling (Cory *et al.*, 1990; Jespersen *et al.*, 2014; Lasič *et al.*, 2014; Shemesh *et al.*, 2016). Until now, μ FA mapping has only been applied in a few case studies of multiple sclerosis (Yang *et al.*, 2018), cancer (Szczepankiewicz *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Nilsson *et al.*, 2020) and with related techniques in ageing (Lawrenz *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, it remains to be clarified whether μ FA can advance microstructural mapping of disease-related tissue damage in multiple sclerosis disease progression and whether the μ FA metric correlates more closely with clinical scores than the standard FA metric.

In this study, we employed µFA mapping to resolve the ambiguity of microstructural anisotropy mapping in multiple sclerosis by disentangling disease-related tissue damage from fibre orientation dispersion. We hypothesized that µFA mapping will improve the sensitivity of diffusion MRI to detect regional microstructural tissue pathology and improve the correlation of WM tissue damage with clinical scores in individual patients relative to standard FA mapping. We applied standard dMRI (DTI) for FA mapping and tensor-valued dMRI for µFA mapping (Lasič et al., 2014) in three subject groups including relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis and age-matched healthy controls. Both FA and the novel µFA were evaluated in regions of interests (ROIs) including the total NAWM as well as in three fibre tracts with different known functional relations to multiple sclerosis, and complexity in structural architecture that the µFA is expected insensitive to but not the FA. These are the corticospinal tract (CST), superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), and cingulum (CING). In addition, we performed correlations with clinical disability as measured with the expanded disability status scale (EDSS), cognitive processing as measured with the symbol digit modality test (SDMT).

Materials and methods

Subjects

The study included 45 multiple sclerosis patients and 28 healthy controls (Table 1). Five multiple sclerosis patients

and one healthy control were excluded from data analysis because of missing data (data were not exported correctly from the scanner), thus the final analyses included data from 40 multiple sclerosis patients (26 relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, 14 primary-progressive multiple sclerosis) and 27 healthy controls. Approval was given by the Ethics committee of the Capital Region of Denmark (Protocol H-15006964) and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before inclusion in the study according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical and cognitive assessments

The EDSS (Kurtzke, 1983) was performed by a trained neurologist and used to examine the multiple sclerosis patients' physical disability. The SDMT (Smith, 1982) was performed to evaluate cognitive processing speed. The multiple sclerosis patients performed the SDMT test orally, where the patients had the paper in front of them and spoke out the answer to the examiner, who noted the answer. The healthy controls did a written version of the test and wrote down the answers themselves.

Magnetic resonance imaging

All MR images were acquired on a Philips Achieva 3T scanner using a 32-channel head-coil. Three different protocols were acquired: (i) standard clinical structural MRI for lesion drawing and segmentation of the brain's WM; (ii) multi-shell dMRI for FA and tractography; and (iii) tensor-valued dMRI for μ FA estimation. The protocols were a subset of a more comprehensive multiple sclerosis study.

Clinical structural MRI included T₁-weighted [repetition time (TR) = 6.03 ms, echo time (TE) = 2.70 ms, field-of-view (FOV) = (208.25, 245, 245) mm, and with 0.85 mm isotropic voxel resolution], T₂-weighted [TR = 2500 ms, TE = 271 ms, FOV = (190, 245, 245) mm, 0.85 mm isotropic voxel resolution] and FLAIR [TR = 4800 ms, TE = 330 ms, FOV = (202, 256, 256) mm, 1 mm isotropic voxel resolution].

Multi-shell dMRI was acquired using three different *b*-values with a pulsed gradient spin echo (i.e. LTE) echoplanar imaging sequence: *b*-values = (300, 1000, 2000) s/mm² in (6, 50, 50) non-collinear directions evenly distributed on the unit sphere (scan time around 21.5 min).

Table | Subject demographics

	Healthy controls	Multiple sclerosis patients	Relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis	Primary-progressive multiple sclerosis
Number of subjects	27	40	26	14
Median (std) age	43.5 (12.0)	46.3 (11.5)	40.2 (8.9)	57.7 (5.0)
Mean (std) SDMT	50.5 (7.5)	53.3 (11.8)	57.2 (11.0)	45.9 (9.8)
Median (range) EDSS	Na	3 (0-7)	2 (0-7)	4 (3-6.5)
Median (std) lesion load (ml)	Na	10.4 (13.0)	6.5 (7.8)	17.6 (17.4)
Median (range) lesion number	Na	31 (2-108)	31 (2–97)	34 (14–108)

BRAIN COMMUNICATIONS 2020: Page 5 of 14

5

In addition, three b = 0 s/mm² volumes were acquired with both similar and reversed phase encoding for image distortion correction (see below). The imaging parameters were: TR = 12 200 ms, TE = 70 ms, 2 mm isotropic voxel resolution, no gap between slices, 50 axial slices, FOV = (224, 224, 100) mm. The FOV covered the whole brain.

Tensor-valued dMRI was acquired using two tensor shapes of diffusion encodings i.e. LTE and STE for six *b*values (100, 500, 900, 1200, 1600 and 2000 s/mm²). The LTE acquisition was performed in 15 non-collinear directions for each shell distributed on the unit spheres as described in Szczepankiewicz *et al.* (2019) (scan time around 16.2 min). The STE uses an isotropic diffusion encoding (Mori and Van Zijl, 1995) based on the magic angle spinning of the q-vector (qMAS) (Eriksson *et al.*, 2013; Topgaard, 2013), and was acquired in 15 repetitions. Spin-echo-based echo-planar imaging was used, with TR = 5400 ms, TE = 147 ms, 2.5 mm isotropic resolution, slice gap = 0.1 mm, 14 axial slices, 90 images, FOV = (240, 240, 36.3) mm³. The FOV (shown in Fig. 2A) covered the axial plans of corpus callosum where lesions typically are to be found. Here we used the Philips scanner implementation of the tensor-valued dMRI sequences that also are available for other scanner platforms as described here: https://github.com/filip-szcze pankiewicz/fwf_seq_resources.

Structural MRI processing

In multiple sclerosis patients, lesions were segmented using a semi-automated method in native space. This included co-registration of the T_2 -weighted and FLAIR images to the T_1 -weighted image followed by rigid-body co-registration to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template using SPM12 software version 6470



Figure 2 Group average fractional anisotropy and microscopic anisotropy. (**A**) Left: group FA averages within the whole-brain NAWM; middle: FA group averages within a slab of NAWM; right: μ FA group averages within a slab of NAWM. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. (**B**) FA (left panel) and μ FA (right panel) histograms of NAWM. The histograms were estimated within each subject and then averaged within groups. (**C**) Imaging slap where microscopic fractional anisotropy was acquired. RRMS = relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis; PPMS = primary-progressive multiple sclerosis.

(Welcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). Note that no warping was involved during this step but only rigid-body translation/rotations to align the brains best possible to standard space. Then lesions were segmented using a local thresholding technique based on the FLAIR image (Jim 6.0 Xinapse System, Leicester, UK) by a single experienced observer blinded to the subject identity. The T₁-weighted and T₂-weighted images were used to guide the lesion delineation. For each subject, the total number of lesions and their volume was calculated. Healthy controls also followed the first initial co-registration between T₁-weighted, T₂-weighted and FLAIR images. However, WM abnormalities were not manually delineated in healthy controls.

Freesurfer's *recon_all* (version 5.3.0; http://surfer.nmr. mgh.harvard.edu) (Dale *et al.*, 1999) was used for brain segmentation to obtain WM ROIs for both left and right hemispheres. Multiple sclerosis lesions, as defined by the semi-automated method described above, were excluded from the WM to provide ROIs for NAWM. ROIs were then eroded by 2 voxels to reduce partial volume effects from CSF, GM and lesions. These ROIs were used as WM ROIs for healthy controls, and as NAWM ROIs for multiple sclerosis patients.

Multi-shell dMRI dataset processing and FA estimation

Data were corrected for susceptibility artefacts using FSL's *topup* based on the sets of b = 0 images with opposite phase encoding (Andersson *et al.*, 2003) and corrected for motion and eddy current artefacts using FSL's *eddy* (Andersson and Sotiropoulos, 2016). This was followed by linear co-registration to the subject's T₁-weighted scan including re-slicing to 1 mm isotropic resolution using FSL's *FLIRT* with sinc interpolation (Dyrby *et al.*, 2014).

Voxel-wise FA maps we estimated based on co-registered and interpolated dMRI data using MRtrix3's *dwi2tensor* (http://www.mrtrix.org/). For the FA parameter estimation, only the b = 1000 s/mm² and b = 0 s/mm² images from the multi-shell dMRI protocol were used.

Tensor-valued dMRI data processing and μ FA estimation

The tensor-valued data were corrected for motion and eddy-currents in *ElastiX* (Klein *et al.*, 2010) using extrapolated reference images (Nilsson *et al.*, 2015). After correction, a mild smoothing (1.25 mm FWHM) was applied using a Gaussian kernel. The μ FA map was then estimated using the Multidimensional diffusion MRI toolbox, available at https://github.com/markus-nilsson/md-dmri (Nilsson *et al.*, 2018). First, the LTE data were powder (directionality) averaged for each *b*-value, and the repeated STE dataset was averaged to gain signal-to-noise ratio. Laplace transform of gamma distribution was

first fitted to the data points of LTE and STE using nonnegative least-squares fitting and voxel-wise μ FA maps were estimated (Lasič *et al.*, 2014). After fitting, linear co-registration to the subject's T₁-weighted space was performed by first co-registering the $b = 100 \text{ s/mm}^2$ image to the T₁-weighted registered $b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2$ image from the multi-shell dataset and then applying the same transformation to the μ FA image including re-slicing to 1 mm isotropic resolution using FSL's *FLIRT* with sinc interpolation.

Since μ FA data were only acquired in a 36.4 mm slab covering the corpus callosum, we averaged FA both in the same slab as well as in the whole NAWM. The FA results are consistent for both the whole brain as well as the slab, so we only report statistics for the slab NAWM but visualize the results for both analyses.

Tract-based segmentation using tractography

Constrained spherical deconvolution using all b-values from the whole brain multi-shell dataset was used to estimate individual subjects' fibre orientation distribution using MRtrix3's dwi2response (dhollander images method) and dwi2fod. A group fibre orientation distribution template from 65 subjects (including both multiple sclerosis patients and healthy controls) was generated using MRtrix3's population_template. In this group template space, whole-brain probabilistic tractography was performed using default parameters (step size: 0.5 mm, max angle: 45 degrees, fibre orientation distributionthreshold = 0.1) and 10 million streamlines to estimate consistent tract systems of the brain. Post hoc, streamlines belonging to either SLF, CST or CING in each hemisphere were extracted by filtering the set of all streamlines. These tracts have shown to be affected and show relation to cognitive and clinical scores in multiple sclerosis patients (Liu et al., 2012; Onu et al., 2012; Koenig et al., 2015; Tovar-Moll et al., 2015; Deppe et al., 2016). For each tract, this was done by manually placing four different inclusion ROIs along the tract. Finally, the number of streamlines passing through each imaging voxel was counted and thresholded to obtain a binary mask of each tract (see Supplementary Fig. 1). The thresholds used were 50 streamlines for SLF and CING and 500 for CST. The binary masks were then warped back into each individual subjects' T1-weighted space. In multiple sclerosis patients, individual subject lesions overlaying any of the masks were excluded to only include NAWM with each tract. Finally, the masks were eroded by 1 voxel to reduce partial volume effects.

Statistical analysis

Mean FA and μ FA were calculated in each subject's NAWM, CST, SLF and CING masks for both hemispheres separately. Repeated measures 'hemisphere'

(left, right) by 'group' (RRMS, PPMS, healthy controls) ANOVA was performed in SPSS version 25 with age and gender as covariates. This analysis was performed separately for the total WM and NAWM ROI as well as for the CST, SLF and CING. Analysis of the linear correlation (Pearson) for FA and µFA with age, SDMT and EDSS were performed in MATLAB. Total lesion load (volume) was correlated with FA and µFA in the specific ROIs using Spearman's rank correlations in MATLAB. In addition, lesion load was correlated with FA and uFA within ROIs. We set the significance level to P < 0.05 and follow-up *t*-tests in the ANOVA analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. SPSS provides Bonferroni corrected P-values (Pbonf) by multiplying the un-corrected P-values with the number of comparisons performed, so the same significance level ($P_{\text{bonf}} < 0.05$) still holds for the follow-up tests.

Data availability

Fully anonymized post-processed data will be made available on reasonable request.

Results

Disease phenotype reflected by μ FA, but not FA

Voxel-wise group average maps of FA and μ FA values obtained in healthy controls are shown in Fig. 1 (left panel). These group averages illustrate the difference between mean FA and μ FA in relation to WM anatomy: FA values are low in regions with orientationally dispersed fibre populations, whereas μ FA values are relatively homogeneous in the whole WM. Regional FA is lower than regional μ FA, especially in regions with known fibres crossings, e.g. in the centrum semiovale, where corpus callosum projection and the associative fibres are crossing (Fig. 1, arrowhead B).

Repeated measures ANOVA revealed significant differences between groups, when comparing mean µFA and FA in the NAWM slab covered by dMRI, but the pattern differed for the two measures (Fig. 2). The between-group difference in μ FA [F(2,63) = 9.00, P < 0.001] was driven by higher mean µFA values in the NAWM in healthy controls relative to patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis ($P_{\text{bonf}} = 0.002$) and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis (P_{bonf} = 0.006). Mean µFA values in the NAWM did not differ significantly between the two patient groups. Mean FA values in NAWM also showed a main effect of group [F(2,63) = 3.63, P = 0.03], but follow-up *t*-tests showed only trend-wise differences in mean FA between groups. Patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis tended to have lower FA values than healthy controls ($P_{\text{bonf}} = 0.07$) and patients with primary-progressive multiple sclerosis ($P_{\text{bonf}} = 0.08$). In contrast to mean μ FA values in the NAWM, mean FA values of patients with primary-progressive multiple sclerosis did not differ from mean FA values of healthy controls. For mean μ FA and FA of NAWM, ANOVA revealed no main effect of hemisphere nor group by hemisphere interaction (Fig. 2A).

Thresholding FA impacts diseaserelated information

In the analysis above, we averaged FA and μ FA values across all voxels in NAWM. The left panel in Fig. 2B shows the within-group average histograms of both FA and μ FA measures across the whole bilateral NAWM mask. The FA histograms for the three groups overlap each other almost perfectly. However, the histograms for μ FA are clearly different between the groups with primary-progressive multiple sclerosis showing a larger tail towards smaller μ FA values and a lower and more leftskewed peak compared to the relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis distribution.

A common approach to overcome the crossing-fibre problem in FA analyses is to limit the regions of interest to only include voxels with FA greater than a given threshold. Therefore, we investigated the effect of removing voxels with low FA values before calculating the mean μ FA and FA values in the WM. When discarding voxels from the analysis, where FA is, respectively, lower than 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6, the between-group differences in mean FA remained the same, but group means are shifted towards higher values (Supplementary Fig. 2, left panel). The right panel in Supplementary Fig. 2 shows group means for µFA values, again, when removing voxels with low FA values. In this analysis, the group difference between the two multiple sclerosis groups was diminished when voxels with FA values <0.4 were removed, suggesting that this approach of restricting the ROI is removing microstructure information for primary-progressive patients only.

Correlation between µFA measures and clinical rating scales

In the combined multiple sclerosis group (relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis) as well as in the group of healthy controls, μ FA averaged in NAWM correlated negatively with age (first row in Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 1), whereas for FA, the age relationship was only significant in the healthy control group (first row in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 1). However, *post hoc* tests revealed no interactions between group and age for μ FA and FA. In the combined multiple sclerosis group, μ FA, but not FA, was negatively correlated with physical disability as measured with the EDSS in both hemispheres. This means that lower μ FA values were associated with higher disability (second row



Microscopic fractional anisotropy

Figure 3 Correlations between \muFA and clinical/cognitive scores. Age, EDSS and SDMT correlations with μ FA averaged within left and right hemispheres of NAWM. First row: correlations with age. Second row: correlations with EDSS. Third row: correlations with SDMT. Blue circles: healthy controls. Full red circles: relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients. Open red circles: primary-progressive multiple sclerosis patients. EDSS = expanded disability status scale; SDMT = symbol digit modalities test.

in Figs 3 and 4 and Supplementary Table 1). The correlation, however, was not significant when adjusting for age, suggesting a relation between age and EDSS. Additionally, μ FA values showed significant correlations with cognitive performance as measured with the SDMT in both healthy controls and in multiple sclerosis (third row in Fig. 3), which remained significant when controlling for age in the multiple sclerosis group. Again, no significant correlations between FA and cognitive performance were found (third row in Fig. 4).

9



Figure 4 Correlations between FA and clinical/cognitive scores. Age, EDSS and SDMT correlations with FA (slab) averaged within left and right hemispheres of NAWM. First row: correlations with age. Second row: correlations with EDSS. Third row: correlations with SDMT. Blue circles: healthy controls. Full red circles: relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients. Open red circles: primary-progressive multiple sclerosis patients. EDSS = expanded disability status scale; SDMT = symbol digit modalities test.

Tract-specific group analyses

To investigate how fibre crossings along specific tracts might affect group results of FA mapping but not μ FA, we performed the same set of analyses which we had performed for the entire NAWM for the NAWM belonging to specific WM tracts having different degrees of architecture complexity. The CING bundle on the part superior to mid-sagittal corpus callosum fibres was selected, because it contains (in that region) a limited number of crossing fibres. In addition, we selected the SLF and CST, both having trajectories projecting through regions where other fibre bundles are crossing e.g. with the corpus callosum (Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006).

We found no significant differences in regional µFA values among the three groups in any of the three tracts (right column in Supplementary Fig. 3 and Table 2). Yet there was a trend towards a between-group difference for μ FA values in the SLF (P = 0.085) as well as a trend towards an effect of hemisphere in the CST (P = 0.062). Interestingly, albeit not being significant, regional µFA values showed a consistent similar pattern in all tract systems with a relative decrease in μ FA values from healthy controls to relapsing-remitting to primary-progressive multiple sclerosis. In contrast, regional FA values showed significant group differences in the CST (main effect of group: P = 0.007). This between-group difference was caused by higher FA values in patients with primaryprogssive multiple sclerosis relative to patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis ($P_{\text{bonf}} = 0.024$) and healthy controls ($P_{\text{bonf}} = 0.006$). Increase in FA with disease progression could indicate degeneration of a crossing-fibre tract (Fig. 1E). When considering all patients with multiple sclerosis together, we found negative correlations between age and µFA values in SLF (both hemispheres) and left CING (Supplementary Table 1). Similarly, µFA values in left CING of healthy controls also correlated with age. In the multiple sclerosis group, disability as measured with EDSS correlated negatively with µFA values in the left CING. Trends towards significant correlations were also found in left CST and right SLF. However, when controlling for age these effects were no longer significant. FA values in the left SLF (whole brain) correlated *positively* with EDSS, which also persisted after controlling for age.

Both, tract-specific μ FA and FA values, scaled with cognitive processing speed in patients with multiple sclerosis, as measured with SDMT. SDMT correlated positively with tract-specific μ FA values in bilateral CING and SLF. Trends towards significant correlation between tract-specific μ FA values and cognitive processing speed were also found in the right CST. In healthy controls, significant correlations between tract-specific μ FA values and cognitive processing speed were observed in the right CING and right SLF. There, was also a significant relationship between cognitive processing speed and tract-specific FA values, but this correlation was only found for

the left CING and was present in both, multiple sclerosis and healthy controls.

Whole-brain lesions-load correlates with mean μ FA but not FA of NAWM

The hallmark of multiple sclerosis is the focal lesions, which are distributed diffusely in the brain. Lesions are areas with a high degree of demyelination and axonal degeneration and will thus also affect the remaining part of the NAWM. We correlated lesion load, that is the total lesion volume, with μ FA values in the different regions of interest to investigate whether a larger number of lesions would result in greater decrease of microstructural anisotropy.

Whole-brain lesion load correlated with mean µFA of NAWM in the right and left hemisphere (left r = -0.41, P = 0.009, right r = -0.56, P < 0.001) as well as all of the individual ROIs (left CING: r = -0.37, P = 0.02; right CING: r = -0.46, P = 0.003; left CST: r = -0.38, P = 0.02; right CST: r = -0.44, P = 0.005; left SLF: r = -0.53, P = 0.001; right SLF: r = -0.53, P < 0.001, all Spearman's rank correlations). This showed that higher extent of global lesion load was associated with lower mean µFA in the NAWM. This was also true when correlating µFA values with lesion load within individual tracts of NAWM, see Supplementary Fig. 4. Only in the right SLF (r = -0.32, P = 0.048) and left SLF (trend r = -0.27, P = 0.09) correlated FA with wholebrain lesion load. We did not find a direct relation between lesion load and EDSS (r = 0.13, P = 0.41) nor SDMT (r = -0.25, P = 0.12).

Discussion

We show that µFA mapping substantially advances microstructural imaging of cerebral WM with multiple sclerosis compared to standard DTI-based FA mapping. Tensor-valued dMRI minimized the influence of fibre dispersion to the estimation of regional anisotropy already at the data acquisition stage (Lasič et al., 2014). This rendered diffusion-based microstructural mapping more sensitive to microstructural alterations directly caused by pathology and overcome the ambiguity from fibre architecture inherent to standard FA mapping. Importantly, the µFA mapping showed a consistent reduction in 'microscopic' anisotropy of NAWM in patients with relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis relative to the healthy control group. This significant difference between healthy individuals and patients affected by multiple sclerosis was not evident, when quantifying 'macroscopic' anisotropy of NAWM with DTI-based FA mapping that also is sensitivity to complex fibre architecture. Correlational analysis showed that mean µFA of NAWM scales with physical disability, cognitive dysfunction and the participant's age. These relationships between WM microstructure and clinical variables were not evidenced by mean FA. In the correlation analyses, we pooled patients with relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis into a single patient group. We acknowledge that the two multiple sclerosis groups are two distinct phenotypes and, in our study, differed in age and EDSS distribution. Nonetheless, individual NAWM μ FA values scaled linearly with patient-specific disease and clinical variables, while NAWM μ FA value did not. This observation in a heterogenous patient group highlights the potential of NAWM μ FA measurements to provide an MRI-based estimate of multiple sclerosis-related impairment.

Axonal degeneration and indirectly demyelination effects should reduce regional FA because of changes in the volume fractions of the cellular spaces (Beaulieu, 2002; Tuch, 2004; Wheeler-kingshott and Cercignani, 2009). Practically, our results prove that this is not necessarily the case. Most WM voxels contain crossing fibres with a considerable amount of fibre orientation dispersion (Jeurissen et al., 2013; Schilling et al., 2017). In these voxels, regional FA is determined by two tissue properties, namely microscopic diffusion anisotropy and fibre orientation dispersion. This renders the interpretation of regional FA values inherently ambiguous in the context of neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases. For instance, these two features may exert opposing effects on regional FA. On the one hand, axonal degeneration and demyelination effects may lower FA. On the other hand, axonal degeneration of a single fibre bundle in a crossing-fibre region may reduce the regional dispersion of fibre orientation dispersion and hereby increase regional FA (Pierpaoli et al., 2001; Douaud et al., 2011; Teipel et al., 2014). The ambiguity of the FA metric may explain why tract-specific analysis showed higher mean FA values in patients with primary-progressive multiple sclerosis as compared with patients with relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis and healthy controls. This effect was especially pronounced in the SLF due to its high degree of fibre orientation dispersion, underlining that regional FA is less suited to detect fibre damage in regions with large fibre orientation dispersion. Actually, the observed increasing FA value in primary-progressive multiple sclerosis could indicate a crossing-fibre environment where one fibre tract has degenerated and the fibre orientation dispersion decreases (illustration Fig. 1E), which was previously described in Alzheimer's disease (Douaud et al., 2011; Teipel et al., 2014). On the other hand, regional FA and µFA in CING, a relatively homogenous fibre bundle, resembled each other due to relatively wellaligned fibres, showing a stronger relative decrease in anisotropy in patients with primary-progressive than relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.

We found that the total lesion load correlates negatively with μ FA in the remaining NAWM as well as in different tract systems (Supplementary Fig. 2). Multiple sclerosis lesions are focal areas with demyelination and axonal degeneration, but degeneration spreads out from the lesions to the rest of the brain, affecting the microstructure of NAWM. We argue that the degree of axonal degeneration in NAWM scales with lesion load and this mechanism may account for lower mean μ FA values in the NAWM of patients with high lesion load. Especially in primary-progressive multiple sclerosis, primary microscopical changes observed with histology in non-lesioned WM may also contribute to the observed μ FA decrease in the NAWM (Lassmann, 2019). In our study, we did not find the same relation with FA, which only correlated negatively with global lesion load in the right SLF (as well as a trend towards negative correlation in left SLF).

Only mean μ FA but not FA scaled with cognitive processing speed in patients and healthy controls. The higher the individual SDMT score, the higher was mean μ FA of the NAWM. The same positive relationship was found when considering the mean μ FA of the CING or SLF. Similar correlations have been described previously between SDMT and mean FA in CING (Lin *et al.*, 2014; Koenig *et al.*, 2015) and corpus callosum (Pokryszko-Dragan *et al.*, 2018). However, in our study, mean FA only correlated with SDMT in the left CING.

Mean μ FA but not mean FA showed an inverse linear relationship with physical disability as measured with the EDSS score. This effect was found when using the total NAWM mask but was also present when using the NAWM in the left CING. In the existing literature, the relation between FA of NAWM and physical disability is conflicting with some studies reporting significant correlations (Liu *et al.*, 2012; Onu *et al.*, 2012; Tovar-Moll *et al.*, 2015; Deppe *et al.*, 2016) while others did not (Griffin *et al.*, 2001; Fink *et al.*, 2010; Daams *et al.*, 2016). Together, our findings suggest that μ FA may better reflect disease-related functional impairment than conventional FA because it reveals changes in microstructure anisotropy independent of fibre architecture.

Both, mean µFA and mean FA correlated with age, showing lower anisotropy with increasing age in healthy controls. This finding replicates previous studies showing age-related effects of FA similar (Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006) or µFA (Lawrenz et al., 2016). Reduced microscopic anisotropy with age suggests a change in the volume fraction of the cellular spaces due to axonal degeneration or changes in myelination. In addition, more supporting cells, e.g. glial cells, in the microstructural environment would result in lower microscopic anisotropy (Lynch et al., 2010; Al-Mashhadi et al., 2015). We observed that patients with multiple sclerosis also showed lower WM anisotropy with age, but only when using μ FA and not FA as anisotropy measure. The absence of a change in WM FA with patient's age may be caused by disease-related architectural change (degeneration of a single tract in a crossing tract environment) that cause an apparent increase in FA, obscuring the ageassociated reduction in anisotropy.

A common approach to overcome the crossing-fibre problem in FA analyses is to limit the regions of interest to only include voxels in which FA exceeds a given threshold (e.g. FA > 0.2). Interestingly though, our analyses showed that this did not change the relations between groups (Supplementary Fig. 2), showing consistent results across different FA-thresholds. An alternative strategy to overcome the crossing-fibre problem is to extract FA in coherent WM structures, e.g. using tract-based spatial statistics (Smith et al., 2006). However, both ways of limiting the analysis to voxels with coherent fibre orientation have the disadvantage of lowering the signal to noise ratio since fewer voxels are used to compute the mean statistic. Moreover, this approach excludes relevant portions of WM. Regardless of these strategies to mitigate the fibre dispersion problem, FA is modulated by effects of crossing fibres and thus cannot reflect the true underlying microscopic anisotropy due to limited spatial resolution (Budde and Frank, 2012; Schilling et al., 2017). Taken together, our observations suggest that µFA better reflects the true underlying microstructural anisotropy and thus also the disease condition.

It has been proposed that μ FA can be obtained using linear tensor encoding data only (Kaden *et al.*, 2016). However, this approach has been showed to be invalid (Henriques *et al.*, 2019). It has also been proposed that orientation dispersion can be accounted for by the use of biophysical models with linear tensor encoding data only (Zhang *et al.*, 2012; Kaden *et al.*, 2016), however, the result from such approaches depends as much on the assumptions in the model as on the underlying microstructure (Lampinen *et al.*, 2017, 2019; Dyrby *et al.*, 2018; Novikov *et al.*, 2019). The approach in this study did not make any assumptions on the configuration of the microstructure but instead relied on additional information provided by the STE collected at the acquisition.

Our μ FA and FA results were obtained in a slab of slices covering part of the brain hence only the part of a tract projecting through the slab of slices was investigated. Focal pathological effects on the tract outside the NAWM volume covered by our slab of slices could impact our correlation results with clinical scores. However, any neuronal degeneration caused by focal attacks outside the volume covered by the NAWM slab will affect the microstructure along the entire tract also within the NAWM slab (Pierpaoli *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, tract-related NAWM changes within the slab are expected to correlate with neurodegenerative effect changes appearing along the whole tract hence to representative correlated with clinical scores.

In conclusion, μ FA mapping is not affected by fibre architecture effects as conventional DTI-based FA mapping. Therefore, it is a more specific imaging tool to better capture microstructure anisotropy changes in NAWM multiple sclerosis pathology by demonstrating better group statistics in phenotype detection independent of the complexity of the tract system investigated. The μ FA mapping also gives a better reflection of disease-related functional impairment when correlated with clinical scores. This motivates the future use of μ FA mapping acquisitions as a complementary MRI modality to track the course of pathology dynamics and to test the efficacy of new treatments.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Brain* Communications online.

Acknowledgements

We thank the multiple sclerosis patients and healthy controls for participating in the study and Philips Healthcare for letting us use the source code and development tools.

Funding

Danish Multiple Sclerosis Society (Grant No. A31910 and A27996). VINNMER Marie Curie Industry Outgoing grant (Grant No. 013-04350). Swedish Research Council (Grant No. 2014-39102016-03443). Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (Grant No. AM13-0090). Random Walk Imaging AB, Sweden. H.R.S. holds a 5-year professorship in precision medicine at the Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, University of Copenhagen which is sponsored by the Lundbeck Foundation (Grant No. R186-2015-2138).

Competing interests

F.S. has served on scientific advisory boards, been on the steering committees of clinical trials, served as a consultant, received support for congress participation, received speaker honoraria or received research support for his laboratory from Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme and Teva. H.R.S. has received honoraria as speaker from Sanofi Genzyme, Denmark and Novartis, Denmark, as consultant from Sanofi Genzyme, Denmark and as senior editor (NeuroImage) from Elsevier Publishers, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. He has received royalties as book editor from Springer Publishers, Stuttgart, Germany. S.L. and D.T. are employed by Random Walk Imaging, which holds related patents. D.T. and M.N. are shareholders of Random Walk Imaging. S.L., D.T. and M.N. are inventors of related patents: PCT/SE2013/050493, WO 2013/165313, PCT/SE2015/ 050156, WO 2015/119569.

References

Al-Mashhadi S, Simpson JE, Heath PR, Dickman M, Forster G, Matthews FE, et al. Oxidative glial cell damage associated with white matter lesions in the aging human brain. Brain Pathol 2015; 25: 565-74.

- Alexander DC, Dyrby TB, Nilsson M, Zhang H. Imaging brain microstructure with diffusion MRI: practicality and applications. NMR in Biomedicine 2019; 32: e3841. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3841.
- Andersson JLR, Skare S, Ashburner J. How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: application to diffusion tensor imaging. Neuroimage 2003; 20: 870–88.
- Andersson JLR, Sotiropoulos SN. An integrated approach to correction for off-resonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. Neuroimage 2016; 125: 1063–78.
- Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI. J Magn Reson Ser B 1996; 111: 209–19.
- Beaulieu C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - A technical review. NMR Biomed 2002; 15: 435–55.
- Bester M, Lazar M, Petracca M, Babb JS, Herbert J, Grossman RI, et al. Tract-specific white matter correlates of fatigue and cognitive impairment in benign multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci 2013; 330: 61–6.
- Bodini B, Battaglini M, De Stefano N, Khaleeli Z, Barkhof F, Chard D, et al. T2 lesion location really matters: a 10 year follow-up study in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2011; 82: 72–7.
- Budde MD, Frank JA. Examining brain microstructure using structure tensor analysis of histological sections. Neuroimage 2012; 63: 1–10.
- Chard D, Trip SA. Resolving the clinico-radiological paradox in multiple sclerosis, F1000Research 2017; 6: 1828.
- Cory DG, Garroway AN, Miller JB. Applications of spin transport as a probe of local geometry. Polymer Prepr 1990; 31: 149–50.
- Daams M, Steenwijk MD, Schoonheim MM, Wattjes MP, Balk LJ, Tewarie PK, et al. Multi-parametric structural magnetic resonance imaging in relation to cognitive dysfunction in long-standing multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler J 2016; 22: 608–19.
- Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI. Cortical surface-based analysis. Neuroimage 1999; 9: 179–94.
- Deppe M, Tabelow K, Krämer J, Tenberge JG, Schiffler P, Bittner S, et al. Evidence for early, non-lesional cerebellar damage in patients with multiple sclerosis: DTI measures correlate with disability, atrophy, and disease duration. Mult Scler 2016; 22: 73–84.
- Douaud G, Jbabdi S, Behrens TEJ, Menke RA, Gass A, Monsch AU, et al. DTI measures in crossing-fibre areas: increased diffusion anisotropy reveals early white matter alteration in MCI and mild Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage 2011; 55: 880–90.
- Droby A, Fleischer V, Carnini M, Zimmermann H, Siffrin V, Gawehn J, et al. The impact of isolated lesions on white-matter fiber tracts in multiple sclerosis patients. NeuroImage Clin 2015; 8: 110–6.
- Dyrby TB, Innocenti GM, Bech M, Lundell H. Validation strategies for the interpretation of microstructure imaging using diffusion MRI. Neuroimage 2018; 182: 62–79.
- Dyrby TB, Lundell H, Burke MW, Reislev NL, Paulson OB, Ptito M, et al. Interpolation of diffusion weighted imaging datasets. Neuroimage 2014; 103: 202–13.
- Eriksson S, Lasič S, Nilsson M, Westin C-F, Topgaard D. NMR diffusion-encoding with axial symmetry and variable anisotropy: Distinguishing between prolate and oblate microscopic diffusion tensors with unknown orientation distribution. J Chem Phys 2015; 142: 104201. doi: 10.1063/1.4913502.
- Eriksson S, Lasic S, Topgaard D. Isotropic diffusion weighting in PGSE NMR by magic-angle spinning of the q-vector. J Magn Reson 2013; 226: 13–8.
- Filippi M, Preziosa P, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Ciccarelli O, De Stefano N, et al. Assessment of lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis: practical guidelines. Brain 2019; 142: 1858–75.
- Filippi M, Rocca MA, Barkhof F, Brück W, Chen JT, Comi G, et al. Association between pathological and MRI findings in multiple sclerosis. Lancet Neurol 2012; 11: 349–60.
- Fink F, Klein J, Lanz M, Mitrovics T, Lentschig M, Hahn HK, et al. Comparison of diffusion tensor-based tractography and quantified

brain atrophy for analyzing demyelination and axonal loss in MS. J Neuroimaging 2010; 20: 334-44.

- Griffin CM, Chard DT, Ciccarelli O, Kapoor R, Barker GJ, Thompson AJ, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in early relapsing—remitting multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2001; 7: 290–7.
- Henriques RN, Jespersen SN, Shemesh N. Microscopic anisotropy misestimation in spherical-mean single diffusion encoding MRI. Magn Reson Med 2019; 81: 3245–61.
- Jespersen SN, Lundell H, Sønderby CK, Dyrby TB. Commentary on "Microanisotropy imaging: quantification of microscopic diffusion anisotropy and orientation of order parameter by diffusion MRI with magic-angle spinning of the q-vector". Front Phys 2014; 2: 28.
- Jeurissen B, Leemans A, Tournier JD, Jones DK, Sijbers J. Investigating the prevalence of complex fiber configurations in white matter tissue with diffusion magnetic resonance imaging. Hum Brain Mapp 2013; 34: 2747–66.
- Kaden E, Kruggel F, Alexander DC. Quantitative mapping of the peraxon diffusion coefficients in brain white matter. Magn Reson Med 2016; 75: 1752–63.
- Klein S, Staring M, Murphy K, Viergever MA, Pluim JPW. Elastix: a toolbox for intensity-based medical image registration. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 2010; 29: 196–205.
- Koenig KA, Sakaie KE, Lowe MJ, Lin J, Stone L, Bermel RA, et al. The relationship between cognitive function and high-resolution diffusion tensor MRI of the cingulum bundle in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2015; 21: 1794–801.
- Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple-sclerosis—an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology 1983; 33: 1444–1452.
- Kutzelnigg A, Lassmann H. Pathology of multiple sclerosis and related inflammatory demyelinating diseases. Handb Clin Neurol 2014; 122: 15–58. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-52001-2.00002-9.
- Lampinen B, Szczepankiewicz F, Mårtensson J, van Westen D, Sundgren PC, Nilsson M. Neurite density imaging versus imaging of microscopic anisotropy in diffusion MRI: a model comparison using spherical tensor encoding. Neuroimage 2017; 147: 517–31.
- Lampinen B, Szczepankiewicz F, Novén M, van Westen D, Hansson O, Englund E, et al. Searching for the neurite density with diffusion MRI: Challenges for biophysical modeling. Hum Brain Mapp 2019; 40: 2529–45.
- Lasič S, Szczepankiewicz F, Eriksson S, Nilsson M, Topgaard D. Microanisotropy imaging: quantification of microscopic diffusion anisotropy and orientational order parameter by diffusion MRI with magic-angle spinning of the q-vector. Front Phys 2014; 2: 1–14.
- Lassmann H. Review: the architecture of inflammatory demyelinating lesions: implications for studies on pathogenesis. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2011; 37: 698–710.
- Lassmann H. Pathogenic mechanisms associated with different clinical courses of multiple sclerosis. Front Immunol 2019; 10: 1–14.
- Lawrenz M, Brassen S, Finsterbusch J. Microscopic diffusion anisotropy in the human brain: age-related changes. Neuroimage 2016; 141: 313–25.
- Lin YC, Shih YC, Tseng WYI, Chu YH, Wu MT, Chen TF, et al. Cingulum correlates of cognitive functions in patients with mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease: a diffusion spectrum imaging study. Brain Topogr 2014; 27: 393–402.
- Liu Y, Duan Y, He Y, Yu C, Wang J, Huang J, et al. Whole brain white matter changes revealed by multiple diffusion metrics in multiple sclerosis: a TBSS study. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81: 2826–32.
- Lynch AM, Murphy KJ, Deighan BF, O'Reilly J-A, Gun'ko YK, Cowley TR, et al. The impact of glial activation in the aging brain. Aging Dis 2010; 1: 262–78.
- Matias-Guiu JA, Cortés-Martínez A, Montero P, Pytel V, Moreno-Ramos T, Jorquera M, et al. Structural MRI correlates of PASAT performance in multiple sclerosis. BMC Neurol 2018; 18: 214.
- Mori S, Van Zijl PCM. Diffusion weighting by the trace of the diffusion tensor within a single scan. Magn Reson Med 1995; 33: 41–52.

14 BRAIN COMMUNICATIONS 2020: Page 14 of 14

- Nilsson M, Szczepankiewicz F, Brabec J, Taylor M, Westin C, Golby A, et al. Tensor-valued diffusion MRI in under 3 minutes: an initial survey of microscopic anisotropy and tissue heterogeneity in intracranial tumors. Magn Reson Med 2020; 83: 608–20. doi: 10.1002/mrm.27959.
- Nilsson M, Szczepankiewicz F, Lampinen B, Ahlgren A, Martins JP de A, Lasic S, et al. An open-source framework for analysis of multidimensional diffusion MRI data implemented in MATLAB. Paris, France: International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine; 2018.
- Nilsson M, Szczepankiewicz F, Van Westen D, Hansson O. Extrapolation-based references improve motion and eddy-current correction of high B-value DWI data: application in Parkinson's disease dementia. PLoS One 2015; 10: 1–22.
- Novikov D S, Fieremans E, Jespersen S N, Kiselev V G. Quantifying brain microstructure with diffusion MRI: Theory and parameter estimation. NMR in Biomedicine 2019; 32: e3998 10.1002/nbm.3998
- Nusbaum AO, Tang CY, Wei TC, Buchsbaum MS, Atlas SW. Wholebrain diffusion MR histograms differ between MS subtypes. Neurology 2000; 54: 1421–6.
- Onu M, Roceanu A, Sboto-Frankenstein U, Bendic R, Tarta E, Preoteasa F, et al. Diffusion abnormality maps in demyelinating disease: correlations with clinical scores. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81: e386–91.
- Oouchi H, Yamada K, Sakai K, Kizu O, Kubota T, Ito H, et al. Diffusion anisotropy measurement of brain white matter is affected by voxel size: underestimation occurs in areas with crossing fibers. Am J Neuroradiol 2007; 28: 1102–6.
- Pagani E, Filippi M, Rocca MA, Horsfield MA. A method for obtaining tract-specific diffusion tensor MRI measurements in the presence of disease: application to patients with clinically isolated syndromes suggestive of multiple sclerosis. Neuroimage 2005; 26: 258–65.
- Pierpaoli C, Barnett A, Pajevic S, Chen R, Penix LR, Virta A, et al. Water diffusion changes in wallerian degeneration and their dependence on white matter architecture. Neuroimage 2001; 13: 1174–85.
- Pokryszko-Dragan A, Banaszek A, Nowakowska-Kotas M, Jeżowska-Jurczyk K, Dziadkowiak E, Gruszka E, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging findings in the multiple sclerosis patients and their relationships to various aspects of disability. J Neurol Sci 2018; 391: 127–33.
- Poloni G, Minagar A, Haacke EM, Zivadinov R. Recent developments in imaging of multiple sclerosis. Neurologist 2011; 17: 185–204.
- Preziosa P, Rocca MA, Caputo D. Intrinsic damage to the major white matter tracts in patients with different clinical phenotypes of multiple sclerosis: a voxelwise diffusion-tensor MR study. Radilogy 2011; 260: 541–50.
- Sbardella E, Tona F, Petsas N, Pantano P. DTI Measurements in Multiple Sclerosis: Evaluation of Brain Damage and Clinical Implications. Mult Scler Int 2013; 2013:671730.
- Schilling K, Gao Y, Janve V, Stepniewska I, Landman BA, Anderson AW. Can increased spatial resolution solve the crossing fiber problem for diffusion MRI? NMR Biomed 2017; 30: 1–16.
- Schmahmann JD, Pandya DN. Fiber pathways of the Brain. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006.
- Shemesh N, Jespersen SN, Alexander DC, Cohen Y, Drobnjak I, Dyrby TB, et al. Conventions and nomenclature for double diffusion encoding NMR and MRI. Magn Reson Med 2016; 75: 82–7.
- Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols TE, Mackay CE, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: Voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. NeuroImage 2006; 31: 1487–505.
- Smith A. Symbol digit modalities test. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services; 1982.
- Sullivan E V., Pfefferbaum A. Diffusion tensor imaging and aging. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2006; 30: 749–61.

- Szczepankiewicz F, Lasič S, van Westen D, Sundgren PC, Englund E, Westin C-F, et al. Quantification of microscopic diffusion anisotropy disentangles effects of orientation dispersion from microstructure: applications in healthy volunteers and in brain tumors. Neuroimage 2015; 104: 241–52.
- Szczepankiewicz F, Sjölund J, Ståhlberg F, Lätt J, Nilsson M. Tensorvalued diffusion encoding for diffusional variance decomposition (DIVIDE): technical feasibility in clinical MRI systems. PLoS One 2019; 14: 1–20.
- Szczepankiewicz F, van Westen D, Englund E, Westin CF, Ståhlberg F, Lätt J, et al. The link between diffusion MRI and tumor heterogeneity: Mapping cell eccentricity and density by diffusional variance decomposition (DIVIDE). Neuroimage 2016; 142: 522–32.
- Teipel SJ, Grothe MJ, Filippi M, Fellgiebel A, Dyrba M, Frisoni GB, et al. Fractional anisotropy changes in Alzheimer's disease depend on the underlying fiber tract architecture: a multiparametric DTI study using joint independent component analysis. J Alzheimer's Dis 2014; 41: 69–83.
- Thompson AJ, Baranzini SE, Geurts J, Hemmer B, Ciccarelli O. Multiple sclerosis. Lancet (London, England) 2018; 391: 1622–36.
- Topgaard D. Isotropic diffusion weighting in PGSE NMR: numerical optimization of the q-MAS PGSE sequence. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2013; 178: 60–3.
- Topgaard D. Multidimensional diffusion MRI. J Magn Reson 2017; 275: 98–113.
- Tovar-Moll F, Evangelou IE, Chiu AW, Auh S, Chen C, Ehrmantraut M, et al. Diffuse and focal corticospinal tract disease and its impact on patient disability in multiple sclerosis. J Neuroimaging 2015; 25: 200–6.
- Tuch DS. Q-ball imaging. Magn Reson Med 2004; 52: 1358-72.
- van Horssen J, Singh S, van der Pol S, Kipp M, Lim JL, Peferoen L, et al. Clusters of activated microglia in normal-appearing white matter show signs of innate immune activation. J Neuroinflammation 2012; 9: 156.
- Vos SB, Jones DK, Viergever MA, Leemans A. Partial volume effect as a hidden covariate in DTI analyses. Neuroimage 2011; 55: 1566–76.
- Wahl M, Hübers A, Lauterbach-Soon B, Hattingen E, Jung P, Cohen LG, et al. Motor callosal disconnection in early relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Hum Brain Mapp 2011; 32: 846–55.
- Wang Y, Sun P, Wang Q, Trinkaus K, Schmidt RE, Naismith RT, et al. Differentiation and quantification of inflammation, demyelination and axon injury or loss in multiple sclerosis. Brain 2015; 138: 1223–38.
- Westin CF, Knutsson H, Pasternak O, Szczepankiewicz F, Özarslan E, van Westen D, et al. Q-space trajectory imaging for multidimensional diffusion MRI of the human brain. Neuroimage 2016; 135: 345–62.
- Wheeler-kingshott CAM, Cercignani M. About "axial" and "radial" diffusivities. Magn Reson Med 2009; 1260: 1255–60.
- Wilting J, Rolfsnes HO, Zimmermann H, Behrens M, Fleischer V, Zipp F, et al. Structural correlates for fatigue in early relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis. Eur Radiol 2016; 26: 515–23.
- Yang G, Tian Q, Leuze C, Wintermark M, McNab JA. Double diffusion encoding MRI for the clinic. Magn Reson Med 2018; 80: 507–20.
- Zhang H, Schneider T, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Alexander DC. NODDI: practical in vivo neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging of the human brain. Neuroimage 2012; 61: 1000–16.