



How Do Experts Treat Patients with Bullous Pemphigoid around the World? An International Survey

Marine Guignant^{1,2}, Billal Tedbirt^{1,2}, Dedee F. Murrell³, Masayuki Amagai^{4,5}, Valeria Aoki⁶, Johannes Bauer⁷, Giuseppe Ciancinni⁸, Donna Culton⁹, Maryam Daneshpazhooch¹⁰, Dipankar De¹¹, Janet Fairley^{12,13}, Russell Hall¹⁴, Soo-Chan Kim¹⁵, Neil J. Korman¹⁶, Cezary Kowalewski¹⁷, Daniel Mimouni^{18,19}, Aikaterini Patsatsi²⁰, Vivien Hebert^{1,2}, Marwah Adly Mohamed Saleh²¹, Enno Schmidt^{22,23}, Eli Sprecher²⁴, Soner Uzun²⁵, Vanessa Venning²⁶, Victoria P. Werth²⁷, Detlef Zillikens²² and Pascal Joly^{1,2}

Many treatments are currently proposed for treating patients with bullous pemphigoid (BP). We assessed treatment modalities of BP depending on the different countries, BP extent, and patients' comorbidities. We surveyed worldwide experts about how they treat patients with BP. A total of 61 experts from 27 countries completed the survey. Severe and moderate BP were treated with oral prednisone (61.4 and 53.7%, respectively) or superpotent topical corticosteroids (CSs) (38.6 and 46.3%, respectively). Conventional immunosuppressants were more frequently combined with oral prednisone (74.5%) than with superpotent topical CS (37.5%) in severe BP. Topical CSs were mainly used in Europe in mild (81.1%), moderate (55.3%), and severe (54.3%) BP. In the United States of America and Asia, systemic CSs were mainly proposed for treating severe (77.8 and 100%, respectively), moderate (70 and 77.8%, respectively), and also mild (47.1 and 33.3%, respectively) BP. Most experts reduced the initial dose of oral CS in patients with diabetes mellitus (48.1%) or cardiac insufficiency (40.2%) but rarely changed BP treatment in patients with neurological disorders or neoplasia. This survey showed major differences in the way patients with BP are treated between AmeriPac countries (United State of America, Latin America, and Australia) and Asia on the one hand and Europe and the Middle East on the other hand.

JID Innovations (2022);2:100129 doi:10.1016/j.xjidi.2022.100129

INTRODUCTION

Treatment of bullous pemphigoid (BP) remains challenging because elderly patients with BP have a poor tolerance for many treatments (Bernard et al., 1995; Joly et al., 2002; Jung et al., 1999; Korman, 1998; Langan et al., 2008). The main deleterious prognostic factors of BP include older age; poor

general condition; comorbidities, in particular, debilitating neurological disorders; and the use of high doses of systemic corticosteroids (CSs) (Cortés et al., 2011; Joly et al., 2012, 2005, 2002; Rzyany et al., 2002). A wide range of treatments is available, the use of which is highly variable and largely depends on dermatologists' experience. High doses of oral

¹Department of Dermatology, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France;

²INSERM Unit 2345, French Reference Center for Autoimmune Bullous Diseases, Normandie University, Rouen, France; ³Department of Dermatology, St George Hospital, University of New South Wales Sydney, Kensington, Australia; ⁴Department of Dermatology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; ⁵Laboratory for Skin Homeostasis, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Kanagawa, Japan;

⁶Department of Dermatology and Allergology, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil; ⁷Department of Dermatology, University Hospital of the Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria; ⁸Department of Dermatology, Ospedale Classificato Cristo RE, Rome, Italy; ⁹Department of Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ¹⁰Bullous Diseases Research Center, Department of Dermatology, Razi Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; ¹¹Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India; ¹²Department of Dermatology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA;

¹³Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Iowa City, Iowa, USA; ¹⁴Duke Dermatology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ¹⁵Department of Dermatology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Seoul, South Korea; ¹⁶Department of Dermatology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ¹⁷Department of Dermatology and Immunodermatology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland;

¹⁸Department of Dermatology, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petah Tikva, Israel; ¹⁹Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ²⁰Department of Dermatology, "Papageorgiou" General Hospital, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; ²¹Dermatology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt; ²²Department of Dermatology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; ²³The Lübeck Institute of Experimental Dermatology (LIED), University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; ²⁴Department of Dermatology, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel; ²⁵Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Faculty of Medicine, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey; ²⁶Department of Dermatology, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom; and ²⁷Department of Dermatology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondence: Billal Tedbirt, Department of Dermatology, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France. E-mail: billal.tedbirt@chu-rouen.fr

Abbreviations: BP, bullous pemphigoid; CS, corticosteroid; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; RCT, randomized controlled trial; US, United States
Received 1 November 2021; revised 2 January 2022; accepted 25 January 2022; accepted manuscript published online XXX; corrected proof published online XXX

Cite this article as: *JID Innovations* 2022;2:100129

CSs are still considered the mainstay of treatment for patients with BP in some countries, whereas they are no longer recommended in other countries owing to the frequency and severity of their side effects (Fine, 1995; Korman, 1998; Westerhof, 1989). Medium doses of oral prednisone have recently been proposed by a panel of experts in the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology/European Dermatology Forum guidelines without clear evidence from the literature (Cozzani et al., 2018). Superpotent topical CSs have been shown to be safer and more effective than high doses of oral prednisone in patients with extensive BP, but their use is limited by the poor practicability of this treatment (Joly et al., 2012, 2002; Sobocinski et al., 2016; Terra et al., 2014). The usefulness of immunosuppressants as first-line therapies and CS-sparing agents remains debatable because no randomized controlled trial (RCT) has shown a benefit of this combined treatment over CSs alone (Beisert et al., 2007; Guillaume et al., 1993; Kirtschig et al., 2010). Finally, the use of immunomodulants such as tetracycline, dapsone, or fumarate seems extremely heterogeneous among countries (Bouscarat et al., 1996; Feliciani et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2017). These latter treatment options are mentioned in the European and Japanese guidelines (Ujii et al., 2019), despite conflicting results from the literature. Tetracyclines have been claimed to be noninferior to medium doses of prednisone in an RCT (Williams et al., 2017), whereas in the real life, 72% of the patients who were started with tetracyclines alone required additional oral prednisone, and only 12% of patients were able to continue doxycycline alone throughout the study (Micallef and Harman, 2021). Similarly, a CS-sparing effect of dapsone is often claimed, whereas in an RCT comparing dapsone with azathioprine, only 11% of patients treated with dapsone were able to completely taper CS (Sticherling et al., 2017). The purpose of this worldwide survey was to assess the treatment modalities of patients with BP depending on the different countries, BP extent, and patients' comorbidities.

RESULTS

Experts

A survey was sent to 78 experts identified by their publications in the field of BP. They were practicing in 27 countries in Europe, the United States (US), Asia, the Middle East, Australia, and South America. A total of 61 surveys (78.2%) were completed. A total of 54% of the responses came from Europe, 19.7% came from the US, 11.5% came from the Middle Eastern countries, 11.5% came from Asia, 1.6% came from Australia, and 1.6% came from South America (Brazil).

Most treatments were available in different countries except fumarate, which is only available in Germany. In addition, superpotent topical CSs, rituximab, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and intravenous Igs were either not reimbursed and/or only available to a small number of patients with BP in some European countries (Hungary and Croatia) as well as in Singapore, Australia, Iran, and Turkey.

Management of patients with BP depending on disease severity

The respective frequencies of the various treatments used depending on the clinical severity of BP are shown in Table 1.

Treatment of severe types of BP. Severe BP was treated with oral prednisone or superpotent topical CSs in 51 of 83 (61.4%) or 32 of 83 (38.6%) cases, respectively. The most frequently used initial dose of prednisone was 0.75 mg/kg/day (51.0% of responses that indicated the use of prednisone), whereas the initial doses of clobetasol propionate were quite variable, ranging from 20 (50.0% of responses) to 30 mg/day (34.4%) and 40 mg/day (34.4%).

Conventional immunosuppressants (MMF, methotrexate, and azathioprine) were more frequently associated with oral prednisone (38 of 51 [74.5% of responses]) than with superpotent topical CSs (12 of 32 [37.5%]). Interestingly, among the 50 responses mentioning the use of an immunosuppressant, azathioprine (17 of 38 [44.7%]) and MMF (13 of 38 [34.2%]) were the main immunosuppressants associated with oral CSs, whereas methotrexate was mainly associated with topical CSs (6 of 12 [50.0%]). Doxycycline and dapsone were associated with (oral or topical) CS in 18 of 83 (21.6%) and 11 of 83 (13.3%) responses, respectively.

Treatment of moderate types of BP. Oral prednisone and topical CSs were used in 43 of 80 (53.7%) and 37 of 80 (46.3%) cases, respectively. Immunosuppressants were less frequently associated with oral CSs than in severe types of BP (21 of 43 [48.8%] vs. 38 of 51 [74.5%] of responses) ($P = 0.02$). The most frequently used initial doses of oral prednisone were 0.5 mg/kg/day in 65.1% of cases, and that of clobetasol propionate was between 20 g/day (23 of 37 [62.2%]) and 30 g/day (11 of 37 [29.7%]). Immunomodulators were associated with oral or topical CSs in proportions quite similar to those proposed in severe BP: doxycycline in 19 of 80 cases (23.8%) and dapsone in 6 of 80 cases (7.5%). Doxycycline and dapsone were associated with oral or topical CSs in 19 of 80 cases (23.8%) and 6 of 80 cases (7.5%), respectively.

Treatment of mild types of BP. Topical CSs were proposed in 50 of 70 (71.4%) cases for treating mild types of BP, mainly at an initial dose of 20 g/day (16 of 50 [32.0%] of responses), whereas oral prednisone was proposed in 20 of 70 (28.6%) cases, mainly at an initial dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day (14 of 20 [70.0%]). Tetracyclines were more frequently used for treating these mild types of BP (24 of 70 [34.3%]) than conventional immunosuppressants (9 of 70 [12.9%]) ($P = 0.005$).

Treatment of localized types of BP. Topical CSs were used in 63 of 65 (96.9%) cases, mainly at an initial dose of 20 g/day in 24 of 63 (39.3%) responses. Doxycycline was associated with topical CS in 9 of 61 (14.8%) cases.

During the consolidation phase, patients with a favorable course were usually treated with the same treatments as those used during the initial phase of treatment. Oral and/or topical CS doses were first tapered in between 71.7 and 87.8% of cases and then stopped before reducing immunosuppressants.

Management of patients with BP by experts from different geographic areas

We then analyzed experts' responses according to the geographic areas, that is, the US, South America, Europe, Middle East, Asia, and Australia. Because treatment modalities of BP were quite similar in the US, Australia, and South

Table 1. Treatment Modalities of Patients with Bullous Pemphigoid Depending on Disease Severity

Treatments	Severe/Extensive n (%)	Moderate n (%)	Mild n (%)	Localized n (%)
Initial treatment	n = 83 ¹	n = 80 ¹	n = 70 ¹	n = 65 ¹
Prednisone ± immunosuppressant	51 (61.4)	43 (53.7)	20 (28.6)	2 (3.1)
Dose of prednisone	n = 51	n = 43	n = 20	n = 2
1.0 mg/kg/d	9 (17.6)	5 (11.6)	1 (5.0)	0 (0.0)
0.75 mg/kg/d	26 (51.0)	4 (9.3)	1 (5.0)	0 (0.0)
0.5 mg/kg/d	15 (29.4)	28 (65.1)	14 (70.0)	2 (100)
0.1–0.3 mg/kg/d	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (10.0)	0 (0.0)
Immunosuppressant/immunomodulant when associated with prednisone	n = 51	n = 43	n = 20	n = 2
Mycophenolate mofetil	13 (25.5)	9 (20.9)	1 (5.0)	0 (0)
Methotrexate	8 (15.6)	3 (7.0)	2 (10.0)	0 (0)
Azathioprine	17 (33.3)	9 (20.9)	2 (10.0)	0 (0)
Doxycyclin	15 (29.4)	10 (23.3)	8 (40.0)	1 (50.0)
± nicotinamide				
± potent topical corticosteroids				
Dapsone	8 (15.7)	2 (4.7)	3 (15.0)	0 (0)
± potent topical corticosteroids				
Rituximab	5 (9.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Intravenous Ig	6 (11.7)	1 (2.3)	1 (5.0)	0 (0)
Topical corticosteroid	32 (38.6)	37 (46.3)	50 (71.4)	63 (96.9)
± immunosuppressant				
Dose of topical corticosteroid	n = 32	n = 37	n = 50	n = 63
40 g/d	11 (34.4)	6 (16.2)	2 (4.0)	0 (0)
30 g/d	11 (34.4)	11 (29.7)	6 (12.0)	6 (9.8)
20 g/d	16 (50.0)	23 (62.2)	16 (32.0)	24 (39.3)
10 g/d	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (3.3)
Immunosuppressant/immunomodulant when associated with topical corticosteroid	n = 32	n = 37	n = 50	n = 63
Mycophenolate mofetil	2 (6.3)	4 (10.8)	1 (2.0)	0 (0)
Methotrexate	6 (18.8)	4 (10.8)	1 (2.0)	0 (0)
Azathioprine	4 (12.5)	5 (13.5)	2 (4.0)	2 (3.3)
Doxycyclin ± nicotinamide	3 (9.4)	9 (24.3)	16 (32.0)	9 (14.8)
Dapsone	3 (9.4)	4 (10.8)	6 (12.0)	1 (1.6)
Rituximab	1 (3.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Intravenous Ig	1 (3.1)	1 (2.7)	1 (2.0)	0 (0)
Consolidation phase	n = 76	n = 75	n = 65	n = 62
Prednisone ± immunosuppressant	42 (55.3)	32 (42.7)	15 (23.1)	2 (3.2)
Topical corticosteroid ± immunosuppressant	27 (35.5)	31 (41.3)	46 (70.8)	57 (91.9)
Immunosuppressant alone	7 (9.2)	12 (16.0)	4 (6.2)	3 (4.8)
Tapering of treatment	n = 41	n = 35	n = 28	n = 11
Reduction and finally omitting the corticosteroid before reducing the immunosuppressant	36 (87.8)	28 (80.0)	20 (71.4)	9 (81.8)
Reduction of the corticosteroid in parallel with reduction of the immunosuppressant and omitting the corticosteroid first	3 (7.3)	4 (11.4)	2 (7.1)	1 (9.1)
Reduction of the corticosteroid in parallel with reduction of the immunosuppressant and omitting the immunosuppressant first	2 (4.9)	3 (8.6)	6 (21.4)	1 (9.1)

¹Data are expressed as the number of responses.

America (AmeriPac group), we pooled their responses in the analysis. The main treatments used by experts from Europe, US/Australia/South America, Asia, and the Middle East, depending on the clinical severity of BP, are shown in Table 2.

Severe BP. Oral CSs (usually at an initial dose of 0.5–0.75 mg/kg/day) were mostly used in the AmeriPac group (14 of 18 [77.8%]), Asia (7 of 7 [100%]), and the Middle East (7 of 10 [70%]), whereas both oral CSs (21 of 46 [45.7%]) and topical CSs (25 of 46 [54.3%]) were used in Europe. Conventional immunosuppressants (MMF, methotrexate, and azathioprine) were almost systematically associated with CSs in the

AmeriPac group (17 of 18 [94.4%]) and Asia (6 of 7 [85.7%]) and less frequently in Europe (24 of 46 [52.1%]) and the Middle East (3 of 10 [30%]). The immunosuppressants that were most commonly associated with CS in Europe were methotrexate, where 10 of 24 (41.7%) responses mentioned its use, and azathioprine with 12 of 24 (50.0%) responses, whereas MMF and azathioprine were preferentially used in the AmeriPac group (10 of 17 [58.8%] and 5 of 17 [29.4%], respectively) and in Asia (2 of 6 [33.3%] and 4 of 6 [66.7%], respectively).

Moderate BP. As in severe BP, oral CSs (alone or associated with immunosuppressants or immunomodulants) were

Table 2. Treatment Modalities of Patients with Bullous Pemphigoid in Europe, US/Australia/South America, Middle East, and Asia Depending on Disease Severity

Severity of Bullous Pemphigoid	Europe n (%)	US/Australia/ South America n (%)	P Value	Asia n (%)	P Value	Middle East n (%)	P Value
Severe	n = 46	n = 18		n = 7		n = 10	
Prednisone alone or with immunosuppressant	21 (45.7)	14 (77.8)	0.03	7 (100.0)	0.01	7 (70.0)	0.3
Topical corticosteroid alone or with immunosuppressant	25 (54.3)	4 (22.2)	0.03	0 (0)	0.01	3 (30.0)	0.3
Moderate	n = 38	n = 20		n = 9		n = 8	
Prednisone alone or with immunosuppressant	17 (44.7)	14 (70.0)	0.1	7 (77.8)	0.1	4 (50.0)	1
Topical corticosteroid alone or with immunosuppressant	21 (55.3)	6 (30.0)	0.1	2 (22.2)	0.1	4 (50.0)	1
Mild	n = 37	n = 17		n = 6		n = 7	
Prednisone alone or with immunosuppressant	7 (18.9)	8 (47.1)	0.05	4 (66.7)	0.03	1 (14.3)	1
Topical corticosteroid alone or with immunosuppressant	30 (81.1)	9 (52.9)	0.05	2 (33.3)	0.03	6 (85.7)	1
Localized	n = 33	n = 15		n = 7		n = 7	
Prednisone alone or with immunosuppressant	0 (0)	1 (6.7)	0.3	1 (14.3)	0.2	0 (0.0)	1
Topical corticosteroid alone or with immunosuppressant	33 (100)	14 (93.3)	0.3	6 (85.7)	0.2	7 (100.0)	1

Abbreviation: US, United States.

Data are expressed in the number of responses. Comparisons were calculated by exact Fisher's exact test, versus the European group. Severe, >30 new blisters per day or >30 intact recent blisters; moderate, 10–30 new blisters per day or 10–30 intact recent blisters; and mild, <10 new blisters per day or <10 intact recent blisters.

mainly used in the AmeriPac group and Asia (14 of 20 [70.0%] and 7 of 9 [77.8%] responses, respectively), whereas both oral and topical CSs were used in Europe and the Middle East: oral CSs (17 of 38 [44.7% and 4 of 8 [50%], respectively) and topical CS (21 of 38 [55.3%] and 4 of 8 [50.0%], respectively).

Mild BP. Topical CSs were most frequently used in Europe (30 of 37 [81.1%] of responses) and the Middle East (6 of 7 [85.7%] responses), whereas in the AmeriPac group and Asia, both topical (9 of 17 [52.9%] and 2 of 6 [33.3%], respectively) and oral (8 of 17 [47.1%] and 4 of 6 [66.7%], respectively) CSs were used. Conventional immunosuppressants were very rarely used in Europe in mild types of BP (3 of 37 [8.1%]) and a little bit more frequently (5 of 17 [29.4%]) by the AmeriPac dermatologists. Doxycycline (associated with oral or topical CSs) was mostly used in the AmeriPac group (11 of 17 [64.7%]) and Asia (3 of 6 [50%]) and less frequently in Europe (8 of 37 [21.6%]) and the Middle East (2 of 7 [28.6%]). Dapsone was used almost exclusively in Europe in association with topical CSs (6 of 30 [20%]).

Localized BP. Topical CSs were almost exclusively used in these localized types of BP worldwide (60 of 62 [96.8%] of responses), most often alone (55 of 62 [81.6%]) or rarely associated with doxycycline (7 of 62 [11.3%]).

Management of patients with severe associated medical conditions

Treatment modifications according to comorbidities are shown in Table 3. Experts reduced the initial doses of oral CSs or, if possible, did not use any oral CS in patients with severe diabetes mellitus (48.1%) or cardiac insufficiency (40.2%). This was particularly true in patients with severe types of BP, who are usually treated with the highest doses of prednisone. Most experts did not modify the treatment of patients with BP with severe neurological conditions, regardless of the BP severity (45.6, 60.6, and 85.7% of responses in patients with severe, moderate, and mild BP, respectively). Similarly, most

experts did not modify the treatment of patients with BP who had associated neoplasia, particularly in those with severe BP (57.9%). They indicated not using immunosuppressants in patients with neoplasia in only 22.8% of cases. Experts avoided methotrexate or any other immunosuppressant in patients with renal insufficiency in only 21.1% or 23.0% of cases, respectively.

DISCUSSION

This survey showed that the two main treatments proposed for patients with BP were oral prednisone and superpotent topical CSs. High doses of oral CSs have been considered the mainstay of treatment for patients with BP for many years (Korman, 1998; Westerhof, 1989). Poor tolerance of high doses of oral CSs in elderly patients with BP has been suspected in many open studies in the literature (Joly et al., 2005; Roujeau et al., 1998; Rzyany et al., 2002) and has been definitely shown in an RCT, which showed that superpotent topical CSs were safer and more effective than 1 mg/kg/day of oral prednisone (Joly et al., 2002). Accordingly, a 1.0 mg/kg/day dose of oral prednisone was rarely proposed by experts (17.5%), even in patients with severe BP. The most frequently proposed starting dose of prednisone was 0.5–0.75 mg/day (Morel and Guillaume, 1984). A starting dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day of prednisone has been recommended in the European Guidelines on BP (Feliciani et al., 2015), despite the lack of evidence showing the efficacy of this medium dose in patients with extensive BP (Daniel et al., 2011; Kirtschig et al., 2010; Singh, 2011). An initial dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day was frequently proposed by experts in patients with mild and moderate BP, which is in accordance with the RCT by Joly et al. (2002), which showed a 91% rate of disease control with this dosage in patients with mild/moderate BP.

Clobetasol propionate cream was used at an initial dose of between 20 and 30 g/day, as was done in three large clinical trials that showed a 74–100% rate of clinical remission in both types of BP (Joly et al., 2009, 2002; Terra et al., 2014).

Table 3. Management of Patients with BP According to Associated Disorders

n (%)	Severe/Debilitating Neurological Condition (Severe Dementia, Stroke, Severe Parkinson's Disease, Bed-Ridden Patients...)			Severe Diabetes Mellitus			Cardiac Insufficiency/ Severe Cardiovascular Associated Condition			Renal Insufficiency (Creatinine Clearance <40 ml/min)			Associated Cancer		
	Severe, 79	Moderate, 71	Mild, 63	Severe, 79	Moderate, 69	Mild, 62	Severe, 77	Moderate, 73	Mild, 66	Severe, 74	Moderate, 72	Mild, 65	Severe, 57	Moderate, 55	Mild, (51)
No change	36 (45.6)	43 (60.6)	54 (85.7)	19 (24.1)	34 (49.3)	48 (77.4)	26 (33.8)	38 (52.1)	49 (74.2)	32 (43.2)	40 (55.6)	53 (81.5)	33 (57.9)	40 (72.7)	47 (92.1)
No or lower dose of corticosteroids	22 (27.8)	16 (22.5)	3 (4.8)	38 (48.1)	24 (34.8)	8 (12.9)	31 (40.2)	20 (27.4)	5 (7.6)	16 (21.6)	10 (13.9)	5 (7.7)	4 (7.0)	2 (3.6)	1 (2.0)
No/some/any immunosuppressant	7 (8.9)	4 (5.6)	1 (1.6)	1 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	7 (9.1)	4 (5.5)	6 (9.1)	17 (23.0)	12 (16.7)	3 (4.6)	13 (22.8)	9 (16.3)	2 (3.9)
Complete change of regimen and use other drugs	14 (17.7)	8 (11.3)	5 (7.9)	21 (26.6)	11 (15.9)	6 (9.7)	13 (16.9)	11 (15.1)	6 (9.1)	9 (12.2)	10 (13.9)	4 (6.2)	7 (12.3)	4 (7.3)	1 (2.0)

Abbreviations: BP, bullous pemphigoid; No., number.

Extensive/severe BP, >30 intact recent blisters; moderate BP, 10–30 intact recent blisters; and mild but nonlocalized BP, <10 new blisters/day or <10 intact recent blisters.

Despite the fact that no RCT could show any benefit for the association of conventional immunosuppressants with CSs over CS alone (Daniel et al., 2011; Kirtschig et al., 2010), immunosuppressants were widely proposed by experts mainly in association with systemic CSs in the treatment of severe BP. In fact, although several open-label studies evaluating methotrexate, MMF, and azathioprine have suggested their efficacy either alone (Greaves et al., 1971; Grundmann-Kollmann et al., 1999; Paul et al., 1994) or in association with systemic or topical CSs (Beissert et al., 2007; Du Thanh et al., 2011; Guillaume et al., 1993), the only RCT that assessed the safety and efficacy of azathioprine in addition to oral CSs showed no benefit and an increased risk of treatment side effects, particularly, severe infections in patients with the combined treatment relative to infections in those who received oral prednisone alone (Guillaume et al., 1993). In our survey, methotrexate was most commonly proposed in association with topical CSs (mainly in European countries), whereas azathioprine and MMF were preferentially associated with oral prednisone (Beissert et al., 2007).

Dapsone was rarely proposed (from 4.7 up to 15.7%) regardless of BP severity. Its efficacy has been suggested in small retrospective case series and a recent RCT (Bouscarat et al., 1996; Gürçan and Ahmed, 2009; Schmidt et al., 2005). Doxycycline was proposed in a minority of patients with mild and moderate types of BP (23.9 and 34.3%, respectively), whereas a recent RCT suggested that a regimen associating doxycycline with topical CSs would be non-inferior to a medium dose of oral prednisolone (Williams et al., 2017).

Interestingly, the way experts treat the different types of BP was quite different between the AmeriPac countries (US, Latin America, and Australia) and Asia on the one hand and between Europe and the Middle East on the other hand. Topical CSs were mainly used by experts from Europe and the Middle East to treat mild BP (around 80%), moderate BP (around 50%), and severe types of BP (54.3%). These findings are in accordance with the European guidelines, which recommended the use of topical CSs as first-line treatment for both mild, moderate, and severe types of BP (Korman, 1998). Conversely, systemic CSs were mainly proposed by AmeriPac and Asian dermatologists, not only in moderate (70 and 77.8%, respectively) and severe (77.8 and 100%, respectively) types of BP but also quite frequently in mild types of BP (47.1 and 33.3%, respectively). This finding might be explained by the different healthcare systems and the very high price of clobetasol propionate cream in the US, Australia, and some Asian countries. Moreover, the absence of dermatology-specific in-patient hospitalization units in the US and Australia makes the application of topical CSs over large body surface areas difficult.

As expected, many experts suggested not using oral CSs or at least reducing the dose of CSs in patients with BP with diabetes mellitus or cardiac insufficiency and avoiding the use of methotrexate in patients with renal insufficiency. Surprisingly, only 22.8% of experts avoided immunosuppressants in patients with BP with associated cancer.

A limitation of our study is the presence of only one expert from Africa and nine Asian experts. This is related to the fact that the 78 experts who participated in this publication were

first identified by their publications in the field of BP, agreed to complete the survey, and participated in the international meeting on autoimmune bullous diseases during which the relevance of questions in the survey was discussed. However, we aimed to only survey renowned international experts who have published in the field of autoimmune bullous diseases. Because there could be more than one answer to a given question in the survey, the number of responses was higher than the number of experts. This could have led to an overrepresentation of the responses of experts who completed several questions.

Overall, this study showed a wide heterogeneity in the treatments used in patients with BP, which appears to be at least as much related to physicians' habits and characteristics of the different healthcare systems as to evidence-based medicine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A preliminary survey was sent by e-mail to a panel of international experts in the field of autoimmune bullous diseases in March 2017. Survey questions were related to the different options used for the initial and the consolidation phases of treatment of patients with BP, depending on severity and patients' associated disorders.

Disease severity was classified as severe (>30 new blisters per day or >30 intact recent blisters), moderate (10–30 new blisters per day or 10–30 intact recent blisters), mild (>10 new blisters per day or >10 intact recent blisters), and localized BP. The different comorbidities considered were the presence of a severe or debilitating neurological condition (severe dementia, stroke, severe Parkinson's disease, bed-ridden patients), severe diabetes, cardiac insufficiency or severe cardiovascular conditions, renal insufficiency, and associated cancer.

The relevance of questions in the survey and the different ways of treating patients with BP were discussed by the International Bullous Diseases Consensus Group during an international meeting on autoimmune bullous diseases in Lübeck (Germany) in June 2017. On the basis of the comments and discussions, the initial survey was modified and sent to a larger number of international experts to ensure the widest possible representation. The final results were discussed by the International Bullous Diseases Consensus Group in March 2018 during the annual meeting of the American Academy of Dermatology in San Diego.

Responses are expressed in numbers and percentages. Because participants were allowed to tick several responses, the percentages were calculated using the number of responses instead of the number of authors. The frequencies were compared using Fisher's exact test. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Data availability statement

No datasets were generated or analyzed during this study.

ORCIDiDs

Marine Guignant: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1891-528X>
 Billal Tedbirt: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7404-010X>
 Dedee F. Murrell: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2971-0199>
 Masayuki Amagai: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3314-7052>
 Valeria Aoki: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4256-4413>
 Johannes Bauer: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5624-2055>
 Giuseppe Ciancinni: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7605-4780>
 Donna Culton: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7692-6446>
 Maryam Daneshpazhooh: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1020-8895>
 Dipankar De: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8682-2598>

Janet Fairley: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8203-0486>
 Russell Hall: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7621-4935>
 Soo-Chan Kim: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2327-4755>
 Neil J. Korman: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9785-3442>
 Cezary Kowalewski: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6608-9066>
 Daniel Mimouni: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7158-2085>
 Aikaterini Patsatsi: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9616-2001>
 Vivien Hebert: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9071-2033>
 Marwah Adly Mohamed Saleh: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9487-8708>
 Enno Schmidt: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1206-8913>
 Eli Sprecher: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4093-1032>
 Soner Uzun: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7059-5474>
 Vanessa Venning: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6880-0678>
 Victoria P. Werth: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3030-5369>
 Detlef Zillikens: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5668-307X>
 Pascal Joly: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5734-0255>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: PJ; Formal Analysis: MG, BT, PJ; Supervision: PJ; Validation: PJ; Visualization: MG, BT; Writing - Original Draft Preparation: MG, BT, PJ; Writing - Review and Editing: MG, BT, DFM, MA, VA, JB, GC, DC, MD, DD, JF, RH, SCK, NJK, CK, DM, AP, VH, MAMS, Esc, Esp, SU, VV, VPW, DZ, PJ

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

DFM is a consultant for Roche, Principia-bio, Lilly, GSK, Novartis, Sanofi, Regeneron, and ArgenX; a coinventor of Bullous Pemphigoid Disease Area Index; and inventor of Autoimmune Bullous Disease Quality of Life and Autoimmune Bullous Disease Quality of Life. MA has received research grants from Maruho, Kose, and JSR. VA conducted a clinical trial for Roche. JB is a consultant for Castle Creek Pharma, KBHB, and TWI. DC is a consultant for Principia Biopharma, Afyx Therapeutics, and Cabaletta Bio. RH is consultant and/or clinical trial investigator for Cabelleta, Argenx, Principia, Regeneron, Akari, and Alexion and an Editor of *JID Innovations*. NJK has served as a consultant, principal investigator, advisory board member, or speaker for AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, Chemocentryx, Dermira, Eli Lilly, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Immune, Janssen, Kyowa Hakko Kirin Pharma, Leo Pharma, Menlo Therapeutics, Novartis, Pfizer, Principia, Prothena, Regeneron, Rhizen, Sun Pharma, Syntimmune, Trevi, UCB, Valeant, and XBiotech. AP is a consultant for Principia Biopharma, Janssen, Leo, Novartis, AbbVie, Lilly, UCB, and Genesis Pharma – Greece. Esc is a consultant for UCB, Incyte, Argenx, Roche, Genentech, AstraZeneca, Admira, Synthon, Imevax, and Thermo Fisher Scientific. In addition, he received honoraria from Novartis, Biotest, and Fresenius. He has research grants with UCB, Incyte, Argenx, Admira, Synthon, and Biotest. Esp is consultant for Solgel, Pierre Fabre, Kamari, BIOMX, and Bayer. SU is a consultant for Roche. VPW is a consultant for Genentech, Janssen, Lilly, Principia, AstraZeneca, Argenx, and Regeneron and received grants from Genentech, Syntimmune, and Regeneron. DZ has obtained support for research and development work, lecturing, and consulting from AbbVie, Argenx, Biotest, Euroimmun, Fresenius, Janssen, and UCB Pharma within the last 3 years and speaker's honoraria/travel support from Biotest, Fresenius, Miltenyi, Roche, Biogen, AbbVie, UCB, Janssen, and Novartis. PJ is a consultant for Roche, Amgen, Principia Biopharma, Argenx, AstraZeneca, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sanofi, Akari, Janssen, Novartis, Servier, Chugai, Kezar Life Science, Regeneron, UCB, Lilly, and AbbVie. The remaining authors state no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

International experts who completed the survey includes Alexandre Marina (Dermatology Department, Avicenne Hospital, Bobigny, France), Akman-Karakaş Ayşe (Department of Dermatology and Venerology, Faculty of Medicine, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey), Amagai Masayuki (Department of Dermatology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, PC160-8582), Aoki Valeria (Department of Dermatology, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil), Bauer Johannes (Division of Molecular Dermatology, Department of Dermatology, Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria), Bedane Christophe (Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Dupuytren, Limoges, France), Bernard Philippe (Department of Dermatology, Reims University Hospital, University of Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France), Caproni Marzia (Section of Dermatology, Department of Surgery and Translational Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy), Caux Frédéric (Department of Dermatology, Avicenne Hospital, University Paris 13, Bobigny, France), Ciancinni Giuseppe (Department of Immunodermatology, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata, IRCCS, Rome, Italy and Laboratory of Molecular and Cell Biology, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata, IRCCS), Culton Donna (Department of Dermatology, University of

North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC), Daneshpazhooh Maryam (Bullous Diseases Research Center, Department of Dermatology, Razi Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran), De Dipankar (Department of Dermatology, Venereology, and Leprology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India), Dmochowski Marian (Cutaneous Histopathology and Immunopathology Section, Department of Dermatology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland), Drenovska Kossara (Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical Faculty, Medical University-Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria), Eming Rüdiger (Department of Dermatology and Allergology, University Hospital, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany), Fairley Janet (Department of Dermatology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA and Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Iowa City, IA), Feldman Ron (Department of Dermatology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA), Feliciani Claudio (Section of Dermatology, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Parma, Parma, Italy), Fivenson David (Department of Dermatology, St Joseph Mercy Health System, Ann Arbor, MI), Goebeler Matthias (Department of Dermatology, Venereology, and Allergology, University Hospital Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany), Grandó A. Sergei (Department of Dermatology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA; Department of Biological Chemistry Cancer Center, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA; and Research Institute, Institute for Immunology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA), Hall Russell (Division of Dermatology, Duke Medical Center, Durham, NC), Hashimoto Takashi (Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan), Hertl Michael (Department of Dermatology, University Hospital, Marburg, Germany), Hofmann Silke (Department of Dermatology, Allergology and Dermatocurgery, Helios Hospital Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany), Ioannides Dimitrios (First Dermatology Department, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece), Jedlickova Hana (First Department of Dermatovenereology, St. Anna Faculty Hospital, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic), Joly Pascal (Clinique Dermatologique, Institut National de la Sante et de la Recherche Medicale, INSERM U905, Rouen University Hospital, University of Rouen, Rouen, France and Dermatology Department, Rouen University Hospital, University of Rouen, Rouen, France), Jonkman Marcel (University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands), Kim Soo-Chan (Department of Dermatology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Seoul, Korea), Korman Neil (Department of Dermatology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH), Kowalewski Cezary (Department of Dermatology and Immunodermatology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland), Lehman Julia (Department of Dermatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN), Lim Yen Loo (Department of Dermatology, National Skin Centre, Singapore, Singapore), Marinovic Branka (Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Zagreb University Hospital Center and School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia), Marzano Angelo (Unità Operativa di Dermatologia, IRCCS Fondazione Cà Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Dipartimento di Fisiopatologia Medico-Chirurgica e dei Trapianti, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy), Mascaro Jose Manuel Jr (Department of Dermatology, Hospital Clínic, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain), Meng-Sui Lee (Department of Dermatology, Taipei City Hospital, Taiwan), Mimouni Daniel (Department of Dermatology, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petah Tikva, Israel and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel), Murrell Dedee (Department of Dermatology at St George Hospital, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia), Musette Philippe (Department of Dermatology, Rouen University Hospital, University of Rouen), Parajuli Niraj (Department of Dermatology and Venereology, National Academy of Medical Sciences, Bir Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal), Patsatsi Aikaterini (Department of Dermatology, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki "Papageorgiou" General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece), Payne Aimee (Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA), Prost Catherine (Department of Dermatology and Department of Histology, Reference Center for Autoimmune Bullous Diseases, Avicenne Hospital, University Paris 13), Rubenstein David (Department of Dermatology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill), Saleh Marwah Adly (Department of Dermatology, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt), Sárdy Miklós (Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Ludwig-Maximilian University, Munich, Germany), Schmidt Enno (Department of Dermatology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany), Seta Vannina (Department of Dermatology, Avicenne Hospital), Setterfield Jane (St John's Institute of Dermatology [GKT], St Thomas' Hospital, London, United Kingdom), Sprecher Eli (Department of Dermatology, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel), Uzun Soner (Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Faculty of Medicine, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey), Van Beek Nina (Department of Dermatology, University of Lübeck), Vassileva Snezhina (Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical Faculty, Medical University-Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria), Venning Vanessa (Department of

Dermatology, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom), Werth Victoria (Corporal Michael J. Crescenz Veterans Affairs Medical Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA and Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania), Wozniak Katarzyna (Department of Dermatology and Immunodermatology, Medical University of Warsaw), Yayli Savas (Department of Dermatology, School of Medicine, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey), Zillikens Detlef (Department of Dermatology, University of Lübeck), and Zone John (Department of Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT).

REFERENCES

- Beissert S, Werfel T, Frieling U, Böhm M, Sticherling M, Stadler R, et al. A comparison of oral methylprednisolone plus azathioprine or mycophenolate mofetil for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid. *Arch Dermatol* 2007;143:1536–42.
- Bernard P, Vaillant L, Labeille B, Bedane C, Arbeille B, Denoex JP, et al. Incidence and distribution of subepidermal autoimmune bullous skin diseases in three French regions. *Bullous Diseases French Study Group. Arch Dermatol* 1995;131:48–52.
- Bouscarat F, Chosidow O, Picard-Dahan C, Sakiz V, Crickx B, Prost C, et al. Treatment of bullous pemphigoid with dapsone: retrospective study of thirty-six cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1996;34:683–4.
- Cortés B, Marazza G, Naldi L, Combescure C, Borradori L. Autoimmune Bullous Disease Swiss Study Group. Mortality of bullous pemphigoid in Switzerland: a prospective study. *Br J Dermatol* 2011;165:368–74.
- Cozzani E, Marzano AV, Caproni M, Feliciani C, Calzavara-Pinton P. Cutaneous Immunology group of SIDeMaST. Bullous pemphigoid: Italian guidelines adapted from the EDF/EADV guidelines. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol* 2018;153:305–15.
- Daniel BS, Borradori L, Hall RP, Murrell DF. Evidence-based management of bullous pemphigoid. *Dermatol Clin* 2011;29:613–20.
- Du-Thanh A, Merlet S, Maillard H, Bernard P, Joly P, Estève E, et al. Combined treatment with low-dose methotrexate and initial short-term superpotent topical steroids in bullous pemphigoid: an open, multicentre, retrospective study. *Br J Dermatol* 2011;165:1337–43.
- Feliciani C, Joly P, Jonkman MF, Zambruno G, Zillikens D, Ioannides D, et al. Management of bullous pemphigoid: the European Dermatology Forum consensus in collaboration with the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. *Br J Dermatol* 2015;172:867–77.
- Fine JD. Management of acquired bullous skin diseases. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1475–84.
- Greaves MW, Burton JL, Marks J, Dawber RP. Azathioprine in treatment of bullous pemphigoid. *Br Med J* 1971;1:144–5.
- Grundmann-Kollmann M, Korting HC, Behrens S, Kaskel P, Leiter U, Krähn G, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil: a new therapeutic option in the treatment of blistering autoimmune diseases. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1999;40:957–60.
- Guillaume JC, Vaillant L, Bernard P, Picard C, Prost C, Labeille B, et al. Controlled trial of azathioprine and plasma exchange in addition to prednisolone in the treatment of bullous pemphigoid. *Arch Dermatol* 1993;129:49–53.
- Gürçan HM, Ahmed AR. Efficacy of dapsone in the treatment of pemphigus and pemphigoid: analysis of current data. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2009;10:383–96.
- Joly P, Baricault S, Sparsa A, Bernard P, Bédane C, Duvert-Lehembre S, et al. Incidence and mortality of bullous pemphigoid in France. *J Invest Dermatol* 2012;132:1998–2004.
- Joly P, Benichou J, Lok C, Hellot MF, Saiag P, Tancrede-Bohin E, et al. Prediction of survival for patients with bullous pemphigoid: a prospective study. *Arch Dermatol* 2005;141:691–8.
- Joly P, Roujeau JC, Benichou J, Delaporte E, D'Incan M, Dreno B, et al. A comparison of two regimens of topical corticosteroids in the treatment of patients with bullous pemphigoid: a multicenter randomized study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009;129:1681–7.
- Joly P, Roujeau J-C, Benichou J, Picard C, Dreno B, Delaporte E, et al. A comparison of oral and topical corticosteroids in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:321–7.
- Jung M, Kippesb W, Messer G, Zillikens D, Rzany B. Increased risk of bullous pemphigoid in male and very old patients: a population-based study on incidence. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1999;41:266–8.

- Kirtschig G, Middleton P, Bennett C, Murrell DF, Wojnarowska F, Khumalo NP. Interventions for bullous pemphigoid. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;10:CD002292.
- Korman NJ. Bullous pemphigoid. The latest in diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy. *Arch Dermatol* 1998;134:1137–41.
- Langan SM, Smeeth L, Hubbard R, Fleming KM, Smith CJP, West J. Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris—incidence and mortality in the UK: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2008;337:a180.
- Micallef D, Harman KE. Doxycycline in the management of bullous pemphigoid: real-world data from a specialist centre. *Br J Dermatol* 2021;185:8–9.
- Morel P, Guillaume JC. Treatment of bullous pemphigoid with prednisolone only: 0.75 mg/kg/day versus 1.25 mg/kg/day. A multicenter randomized study. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 1984;111:925–8.
- Paul MA, Jorizzo JL, Fleischer AB, White WL. Low-dose methotrexate treatment in elderly patients with bullous pemphigoid. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1994;31:620–5.
- Roujeau JC, Lok C, Bastuji-Garin S, Mhalla S, Enginger V, Bernard P. High risk of death in elderly patients with extensive bullous pemphigoid. *Arch Dermatol* 1998;134:465–9.
- Rzany B, Partsch K, Jung M, Kippes W, Mecking D, Baima B, et al. Risk factors for lethal outcome in patients with bullous pemphigoid: low serum albumin level, high dosage of glucocorticosteroids, and old age. *Arch Dermatol* 2002;138:903–8.
- Schmidt E, Kraensel R, Goebeler M, Sinkgraven R, Bröcker EB, Rzany B, et al. Treatment of bullous pemphigoid with dapsone, methylprednisolone, and topical clobetasol propionate: a retrospective study of 62 cases. *Cutis* 2005;76:205–9.
- Singh S. Evidence-based treatments for pemphigus vulgaris, pemphigus foliaceus, and bullous pemphigoid: a systematic review. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol* 2011;77:456–69.
- Sobocinski V, Duvert-Lehembre S, Bubenheim M, Lesage C, Bernard P, Bénichou J, et al. Assessment of adherence to topical corticosteroids in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *Br J Dermatol* 2016;174:919–21.
- Sticherling M, Franke A, Aberer E, Gläser R, Hertl M, Pfeiffer C, et al. An open, multicentre, randomized clinical study in patients with bullous pemphigoid comparing methylprednisolone and azathioprine with methylprednisolone and dapsone. *Br J Dermatol* 2017;177:1299–305.
- Terra JB, Potze WJB, Jonkman MF. Whole body application of a potent topical corticosteroid for bullous pemphigoid. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2014;28:712–8.
- Ujiie H, Iwata H, Yamagami J, Nakama T, Aoyama Y, Ikeda S, et al. Japanese guidelines for the management of pemphigoid (including epidermolysis bullosa acquisita). *J Dermatol* 2019;46:1102–35.
- Westerhof W. Treatment of bullous pemphigoid with topical clobetasol propionate. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1989;20:458–61.
- Williams HC, Wojnarowska F, Kirtschig G, Mason J, Godec TR, Schmidt E, et al. Doxycycline versus prednisolone as an initial treatment strategy for bullous pemphigoid: a pragmatic, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial [published correction appears in *Lancet* 2017;390:1948] *Lancet* 2017;389:1630–8.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>