

DOI: 10.7759/cureus.1173

Multivariable Analysis of Factors Affecting Length of Stay and Hospital Charges After Atlantoaxial Fusion

Jian Guan ¹, Michael Karsy ², Meic Schmidt ³, Andrew T. Dailey ¹, Erica Bisson ¹

1. Department of Neurosurgery, University of Utah 2. Department of Neurosurgery, Clinical Neurosciences Center, University of Utah 3. Neurosurgery, University of Utah School of Medicine

☑ **Corresponding author:** Erica Bisson, erica.bisson@hsc.utah.edu
Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

Abstract

Background

Atlantoaxial fusion is an effective procedure for treating degenerative, traumatic, and congenital abnormalities that result in upper cervical instability; however, data on which factors affect the length of stay and hospitalization-related charges are limited. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the pre-, intra-, and postoperative variables that affect these healthcare cost factors for patients undergoing posterior atlantoaxial fusion.

Methods

We retrospectively identified from a clinical database 59 patients who underwent isolated posterior atlantoaxial fusion at a single institution from 2010 to 2015. Demographic, clinical, and surgical variables from a clinical database were analyzed with respect to the length of hospital stay and hospital charges. T-test and Chi-square testing, as well as univariate and multivariable analysis, were performed with p<0.05 considered significant.

Results

On multivariable analysis, a variety of preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative variables were associated with prolonged hospitalization and higher hospital charges, including tobacco use, preoperative diagnosis, operating room time, and the need for intraoperative blood transfusion.

Conclusions

Varied preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative factors are associated with increased length of hospitalization and higher hospital charges after atlantoaxial fusion. Familiarity with these factors may allow for improved surgical planning and patient counseling.

Received 03/20/2017 Review began 04/12/2017 Review ended 04/13/2017 Published 04/18/2017

© Copyright 2017

Guan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Categories: Neurosurgery

Keywords: atlantoaxial fusion, multivariable analysis, length of stay, hospital charges, comorbidities

Introduction

Because of its complex anatomy, the atlantoaxial region is uniquely vulnerable to certain degenerative, traumatic, and neoplastic pathological conditions [1]. Posterior cervical fusion is

How to cite this article

Guan J, Karsy M, Schmidt M, et al. (April 18, 2017) Multivariable Analysis of Factors Affecting Length of Stay and Hospital Charges After Atlantoaxial Fusion. Cureus 9(4): e1173. DOI 10.7759/cureus.1173

one of the multiple surgical options that have allowed for a significant reduction in the need for external bracing using "halo" systems [2]. Although fusion rates after posterior cervical fusion range from 93% to 99% [3] and rates of complications are low (2%–4%) [4], there is a lack of information regarding what factors influence the length of hospitalization itself [5]. An understanding of such influences is becoming increasingly important as surgical care becomes more outcomes focused [6], and measures, such as length of stay (LoS), are associated with the incidence of more serious complications, such as postoperative infection [7] and thrombotic events [8]. In addition, LoS is thought to be a major driver of health care costs, a growing cause of concern among healthcare providers and policy makers alike [9]. As value-based care gains more traction, careful attention to hospital charges and what can be done to minimize them, especially those associated with expensive surgical interventions, becomes increasingly valuable [10]. In this study, we examined various pre-, intra-, and postoperative factors that may be associated with LoS and hospital charges following posterior atlantoaxial fusion.

Materials And Methods

Study population

After obtaining approval from the University of Utah Institutional Review Board with a waiver of informed consent, we queried a clinical database to obtain information about patients 18 years and older who underwent C1-C2 posterior spinal fusion between May 1, 2010, and April 30, 2015. This information was then confirmed and supplemented by individual chart review. Patients were excluded if other procedures (subaxial fusion, laminectomy, etc.) were performed during the same procedure or hospitalization. All surgical procedures were performed by one of five experienced spine surgeons.

Data collection

Demographic, intraoperative, and postoperative information were collected on all patients. Demographic data included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status Classification System Grade, active tobacco use, active alcohol use, preoperative opioid use, employment status, marriage status, and insurance type. Relevant medical history including the presence of rheumatoid arthritis, Type 2 diabetes, hypertension, pulmonary comorbidities (i.e., asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary embolism, bronchitis), cardiac comorbidities (i.e., atrial fibrillation, heart murmur, arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, mitral valve prolapse), previous cervical spine surgeries, and the presence of polytrauma was also obtained. Preoperative diagnoses were recorded as trauma (e.g., Jefferson fractures, odontoid fractures), instability (due to rheumatoid arthritis or congenital causes), or degenerative disease (e.g., symptomatic facet arthropathy).

Intraoperative variables included surgical time, estimated blood loss, intraoperative blood transfusion, intraoperative crystalloid and colloid infusion volumes, intraoperative complications, and axial instrumentation type. Postoperative variables consisted of the need for readmission within 30 days, postoperative complications, and discharge destination. Discharge destinations included home, skilled nursing facility, acute rehabilitation facility, and psychiatric facility, which were subsequently dichotomized to those discharged to home and to other destinations. Finally, charge data for each hospitalization was obtained.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables in all cases were analyzed utilizing Student's t-test, while categorical variables were analyzed utilizing Chi-squared analysis. We performed both univariate and multivariable analysis for LoS and hospital charges. For univariate analysis of LoS, we dichotomized hospitalization length into "extended" (> 4 days) and "normal" (< 4 days) based

on hospitalizations longer than the 75th percentile in keeping with previous studies [11]. For multivariable analysis, LoS was examined as a continuous variable. We utilized a stepwise regression analysis to construct our model, with a threshold p < 0.20 for inclusion and a p < 0.05 being defined as statistically significant in our final model. For univariate analysis of hospital charges, we dichotomized charges into "high" (> \$62,200) and "normal" (\leq \$62,200) based on charges greater than the 75th percentile. For multivariable analysis, hospital charges were examined as a continuous variable. We utilized a stepwise regression analysis to construct our model, with a threshold p < 0.20 for inclusion and a p < 0.05 being defined as statistically significant in our final model.

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS V20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines were used during the preparation of this work [12].

Results

Length of stay

Among the 59 patients who met our inclusion and exclusion criteria, mean LoS was 4.2 days (95% confidence interval of 2.94 to 5.5 days). For our univariate analysis, 13 patients met the criterion for "extended" LoS with an average LoS of 9.2 days and 46 met the criterion for "normal" LoS with an average LoS of 2.8 days. Demographic factors were largely similar between the normal and extended groups (Table 1), including age, BMI, sex, marital status, insurance status, and employment status. ASA grade was significantly higher in the extended stay group (p = 0.026); patients in the normal LoS group were less likely to be on opioids preoperatively (15% vs 48%, p = 0.036), although other comorbidities were not statistically different between normal and extended LoS patients. There was no significant difference between the two groups in preoperative surgical diagnosis. The rate of polytrauma did not differ between the groups (13% extended LoS vs. 6% normal LoS, p = 0.602).

		Extended (N=13)	Normal (N=46)	p value*
No. of female patients (%)		6 (46)	25 (54)	0.601
Mean age in years ± SD		63 ± 19.1	59 ± 18.5	0.446
BMI ± SD		27 ± 9.4	27 ± 6.0	0.851
Married (%)		9 (69)	29 (63)	0.681
Private insurance (%)		4 (31)	20 (44)	0.410
Employment status (%)	Unemployed	3 (23)	15 (33)	
	Employed	3 (23)	12 (26)	0.768
	Retired	7 (54)	18 (39)	0.700
	Student	0 (0)	1 (2)	
ASA category (%)	Low (1-2)	4 (31)	30 (65)	0.026
	High (3-5)	9 (69)	16 (35)	
Tobacco use (%)		2 (15)	3 (7)	0.311

Alcohol use (%)		7 (54)	16 (35)	0.213
Preoperative opioid use (%)		2 (15)	22 (48)	0.036
Diagnostic category (%)	Trauma	8 (62)	16 (35)	0.216
	Degenerative	2 (15)	14 (23)	
	Instability	3 (23)	16 (35)	
Rheumatoid arthritis (%)		0 (0)	9 (20)	0.083
Type 2 diabetes (%)		5 (39)	8 (17)	0.106
Hypertension (%)		3 (23)	11 (24)	0.950
Pulmonary comorbidity (%)		4 (31)	13 (28)	0.860
Cardiac comorbidity (%)		4 (31)	13 (28)	0.860
Previous cervical spine surger	y (%)	2 (15)	10 (22)	0.615
Polytrauma (%)		1 (13)	1 (6)	0.602
Estimated blood loss (ml) ± SD		263.9 ± 182.8	243.6 ± 266.0	0.798
Intraoperative crystalloid volume (ml) ± SD		1931 ± 773.9	2415 ± 943.0	0.096
Intraoperative colloid volume (ml) ± SD		38.5 ± 138.7	76.1 ± 234.9	0.585
Room time (minutes) ± SD		280.1 ± 82.6	328.5 ± 108.9	0.143
Need for intraoperative blood	transfusion (%)	1 (8)	1 (2)	0.332
Intraoperative complications (%)	3 (23)	0 (0)	0.001
	Laminar	0 (0)	7 (15)	0.056
	Pedicle	2 (15)	3 (7)	
Axial screw type	Pars	10 (77)	19 (41)	
	Transarticular	1 (8)	4 (9)	
	Combination	0 (0)	13 (28)	
Postoperative complications (%)		3 (23)	2 (4)	0.032
30-day readmission (%)		1 (8)	1 (2)	0.332
Hospital charges ± SD		\$97,867.23 ± 87,665.70	\$49,825.51 ± 18.795.21	0.001
Discharge destination	Home	6 (46)	37 (80)	0.014
2.30ma go dodination	Other	7 (54)	9 (20)	

TABLE 1: Univariate Analysis for Extended Versus Normal Length of Stay Groups

SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists

*P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Intraoperatively, patients in the extended stay group were more likely to have experienced complications (23% vs. 0%, p = 0.001). A total of three intraoperative complications were noted —two dural tears and one vertebral artery injury—resulting in an overall intraoperative complication rate of 5.1%. Other intraoperative factors, including estimated blood loss, volume of crystalloid or colloid infused, operative room time, need for blood transfusion, and axial screw type, were not significantly different between the two groups, although there was a trend towards laminar screws in the normal LoS group and pars screws in the extended LoS group (p = 0.056).

Postoperatively, patients in the normal LoS group were significantly more likely to be discharged home than those in the extended LoS group (p = 0.001). There were five postoperative complications—one wound infection requiring washout, one patient with anemia requiring blood transfusion, one patient who developed supraventricular tachycardia, one case of intravenous infiltration requiring operative debridement, and one aspiration event requiring reintubation—resulting in an overall rate of 8.5%. Patients in the extended LoS group were significantly more likely to suffer from postoperative complications than the normal LoS group (23% vs 4%, p = 0.032).

Utilizing stepwise regression to identify predictive factors for our multivariable model, we found polytrauma, discharge to a location other than home, tobacco use, and the need for intraoperative blood transfusion were associated with a significantly longer length of stay, while higher intraoperative crystalloid volumes and the presence of pulmonary comorbidities were associated with a significantly shorter length of stay (Table 2).

Variable	β coefficient	t statistic	p value*
Discharge destination	3.807	4.036	< 0.001
Polytrauma	13.052	5.500	< 0.001
Tobacco use	6.160	4.002	< 0.001
Crystalloid volume	-0.001	-2.290	0.026
Intraoperative blood transfusion	5.946	2.624	0.011
Pulmonary comorbidity	-2.040	-2.170	0.035

TABLE 2: Multivariable Analysis of Factors Influencing Length of Stay

*P value < 0.05 was considered significant

Average charges for the extended LoS group were significantly higher than that for the normal LoS group (\$109,878.15, 95% CI \pm \$46,235.78 vs. \$49,825.51, 95% CI \pm \$2,505.94, p < 0.001). Hospital charge data were not included in our multivariable analysis of LoS as we believe it unlikely that charges, tallied after discharge, could have any causal effect with prolonged hospitalization.

Hospital charges

The mean hospital charge for our patient cohort was \$60,410.98 (95% confidence interval of \$48,002 to \$72,820). For our univariate analysis, 14 patients met the criterion for "high" hospital charges with an average value of \$109,878.15, and 45 met the criterion for "normal" hospital charges with an average value of \$45,021.19. Demographic factors were largely similar between the high and normal hospital charge groups (Table 3), although BMI was significantly lower in the high-charge group (23.5 ± 4.2 vs. 27.8 ± 7.1 , p = 0.035). The rates of most major comorbidities were similar in the high- and normal-charge groups, but the high-charge group had a higher rate of tobacco use (21% vs 4%, p = 0.046) and cardiac comorbidities (57% vs 20%, p = 0.007). Patients in the high-charge group were also much more likely to have surgery for a traumatic cause rather than instability or degenerative disease (86% vs 27%).

		High (N = 14)	Normal (N = 45)	p value*
No. of female patients (%)		6 (43)	25 (56)	0.406
Mean age in years ± SD		56 ± 23.9	61 ± 16.7	0.395
BMI ± SD		23 ± 4.2	28 ± 7.1	0.035
Married (%)		7 (50)	32 (71)	0.145
Private insurance (%)		5 (36)	19 (42)	0.665
	Unemployed	5 (36)	13 (29)	
Employment status (%)	Employed	3 (21)	12 (27)	0.298
Employment status (70)	Retired	5 (36)	20 (44)	0.290
	Student	1 (7)	0 (0)	
Asa category (%)	Low (1-2)	5 (36)	29 (64)	0.058
	High (3-5)	9 (64)	16 (36)	
Tobacco use (%)		3 (21)	2 (4)	0.046
Alcohol use (%)		3 (21)	20 (44)	0.123
Preoperative opioid use (%)		5 (36)	19 (42)	0.665
	Trauma	12 (86)	12 (27)	< 0.001
Diagnostic category (%)	Degenerative	1 (7)	15 (33)	
	Instability	1 (7)	18 (40)	
Rheumatoid arthritis (%)		0 (0)	9 (20)	0.069
Type 2 diabetes (%)		4 (29)	9 (20)	0.499
Hypertension (%)		4 (29)	10 (22)	0.626
Pulmonary comorbidity (%)		6 (43)	11 (24)	0.184
Cardiac comorbidity (%)		8 (57)	9 (20)	0.007

Previous cervical spine surgery (%)		4 (29)	8 (18)	0.381
Polytrauma (%)		1 (7)	1 (2)	0.374
Estimated blood loss (mL) ± SD		335.6 ± 138.3	312.3 ± 93.5	0.472
Intraoperative crystalloid volume (mL)	± SD	2592.9 ± 1105.6	2220 ± 855.6	0.190
Intraoperative colloid volume (mL) ± S	D	107.1 ± 289.5	55.6 ± 191.4	0.442
Room time (minutes) ± SD		312.3 ± 93.5	335.6 ± 138.3	0.472
Need for intraoperative blood transfus	sion (%)	2 (14)	0 (0)	0.010
Intraoperative complications (%)		1 (7)	2 (4)	0.688
	Laminar	1 (7)	6 (13)	0.257
	Pedicle	0 (0)	5 (11)	
Axial screw type	Pars	10 (71)	19 (42)	
	Transarticular	0 (0)	5 (11)	
	Combination	3 (21)	10 (22)	
Postoperative complications (%)		3 (21)	2 (4)	0.046
30-day readmission (%)		1 (7)	1 (2)	0.374
Discharge destination	Home	6 (43)	37 (82)	0.004
Discharge destination	Other	8 (57)	8 (18)	0.004
Longth of stoy	Normal	7 (50)	39 (87)	0.004
Length of stay	Extended	7 (50)	6 (13)	

TABLE 3: Univariate Analysis for High Versus Normal Hospital Charge Groups

SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists

Intraoperatively, patients in the high-charge group were more likely to have had a blood transfusion (14% vs 0%, p = 0.01). Other intraoperative factors were similar between the two groups. Postoperatively, patients in the high-charge group were significantly more likely to be discharged to a location other than home (p = 0.004) and to experience postoperative complications (p = 0.046). Utilizing the same dichotomization scheme as described above, patients in the high-charge group were also significantly more likely to fall into the extended LoS category (p = 0.004)

Utilizing stepwise regression to identify predictive factors for our multivariable model, we found prolonged LoS, a preoperative diagnosis of trauma, longer operative time, and postoperative complications were associated with a significantly higher hospital charges, while

^{*}P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

patients who required readmission within 30 days had significantly lower initial hospital charges (Table 4).

Variable	β coefficient	t statistic	p value*
Length of stay	8647.35	15.935	< 0.001
Diagnostic category	6678.21	2.154	0.036
Operative time	77.50	3.105	0.003
30-day readmission	-63962.10	-3.515	0.001
Postoperative complication	31934.18	2.705	0.009

TABLE 4: Multivariable Analysis of Factors Influencing Hospital Charges

*P value < 0.05 was considered significant

Discussion

The length of stay is a critical care measure after spine surgery for several reasons, ranging from its association with postoperative complications to increases in costs. Patients frequently ask how long they will be hospitalized after their operation, but this question is often difficult to answer, and evidence suggests that different factors play a role in determining the length of stay depending on what surgery is performed [5, 13]. From an economic point of view, patients in our extended stay group accrued an average of nearly \$50,000 more in hospital charges compared with those in the normal LoS group. With renewed focus on value in healthcare, determination of what factors may influence LoS becomes critical. For similar reasons, hospital charge drivers will likely become a steadily increasing area of interest in the next several years, with high-cost surgical interventions being the most heavily scrutinized.

In our study, preoperative factors associated with longer LoS on multivariable analysis included tobacco use and the presence of polytrauma. Tobacco use is associated with a wide array of surgical morbidities and mortality [14-16], including increased rates of intubation, infection, and myocardial infarction [17]. All of these complications frequently result in the need for extended hospitalization, and therefore, this relationship is unsurprising. This association, combined with evidence that preoperative [16] and even postoperative [18] smoking cessation can have benefits in patients undergoing spinal procedures, should prompt aggressive patient education on the hazards of tobacco use in relation to surgery. Patients experiencing polytrauma often require hospitalization for a variety of reasons and may undergo multiple surgical interventions. The extent of injury in these patients [19] combined with frequently higher rehabilitation needs [20] likely combine to necessitate longer hospitalizations.

Interestingly, the only preoperative variable associated with a shorter length of stay was the presence of a pulmonary comorbidity. Although preoperative pulmonary dysfunction is frequently a concern in patients, it is possible that, because of its distance from the diaphragm, cervical procedures such as atlantoaxial fusion may be uniquely less susceptible to the impact of such comorbidities [21]. This fact, combined with a higher degree of vigilance in these patients in relation to postoperative mobilization and incentive spirometry use, may combine to result in a paradoxical reduction in pulmonary-related complications and thus decreased

LoS.

Intraoperatively, the need for blood transfusion and lower crystalloid infusion volumes were associated with longer LoS. Evidence suggests that under-resuscitation may lead to higher rates of complications following surgical intervention [22-23]. It is possible that patients who lose enough blood intraoperatively to require transfusion and those who receive less crystalloid are at higher risk for falling into this "under-resuscitated" category. This finding suggests that surgeons and anesthesiologists should be vigilant during surgery of the possibility of under-resuscitation and correct any evidence of significant hypovolemia or anemia aggressively.

Postoperatively, inability to discharge home was associated with a significantly longer length of hospitalization. This is in keeping with previous reports of factors that are associated with longer LoS [5]. There are several possible explanations for this relationship. One is that patients who are unable to be discharged home are simply more ill and therefore require a longer time to recover following surgery. Another is that the time it takes to arrange discharge to a facility or rehabilitation unit may itself contribute to prolonged hospitalization. Regardless, careful disposition planning in these patients preoperatively—including discussions with the patient and the patient's caregivers—is likely prudent. Postoperative complications were also associated with increased length of stay. While this could be explained simply by the need for hospitalization to manage complications that arise (the aspiration event requiring reintubation), it is also possible that a longer hospital stay may itself lead to complications. For instance, had the patient with intravenous infiltration been discharged earlier and the intravenous line removed, this incident would have been avoided. This further highlights the benefits of timely discharge to avoid possible events related to the hospitalization itself.

In relation to charges, patients with a preoperative diagnosis of trauma were more likely to incur higher charges during their hospital stay. This is possibly related to the higher likelihood of an unplanned/ emergent surgery in these patients and the inherent costs therein [24]. Patients with cardiac comorbidities and those who were active tobacco users were also more likely to fall into the higher charge group. One possible explanation for this is an increased severity of illness allowing for a higher complication/comorbidity tier to be billed for the hospitalization. Unfortunately, our charge data are not granular enough to definitively show such differences, although this would be an interesting avenue for further study. Intraoperatively, longer operative times were associated with higher hospital charges, likely related to the direct costs of time in the operating room itself.

Postoperatively, LoS and postoperative complications were both associated with increased hospital charges. Charges for room and board, nursing, medications, and other fees are the likeliest explanation of the relationship between LoS and hospital charges. The higher charges associated with postoperative complications likely result from the resources needed to address these complications—return to the operating room, subspecialist consultations, etc. Surprisingly, patients who required readmission within 30 days had significantly lower hospital charges compared with those who did not. One possible explanation for this is that these patients returned home earlier, thus incurring lower charges, but were subsequently readmitted because of issues related to such rapid discharge.

Modern techniques for atlantoaxial fixation have shown dramatic improvements in rates of fusion compared with the early, wiring-based approaches in both adults [25] and children [26]. Complication rates for these procedures are also low [27], although intimate knowledge of the local anatomy is paramount [28-29]. Despite these technical advances, data on patient and medical utilization—related outcomes remain scant. With healthcare—related costs coming into sharper focus in the past several years, the need to better define what factors influence cost drivers, such as duration of hospitalization and overall hospital charges, is becoming

increasingly critical. We report one of the largest series of C1-C2 fusions at a single institution in the literature to date and the largest examining LoS and hospital charges as they relate to preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative factors.

Our study has several limitations. The study is retrospective, and the sample size, while large for investigations of atlantoaxial fusion in the current literature, remains fairly limited. It is possible that some of the variables that were significantly associated with LoS on univariate analysis would have been found to be significant on multivariable analysis with a larger cohort, although this also suggests that the effect size would also be smaller. We hope that our findings help to guide further inquiries into factors that may be associated with higher hospital charges and increased LoS in this population, preferably utilizing data from multiple centers and significantly larger patient cohorts. Our study was limited to patients who received only isolated atlantoaxial fusions; although this likely reduced the influence of confounding factors, it also reduces generalizability to patients who require additional interventions.

Finally, our study examined charge data, a measure that, despite having some utility as a proxy for care costs [30], is not a substitute for actual cost data. The utility of charge data also varies based on the nature of payment for care, with a greater relevance in environments where "perdiem" and cash-for-service models are common versus those in which diagnosis-related group reimbursement is the norm. This fact also reduces the generalizability of our findings.

Conclusions

Posterior atlantoaxial fusion is a well-tolerated procedure with a low rate of complications. Various preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative factors play a role in determining which patients will require a prolonged hospitalization and accrue higher hospital charges during their stay. Familiarity with these variables may help guide preoperative planning and patient counseling in those undergoing atlantoaxial fusion.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: University of Utah Institutional Review Board issued approval. **Animal subjects:** This study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** The authors have declared that no conflicts of interest exist except for the following: **Financial relationships:** Erica F. Bisson declare(s) a grant from PCORI. Erica Bisson declare(s) stock/stock options from nView. Meic H. Schmidt declare(s) personal fees from Ulrich Medical USA. Andrew T. Dailey declare(s) a grant and personal fees from Biomet. Andrew T. Dailey declare(s) personal fees from AONA.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kristin Kraus, MSc, for editorial assistance with this paper.

References

- 1. Kim JY, Oh CH, Yoon SH, Park HC, Seo HS: Comparison of outcomes after atlantoaxial fusion with transarticular screws and screw-rod constructs. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2014, 55:255–60. 10.3340/jkns.2014.55.5.255
- Coyne TJ, Fehlings MG, Wallace MC, Bernstein M, Tator CH: C1-C2 posterior cervical fusion: long-term evaluation of results and efficacy. Neurosurgery. 1995, 37:688–92. 10.1227/00006123-199510000-00012
- 3. Elliott RE, Tanweer O, Boah A, Morsi A, Ma T, Frempong-Boadu A, Smith ML: Outcome comparison of atlantoaxial fusion with transarticular screws and screw-rod constructs: meta-

- analysis and review of literature. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2014, 27:11–28. 10.1097/BSD.0b013e318277da19
- 4. Lall R, Patel NJ, Resnick DK: A review of complications associated with craniocervical fusion surgery. Neurosurgery. 2010, 67:1396–402. 10.1227/NEU.0b013e3181f1ec73
- Gruskay JA, Fu M, Bohl DD, Webb ML, Grauer JN: Factors affecting length of stay after elective posterior lumbar spine surgery: a multivariate analysis. Spine J. 2015, 15:1188–95. 10.1016/j.spinee.2013.10.022
- 6. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW: Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome . Am J Surg. 2002, 183:630–41. 10.1016/S0002-9610(02)00866-8
- Kollef MH: Inadequate antimicrobial treatment: an important determinant of outcome for hospitalized patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2000, 31:131–38. 10.1086/314079
- 8. White RH, Romano PS, Zhou H, Rodrigo J, Bargar W: Incidence and time course of thromboembolic outcomes following total hip or knee arthroplasty. Arch Intern Med. 1998, 158:1525–31. 10.1001/archinte.158.14.1525
- 9. Keehan SP, Sisko AM, Truffer CJ, Poisal JA, Cuckler GA, Madison AJ, Lizonitz JM, Smith SD: National health spending projections through 2020: economic recovery and reform drive faster spending growth. Health Aff (Millwood). 2011, 30:1594–605. 10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0662
- Eijkenaar F, Emmert M, Scheppach M, Schöffski O: Effects of pay for performance in health care: a systematic review of systematic reviews. Health Policy. 2013, 110:115–30. 10.1016/j.healthpol.2013.01.008
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP: The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Ann Intern Med. 2007, 147:573–77.
 10.1136/bmj.39335.541782.AD
- Zheng F, Cammisa FP, Jr., Sandhu HS, Girardi FP, Khan SN: Factors predicting hospital stay, operative time, blood loss, and transfusion in patients undergoing revision posterior lumbar spine decompression, fusion, and segmental instrumentation. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2002, 27:818–24. 10.1097/00007632-200204150-00008
- 14. Bisson EF, Bowers CA, Hohmann SF, Schmidt MH: Smoking is associated with poorer quality-based outcomes in patients hospitalized with spinal disease. Front Surg. 2015, 2:20. 10.3389/fsurg.2015.00020
- 15. Harrer SW, Carlson WO: Spinal health and smoking. S D Med. 2009, 62:311–13.
- Lau D, Chou D, Ziewacz JE, Mummaneni PV: The effects of smoking on perioperative outcomes and pseudarthrosis following anterior cervical corpectomy: Clinical article. J Neurosurg Spine. 2014, 21:547–58. 10.3171/2014.6.SPINE13762
- 17. Turan A, Mascha EJ, Roberman D, Turner PL, You J, Kurz A, Sessler DI, Saager L: Smoking and perioperative outcomes. Anesthesiology. 2011, 114:837–46. 10.1097/ALN.0b013e318210f560
- 18. Glassman SD, Anagnost SC, Parker A, Burke D, Johnson JR, Dimar JR: The effect of cigarette smoking and smoking cessation on spinal fusion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2000, 25:2608–2615. 10.1097/00007632-200010150-00011
- Scivoletto G, Farchi S, Laurenza L, Tamburella F, Molinari M: Impact of multiple injuries on functional and neurological outcomes of patients with spinal cord injury. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013, 21:42. 10.1186/1757-7241-21-42
- Putz C, Schuld C, Gantz S, Grieser T, Akbar M, Moradi B, Wiedenhofer B, Furstenberg CH, Gerner HJ, Rupp R: The effect of polytrauma as a possible confounder in the outcome of monotraumatic vs polytraumatic paraplegic patients: a clinical cohort study. Spinal Cord. 2011, 49:721–27. 10.1038/sc.2010.181
- 21. Smetana GW: Preoperative pulmonary evaluation. N Engl J Med. 1999, 340:937–44. 10.1056/NEJM199903253401207
- 22. Holte K, Klarskov B, Christensen DS, Lund C, Nielsen KG, Bie P, Kehlet H: Liberal versus restrictive fluid administration to improve recovery after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized, double-blind study. Ann Surg. 2004, 240:892–99. 10.1097/01.sla.0000143269.96649.3b
- 23. Pull ter Gunne AF, Skolasky RL, Ross H, van Laarhoven CJ, Cohen DB: Influence of

- perioperative resuscitation status on postoperative spine surgery complications. Spine J. 2010, 10:129–35. 10.1016/j.spinee.2009.10.002
- 24. Kamath AF, Austin DC, Derman PB, Israelite CL: Unplanned hip arthroplasty imposes clinical and cost burdens on treating institutions. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2013, 471:4012–19. 10.1007/s11999-013-3226-x
- 25. Taggard DA, Kraut MA, Clark CR, Traynelis VC: Case-control study comparing the efficacy of surgical techniques for C1-C2 arthrodesis. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2004, 17:189–94. 10.1097/00024720-200406000-00005
- 26. Gluf WM, Brockmeyer DL: Atlantoaxial transarticular screw fixation: a review of surgical indications, fusion rate, complications, and lessons learned in 67 pediatric patients. J Neurosurg Spine. 2005, 2:164–69. 10.3171/spi.2005.2.2.0164
- 27. Neo M, Fujibayashi S, Miyata M, Takemoto M, Nakamura T: Vertebral artery injury during cervical spine surgery: a survey of more than 5600 operations. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008, 33:779–85. 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31816957a7
- 28. Ahmad FU, Wang MY: Lateral mass of C1 fixation and ponticulus-posticus . World Neurosurg. 2014, 82:e145–46. 10.1016/j.wneu.2014.02.011
- 29. Neo M: An essential principle for safe C1-2 transarticular screw insertion . J Spinal Disord Tech. 2008, 21:76–77. 10.1097/BSD.0b013e318163571e
- 30. McCarthy I, Hostin R, O'Brien M, Saigal R, Ames CP: Health economic analysis of adult deformity surgery. Neurosurg Clin N Am. 2013, 24:293–304. 10.1016/j.nec.2012.12.005