1 Supporting Information

Fig S1. Bar plots of bacterial composition in the intestinal samples. Samples were
grouped by HIV-negative (n=44) and positive (n=18). (A) at the phylum level. (B) at
the genus level.

5 Figure S2. Predictive functional analysis of colon wash samples. (A) BugBase 6 predicted the relative abundance of biofilm-forming bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-7 negative (n=12) and positive (n=5), p-value = 0.16. (B) BugBase predicted relative 8 abundance of potentially pathogenic bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=12) and positive (n=5), p-value = 0.06. (C) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-9 10 positive bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=12) and positive (n=5), p-value 11 = 0.02. (D) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-negative bacteria. Samples 12 grouped by HIV-negative (n=12) and positive (n=5), p-value = 0.02. (E) The KEGG 13 pathway of gut microbiota was predicted using PICRUSt (Phylogenetic Investigation of 14 Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States). Data are presented in a bar plot 15 with 95% confidence intervals and p-values between gut samples from HIV-positive 16 and negative patients.

17 **Figure S3. Predictive functional analysis of colon brush samples.** (A) BugBase

18 predicted the relative abundance of biofilm-forming bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-

- 19 negative (n=11) and positive (n=5), p-value = 0.11. (B) BugBase predicted relative
- 20 abundance of potentially pathogenic bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=11)
- 21 and positive (n=5), p-value = 0.008. (C) BugBase predicted relative abundance of
- 22 Gram-positive bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=11) and positive (n=5),
- 23 p-value = 0.44. (D) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-negative bacteria.
- Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=11) and positive (n=5), p-value = 0.44. (E) The
- 25 KEGG pathway of gut microbiota was predicted using PICRUSt (Phylogenetic
- 26 Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States). Data are
- 27 presented in a bar plot with 95% confidence intervals and p-values between gut samples
- 28 from HIV-positive and negative patients.

Figure S4. Predictive functional analysis of TI wash samples. (A) BugBase predicted the relative abundance of biofilm-forming bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative

31 (n=10) and positive (n=4), p-value = 0.14. (B) BugBase predicted relative abundance of 32 potentially pathogenic bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=10) and positive 33 (n=4), p-value = 0.04. (C) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-positive 34 bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=10) and positive (n=4), p-value = 0.04. 35 (D) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-negative bacteria. Samples grouped 36 by HIV-negative (n=10) and positive (n=4), p-value = 0.04. (E) The KEGG pathway of 37 gut microbiota was predicted using PICRUSt (Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States). Data are presented in a bar plot 38 39 with 95% confidence intervals and p-values between gut samples from HIV-positive 40 and negative patients.

41 **Figure S5. Predictive functional analysis of TI brush samples.** (A) BugBase

42 predicted the relative abundance of biofilm-forming bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-43 negative (n=11) and positive (n=4), p-value = 0.75. (B) BugBase predicted relative 44 abundance of potentially pathogenic bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=11) 45 and positive (n=4), p-value = 0.22. (C) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-46 positive bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=11) and positive (n=4), p-value 47 = 0.41. (D) BugBase predicted relative abundance of Gram-negative bacteria. Samples grouped by HIV-negative (n=11) and positive (n=4), p-value = 0.41. (E) The KEGG 48 49 pathway of gut microbiota was predicted using PICRUSt (Phylogenetic Investigation of 50 Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States). Data are presented in a bar plot 51 with 95% confidence intervals and p-values between gut samples from HIV-positive 52 and negative patients.

53

Figure S6. Bar plots of bacterial composition in the saliva samples. Samples were
grouped by HIV-negative (n=12) and positive (n=5). (A) at the phylum level. (B) at the
genus level.

57

58 Figure S7. Diversity analysis of the HIV-negative samples by sampling site. (A)

59 Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-

60 diversity). Samples grouped by TI wash (n=10), TI brush (n=11), colon wash (n=12),

61 colon brush (n=11), and saliva (n=12). False discovery rate corrected q-value < 0.001

62	between saliva and every other group. q -value = 0.001 between TI brush and other
63	intestinal samples (TI wash, colon wash, colon brush). q-value > 0.2 between colon,
64	colon brush, and TI wash. (B) Faith's Phylogenic Diversity (metrics of α-diversity) at
65	sequencing depth 80,000. Samples grouped by TI wash (n=10), TI brush (n=11), colon
66	wash (n=12), colon brush (n=11), and saliva (n=12).
67	
68	Figure S8. Diversity analysis of the HIV-positive samples sampling site. (A).
69	Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β -
70	diversity). Samples grouped by TI wash (n=4), TI brush (n=4), colon wash (n=5), colon
71	brush (n=5), and saliva (n=5). False discovery rate corrected q-value < 0.001 between
72	saliva and every other group. q-value > 0.05 between colon, colon brush, TI brush, and
73	TI wash samples. (B). Faith's Phylogenic Diversity (metrics of α -diversity) at
74	sequencing depth 80,000. Samples grouped by TI wash (n=4), TI brush (n=4), colon
75	wash (n=5), colon brush (n=5), and saliva (n=5). There was no significance between
76	any groups (q>0.05).
77	
78	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal
78 79	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β -
78 79 80	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β -diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05).
78 79 80 81	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β -diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05).
78 79 80 81 82	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients . Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05). Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.
78 79 80 81 82 83	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients . Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05). Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.
 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 	 Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05). Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.
78 79 80 81 82 83 84	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β- diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05).Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline.
 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 	 Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05). Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics. Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline.
78 79 80 81 82 83 83 84 85 86	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β- diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05).Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline.Table S3. Sequencing count of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in all sample
 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β- diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05).Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline.Table S3. Sequencing count of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in all sample types.
 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 	 Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05). Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics. Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline. Table S3. Sequencing count of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in all sample types.
 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 	 Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β-diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05). Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics. Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline. Table S3. Sequencing count of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in all sample types.
 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 	Figure S9. Diversity analysis of all subjects by individual patients. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plot of weighted UniFrac distances (metrics of β- diversity). There was no significance between any groups (q>0.05).Table S1. Patients' clinical characteristics.Table S2. Sequencing count of samples through each step in the DADA2 pipeline.Table S3. Sequencing count of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in all sample types.Table S4. Predicted pathway by PICRUSt.

3



(B)















(D) Gram-negative bacteria p=0.44













```
Gram-negative bacteria p=0.04
(D)
```



95% confidence intervals

Difference in mean proportions (%)



Mean proportion (%)

Potentially pathogenic bacteria p=0.004 **(B)**



Difference in mean proportions (%)

Figure S5

0.0 Mean proportion (%)



(B)







Figure S7



(B)

(A)





Patient 23

Patient 24

Patient 25