RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Novel aspects of grapevine response to phytoplasma infection investigated by a proteomic and phospho-proteomic approach with data integration into functional networks

Paolo Margaria, Simona Abbà and Sabrina Palmano*

Abstract

Background: Translational and post-translational protein modifications play a key role in the response of plants to pathogen infection. Among the latter, phosphorylation is critical in modulating protein structure, localization and interaction with other partners. In this work, we used a multiplex staining approach with 2D gels to study quantitative changes in the proteome and phosphoproteome of Flavescence dorée-affected and recovered 'Barbera' grapevines, compared to healthy plants.

Results: We identified 48 proteins that differentially changed in abundance, phosphorylation, or both in response to Flavescence dorée phytoplasma infection. Most of them did not show any significant difference in recovered plants, which, by contrast, were characterized by changes in abundance, phosphorylation, or both for 17 proteins not detected in infected plants. Some enzymes involved in the antioxidant response that were up-regulated in infected plants, such as isocitrate dehydrogenase and glutathione S-transferase, returned to healthy-state levels in recovered plants. Others belonging to the same functional category were even down-regulated in recovered plants (oxidoreductase GLYR1 and ascorbate peroxidase). Our proteomic approach thus agreed with previously published biochemical and RT-qPCR data which reported down-regulation of scavenging enzymes and accumulation of H₂O₂ in recovered plants, possibly suggesting a role for this molecule in remission from infection. Fifteen differentially phosphorylated proteins (| ratio | > 2, p < 0.05) were identified in infected compared to healthy plants, including proteins involved in photosynthesis, response to stress and the antioxidant system. Many were not differentially phosphorylated in recovered compared to healthy plants, pointing to their specific role in responding to infection, followed by a return to a steady-state phosphorylation level after remission of symptoms. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment and statistical analysis showed that the general main category "response to stimulus" was over-represented in both infected and recovered plants but, in the latter, the specific child category "response to biotic stimulus" was no longer found, suggesting a return to steady-state levels for those proteins specifically required for defence against pathogens.

Conclusions: Proteomic data were integrated into biological networks and their interactions were represented through a hypothetical model, showing the effects of protein modulation on primary metabolic ways and related secondary pathways. By following a multiplex-staining approach, we obtained new data on grapevine proteome pathways that specifically change at the phosphorylation level during phytoplasma infection and following recovery, focusing for the first time on phosphoproteome changes during pathogen infection in this host.

Keywords: Vitis vinifera, Flavescence dorée, Recovery, 2-DE

* Correspondence: s.palmano@ivv.cnr.it

Istituto di Virologia Vegetale, CNR, Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135, Torino, Italy



© 2013 Margaria et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

Flavescence dorée (FD) is an economically important grapevine disease in Europe caused by phytoplasmas, plant phloem-limited pathogens in the class Mollicutes [1]. Flavescence dorée is transmitted by Scaphoideus titanus (Ball) and its potential to cause epidemic outbreaks makes the associated phytoplasma (FDp) a quarantine pathogen in Europe. All Vitis vinifera cultivars are susceptible to FD phytoplasma (FDp). Among the typical symptoms, infected plants show yellowing, downward rolling of the leaves, stunting and lack of lignifications of the new shoots at the end of the vegetative season. Flower withering and premature berry shrivelling can cause heavy yield losses and reduced wine quality. However, the severity and incidence of symptoms associated with FDp infection can vary according to the type of cultivar. 'Barbera' is a typical Italian cultivar, mainly cultivated in Piedmont (northern Italy), but also in important wine-producing areas outside Italy [2] producing economically important high quality wines. 'Barbera' shows high sensitivity to FDp infection: besides showing severe symptoms at the beginning of the vegetative season (early syndrome), infected plants clearly show typical symptoms of the disease in summer, which can frequently result in whole plants turning purple. Interestingly, as well as this high incidence, 'Barbera' shows a high recovery phenotype (spontaneous remission of symptoms) after initial infection with FDp [3].

Over recent years, genomic and proteomic strategies have been successfully used to analyse plant-pathogen interactions. In particular, proteomic approaches have largely evolved in pursuit of the functional assignment of proteins expressed during phytopathogenic interactions [4]. Despite the great economic importance of phytoplasma diseases, proteomics has only recently been applied to this class of aetiological agents, in mulberry [5,6], grapevine [7] and Mexican lime tree [8]. For grapevinephytoplasma interactions, the only proteomic analysis so far published studied the response of the cv. Nebbiolo to FDp infection. 'Nebbiolo' is generally considered as being more tolerant to FDp infection, showing milder symptoms than 'Barbera'. In this work we used a more sensitive staining approach than the one we used previously [7] which allowed a better characterization of both the proteome and phosphoproteome of a susceptible cultivar. We also extended the analysis to recovered plants, with the aim of highlighting new putative proteins involved in susceptibility/tolerance to phytoplasma infection.

Studies on post-translational modifications (PTMs) are considered important in clarifying pathogen/host interactions, and their role in the dynamic adaptation of plants to different conditions has been demonstrated [9]. Among them, reversible protein phosphorylation of serine, threonine and tyrosine residues is the most common protein modification, and has a crucial role in regulating the plant response toward different abiotic and biotic stresses [10]. Transcriptomic approaches recently identified several protein kinases and a tyrosine phosphatase which were upregulated in grapevines infected by Bois noir phytoplasma [11,12]. In addition, a serine/threonine protein kinase transcript was up-regulated in Mexican lime tree infected by Candidatus Phytoplasma aurantifolia [13], and a tyrosine phosphate protein was up-regulated in Morus alba infected by phytoplasmas [5]. These data suggest an important role for phosphorylation events in the response to phytoplasma infection. However, to the best of our knowledge, no data are yet available on the kinase/phosphatase targets and on PTMs of grapevine proteins in response to pathogens, and specifically to phytoplasma infection and recovery. Recovery is an interesting phenomenon that consists in the remission of disease symptoms from a previous infection, which has also been reported in phytoplasma-infected plants [14]. In grapevine, this phenomenon is accompanied by the inability to detect the phytoplasma in the recovered plant tissues. So far, very limited data are available on the possible molecular mechanisms involved. A role for H_2O_2 , which accumulates in tissues of recovered plants following down-regulation of catalase and ascorbate peroxidase scavenging enzymes, is supported by biochemical and RTqPCR data [15]. The study of recovery is now of major interest, in view of its potential use as a control strategy against grapevine phytoplasmas, due to the absence of genetic resources for resistance.

In this work, we monitored changes in the total proteome and phosphoproteome of FDp-healthy, infected and recovered grapevines through different staining techniques. Spots showing qualitative and quantitative changes were trypsin-digested and the resulting peptides analysed by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time Of Flight (MALDI-TOF-TOF). The differentially expressed proteins were integrated into functional networks in order to represent their biological significance in the hostpathogen interaction. Our data gave new valuable insights into specific protein modulations that occur in grapevine during progression of Flavescence dorée and after recovery from the disease, providing valuable proteomic data on this poorly characterized phenomenon.

Results and discussion

Grapevine midrib tissues from healthy, infected and recovered plants were considered for the extraction of total proteins. In order to minimize the environmental and sample variability we collected the plants in the same vineyard area, nearby each other and in the same day. Grapevines were scored for symptoms and screened for phytoplasma and virus infection by molecular assays, as described in Methods section. In all the samples, we excluded the presence of seven out of the eight viruses for which we tested, with the exception of Grapevine fleck virus (GFkV), which was present in each vine in the vineyard. Furthermore, its presence was not expected to interfere with our investigation, as GFkV is generally latent and asymptomatic in grapevines [16]. Nine 2-DE gels (three biological X three technical replications) for each condition (healthy, infected and recovered plants) were run, stained with two dyes and analysed. The ProQ Diamond stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), which is specific for phosphorylated serine, threonine and tyrosine protein residues, was used to identify phosphorylated proteins and to study their response to phytoplasma infection and in recovered plants. Once the first stain was removed, total proteins were detected on the same gels using the fluorescent Sypro[®] Ruby stain (Invitrogen). Using PDOuest gel analysis software, up to 130 phospho-spots and 450 total-spots were detected in all the gels, using the two dyes respectively. Phospho-proteins were mainly concentrated in the 40–60 kDa range and had a p*I* mostly between 5-6 (Figure 1A) due to acidification of the proteins, as previously reported in phospho-proteomic studies [17,18]. Sypro[®] Ruby stained proteins were evenly distributed on the gels, covering almost all the p*I* and molecular weight (MW) range (Figure 1B). Using a multiplex staining approach on the same gel, we were able to overlap the images and precisely match them together, in order to normalize the data and distinguish a lightly phosphorylated, high abundance protein from a heavily phosphorylated, low abundance protein (Figure 1C). From the same Figure 1, we could also check the specificity of the dyes; for example, several high-abundance spots detected by Sypro Ruby were not stained by ProQ Diamond.

For spot identification, the 2-DE electrophoresis was coupled with MALDI TOF/TOF analysis. This system is





suitable for the identification of the most abundant proteins in the spot analysed. However, this technical limit did not hamper the ability to provide a description of the main changes that occur in the grapevine proteome and phosphoproteome during phytoplasma infection and recovery (Figure 2, Additional file 1).

In Figure 3A, the Venn diagram shows the distribution of all the differentially regulated proteins found in the infected and recovered category, pointing out the presence of specific proteins characterizing each single status, as a well as the shared ones. In the discussion about differential regulation of the proteins, we used "up- or down-regulated" for the changes in abundance and "upor down-phosphorylated" for the changes in the phosphorylation state.

ConPonk and

Number of icoforms

Spot ID

Proteome and phosphoproteome changes in FDpinfected grapevines

Proteome changes

PI ASTn decorintion

A previous study analysed the response of the 'Nebbiolo' grapevine proteome to phytoplasma infection using a Coomassie Blue staining approach [7]. In this work we used the more sensitive Sypro[®] Ruby stain, which improved analysis and detection of differentially expressed proteins. The nature of the spots was successfully determined by mass spectrometry analysis: some of them matched to the same protein, indicating the presence of multiple isoforms (Figure 2).

Twenty-nine out of the 37 differentially expressed proteins identified in FDp-infected samples were upregulated, whereas 8 showed a down-regulation with

1/11 (5)

D/II (S)

I/II (D) D/II (D)

4401 iiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 5355 iiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 5355 viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 4004 viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 4004 viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 4004 viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 4004 viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas viiii attainis yuhutas 5105 viiii attainis viiii attaini attainis viiii atta	5102	1	gil118406886	elutathione S-transferase, chloroplastic	2.4	
S205IIIII 11771250III opportunit instants in the profilIII approximation in the profilIII appro	4501	1	gil14161136	glutamine synthetase		2.9
1810. 	5205	1	gil147772560	triosphosphate isomerase-like protein		2.3
6490 1 1117714/30 names regimes the priority crystallin phys. Name 3.4 6404 1 111775904 Molecular chapters contail on their priority crystallin phys. hpy30 12 - 2.4 6404 1 111775904 Molecular chapters contail on their priority crystallin phys. hpy30 12 - - 3.4 6404 1 111775904 Molecular chapters contail on their priority crystallin phys. hpy30 2.4 - - 3.4 6404 1 111775904 Molecular chapters contail on their priority crystallin phys. hpy30 2.4 - <t< td=""><td>1810</td><td>1</td><td>gil147782269</td><td>putative oxalvl-CoA decarboxylase 2-bydroxyacyl-CoA lyase 1</td><td>3.6</td><td></td></t<>	1810	1	gil147782269	putative oxalvl-CoA decarboxylase 2-bydroxyacyl-CoA lyase 1	3.6	
5202. 3206 2 pil/774403 Part lack Secretary Provins 7.4 7.4 1313 1 pil/7782729 Molecul deproper (and lack and ack protein (y cultura) split, hepla() 7.4 7.4 1334 1 pil/778729 Molecul deproper (and lack and ack protein (y cultura) split, hepla() 7.4 7.4 1344 1 pil/778729 molecul deprotein (and lack and ack protein (y cultura) split, hepla() 7.4 7.4 2502. 2505 2 pil/7878109 protein (and before and before an	4500	1	gil147784260	glutamina sumthatasa laaf isozuma, ahloranlastia	2.4	
10.2010 1 10177399 Nationaliz Support (main) 10.2 2.3 1313 1 10177399 Nationaliz Support (main) 10.2 2.4 1304 1 101770662 cycleine perfabes. Profilas (CA subfaminy) 2.7 10.3 1304 1 101770662 cycleine perfabes. Profilas (CA subfaminy) 2.4 2.4 1304 1 101780510 Sten specific porcia durations induced protoin 2.4 2.4 1304 1 101780510 Sten specific porcia durations induced protoin 2.4 2.4 2.4 1404 1 101780510 Sten specific porcia durations induced protoin 2.4	5202 2206	1	-1147794692	Bluannie synthetase la isozyne, chioropiastic	0.4	25
40.31 [#17.48954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.89954 [#17.8995	3202, 3208	2	gil147784085	Plant Basic Secretory Protein	0.0	2.5
1313 1 pil/1787229 piedra (piede line) descented descenter) 21 24 6400 1 pil/1780516 Stem specific protein alumine indexed protein 24 34 3304 1 pil/1780516 Stem specific protein alumine indexed protein 24 34 3500 1 pil/1780516 Stem specific protein alumine indexed protein 23 34 360 1 pil/1780520 Pilitation for specific protein alumine indexed protein 33 34 361 1 pil/1780520 Pilitation for specific protein 33 34 345 34 345 34 345 34 345 34 345 34 <td>4004</td> <td>1</td> <td>gil147785904</td> <td>Molecular chaperone (small heat shock protein) crystallin alpha, hsp20</td> <td>14.2</td> <td></td>	4004	1	gil147785904	Molecular chaperone (small heat shock protein) crystallin alpha, hsp20	14.2	
SS31 1 gild 790863 cyclic peptidae. Peptidae CA admanay. 2.7 .7 SS31 1 gild 790863 cyclic peptidae. Peptidae CA admanay. 2.7 SS30 1 gild 780109 inclus chara period in advance period i	1313	1	gil147787229	putative plastid lipid-associated protein		2.4
6810 1 pill 7801802 succine delynoppase 94 3330 1 pill 7801802 presentation delynoppase 25 2002.2056 2 pill 780100 presentation delynoppase 25 6666 1 pill 780100 presentation delynoppase 25 7414 1 pill 78052 Pulstro cycles, kyrening for formalise 35 21 7414 1 pill 780520 Pulstro cycles, kyrening formalise 35 21 7414 1 pill 780520 Pulstro cycles, kyrening formalise 35 21 7401 1 pill 780520 Pulstro cycles, kyrening formalise 35 21 7403 1 pill 780520 Pulstro cycles, kyrening formalise 32	8504	1	gil147790682	cysteine peptidase, Peptidase C1A subfamily	2.7	
3394 1 gli1780561 Stem-specific protein aluminum-indexed protein 2-1 2502, 2305. 2 gli17721109 registration press. Nat. PMA family, condyration return press. PMA family, condyratin return press. PMA famA family, condyratener return press. PMA f	6810	1	gil147801802	succinate dehydrogenase		4.9
5510 i pil/470027 pil/470027 picovi hydroka family 31 enzyme. alpha-galecoidae 23 2502. 2505 i pil/170109 protein dualifie isomerase like. PDI naily, endodusais releatum protein 38 (Eps) subma ⁻¹ . 24-21. 3465 i pil/1701079 protein dualifie isomerase like. PDI naily, endodusais releatum protein 38 (Eps) subma ⁻¹ . 23 3465 i pil/1700279 Protein dualifie isomerase like. PDI naily, endodusais releatum protein 38 (Eps) subma ⁻¹ . 2.5 2001. 500. 302. 3505 i pil/1700509 Protein subma ⁻¹ . 2.5 2011. 100. 1 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 2, eldwapilist 150 //PDI 2.00 2010. 100. 1 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 2, eldwapilist 150 //PDI 2.00 2010. 100. 1 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 1151 Like protein 0.33 //PDI 1121. 2520. 2 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 1151 Like protein 0.33 //PDI 1121. 2520. 2 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 1151 Like protein 0.34 //PDI 1121. 2520. 2 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 1151 Like protein 0.34 //PDI 1120. 2520. 2 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 1151 Like protein 0.34 //PDI 1120. 2520. 2 pil/1700509 Holdwan transe 1151 Like protein 0.34 //PDI 1120. 2 pil/1700	3304	1	gil147805616	Stem-specific protein aluminum-induced protein	2.4	
2502. 2025 2 pil/175109 prote handly interparts 2.4.2.1. 6506 1 pil/1751391 Cytathoune betw.ynthae.(BS) and Cytatine synthae-like proten 2.3 1601 1 pil/1751392 Cytathoune betw.ynthae.(BS) and Cytatine synthae-like proten 2.3 1601 1 pil/1750302 Pil/175030 Pil/175030 Pil/175030 2015 1 pil/1750502 Pil/175030 Pil/175030 Pil/175030 Pil/175030 2015 10 pil/17505030 pil/1750503 Pil/175030 Pil/1750300 Pil/1750300 <td< td=""><td>5510</td><td>1</td><td>gil147810287</td><td>glycosyl hydrolase family 31 enzyme, alpha-galactosidase</td><td>2.5</td><td></td></td<>	5510	1	gil147810287	glycosyl hydrolase family 31 enzyme, alpha-galactosidase	2.5	
0.00 ip ip<	2502 2505	2	gil147821009	fructokinase, chloroplast		2.4-2.1
744 1 pi14738130 Cyanthree hets-ynthar (CBA) and Cystein synthes-like protein 3.8	6506	1	gil147821099	protein disulfide isomerase-like PDIa family, endoplasmic reticulum protein 38 (Ern38) subfan	nilv	2.2
349 1 191738052 Putative cyclesk. Kymeranie formanidae 1000 2.3 2.5 3.1.25 2001 1 1914780529 Holes after 2, Cikele field service 3, Cikele field service 4, Cikele fiel	7414	1	gil147835120	Custathioning beta-synthese (CBS) and Custaine synthese-like protein	3.8	
601.302.305 4 gli 14796309 ratate 5 printer formation 2.5 312.25 200 1 gli 14796309 transke printer formation 3.5 2010 1 gli 14796309 transke printer formation 3.5 3001 1 gli 14796309 transke printer formation 3.5 3001 1 gli 14796309 transke printer formation 3.2 2105.205 2 gli 16914213 pathogenesis-related protein 10 3.2 3.2 2105.205 2 gli 1393406 omotini kite protein 7.3 3.2 4.3 8203.8204 2 gli 33947683 transke forkkit p patare 7.3 3.4 5.4 3.2 46607.7033 2 gli 33947683 transke forkkit p patare 7.3 3.4 5.4 3.2 7003 1 gli 225434943 transke forkkit p patare 7.3 3.4 5.4 3.2 7003 1 gli 225443943 transke forkkit p patare 3.3 4.4 5.2 3.2 <td>2405</td> <td>1</td> <td>-1147838053</td> <td>Cystation and bear Synthiase (CDS) and Cystatic synthiase-fice protein</td> <td>5.0</td> <td>22</td>	2405	1	-1147838053	Cystation and bear Synthiase (CDS) and Cystatic synthiase-fice protein	5.0	22
000, 200, 200, 200 (1) 147,6000 (1) 147	3403	1	gii147856220	Putative cyclase, Kynurenine formanidase		2.5
201 ji	2001, 2002, 3502, 5505	4	gl1147836329	rubisco acuvase 2, chioropiastic isoronii 1		2.5 3.1-2.5
2015 ipil/1766.090 indiakasi imue 13 112 protein 3.42 3601 ipil/1768.070 ipil/578.857 3.42 2105. 2105 2 ipil/578.857 3.24.53 1211: 5204 2 ipil/578.857 5.3.21 2005: 5205 2 ipil/578.857 5.3.21 2006 2 ipil/254.9504 ipil/254.9504 5.4 3.2 3010: 7011 1 ipil/254.9504 imilar to 18C-70 4.3 4.3 5.6 3010: 7011 1 ipil/254.9504 imilar to 18C-70 1.4 4.4 5.6 3010: 7017 4 ipil/254.9504 imilar to 18C-70 1.4 4.4 5.6 3010: 1 ipil/254.9504 imilar to 18C-70 1.4 4.4 5.6 3010: 1 ipil/254.9504 imilar to 18C-70 1.4 4.4 5.6	2801	1	gil14/860809	chloroplast HSP/0		3.6
3601 1 gill 578.876 antho:yunkase 9.2 2103. 2015 2 gill 578.876 antho:yunkase 2.24.75 1212. 8205 2 gill 83946 ormoti-like protein PC spectral 2.24.75 1218. 2005 2 gill 221.852 VTL 1 ormoti-like protein 11.61.72 11.61.75 1466 0 2 gill 221.852 VTL 1 ormoti-like protein 2.3 0.05 2 gill 221.852 VTL 1 ormoti-like protein 2.3 0.05 2 gill 221.852 VTL 1 ormoti-like protein 2.3 0.05 3005 2 gill 221.852 VTL 1 ormoti-like protein 2.4 3005 1 gill 221.852 Standard Stand	2105	1	gil147866290	thylakoid lumen 18.3 kDa protein	3.2	
2105. 2105 2 gli1039142 pathogenesis-related protein 10 22.43.2 1212. 8206 2 gli213832 VVTL1 comotin-like protein, PKS protein 116.472 1408 1 gli22542040 2 gli2318366 NHT indeed protein kanset Stark Lp parative 5.4 6007, 7003 2 gli304718366 Sheft indeed protein kanset Stark Lp parative 5.4 3.2 4206 1 gli3347662 solubit inorganic protein function protein protein 7.4 3.2 4.5 3030, 6003 2 gli225412073 similar to HSC/to 1 and stack cognate 70 kD protein 1, ATP binding isoform 1 2.6 3.2 4.5 7401 1 gli22541023 similar to HSC/to 1 and stack cognate 70 kD protein 1, ATP binding isoform 1 3.4 5.6 7403 1 gli225410363 randia rol HSC/to 1 and stack cognate 70 kD protein 1, ATP binding isoform 1 3.4 5.6 7403 1 gli225410363 randia rol HSC/to 1 and stack cognate 70 kD protein 1, ATP binding isoform 1 3.4 5.6 7507, 6707, 640 1 gli22544037 protein 2, protein 3, AtPachaget and	3601	1	gil157838576	anthocyanidin synthase	9.2	
1212, 8206 2 pill 30946 control like protein 92.54.9.5 1408 1 pil231832 VTTL control like protein R/S protein 2.5 205, 8206 2 pil231832 NET instand protein like protein R/S protein 2.5 205, 8206 2 pil231827 Hammati-like protein 2.6 2.6 4206 1 pil232432 Translationally-control linear protein	2103, 2105	2	gil163914213	pathogenesis-related protein 10	2.9-3.2	
8203, 8204 2 jü213822 VVTL amoinelike protein, Pk5 protein 11.6172 1408 1 jü2544900 8 5.3-22 6007, 7003 2 jü5547868 SPLenkel protein 5.3-22 205, 8203 1 jü5547668 statumatio like protein 5.4 3.2 4205 1 jü2548768 statumatio like protein 1.4 3.2 3810, 7611 1 jü2244803 similar to bec.1.3-jukanase 3.2 5.2 703 1 jü2244803 similar to bec.1.3-jukanase 3.2 5.2 703, 607, 603, 6070 4 jü22448038 ranki roke scing from sing scing to provide scing from scing fr	1212, 8206	2	gil1839046	osmotin-like protein	32.8-9.5	
1408 1 122343404 122343404 122343404 122343404 122343404 122343404 1233433 1233433 12334333	8203, 8204	2	gil2213852	VVTL1 osmotin-like protein, PR-5 protein	11.6-17.2	
6007.7003 2 gl535971356 PL1 select present hours floid K1/s prestrie 5.2.2 205.805 2 gl53497682 rolleh inorganic pyrnphorphata-like 7.0-100 205.805 1 gl52349784 standar to HSC/0-1 (heat shock cogate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 5.4 3.2 380.7611 1 gl22343924 standar to HSC/0-1 (heat shock cogate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 5.4 4.2 300.5603 1 gl22343964 standar to HSC/0-1 (heat shock cogate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 3.2 4.5 7403 1 gl223439064 puttive 2.3-hisphospholytecrate-independent phospholytecrate mutase 3.2 5.2 3005 1 gl223440045 cell division cycle protein 4.8 homolog 3.2 2.0 5.2 3004 1 gl223444014 micchondrial-processing peridiase subuni tapha 2.1 5.4 5.2 3005 1 gl223444154 proteasore-subuni teat type-6 2.1 5.2 2.6 3004 1 gl22344154 proteasore-subuni teat type-6 2.1 2.1	1408	1	gil225424904	nutative metal hydrolase	2.5	
126. 2 20 20 20 20 1206 1 \$\mathcal{P}25432248\$ ranslationally-controlled tumor preting propriophysicationally. 74.01 2.6 4.5 310. 1 \$\mathcal{P}25432248\$ Translationally-controlled tumor preting profram propriophysicationally. 8.6 4.5 3205. \$\mathcal{P}225435177\$ accorbate peroxidase 3.2 5.2 7403 1 \$\mathcal{P}225436938\$ endo-1.3; 1.4/beta-D_placanase 3.2 5.2 505. \$\mathcal{P}255440038\$ endo-1.3; 1.4/beta-D_placanase 3.2 3.4 5.6-21 305. 1 \$\mathcal{P}225440038\$ endo-1.3; 1.4/beta-D_placanase 3.4 5.6-21 305. 1 \$\mathcal{P}225440038\$ endo-1.3; 4.beta-D_placanase 3.4 5.6-21 305. 1 \$\mathcal{P}225444058\$ protectorial-algo-cosing propriophysical photophysical indom 2 3.4 5.6-21 3104 1 \$\mathcal{P}225445178\$ protectorial-algo-cosing propriophysical photophysical indom 2 3.4 5.6-21 3208 1 \$\mathcal{P}225445178\$ protectorial sequeto-1.6 3.4 5.6	6007 7003	2	gil359473856	SNF1-related protein kinase (SnRK1), nutative	53-22	
Los action 2 gli254/1602 maintain-the protein Model 4206 1 gli254/1602 soluble incigate prophophatase-like 5.4 .2 1005 1 gli254/3224 Translationally-controlled imor protein 1/x ATP binding isoform 1 2.6 .4 2005, 6003 2 gli254/3024 similar to IK2/01 (htt shock cogate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 2.6 .4 3006 1 gli2554/3024 similar to IK2/01 (htt shock cogate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 3.2	205 8208	2	ail225426702	theumatin like protein	7.0.10.1	
+.ovo i gli23+13/24 source inorganic proproponditas-inter 5.4 3.2 3100, 7611 gli225+13/94 irransificationity-control di unnor protein, putative 5.4 3.2 3100, 7611 gli225+13/94 sacotate providase 3.2 4.5 7403 gli225+15/96 sacotate providase 3.2 5.2 7403 gli225+15/96 endo 1.3, 1-4 hesha D-glicenase 3.2 5.2 700, 5707, 6703, 6707 gli225+14/964 putative 2.3-hisphophaly-crate-independent phosphoglycente mutate 3.4 5.2 3115 gli225+14/964 putative 2.3-hisphophaly-crate-independent phosphoglycente mutate 3.6 3.7 3003 gli225+14/943 probable packed protein 1.8, chioophastic notion 3.7 4.6 5203 gli225+14/943 probable accel/-CAA accel/farasferase, cytosolic 2 3.7 4.6 501, 500, 5207, 7504 gli225+14/913 probable accel/-CAA accel/farasferase, cytosolic 2 3.8 7.2 4.2 6501 gli225+14/913 probable accel/-CAA accel/farasferase, cytosolic 2 3.8 2.2 2.3 <t< td=""><td>203, 6206</td><td>2</td><td>gli223420793</td><td>naunaun-nee proteili</td><td>7.0-10.1</td><td></td></t<>	203, 6206	2	gli223420793	naunaun-nee proteili	7.0-10.1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4206	1	gii359476682	soluble morganic pyrophosphatase-like		2.2
3810, 7611 1 gif2254394 similar to HSC70.1 (heat shock cogate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 2.6 .45 3205, 6303 2 gif22543503 similar to beta J.29 (lacanase) 3.2 7403 1 gif22543503 endol J.31; Al-beta - B gitcanase 3.2 5308 1 gif22543504 endol J.31; Al-beta - B gitcanase 3.4 56-2.1 3135 1 gif22544004 real division cycle protein 48 hornolog 4.8 2.9 2306 1 gif22544404 real division cycle protein 48 hornolog 3.7 3.7 3.7 3003 1 gif225444570 proteasores x02 3.2 3.2 3.7 3.2 3208, 5309 1 gif22544570 proteasores x02 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 4013 1 gif225447710 proteasores x02 3.2 3.1 3.2 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.7 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 <td>1105</td> <td>1</td> <td>gil225432248</td> <td>Translationally-controlled tumor protein, putative</td> <td>5.4</td> <td>3.2</td>	1105	1	gil225432248	Translationally-controlled tumor protein, putative	5.4	3.2
3205,603 2 gl/2234367 ascrbate percoldage 3.2 3.8 4.5 6308 1 gl/22343093 endo-1,31,4-beta-D-glucanase 3.2 3.2 5.5	3810, 7611	1	gil225434984	similar to HSC70-1 (heat shock cognate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1	2.6	4.5
7403 1 gil22543653 similar to beta-1,2-glucanase 3.2 6308 1 gil22543908 endo.1,51,4-beta-Dglucanase 3.4 7305,5707,6703,6707 4 gil225449064 putative 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-inducedendend thosphoglycerate-inducedendendendendendendendendendendendenden	3205, 6303	2	gil225435177	ascorbate peroxidase		3.8 4.5
638 1 gi22543038 endo-1,31,4-beta-5 glucanase 3.2 705,5707,6703,6707 4 gi225440003 eldwanelopotein chloroplast 4.4 5.6-21 300 1 gi225440003 eldwanelopotein chloroplast 3.7 3.7 303 1 gi225440143 mitochondrial-processing perilidase subuni alpha 4.4 5.7 303 1 gi22544501 mitochondrial-processing perilidase subuni alpha 2.1 3.7 8.4 304 1 gi22544507 protessome subuni beta type-6 3.2 2.6 3.2 304 1 gi225447510 protessome subuni beta type-6 3.4 3.2 2.6 3.2 4002 1 gi225447510 protessome subuni beta type-6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 4002 1 gi225447510 protessome subuni beta type-6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 3.2 2.6 3.2 3.2 2.2 2.2 2.0 2.2 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 </td <td>7403</td> <td>1</td> <td>gil225436563</td> <td>similar to beta-1,3-glucanase</td> <td>3.2</td> <td></td>	7403	1	gil225436563	similar to beta-1,3-glucanase	3.2	
5705, 5707, 6703, 6707 4 gl225439064 putative 2,3-bisphosphoglycenta independent phosphoglycenta mutase 3.4 5.6-21 3101 gl225440003 ribunchcorpotent chloroplast 2.9 3.8 4.8 2.9 2306 1 gl225440045 robualte justate inpd associated protein 13, chloroplastic isoform 2 3.7 3.8 3104 1 gl225445181 Perocoredouris-IB 3.8 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 2.3 3.2	6308	1	gil225436938	endo-1,3;1,4-beta-D-glucanase	3.2	
115 i ji22440045 cell division cycle protein 48 homolog 2.9 3002 1 ji22440045 cell division cycle protein 13, chloroplastic isoform 2 3.7 3003 1 ji22441445 jinchondrial-processing periodials subunit alpha 8.4 3003 1 ji22441540 mitochondrial-processing periodias subunit alpha 8.4 5203 1 ji2244150 protasome subunit beta type-6 8.4 5203 1 ji22441510 probable acctly/CoA acctly/transferase, cytosolic 2 3 4602 1 ji22441751 stype-scholing inglasocytane colling inglasocytane acctly accocytane accocytane acctly accocytane acctly accocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane acctly accocytane acccytaccocytane accocytane accocytane accocytane	5705, 5707, 6703, 6707	4	gil225439064	putative 2.3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase		3.4 5.6-2.1
3902 1 gil22544045 cell division cycle protein 43 homolog 2.9 2306 1 gil22544514 probabe plastic/lpid/asscined protein 13 chkoroplastic isoform 2 3.7 3603 1 gil22544501 mitchondrin-processing peptidase submit alpha 8.4 3104 1 gil22544570 proteasone submit beta type-6 3.2 3208, 5209 1 gil22544570 mygen evolving enhance protein 2.3-100 poperide of photosystem II 2.1 3.2 4002 1 gil225443710 probable acettyl-CAA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3.1 3.1 4001 1 gil225413716 actin-101 3.1 3.1 2.6 2.9 7.2.2.3 4001 1 gil22541316 actin-101 3.1 2.2 2.6	1315	1	gil225440003	ribonucleoprotein, chloroplast		4.8
2306 1 git225414145 probable platiclipit/associated protein 13, chloroplastic isoform 2 3.7 3603 1 git22544518 Provinetodin's-B 8.4 5203 1 git22544570 proteasome subuni beta type-6 3.2 2.6 3208, 5209 1 git22544570 proteasome subuni beta type-6 3.2 2.6 4002 1 git225445710 probable platiclipit acctlipit CAA actyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3 3 4002 1 git225445233 actin-101 4.8 3.1 3.1 3.1 2501, 2503, 2507, 7504 4 git22544932 ribulooc hisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2-2.3 4201 1 git225451316 gutaxin-induced protein PCNT115 isoform 1 2.1 2.3 2.6 5010 1 git225451316 gutaxin-induced protein PCNT115 isoform 1 2.2 <td>3902</td> <td>1</td> <td>gil225440045</td> <td>cell division cycle protein 48 homolog</td> <td>-</td> <td>2.9</td>	3902	1	gil225440045	cell division cycle protein 48 homolog	-	2.9
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2306	1	gil2254410045	probable plastid-lipid-associated protein 13, chloroplastic isoform 2		37
3603 1 gli225445118 mitochodral-processing peptidas subuni apha 8.4 5203 1 gli225445700 proteasone subuni beta type-6 3.2 5203 1 gli2254457100 probale acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3 6402 1 gli225447100 probale acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3 4501 1 gli225449323 actin-10 3 4501 1 gli225449132 inbuloe 5-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2-2.3 4201 1 gli225491313 auxin-induced protein PCNT 115 isoforn 1 3.1 2.8 6510 1 gli22549139 glitamine synthetase cytosolic isozyme 2 2.3 2.6 2.3 2.6 2007, 2701, 3605 3 gli22545555 enolase 3.0-3.1-5.8 2.5<	2500	1	gii225441445	provable prastide updet associated protein 13, entoroprastic isoform 2	•	5.7
3104 1 git22545188 Provisolous216 3.2 5203 1 git22544570 proteasone subwini beta type-6 3.2 3208, 5209 1 git22544775 oxygene-volving enhance protein 2 23-LD polypeptide of photosystem II 2.1 3.2 4602 1 git225447310 probable acetyl-CoA acetyl-transferase, cytosolic 2 3 3.1 4601 1 git225449323 inbuose hisphosphate carbox/pas/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2.2.3 4201 1 git225451329 probable inbos-sphasphate isonerrase 3.1 2.8 6510 1 git225451316 auxin-induced protein PCNT15 isoform 1 3.1 2.8 2607, 2701, 3605 3 git22545555 enolase 3.0-3.1-5.8 2.6 2708 1 git22545555 enolase 2.8 2.5 2.9 2.42.3-2.3 4103 1 git22545957 protein disulfide-isomerase 2.8 2.5 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9	3603	1	gil225445041	mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit alpha	-	
5203 1 gil22544676 proteasome subuni bet uppe-6 3.2 3208, 5209 1 gil22544675 probable acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3 4600 1 gil225447310 probable acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3 4501 1 gil225449323 nthulose hisphoxphate carbox ylase/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2-2.3 4501 1 gil225431316 auxin-induced protein PCNT 115 isoforn 1 4.8 6501 1 gil225431379 glutamine symthetase cytosolic isozyme 2 2.6 2.3 2.6 1201 1 gil225451316 auxin-induced protein PCNT 115 isoforn 1 2.3 2.6 2.3 2.6 2007, 2701, 3605 3 gil22545350 enclase 3.0-3.1-5.8 2.5 2.5 2.5 2007, 2701, 3605 3 gil225459587 protein disulfide-isomerase 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.6 2.42.3-2.3 2.5 2.6 2.42.3-2.3 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	3104	1	gil225445188	Peroxiredoxin-2B		8.4
3208, 5209 1 git225447750 vorgene evolving enhance protein 2 23-KD polypepide of photosystem II 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 3 4002 1 git22544323 actin-101 3 3 3 3 4201 1 git22544323 nublose biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2-2.3 4.8 6510 1 git225451379 gittaminis mylethase cytosolic isozyme 2 2.3 2.4 2.4 2.3 2.4 2.4 2.2	5203	1	gil225445670	proteasome subunit beta type-6		3.2
1615 1 git2544730 probable acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2 3 4602 1 git225448323 nethulose bisphorphate cathoxylase/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2-2.3 4201 1 git225449323 nethulose bisphorphate cathoxylase/oxygenase activase 2.6 2.9 7.2-2.3 4201 1 git225451319 probable ribose-6 protein PCNT 115 stofm 1 3.1 2.8 6510 1 git225451319 glutamine synthetase cytosolic isozyme 2 2.3 2.6 2.3 2.6 2.42 1201 1 git225454108 actidic endochritinase 3.0-3.1-5.8 2.5 2.2 2.5 2.6 2.3 2.6 2.42 2.5	3208, 5209	1	gil225446775	oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 2 23-kDa polypeptide of photosystem II	2.1	2.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1615	1	gil225447510	probable acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, cytosolic 2	3	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4602	1	gil225448323	actin-101		3.1
4201 1 git22545139 probable ribose 5 phosphare isomerase 4.8 6510 1 git22545136 auxin-induced protein PONT115 isoform 1 3.1 2.8 6510 1 git22545136 auxin-induced protein PONT115 isoform 1 3.1 2.2 1201 1 git225451376 control isozyme 2 2.3 2.6 2607, 2701, 3605 3 git22545555 enclase 3.0 2.5 103 1 git22545956 UDP-anabinopyranose mutase 1 2.8 2.8 2.5 103 1 git225496005 action idsalfide-isonerase 2.8 2.5 2.7 103 1 git225496005 actionite hydratase 2, mitchcondrial 2.4 3.4 2.3 3413 1 git225460502 isoform 1 hizoleb biosynthetic enzyne, choroplastic 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 6008 1 git225460233 isoform 1 putaive xid/orequast (RAMP) 2.8 2.2 2.5 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	501, 2503, 2507, 7504	4	gil225449432	ribulose bisphosphate carbox vlase/oxygenase activase	2.6	2.9 7.2-2.3
6510 1 gli225451376 auxin-induced protein PCNT115 isoform 1 2.3 2.4 6501 1 gli225451379 glutamine synthetace cytosolic isozyme 2 2.2 2.2 1011 1 gli225451376 glutamine synthetace cytosolic isozyme 2 2.2 2.2 $2607, 2701, 3605$ 3 gli22545555 enolase 3.0-3.1-5.8 2.5 2708 1 gli225459500 UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1 2.8 2.5 2708 1 gli225499009 similar to PBC1 (208 protease be ta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.4-2.3-2.3 2.4 4103 1 gli225460901 acontace typotame c, mutose (horopdastic 2.4 2.4 $1909, 2903, 2904$ 3 gli225460901 acontace typotame c, motose (horopdastic 2.8 2.5 2002 1 gli225460500 isoform 1, dinarde horophaste, chlorophastic 2.8 2.4 2.4 2004 1 gli225466050 isoform 1 putative oxidoreductase GLYR1 isoform 1 2.5 2.4 2.4 5104 1 gli25466850 isoform 1 putative oxidoreductase GLYR1 isoform 1 2.5 </td <td>4201</td> <td>1</td> <td>gil225451299</td> <td>probable ribose-5-phosphate isomerase</td> <td></td> <td>48</td>	4201	1	gil225451299	probable ribose-5-phosphate isomerase		48
650 1 gli22451300 advir induced potential source (visiolic isozym 2) 2.3 2.2 1201 1 gli22451379 glitamine synthetase (visiolic isozym 2) 2.3 2.4 1201 1 gli22451379 glitamine synthetase (visiolic isozym 2) 2.3 2.4 2607 , 2701, 3605 3 gli22545555 colore and the synthetase (visiolic isozym 2) 2.5 2.5 207 , 2701, 3605 1 gli22545956 UDP-arabinopyranose mutate 1 2.8 2.5 1003 1 gli225459607 protein disulide-isomerase 2.5 2.4 2.3 403 1 gli225460701 colore mute hPBC1 (205 proteas one beta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.4 2.3 1009 , 2903, 2904 3 gli225460701 colore mute hPBC1 (205 proteas one beta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.9 6008 1 gli225460721 isoform 1 hutaixole biownitheir enzyme, chloroplastic 2.9 204 1 gli225466501 isocirrate dehydrogenase (ADPP) 2.8 2.5 204 1 gli225468501 isocirrate dehydrogenase CI/PR lisoform 1 2.5	6510	1	gil225451316	auvin-induced protein PCNT115 inform 1	-	31 28
1 glu2244107 gluadinie syninease (justicase) 24 2607, 2701, 3605 3 gli2245555 enolase 3.0-3.1-5.8 2607, 2701, 3605 1 gli22545555 enolase 2.5 2708 1 gli225495050 UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1 2.8 2708 1 gli22549069 similar to PBC1 (208 protease be ta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.4 1909, 2903, 2904 3 gli22540091 acontate typhatuse 2, mitochordital 2.4 3413 1 gli22546550 isoform 1, thiszole biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic 2.8 2002 1 gli225466091 isoform 2 dvi for biosonal protein S12 2.8 2004 1 gli225466090 sidore Horoplastic 2.8 2004 1 gli225466690 sidore Horoplastic 2.8 5104 1 gli2546690 pointee iminoperptiduse 7.1 4401 1 gli359477860 2.6 2.6 2611 1 gli359477860 2.65 2.6	6501	1	gil225451310	alutamine contratase extocolic isozume 2		22
1.01 1 gli22349400 accuie enoocnumase 2.4 2007, 2701, 3605 3 gli22345555 enolase 2.8 1505 1 gli22345555 UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1 2.8 2708 1 gli223456550 UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1 2.5 1909, 2903, 2904 3 gli223460671 aconitate hydratase 2, mutechondral 2.42,3,2,2,3 3413 1 gli223460671 isoform 1, hizacob biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic 2.9 6008 1 gli223460502 isoform 2 408 ribosoma 2408 ribosoma protein S12 2.8 2602 1 gli223466502 isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2.5 2604 1 gli223466850 isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2.5 2504 1 gli223466850 isocitrate dehydrogenase [ADP] 2.2 5104 1 gli23947860 protein singliftase 7.1 4401 1 gli339475506 protein singliftase 7.1 5602 1 gli3947506 protein singliftase 2.6 2611 1 gli3947506 protease re	1201	1	-11225451579	gratamine synthetase cytosofie isozynie 2	22	2.2
2007, 2/01, 3005 5 git22545555 enolase 3.05.15.58 1505 1 git22545555 UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1 2.8 2708 1 git22545950 protein disulfide-isomerase 2.5 1003 1 git22549900 similar to PBCI (205 proteasore beta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.4 1909, 2903, 2904 3 git225409061 acontate hydratase 2, mitochendriai 2.4 3413 1 git22540502 isoform 2 dots risoform 3 dots risoform 1 potatise 2.8 2002 1 git22546502 isoform 2 dots risoform 1 putative ex/divergenase (1,Narph) 2.8 2004 1 git225466905 isoform 1 putative ex/divergenase (1,Narph) 2.8 2004 1 git225466805 isoform 1 putative ex/divergenase (1,Narph) 2.8 5104 1 git297737681 MLP-LIKE PROTEIN 28 7.1 4401 1 git295475806 poline immorperputase 2.6 2611 1 git29547880 265 protease regulatory subunit 68 homolog 2.6 2611 1 git19943399 ATV synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast	1201	1	gii225454408	actore endocritinase	2.5	2.0
105 1 gil22545650 UDP-arabinopyranose nuturas 1 2.8 2708 1 gil225459869 similar to PBC1 (20S proteasome beta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.5 4103 1 gil225459609 similar to PBC1 (20S proteasome beta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.4 3413 1 gil225450061 acontate hydratuse 2; muchondrial 2.4 6008 1 gil225450021 isoform 1 hizable biosynthetic expre, chloroplastic 2.8 2002 1 gil225460531 isocirrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2.8 2504 1 gil225466530 isocirrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2.2 5104 1 gil225466530 protein stafforeductuse GLYRI isoform 1 2.2 5104 1 gil359475506 protein stafforeductuse GLYRI isoform 1 2.5 5602 1 gil359475806 protein stafforegenase for bonnolog 2.5 2611 1 gil7264740 alcohol dehydrogenase for bonnolog 2.6 211 1 gil7057884 Munit, chloroplast 2.3 2407 1 gil91983399 Yry syntase CFI jebra submit, chloropla	2607, 2701, 3605	3	gil225455555	enolase	3.0-3.1-5.8	
2708 1 gil225495987 protein disulfide-isomerase 2.5 4103 1 gil225495090 similar to PBC1 (205 proteasone beta submit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2.42.3-2.3 1909, 2903, 2904 3 gil225409061 aconitate hydratuse 2, microbandrial 2.42.3-2.3 3413 1 gil225465007 isoform 1, thiazole biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic 2.8 6008 1 gil225466503 isoform 2 d05 ribosomal protein \$12 2.8 2602 1 gil225466503 isoform 1 putative cxidoreductase (LNAPP) 2.8 2504 1 gil225466805 isoform 1 putative cxidoreductase (LNAPP) 2.8 5104 1 gil25478805 isoform 1 putative cxidoreductase GLYR1 isoform 1 2.5 5104 1 gil254978806 265 procease regulatory submit 6B homolog 2.6 2611 1 gil254978806 265 procease regulatory submit 6B homolog 2.6 2611 1 gil91983999 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.3 2407 1 gil91983997 ATP synthase CF1 labin submit, chloroplast 2.3 24010 1 gil9	1505	1	gil225456550	UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1	2.8	
4103 1 gil22549909 similar to PBCI (20S protessome beta submit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3 2 2 1909 , 2903, 2904 3 gil225469061 aconitate hydratase 2, mitochondnial 2,4 2,9 3413 1 gil225460501 isoform 1, thizabe biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic 2,9 6008 1 gil225465530 isoform 2,40S ribosoma 1 protein S12 2,8 2004 1 gil225466530 isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2,5 2504 1 gil225466530 isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2,2 6306 1 gil225466530 isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] 2,2 5104 1 gil2539475506 protein sequal robustic 2,2 5602 1 gil359475506 protein e iminopertidase 2,5 2611 1 gil7264740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8,4 2407 1 gil91983399 ATP synthase CFI beta submit, chloroplast 2,3 4010 1 gil7657894 isofarone reluctase-like protein 5 2,4 2407 1 gil91983397 ATP synthase CFI b	2708	1	gil225459587	protein disulfide-isomerase	2.5	
1909, 2903, 2904 3 gil225400971 aconitate hydrates 2, microheadrial 2.42.3.2.3 3413 1 gil225401077 isoform 1, thiazole biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic 2.9 6008 1 gil22540530 isoform 2, 405 ribosomal protein \$12 2.8 2602 1 gil225466530 isoform 1, putative oxidoreductase, chloroplastic 2.5 2504 1 gil225466500 isoform 1 putative oxidoreductase GLYR1 isoform 1 2.5 5104 1 gil259475806 poline immosperitiase 7.1 4401 1 gil359475806 265 protease regulatory submit 6B honolog 2.5 2611 1 gil7564740 2.60 2.6 2611 1 gil9783399 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.3 14001 1 gil9783397 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.6 2407 1 gil9783397 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.6 2407 1 gil9783397 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.6 2400 1 gil97983397 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.6<	4103	1	gil225459609	similar to PBC1 (20S proteasome beta subunit C1); peptidase isoform 1 beta3		2
3413 1 git225461077 isoform 1, thizable biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic 2.9 6008 1 git22546520 isoform 2408 ribosom2 408 ribos	1909, 2903, 2904	3	gil225460961	aconitate hydratase 2, mitochondrial	2.4-2.3-2.3	
6008 1 gil225465502 isoform 2 40S ribosomal protein S12 2.8 2602 1 gil225466530 isocirate dehydrogenase (NADP] 2.5 2504 1 gil225466500 isocirate dehydrogenase (NADP] 2.5 6306 1 gil225466800 isocirate dehydrogenase (NADP] 2.2 6306 1 gil225466900 gil225466900 2.2 5104 1 gil25947506 proline liminopeptidase 7.1 4401 1 gil359478600 265 procease regulatory solumi 6B homolog 2.5 2611 1 gil7564740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 gil91983999 ATP synthase CF1 beta subuint, choroplast 2.6 4001 1 gil91983997 ATP synthase CF1 beta subuint, choroplast 2.6 4003 1 gil91983997 ATP synthase CF1 lapha subuint, choroplast 2.6 4003 1 gil91983977 ATP synthase CF1 lapha subuint, choroplast 2.5 50.05 1.0900000 2.0900000000000000000000000000000000000	3413	1	gil225461077	isoform 1, thiazole biosynthetic enzyme, chloroplastic		2.9
1 gline biological isocirate delydrogenase [NADP] 2.5 2602 1 gli225466253 isocirate delydrogenase [NADP] 2.5 2504 1 gli225466500 seddeptulose.1,7/bis/poenase [NADP] 2.5 2504 1 gli225466500 seddeptulose.1,7/bis/poenase [NADP] 2.5 6306 1 gli225466500 seddoreductase GLYR1 isoform 1 2.2 5104 1 gli259475506 protein timinopertidase 7.1 4401 1 gli359475506 protein timinopertidase 2.5 5002 1 gli7264740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 gli9198399 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroptast 2.6 4703 1 gli91983977 ATP synthase CF1 abpta submit, chloroptast 2.6 4703 1 gli91983977 ATP synthase CF1 abpta submit, chloroptast 2.8 2.3 60 0.0000 4 gli91983977 ATP synthase CF1 abpta submit, chloroptast 2.8 2.3	6008	1	gil225465502	isoform 2 40S ribosomal protein S12	2.8	
2504 1 git225466690 Jedokepitoza-7.5hiphosphatake, chloroplastic 2.2 6306 1 git22546850 isoform 1 putative exit/orequicatese ClLYR1 isoform 1 2.2 5104 1 git359475506 polinie iminopeptifase 7.1 4401 1 git359475506 polinie iminopeptifase 2.5 5602 1 git359475800 26S protease regulatory subunit 6B homolog 2.6 2611 1 git7264740 alcohol dehytogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 git9198399 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 git9198399 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast 2.6 2407 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast 2.6 2407 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast 2.6 2405 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast 2.6 24.05 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast 2.8 24.06 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit chloroplast 2.8	2602	1	gil225466253	isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP]	2.5	
c.307 1 git22740870 percompander (anotypicate) 2.2 6306 1 git29773781 MLP-LIKE PROTEIN 28 7.1 4401 1 git29773781 MLP-LIKE PROTEIN 28 7.1 5002 1 git359475806 proline immorperidase c (anotypicate) 2.5 5602 1 git35947860 2.6 2.6 2611 1 git7264740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 git91983999 ATP synthase CFI beta submit, chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CFI beta submit, chloroplast 2.6 4703 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CFI beta submit, chloroplast 2.6 4703 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CFI beta submit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3 4703 1 git91983977 ATP synthase CFI beta submit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3	2502	1	gil225466600	radohantulosa 1.7-hisnhoenhatasa, chloronlastic		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.00 1 gu2230830 isotomi i punite concentration of the isotomi i formation of the isotomi i formatio i formatio i formation of the isotomi i formatio i formation o	6206	1	gii2223400090	isoform 1 nutotiva avideraduatasa GLVB 1 isoform 1	-	22
5104 1 glf29/15/081 MLP-LIKE PROTEIN 28 7.1 4401 1 glf359475506 proline imiopeptidase 2.5 5602 1 glf35947860 26S protease regulatory subunit 6B homolog 2.6 2611 1 glf7264740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 glf91983999 ATP synthase CFI beta subunit, chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 glf91983977 ATP synthase CFI beta subunit, chloroplast 2.6 4703 1 glf91983977 ATP synthase CFI ibeta subunit, chloroplast 2.8 4703 1 glf91983977 ATP synthase CFI ibeta subunit, chloroplast 2.8	6306	1	gii22.5408650	ISOTOFILI I PULATIVE OXIDOREDUCIASE OL I KT ISOTOFILI I		2.2
4401 1 gi359475506 profile immoperidase 2.5 5602 1 gi359475806 268 professe regulatory submit 6B homolog 2.6 2611 1 gi7264740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 gi9198399 ATP synthase CF1 berta ubunit, chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 gif91983977 ATP synthase CF1 berta ubunit, chloroplast 2.6 4703 1 gif91983977 ATP synthase CF1 berta ubunit, chloroplast 2.8 24.05 1 gif91983977 ATP synthase CF1 berta ubunit, chloroplast 2.8	5104	1	gil297757681	MLP-LIKE PROTEIN 28	7.1	
56/02 1 gil359478860 265 protease regulatory submit 6B homolog 2.6 2611 1 gil7264740 alcohol dehytorgenase 6 8.4 2407 1 gil9198399 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 gil9198397 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.6 4703 1 gil91983977 ATP synthase CF1 alpha submit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3 4703 1 gil91983977 ATP synthase CF1 alpha submit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3	4401	1	gil359475506	proline iminopeptidase		2.5
2611 1 gif264740 alcohol dehydrogenase 6 8.4 2407 1 gif91983999 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 gif6559894 isoflavore reductase-like protein 5 2.6 4703 1 gif9198377 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3 60 1 gif91983977 ATP synthase CF1 beta submit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3	5602	1	gil359478860	26S protease regulatory subunit 6B homolog		2.6
2407 1 gip1983399 ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit. chloroplast 2.3 1401 1 gif7655894 isoflavora reductase-like protein 5 2.6 4703 1 gif91983397 ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3 05.0 1 gif91983397 ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3	2611	1	gil7264740	alcohol dehydrogenase 6	8.4	
1401 1 gi/76559894 isoflavone reductase-like protein 5 2.6 4703 1 gi/91983977 ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3 67 450 1 gi/91983977 ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, chloroplast 2.8 2.3	2407	1	gil91983999	ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit, chloroplast	2.3	
4703 1 (2019)89277 ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, chloroplast 2.5 2.3 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9	1401	1	gil76559894	isoflavone reductase-like protein 5	2.6	
$20 400 \pm 1000000$ church 1 ± 10000000 church $1 \pm 1000000000000000000000000000000000$	4703	i	gil91983977	ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, chloroplast	2.8	2.3
			gil0108/000	ribulose 1.5-hisnboshate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit	21-24	27 29

healthy (H) ones. Differentially expressed proteins (S) have been revealed by Sypro[®] Ruby stain and differentially phosphorylated proteins (P) have been revealed by ProQ Diamond stain. For each protein spot ID, number of isoforms, GenBank code and fold change are reported. Red: up-regulated/up-phosphorylated. Blue: down-regulated/down-phosphorylated. Green shows chloroplast-localized *V. vinifera* proteins according to Plant GOslim term assignment performed by Blast2GO.



respect to healthy plants (Figure 3B). Due to the high number of proteins, we focus below on the most significant members of each category, and discuss them according to previous reports in the literature. Many of the identified proteins were involved in metabolism and energy processes, confirming that these pathways are highly stressed by phytoplasmas [7]. In particular, several proteins involved in photosynthesis were downregulated: two related to the dark reactions, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase (gi) 225449432) and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase (Rubisco, gi|91984000), and three related to the light-dependent reactions of photosystem II (PS II), chloroplastic adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP) synthase CF1 alpha subunit, (gi|91983977), ATP synthase CF1 beta subunit and oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 2 (OEE2p). Another case of co-expression of the ATP synthase alpha subunit and OEE2p has recently been reported in apoplectic and esca proper-affected grapevine plants [19]. Phytoplasma infection is well known to cause marked inhibition of photosynthetic activities in grapevine [11,12]. In particular, it has been demonstrated that RubisCO, the key enzyme of the Calvin-Benson cycle, is down-regulated at the transcriptional level [11] and also in its catalytic activity [20] in Bois noir phytoplasma-infected grapevine. Our data clearly showed the major changes affecting photosynthesis and, consequently, chloroplasts of infected leaves (Figure 4), further supporting the theory that chloroplasts may be key players in symptom development [21].

Multiple isoforms of enolase and aconitase enzymes were found to be differentially expressed in infected leaves. The enolase protein has been found to be responsive to several biotic [22] and abiotic stresses [23,24] in different plant species. Up-regulation of enolase might also increase the production of energy, which is needed in response to phytoplasma infection, as suggested in other systems [23]. Aconitase (spots 1909/2903/2904) catalyzes the reversible isomerization of citrate to isocitrate. This protein was found to be involved in response to abiotic stress [24,25] and in the regulation of carbon flow between the citric acid cycle and synthetic sucrose pathways [26]. The influence of aconitase on the citrate acid cycle probably has effects on the related glyoxylate cycle. In fact, we found the up-regulation of an oxalyl-coA decarboxylase, which is involved in glyoxylate metabolism and participates in the degradation of oxalic acid, a by-product accumulated under stress conditions [27].

Spot 2602 was identified as being isocitrate dehydrogenase. This protein shares 89% identity with the homologous protein in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (locus NP_176768), for which a fundamental role in redox signalling and the regulation of pathogen responses has been recently described [28]. A growing body of evidence suggests that redox homeostasis is a metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses [29]. Our results suggest a critical role for the cytosolic NADP(H) status in the colonized grapevine cells as a tool by which phytoplasma-triggered changes in the cellular redox state activate defence responses.

Several pathogenesis related proteins belonging to class PR-5 (thaumatins, osmotins), PR-10 and PR-17 (plant basic secretory protein) were up-regulated in infected tissues. PR-5 proteins were previously reported in grapevines infected by FDp [7], as well as by fungal pathogens [19,30,31], and upon abiotic stress [19]. Among the other stress responsive proteins, we also found Heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70). This protein is fundamental in developmental processes and response to abiotic stresses; moreover, various studies have reported that Hsp70 expression in plants is induced upon infection with pathogens [32].

Spot 3304 corresponded to an aluminium-induced protein. The same protein was found to be up-regulated in the grapevine cv. Razegui under salt stress [33]. Interestingly, our data also suggest a role for this protein in grapevine-phytoplasma biotic interactions. No data are so far available in the literature on its specific role inside the plant cell. A translationally controlled tumour protein (spot 1105) was also strongly up-regulated. This protein may participate together with SNF1-related protein kinase



(SnRK1) (spot 6007, 7003) in the regulation of gene expression in response to stress [34].

Consistent with the importance of plant cell wall modifications in response to pathogen invasion, the upregulation of proteins involved in cell wall re-organization was present in FDp-infected grapevines. An alpha endo-1,3;1,4-beta-D-glucanase (spot 6308), a beta 1,3-glucanase (spot 7403) and two glycosyl hydrolases (spots 5510 and 1201) were up-regulated. Our data are consistent with the results of previous mRNA analyses of grapevine cultivars [11,12,35] and periwinkle [36] infected by phytoplasmas. Alterations in plant cell walls and the localization of several compounds such as callose, suberin, lignin and polyphenols have been reported in woody hosts, such as plum and apple plants, during phytoplasma infection [37]. Our data likely suggest a role for these compounds and, in general, for cell wall modifications in grapevinephytoplasma interactions and possibly in defencerelated processes.

Among the responsive proteins identified in infected 'Barbera' plants, eight proteins have already been reported in FDp-infected 'Nebbiolo' [7]: thaumatin, osmotin, plant basic secretory protein, cysteine synthase, peptidase, Rubisco activase, dienelactone hydrolase, and ATPase β subunit. The protein expression trends were also in accordance between the two cultivars. The differential expression of these proteins may reflect a common role in the phytoplasma-grapevine interaction in both cultivars.

Phosphoproteome changes

Statistical analysis identified 15 differentially-phosphorylated proteins in infected vs. healthy plants (Figure 2). All of them showed an up-phosphorylation trend (Figure 3B). Several differentially phosphorylated spots corresponded to proteins involved in the photosynthetic apparatus. Multiple isoforms of RubisCO activase (Figure 2) were highly phosphorylated. A similar pattern was described in *Arabidopsis* following wounding stress [38]. In contrast, RubisCO activases were reported to be down-phosphorylated following treatment with chitosan, a compound commonly used as an elicitor mimicking fungal biotic stress, whereas no differences were observed after treatment with benzothiadiazole (BTH) [38]. The role of the phosphorylated isoforms is not known at present, although in some cases it may

relate to a functional regulation of enzyme activity or turn-over. The molecular target of Rubisco activase, the Rubisco carboxylase/oxigenase large subunit (spot 5103), was also up-phosphorylated. Phosphorylation of Rubisco subunits has been demonstrated to be critical for activity, association and assembly of the Rubisco multicomponent complex [39,40]. In agreement with our results, the Rubisco large chain has previously been found to be phosphorylated in rice leaves in response to heat stress [18]. Some other proteins involved in light-chain reactions and energy production were phosphorylated (Figure 4), suggesting a role for phosphorylation in the regulation of several proteins involved in these processes. We can speculate that phosphorylation may function as a signal for protein degradation, leading to the inhibition of the photosynthetic apparatus and finally to the repression of these pathways in infected leaves.

Alteration of the light-chain reaction is strictly related to alterations in electron transfer and generation of reactive oxygen species [41]. Phosphorylation of antioxidant enzymes, including ascorbate peroxidase (spots 3205, 6303) and peroxiredoxin (spot 3104) proteins, showed that phosphorylation is involved in antioxidant defence in grapevine leaves. In agreement with our observations, up-phosphorylation of several proteins, including ascorbate peroxidase, was reported in *Arabidopsis* following induction of oxidative stress [38]. Increased activity for ascorbate peroxidases, after phosphorylation by specific kinases, was also reported in water-stressed maize leaves [42].

Peroxiredoxins (Prx) are a family of peroxidases found in all organisms and represent central elements of the antioxidant defence system [43]. The peroxiredoxin-5 proteins have been widely studied in mammalian cells and have been implicated in a broad range of functions, such as protection of DNA against damage by oxidants, inhibition of apoptosis and transduction in signalling pathways [44]. Phosphorylation of peroxiredoxin proteins was found in rice leaves in response to abiotic stress [18]. Our data suggests a role in protection from oxidative stress in phytoplasma-infected plants.

A PAP-fibrillin protein was previously found to be upregulated in grapevine tissues infected by phytoplasmas [7]. Here we found differential phosphorylation of a putative plastid lipid-associated protein belonging to the PAP-fibrillin superfamily (spot 1313) in infected tissues, suggesting a role of both forms in response to phytoplasmas. Differential phosphorylation of PAP-fibrillin proteins has previously been reported in Arabidopsis in response to bacterial infection [45] and following treatment with the BTH elicitor [38].

Among the proteins involved in response to stress, an HSC70-1 protein was up-phosphorylated. These proteins are involved in protein targeting and degradation [46]. Remarkably, an HSC70 protein was strongly phosphorylated

in *Arabidopsis* following treatment with mimickers of biotic stress [38], and a role in multiple plant environmental responses has been described [47]. Our data seem to confirm that HSC70-1 may function as a molecular switch for the response against bacterial challenge. Very recently, HSC70-1 was found to be necessary in establishing basal expression levels of several ABA-responsive genes, suggesting that this chaperone might also be involved in ABA signalling events [48].

Proteome and phosphoproteome changes in recovered plants

Proteome changes

Analysis of the whole proteome of recovered plants showed differential expression for 24 proteins when compared to healthy grapevines. Six were common to the 37 proteins found in the comparison between healthy and infected plants. Among them, the plant basic secretory protein (gi|147784683), translationallycontrolled tumour protein (gi|225432248) and putative acidic endochitinase (gi|225454408) were up-regulated. As these proteins are reported to be involved in the general response to stress (Additional file 2), their accumulation could be a secondary effect of phytoplasma infection. In contrast, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase activase (gi|225449432), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO, gi|91984000) and chloroplastic ATP synthase CF1 alpha subunit, (gi 91983977) were down-regulated. These data suggest that leaves of recovered plants, although not showing symptoms, still have some deregulation of proteins involved in photosynthetic activity.

Most of the remaining 17 proteins, uniquely found in recovered plants, were down-regulated compared to healthy plants. Among them, we found an ascorbate peroxidase (APX), a major enzyme involved in H₂O₂ scavenging. Previous studies of grapevine have suggested that recovery from phytoplasma disease is associated with down-regulation of enzymatic H₂O₂ scavengers, supported by direct evidence of the accumulation of H_2O_2 in the leaves of recovered plants but not in healthy or diseased plants [15]. The same phenomenon has been observed in phytoplasma-recovered apple [48] and apricots [49], offering a strong support for a role for H_2O_2 in recovery. Our results reinforce this model: specific down-regulation of APX probably leads to a long-term accumulation of H_2O_2 in plant tissues which in turn contrasts with the pathogen virulence and spread within the plant host by direct antimicrobial action or secondary effects [15].

Phosphoproteome changes

Twelve proteins were differentially phosphorylated between recovered and healthy plants. Nine of these were also differentially phosphorylated in infected tissues: 8 displayed an increase in phosphorylation levels, while the auxin-induced protein PCNT115 isoform 1 was the only to show a decrease in phosphorylation levels in recovered tissues. The remaining proteins which were phosphorylated only in recovered plants, in comparison to healthy and FDp-infected plants, included: sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase, mitochondrial-processing peptidase and inorganic pyrophosphatase (Figure 2).

Comparison with phosphorylated proteins in other plant species and prediction of Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine phosphorylation sites

The differentially phosphorylated proteins of *V. vinifera* were analysed by the phosphoprotein BLAST available on the P3DB database. According to the P3DB database, 15 of these proteins were already known to be targets for kinases in other plant systems, but for sedoheptu-lose-1,7-bisphosphatase (gi|225466690), peroxiredoxin-2B (gi|225445188) and a putative cyclase (gi|147838052), our work represents the first report of a possible phosphorylation-dependent regulation in plants (Table 1). Moreover all the 18 phosphoproteins detected by ProQ Diamond dye were also predicted to have Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine phosphorylation sites by NetPhos 2.0 software (Table 1).

GO functional analysis, enrichment and integration of proteome data into biological interaction networks

In order to identify possible mechanisms of regulation or functional pathways that were specifically activated in our experimental conditions, differentially expressed proteins were associated to GO terms using the plant GOslim ontology (Additional file 2). To gain deeper insight into GO distribution, an Enrichment Analysis with Hochberg FDR test was then performed. This analysis allowed us to calculate which functions were overrepresented in the lists of the differentially expressed proteins identified in infected and in recovered plants with respect to healthy ones. As we were interested in the identification of the global proteomic changes in Vitis following FDp infection and recovery, we did not consider the quantitative and phosphorylated levels of regulation separately. This approach allowed us to rely on a large dataset to perform robust statistical analysis. Thus, we considered as differentially regulated proteins that changed both in their amount (visualized by SYPRO[®] Ruby staining) and in their phosphorylation state (visualized by ProQ Diamond staining).

Enrichment analysis applied to the GO cellular component terms showed that "plastid" (GO:0009536), a child category of "organelle", was over-represented both in infected and in recovered plants (Figure 5A; Additional file 3). In fact, more than one-third of the proteins were predicted to be localized in the chloroplast (Figures 2 and 4). However, not all the chloroplast-localized proteins were related to photosynthetic reactions. For example, we found three chloroplastic enzymes involved in the response to oxidative stress, i.e. glutathione S-tranferase (gil 118406886), peroxiredoxin-2B (gi|225445188) and ascorbate peroxidase (gi|225435177), one protein that plays an essential role in the metabolism of nitrogen, i.e. glutamine synthetase (gi|147784260), and a thiamine biosynthetic enzyme (gi|225461077) (Figure 4).

The same statistical analysis was applied to the GO biological process terms (Figure 5B and Additional file 3). The results showed an over-representation of the GO categories "photosynthesis" (GO:0015979) and "generation of precursor metabolites and energy" (GO:0006091) in infected plants, supporting the perturbation of photosynthetic reactions and other metabolic ways during infection. These two categories were also over-represented in recovered plants (Figure 5B). Our data suggest that recovered plants may still show a metabolic trace of the previous phytoplasma infection, which may have caused a general perturbation of the photosynthesis. These results are likely to support the data presented by Morone and colleagues on the productivity of recovered vines, which was lower than that of healthy ones [3].

Regarding the stress/defence responses, the GO categories "response to stimulus" (GO:0050896) and its child categories "response to abiotic stimulus" (GO:0009628), "response to biotic stimulus" (GO:0009607) and "response to stress" (GO:0006950) were over-represented in infected plants (Figure 5B). The majority (71%) of the proteins belonging to the main category "response to stimulus" were up-regulated (Figure 6). The same category was still over-represented in recovered plants but, in contrast to what we observed in infected plants, half of the proteins belonging to this biological process was down-regulated compared to healthy plants (Figure 6). In this context, it is important to note that within the general "response to stimulus" category, the two child categories "response to abiotic stimulus" and "response to stress", were still over-represented in recovered plants, whereas the child category "response to biotic stimulus" disappeared (Figure 5B). Overall, these results showed a general repression of proteins involved in the response to generic stress and a return to steady-state levels of those proteins specifically required for defence against pathogens.

Conclusions

In this work we have successfully used a multiplex staining approach to deeply characterize the proteome and phospho-proteome of grapevine in response to phytoplasma infection by 2-DE. Using Sybro Ruby dye, we were able to describe 37 proteins which were significantly differentially expressed between healthy and infected plants. Furthermore, by using the ProQ

Protein BLASTp description	Plant species		Predicted sites		
		Serine	Threonine	Tyrosine	
putative plastid lipid-associated protein	Arabidopsis thaliana	10	5	0	
succinate dehydrogenase	Oryza sativa	15	7	1	
Putative cylase, Kynurenine formamidase	first report	6	2	5	
rubisco activase 2, chloroplastic isoform 1	Arabidopsis thaliana	5	3	6	
soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase-like	Arabidopsis thaliana, Madicago truncatula, Oryza sativa	5	1	4	
similar to HSC70-1 (heat shock cognate 70 kDa protein 1); ATP binding isoform 1 $$	Arabidopsis thaliana, Glycine max, Medicago truncatula, Oryza sativa	15	14	5	
ascorbate peroxidase	Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus, Oryza sativa	4	3	3	
putative 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase	Arabidopsis thaliana, Glycine max, Oryza sativa	12	4	12	
cell division cycle protein 48 homolog	Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus, Madicago truncatula, Oryza sativa	24	9	4	
mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit alpha	Oryza sativa	18	6	7	
Peroxiredoxin-2B	first report	5	1	0	
oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 2 23-kDa polypeptide of photosystem II	Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus	8	3	4	
actin-101	Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa	10	6	7	
ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase	Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus, Madicago truncatula, Oryza sativa	7	5	6	
probable ribose-5-phosphate isomerase	Arabidopsis thaliana	15	3	2	
auxin-induced protein PCNT115 isoform 1	Oryza sativa	5	5	4	
ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit	Arabidopsis thaliana	7	3	3	
sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase, chloroplasic	first report	21	7	2	

Table 1 Bioinformatic analysis of phosphorylated proteins detected by ProQ Diamond stain

Column 1: phospho-protein list. Column 2: BLAST analysis based on P3DB database showing previous report of the same phosphorylated proteins in other plant species. Column 3: number of predicted Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine phosphorylation sites by NetPhos 2.0.

Diamond dye we described for the first time phosphorylation changes (15 proteins) in grapevine during pathogen infection. Based on evidences from the literature, some phosphorylated proteins were previously reported to be regulated following abiotic stress in other plants [18,38]. Our work suggests a role for their differential phosphorylation also in response to biotic stress. These findings are in good agreement with recent reports suggesting that mechanisms by which plants respond to different stresses (abiotic and biotic) are not independent, but rather crosstalk each other and share several biochemical networks at least partially overlapped [38,50-52]. Most of the proteins up-regulated in response to infection were back regulated to the steady-state level after remission of symptoms and recovery. Alterations of biological networks associated to the described proteins were predicted by bioinformatic analysis and the data were integrated to evidence interrelationships between the different pathways (Figure 7). The data showed that phytoplasmas infection strongly affects important primary metabolisms, such as glycolysis, TCA cycle and aminoacid metabolism. These processes are fundamental for cell survival and they also provide intermediate and final products that take part in several metabolic ways. We provide a model showing the possible effects of protein modulation on these primary metabolic ways and related secondary pathways (Figure 7). In future, it will be interesting to integrate the current proteomic data with metabolic data in order to confirm the hypothesis generated by our approach. As a perspective, future approaches focused on protein characterization, functional analysis or reverse-genetic approaches will be of great support to further explore the molecular basis of grapevine susceptibility to phytoplasma infection and recovery.

Methods

Plant material collection

Field-grown grapevine samples were collected in Cocconato, Asti province (Piedmont, north-western Italy), in summer (August) 2009. The vineyard had been monitored since 2005 for phytoplasma infection and a map of the sanitary status of the plants (healthy, infected and recovered) was available at the beginning of this study. All samples were collected based on symptom observation and previous monitoring data: healthy plants did not show any suspicious symptoms, whereas infected plants showed at least three typical symptoms of phytoplasma infection





[53]. Recovered plants had tested positive for FDp infection (also showing strong specific symptoms) at least one year before material collection for our proteomic analysis, and did not show symptoms or test positive for phytoplasmas in the following years. In order to minimize the potential variability, which is un-avoidable when working in field conditions, all the plants studied were grapevines propagated from the same initial clone and collected in the same day. Moreover, to normalize the multiple parameters in field conditions (for example differences in light, soil composition and drainage), the selected plants were collected nearby each other. The plants were regularly treated with fungicides and no typical symptoms of fungal disease were observed during sampling. All samples were tested for phytoplasma infection using molecular assays [54], and any mixed infections with Bois noir phytoplasma were excluded from further work. In addition, we tested the samples for the presence of the most common viruses reported in Piedmont vineyards, some of which can show similar symptoms to phytoplasmas. According to methods in the literature [55], we tested the following eight viruses

using RT-PCR assays: Grapevine Virus A (GVA), Grapevine Virus B (GVB), Grapevine Fanleaf Virus (GFLV), GFkV, Grapevine Leaf-Roll-Associated Viruses (GLRaV) 1, 2 and 3 and Arabis mosaic virus (ArMV). Three FDpnegative plants, three FDp-positive plants and three recovered plants were chosen as the biological replicates in the 2-DE experiments. Leaf midribs were cut with a scalpel and pooled together to prepare 0.5 g samples for RNA extraction and 1 g samples for total protein extraction. The material was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further use.

Protein extraction and 2-DE

Total proteins were extracted using a TCA/acetone method [7] using proteinase and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The final pellet was resuspended in rehydration solution (8 M urea, 2% (w/v) 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-l-propanesulphonate idrate (CHAPS), 50 Mm Dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.2% (v/v) Bio-Lyte 3/10 BioRad ampholites), and total protein concentration was assessed



using the '2-D Quant Kit' (GE Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden), using Bovine Serum Albumine (BSA) as standard. Isoelectrofocusing was carried out using 7 cm-long ReadyStrips IPG Strips, pH interval 4-7 (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA), as preliminary assays showed high concentrations of total and phosphoproteins within this pH range. The running conditions for the first dimension were: passive rehydration for 14 h at 20°C, followed by a linear voltage ramping until 4000 V was reached and focusing on a 4000 V constant voltage until 14000 V h, in a Protean IEF Cell (BioRad), with a maximum current of 50 μ A/strip. Strips were stored at -80° C until the second dimension electrophoresis was to be performed. For the second dimension, the strips were equilibrated twice upon gentle agitation for 20 min in an equilibration buffer (6 M urea, 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), 375 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 20% (v/v) glycerol), containing 60 mM

DTT the first time (reduction step) and 2.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide the second time (alkylation step). Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed by placing the focused strips on vertical 12% poly-acrylamide gels, according to the Laemmli buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS) system, in Protean II cell (BioRad), at 150 constant volts, until the blue front reached the bottom of the gel. Three replicate gels were run for each biological sample. The Precision Plus Protein Standards-All Blue (BioRad) and PeppermintStick phosphoprotein molecular weight standards (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were used as MW marker and positive/negative control for phosphorylation staining.

Multiplex staining and image analysis

After 2 -DE, the gels were treated with fixing buffer (50% (v/v) methanol, 10% (v/v) acetic acid) and then



washed three times in water for 10 min. To detect phosphoproteins, the gels were stained using the Pro-Q Diamond phosphoprotein gel stain kit (Invitrogen). The manufacturer's protocol was followed, except that half (50 ml) of the recommended quantity of stain was used, in order to reduce the background, as shown by our preliminary work and other authors [17]. Gels were then destained by incubation (30 min, two times) in 100 ml of destaining solution (20% acetonitrile, 20 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.0) and washed in water (5 min, two times). After fluorescence scanning (Versadoc Imaging System, BioRad), the gels were washed three times with water and incubated with 50 ml of Sypro®Ruby stain (Invitrogen). The staining, destaining and washing procedures were performed as suggested by the manufacturer. The gel images were analysed with PDQuest version 8.0.1 software (BioRad). Manual inspection of the spots was performed to verify the accuracy of automated gel matching and any errors or missing spots in the automatic procedure were manually corrected prior to final data analysis. Gel image analysis was first conducted separately on ProQ Diamond and Sypro[®]Ruby stained gels. Normalization was set up according to the total spot density. Only spots that could be detected in all the biological and technical replicates were considered for further analysis.

Total proteome analysis of SyproRuby-stained gels was performed by creating a statistical (ANOVA test, p < 0.05) and a quantitative (volume variation by at least a factor of two) analysis set. Next, a Boolean analysis set that identified the spots common to the previous sets was created to select the differentially expressed spots. Phosphoproteome analysis was performed according to published methods [56,57]. Spots common to both ProQ Diamond and Sypro[®]Ruby- stained gels were selected and their values compared by software to normalize the phosphorylation value to the expression value for each matched spot. Spots with p < 0.05 according to ANOVA and | ratio | > 2 were considered differentially phosphorylated and selected for further analysis. The experimental molecular weights and iso-electric points of the spots were derived according to the standards.

Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry was performed at the Proteomics Technology Facility, Department of Biology, University of York (http://www.york.ac.uk/depts/biol/tf/proteomics). Protein spots were removed from preparative gels derived from 17-cm long strips, stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue, destained, trypsin digested and analysed by mass spectrometry (Ultraflex III MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometer, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) according to the method previously described [7].

The FlexAnalysis software version 3.3 (Bruker) was used to perform the spectral processing and peak list generation. The mass spectra were analysed using the MAS-COT peptide sequence matching software (Matrix Science Ltd., version 2.1) through a Bruker ProteinScape interface (vs. 2.1), against the NCBI Vitis Vinifera database and the unrestricted NCBI database. The last search was performed in order to a) extend the number of searchable proteins and possibly find significant assignments to proteins with no matches in the Vitis database, b) find possible contaminants. The search parameters were as follows: Enzyme, Trypsin; Fixed modifications, Carbamidomethyl; Variable modifications, Oxidation; Peptide tolerance, 250 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 0.5 Da; Max missed cleavages, 1. The significance threshold was inferred at p < 0.05. The theoretical mass and pI of the proteins identified were calculated from sequence data with the Expasy Compute pI/Mw tool (http://www.expasy.ch/tools/pi_tool.html).

Only peptide mass maps that matched single annotated *Vitis* proteins were kept in the final database (Figure 2, Additional file 1), whereas those matching only bacterial or human proteins were excluded. Although the majority of spots identified corresponded to a single protein, there were a few spots where MALDI TOF/TOF data indicated a mixture of multiple protein species, which was likely due to proteins co-migrating in one spot. These proteins were excluded from the final database. It never occurred that a peptide with no matches in the *Vitis* database found a match with any other plant protein in the extended search.

Bioinformatic analysis

The *V. vinifera* annotation file was downloaded from B2G-FAR website (http://www.b2gfar.org) and loaded into Blast2GO [58]. The already existing annotation was further integrated by a Plant GOslim classification of the Vitis proteome. Then, the whole dataset was used as "reference set" to determine the over-representation of Plant GOslim terms and their corresponding P-values by Enrichment Analysis (Fisher's Exact Test) in infected and in recovered plants (used as "test sets"), separately. The Hochberg FDR-controlling method was chosen as a multiple testing correction. Those Plant GOslim

categories with a P-value less than 0.05 after the FDR correction were considered significantly over-represented.

The web-based functional annotator KAAS (KEGG Automatic Annotation Server) was used to assign the differentially regulated proteins to KEGG pathways.

To identify newly reported phosphorylated proteins, differentially phosphorylated proteins were analysed by the Phosphoprotein BLAST available on the P3DB database (www.p3db.org) and compared to protein phosphorylation data for six plant species (*A. thaliana, Brassica napus, Glycine max, Medicago truncatula, Oryza sativa* and *Zea mays*).

The presence of potential Serine/Threonine/Tyrosine phosphorylation sites was predicted by NetPhos 2.0 software (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPhos).

Additional files

Additional file 1: Detailed list of the differentially expressed proteins. The following parameters are reported for each spot: sequence of the matched peptides, normalized volume values (PPM) and coefficient of variation, statistical significance. Sheet 1: healthy/infected Sypro[®] Ruby staining (total proteins); sheet 2: healthy/recovered Sypro[®] Ruby staining; sheet 3: healthy-infected ProQ Diamond staining (phosphoproteins); sheet 4: healthy-recovered ProQ Diamond staining.

Additional file 2: GO classification and KEGG map assignments of the identified V. vinifera proteins. GOslim term assignment was performed by Blast2GO. GO classification is expressed by GO Names (third column) preceded by "P" for "biological process", "C" for cellular component and "F" for "molecular function". The forth column of the table reports the names of the KEGG maps assigned to each protein according to the KAAS functional annotation.

Additional file 3: List of the over-represented GO categories in infected and recovered plants following Singular Enrichment Analysis. Proteins are grouped according to the GO categories to which they belong. Only the statistically significant (False discovery rate: FDR ≤0.05) GO terms are shown. "Category" indicates the main GO categories: "B", biological process; "C", cellular component. There were no statistically significant molecular function terms. "Number of sequences" indicates the number of proteins assigned to each GO term.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

PM participated in the design of the study, collected samples, carried out the proteomic analysis, participated in the bioinformatic analysis, and wrote the manuscript; SA performed the bioinformatic analysis and helped with manuscript writing; SP conceived the study and its design, coordinated the activities, and drafted and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Cristina Marzachì, Dr. Massimo Turina and Dr. Marta Vallino for critical reading of the manuscript, and A. A. Dowle at the Proteomics Technology Facility, Department of Biology, University of York for mass spectrometry services. This work was supported by funding from "Servizio fitosanitario regionale", Regione Piemonte, Italy (Rep. 12851).

Received: 9 May 2012 Accepted: 9 January 2013 Published: 17 January 2013

References

- 1. Bertaccini A: Phytoplasmas: diversity, taxonomy and epidemiology. Front Biosci 2007, 12:673–689.
- Fidelibus MW, Christensen LP, Golino DA, Sweet NL, Cathline KA: Yield components and fruit composition of five barbera grapevine selections in the San Joaquin valley, California. American J Enol Vitic 2009, 60:533–536.
- Morone C, Boveri M, Giosue S, Gotta P, Rossi V, Scapin I, Marzachi C: Epidemiology of Flavescence dorée in vineyards in northwestern Italy. *Phytopathology* 2007, 97:1422–1427.
- Quirino BF, Candido ES, Campos PF, Franco OL, Kruger RH: Proteomic approaches to study plant-pathogen interactions. *Phytochemistry* 2010, 71:351–362.
- Ji XL, Gai YP, Zheng CC, Mu ZM: Comparative proteomic analysis provides new insights into mulberry dwarf responses in mulberry (Morus alba L.). Proteomics 2009, 9:5328–5339.
- Ji XL, Gai YP, Lu BY, Zheng CC, Mu ZM: Shotgun proteomic analysis of mulberry dwarf phytoplasma. *Proteome Sci* 2010, 8:20.
- Margaria P, Palmano S: Response of the Vitis vinifera L. cv. 'Nebbiolo' proteome to Flavescence dorée phytoplasma infection. Proteomics 2011, 11:212–224.
- Taheri F, Nematzadeh G, Zamharir MG, Nekouei MK, Naghavi M, Mardi M, Salekdeh GH: Proteomic analysis of the Mexican lime tree response to "Candidatus Phytoplasma aurantifolia" infection. *Mol Biosystems* 2011, 7:3028–3035.
- Ytterberg AJ, Jensen ON: Modification-specific proteomics in plant biology. J Proteomics 2010, 73:2249–2266.
- 10. Kersten B, Agrawal GK, Durek P, Neigenfind J, Schulze W, Walther D, Rakwal R: Plant phosphoproteomics: an update. *Proteomics* 2009, 9:964–988.
- Hren M, Nikolic P, Rotter A, Blejec A, Terrier N, Ravnikar M, Dermastia M, Gruden K: 'Bois noir' phytoplasma induces significant reprogramming of the leaf transcriptome in the field grown grapevine. *BMC Genomics* 2009, 10:460.
- Albertazzi G, Milc J, Caffagni A, Francia E, Roncaglia E, Ferrari F, Tagliafico E, Stefani E, Pecchioni N: Gene expression in grapevine cultivars in response to Bois Noir phytoplasma infection. *Plant Sci* 2009, **176**:792–804.
- Zamharir MG, Mardi M, Alavi SM, Hasanzadeh N, Nekouei MK, Zamanizadeh HR, Alizadeh A, Salekdeh GH: Identification of genes differentially expressed during interaction of Mexican lime tree infected with "Candidatus Phytoplasma aurantifolia". *BMC Microbiol* 2011, 11:1.
- 14. Carraro L, Ermacora P, Loi N, Osler R: The recovery phenomenon in apple proliferation-infected apple trees. J Plant Pathol 2004, 86:141–146.
- Musetti R, Marabottini R, Badiani M, Martini M, di Toppi LS, Borselli S, Borgo M, Osler R: On the role of H2O2 in the recovery of grapevine (Vitis vinifera cv. Prosecco) from Flavescence dorée disease. *Funct Plant Biol* 2007, 34:750–758.
- Sabanadzovic S, Abou-Ghanem N, Castellano MA, Digiaro M, Martelli GP: Grapevine fleck virus-like viruses in Vitis. Arch Virol 2000, 145:553–565.
- Chitteti BR, Peng Z: Proteome and phosphoproteome differential expression under salinity stress in rice (*Oryza sativa*) roots. *J Prot Res* 2007, 6:1718–1727.
- Chen X, Zhang W, Zhang B, Zhou J, Wang Y, Yang Q, Ke Y, He H: Phosphoproteins regulated by heat stress in rice leaves. Proteome Sci 2011, 9:37.
- Spagnolo A, Magnin-Robert M, Alayi TD, Cilindre C, Mercier L, Schaeffer-Reiss C, Van Dorsselaer A, Clement C, Fontaine F: Physiological changes in green stems of Vitis vinifera L. cv. Chardonnay in response to esca proper and apoplexy revealed by proteomic and transcriptomic analyses. J Proteome Res 2012, 11:461–475.
- Bertamini M, Nedunchezhian N, Tomasi F, Grando MS: Phytoplasma Stolbur-subgroup (Bois Noir-BN) infection inhibits photosynthetic pigments, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase and photosynthetic activities in field grown grapevine (Vitis vinifera L. cv. Chardonnay) leaves. Physiol Mol, Plant Pathol 2002, 61:357–366.
- Zou JJ, Rodriguez-Zas S, Aldea M, Li M, Zhu J, Gonzalez DO, Vodkin LO, DeLucia E, Clough SJ: Expression profiling soybean response to Pseudomonas syringae reveals new defense-related genes and rapid HR-specific downregulation of photosynthesis. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 2005, 18:1161–1174.
- Gokulakannan GG, Niehaus K: Characterization of the Medicago truncatula cell wall proteome in cell suspension culture upon elicitation and suppression of plant defense. J Plant Physiol 2010, 167:1533–1541.
- Yan SP, Zhang QY, Tang ZC, Su WA, Sun WN: Comparative proteomic analysis provides new insights into chilling stress responses in rice. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2006, 5:484–496.

- 24. Lee DG, Ahsan N, Lee SH, Lee JJ, Bahk JD, Kang KY, Lee BH: Chilling stressinduced proteomic changes in rice roots. J Plant Physiol 2009, 166:1–11.
- Moeder W, del Pozo O, Navarre DA, Martin GB, Klessig DF: Aconitase plays a role in regulating resistance to oxidative stress and cell death in Arabidopsis and Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant Mol Biol 2007, 63:273–287.
- Carrari F, Nunes-Nesi A, Gibon Y, Lytovchenko A, Loureiro ME, Fernie AR: Reduced expression of aconitase results in an enhanced rate of photosynthesis and marked shifts in carbon partitioning in illuminated leaves of wild species tomato. *Plant Physiol* 2003, **133**:1322–1335.
- 27. Cui SX, Huang F, Wang J, Ma X, Cheng YS, Liu JY: A proteomic analysis of cold stress responses in rice seedlings. *Proteomics* 2005, **5**:3162–3172.
- Mhamdi A, Mauve C, Gouia H, Saindrenan P, Hodges M, Noctor G: Cytosolic NADP-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase contributes to redox homeostasis and the regulation of pathogen responses in Arabidopsis leaves. *Plant Cell Environ* 2010, 33(7):1112–1123.
- Foyer CH, Noctor G: Redox homeostasis and antioxidant signaling: a metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses. *Plant Cell* 2005, 17:1866–1875.
- 30. Kortekamp A: Expression analysis of defence-related genes in grapevine leaves after inoculation with a host and a non-host pathogen. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 2006, **44:**58–67.
- Camps C, Kappel C, Lecomte P, Leon C, Gomes E, Coutos-Thevenot P, Delrot S: A transcriptomic study of grapevine (Vitis vinifera cv. Cabernet-Sauvignon) interaction with the vascular ascomycete fungus Eutypa lata. *J Exp Bot* 2010, 61:1719–1737.
- Duan YH, Guo J, Ding K, Wang SJ, Zhang H, Dai XW, Chen YY, Govers F, Huang LL, Kang ZS: Characterization of a wheat HSP70 gene and its expression in response to stripe rust infection and abiotic stresses. *Mol Biol Rep* 2011, 38:301–307.
- Daldoul S, Guillaumie S, Reustle GM, Krczal G, Ghorbel A, Delrot S, Mliki A, Hofer MU: Isolation and expression analysis of salt induced genes from contrasting grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) cultivars. *Plant Sci* 2010, 179:489–498.
- 34. Baena-Gonzalez E: Energy Signaling in the Regulation of Gene Expression during Stress. *Mol Plant* 2010, **3**(2):300–313.
- Landi L, Romanazzi G: Seasonal variation of defense-related gene expression in leaves from Bois noir affected and recovered grapevines. *J Agric Food Chem* 2011, 59:6628–6637.
- De Luca V, Capasso C, Capasso A, Pastore M, Carginale V: Gene expression profiling of phytoplasma-infected Madagascar periwinkle leaves using differential display. *Mol Biol Rep* 2011, 38:2993–3000.
- 37. Musetti R, Favali MA, Pressacco L: Histopathology and polyphenol content in plants infected by phytoplasmas. *Cytobios* 2000, **102**:133–147.
- Huang C, Verrillo F, Renzone G, Arena S, Rocco M, Scaloni A, Marra M: Response to biotic and oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Analysis of variably phosphorylated proteins. *J Proteomics* 2011, 74:1934–1949.
- Aggarwal KK, Saluja D, Sachar RC: Phosphorylation of Rubisco in Cicer arietinum - non-phosphoprotein nature of Rubisco in Nicotiana tabacum. Phytochemistry 1993, 34:329–335.
- Cerny M, Dycka F, Bobalova J, Brzobohaty B: Early cytokinin response proteins and phosphoproteins of *Arabidopsis thaliana* identified by proteome and phosphoproteome profiling. J ExpBot 2011, 62:921–937.
- 41. Apel K, Hirt H: Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2004, 55:373–399.
- Xu S, Ding H, Su F, Zhang A, Jiang M: Involvement of Protein Phosphorylation in Water Stress-induced Antioxidant Defense in Maize Leaves. J Integr Plant Biol 2009, 51:654–662.
- 43. Dietz KJ: Plant peroxiredoxins. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2003, 54:93-107.
- Radyuk SN, Michalak K, Klichko VI, Benes J, Rebrin I, Sohal RS, Orr WC: Peroxiredoxin 5 confers protection against oxidative stress and apoptosis and also promotes longevity in Drosophila. *Biochemical J* 2009, 419:437–445.
- Jones AME, Bennett MH, Mansfield JW, Grant M: Analysis of the defence phosphoproteome of Arabidopsis thaliana using differential mass tagging. Proteomics 2006, 6:4155–4165.
- Clement M, Leonhardt N, Droillard MJ, Reiter I, Montillet JL, Genty B, Lauriere C, Nussaume L, Noel LD: The Cytosolic/Nuclear HSC70 and HSP90 Molecular Chaperones Are Important for Stomatal Closure and Modulate Abscisic Acid-Dependent Physiological Responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 2011, 156:1481–1492.
- 47. Noel LD, Cagna G, Stuttmann J, Wirthmuller L, Betsuyaku S, Witte CP, Bhat R, Pochon N, Colby T, Parker JE: Interaction between SGT1 and Cytosolic/

Nuclear HSC70 chaperones regulates Arabidopsis immune responses. *Plant Cell* 2007, **19**:4061–4076.

- Musetti R, Di Toppi LS, Ermacora P, Favali MA: Recovery in apple trees infected with the apple proliferation phytoplasma: an ultrastructural and biochemical study. *Phytopathology* 2004, 94:203–208.
- Musetti R, di Toppi LS, Martini M, Ferrini F, Loschi A, Favali MA, Osler R: Hydrogen peroxide localization and antioxidant status in the recovery of apricot plants from European Stone Fruit Yellows. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 2005, 112:53–61.
- Dechorgnat J, Patrit O, Krapp A, Fagard M, Daniel-Vedele F: Characterization of the Nrt2.6 Gene in Arabidopsis thaliana: A Link with Plant Response to Biotic and Abiotic Stress. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e42491.
- 51. Chan Z: Expression profiling of ABA pathway transcripts indicates crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. *Genomics* 2012, **100**:110–115.
- 52. Atkinson NJ, Urwin PE: The interaction of plant biotic and abiotic stresses: from genes to the field. J Exp Bot 2012, 63:3523–3543.
- Margaria P, Rosa C, Marzachi C, Turina M, Palmano S: Detection of Flavescence doree phytoplasma in grapevine by reverse-transcription PCR. Plant Dis 2007, 91:1496–1501.
- 54. Margaria P, Turina M, Palmano S: Detection of Flavescence doree and Bois noir phytoplasmas, Grapevine leafroll associated virus-1 and -3 and Grapevine virus A from the same crude extract by reverse transcription-RealTime Taqman assays. *Plant Pathol* 2009, **58**:838–845.
- Gambino G, Gribaudo I: Simultaneous detection of nine grapevine viruses by multiplex reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction with coamplification of a plant RNA as internal control. *Phytopathology* 2006, 96:1223–1229.
- Di Domenico F, Sultana R, Barone E, Perluigi M, Cini C, Mancuso C, Cai J, Pierce WM, Butterfield DA: Quantitative proteomics analysis of phosphorylated proteins in the hippocampus of Alzheimer's disease subjects. J Proteomics 2011, 74:1091–1103.
- Tichy A, Salovska B, Rehulka P, Klimentova J, Vavrova J, Stulik J, Hernychova L: Phosphoproteomics: Searching for a needle in a haystack. J Proteomics 2011, 74:2786–2797.
- Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M: Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21:3674–6.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-38

Cite this article as: Margaria *et al.*: Novel aspects of grapevine response to phytoplasma infection investigated by a proteomic and phosphoproteomic approach with data integration into functional networks. *BMC Genomics* 2013 **14**:38.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit