SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 07 December 2015 Accepted: 05 April 2016 Published: 25 April 2016

OPEN Closed-form solutions and scaling laws for Kerr frequency combs

William H. Renninger & Peter T. Rakich

A single closed-form analytical solution of the driven nonlinear Schrödinger equation is developed, reproducing a large class of the behaviors in Kerr-comb systems, including bright-solitons, darksolitons, and a large class of periodic wavetrains. From this analytical framework, a Kerr-comb area theorem and a pump-detuning relation are developed, providing new insights into soliton- and wavetrain-based combs along with concrete design guidelines for both. This new area theorem reveals significant deviation from the conventional soliton area theorem, which is crucial to understanding cavity solitons in certain limits. Moreover, these closed-form solutions represent the first step towards an analytical framework for wavetrain formation, and reveal new parameter regimes for enhanced Kerrcomb performance.

Through Kerr-comb generation, octave-spanning optical frequency combs and high repetition rate (GHz-THz) pulse-trains can be generated by injecting continuous-wave laser-light into high quality factor microresonators¹⁻¹¹. Numerous studies have shown that cascaded parametric interactions within such cavity systems produce a tremendous variety of spectral features and intra-cavity waveforms¹²⁻¹⁶. While wide-band spectral generation has been achieved in many systems^{8,9}, it is more challenging to identify the subset of conditions that permit stable and phase-coherent frequency comb formation. To elucidate the dynamics of comb formation, Kerr combs have been modeled with coupled-field¹⁷⁻²⁰ and single-field^{16,21-27} formulations of the damped-driven Nonlinear Schrodinger equation (NLSE), also termed the Lugiato-Lefever equation^{22,28,29}. Numerical studies suggest that two archetypal patterns can be observed in different regimes of Kerr-comb operation: solitons and periodic wavetrain solutions (also termed Turing patterns and primary combs). Solitons have been observed through Kerr-comb experiments and described using perturbative analytical methods^{14,15}. In contrast, wavetrain-based frequency combs have only been observed numerically¹⁶, and an analytical framework for wavetrain physics is lacking. To date, a universal and simple analytical framework that captures the diversity of nonlinear phenomena within Kerr-comb systems remains elusive.

Here we develop a single closed-form analytic solution of the driven NLSE that, remarkably, reproduces the behavior of both soliton and wavetrain based Kerr frequency combs. This solution is validated with full microresonator numerical simulations, longitudinally invariant simulations, and established experimental results. Applying this analytical framework, we derive a modified area theorem (or Kerr-comb area theorem) that relates the pulse duration to the peak powers within Kerr-comb systems for both soliton and wavetrain solutions, revealing significant deviation from the conventional soliton area theorem and tremendous opportunity for wideband comb formation using wavetrains. A pump-detuning relation identifies conditions under which the corresponding waveforms are generated. Together, these relationships provide a design guide and predict new high performance regimes of broadband microcomb operation. These results are generally relevant to microresonators, fiber Kerr-combs and Kerr-based systems pumped with a continuous-wave source.

Results

Full Simulations. As the basis for comparison with analytical solutions, full single-field numerical simulations are developed. The parameters used are taken from widely studied silicon nitride microresonator experiments^{4,23}. Pulse propagation inside the microring is governed by the damped NLSE of the form

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = -\frac{\alpha}{2}E - i\delta E + i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} + i\gamma |E|^2 E.$$
(1)

Here, E is the slowly-varying electric field envelope, z is the propagation coordinate, t is the local time or fast time, α is the linear loss, δ is the pump detuning, β_2 is the group-velocity dispersion (GVD), and γ is the nonlinear

Department of Applied Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.H.R. (email: william.renninger@yale.edu)



Figure 1. Numerical simulations of a 100- μm radius SiN₂ microresonator. Soliton mode-locking temporal (a) intensity and phase profiles and (b) spectrum with $\delta = 63.7 m^{-1}$ and 5.4-mW input power (2.16-W pump). Wavetrain mode-locking temporal (c) intensity and phase profiles and (d) spectrum with $\delta = 0.16 m^{-1}$ and 3.1-mW input power (1.24-W pump). $\alpha = 19.1 m^{-1}$, $\beta_2 = 2 ps^2/m$, $\gamma = 1/(Wm)$, and the output coupling is 0.25%.

Kerr coefficient. In experiment, pump detuning (δ) represents the frequency deviation of the pump light from a cavity resonance. This model is strictly valid for bandwidths supporting pulses 50 fs and longer and is easily expanded to higher order dispersion and nonlinearities²³. Output coupling and continuous-wave pumping are modeled as lumped effects at one point in the cavity. The temporal window is determined by the round trip cavity propagation time, which is given by the microring radius and the effective index. The model is solved with a standard split-step fourier transform technique with a variety of hard excitations, and the solutions are considered stable when the change in energy per round trip converges to a numerically limited value ($\Delta E \lesssim 10^{-14}$).

Of the many possible solutions, we focus on two solution types that are most pertinent to Kerr-comb formation: soliton solutions and wavetrain solutions^{14–16}. The solitons (Fig. 1(a,b)) are characterized by a localized solitary wave atop a continuous-wave background. A characteristic structure appears at the interface of the pulse with the background, which corresponds to a temporal phase shift. Multiple solitons can also exist given a sufficient temporal window. In the special case when a single soliton exists in the cavity, the free-spectral range (FSR) of the frequency comb is independent of the soliton and is given by the length of the microresonator. Solitons exist with negative detuning ($\delta > 0$).

Wavetrain solutions (Fig. 1(c,d)) are characterized by a periodic stable wave-form. The waveform deviates from a sinusoid at the troughs of the intensity profile, which is also derived from a temporal phase change. The FSR of these frequency combs is determined by the solution itself, although constrained to an integer multiple of the cavity round trip time. These solutions can exist when the cavity detuning is zero or positive as well as negative¹⁶. It should be noted that, like solitons, these wavetrain solutions are stable nonlinear attractors which possess an independent basin of attraction. We will demonstrate in this work that all of these qualitative features are predicted by the analytical model presented here.

Analytical Approach. In order to study these classes of solutions, we look for their archetypal forms, as often exist in pattern forming systems of experimental relevance. We begin with the master equation for the field,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = -\frac{\alpha}{2}E - i\delta E + i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} + i\gamma |E|^2 E + ge^{i\psi},\tag{2}$$

which contains all of the relevant dynamics of the system. Note that this master equation is identical to Eq. 1, but now α includes the output-coupling and the continuous-wave gain with arbitrary phase is distributed around the cavity. There are no known exact solutions to this system. However, the driven NLSE without loss does have known analytical solutions, which in addition represent the archetypal forms observed in experiment. The loss-less limit is strictly valid for high quality factor resonators where $\alpha \ll \frac{\beta_2}{2\Delta\tau^2}$. This is true in fiber systems and even

in lossy microresonators α is an order magnitude lower than dispersion. Moreover in certain regimes, the solutions of the lossless system can be extended to those of the lossy case with careful analysis³⁰. For comparison, it is also worth noting that the well-known soliton solution to the NLSE is known only for the lossless case, although all real systems have loss. While relatively new in comparison to the undriven case^{31,32}, the driven NLSE has been shown to support a wealth of nontrivial phenomena^{33–39}. Note that stable solutions to Eq. 2 exist without smallness requirements on the parameters³⁰. An exact soliton solution is known for this driven NLSE³⁰, and in 2005⁴⁰ a broader class of solutions was discovered; these solutions are of the form $E = (G + Kf(T)^2)/(1 + Lf(T)^2)$, where *f* are the Jacobi Elliptic functions. This solution serves as the starting point for our analysis. As detailed below, we first rewrite this solution in a useful form, and then generalize it further (i.e., we develop a more complete solution to the nontrivial nonlinear partial differential equation) to account for the experimentally relevant complex driving field.

We begin our analytical treatment of Kerr comb systems by expressing the driven NLSE, which governs Kerr-comb dynamics, in normalized form as

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} = -is_{\delta}U + s_{\beta}i\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial T^2} + i2\left|U\right|^2 U + he^{i\psi}.$$
(3)

Here, $U = E\sqrt{\gamma/2|\delta|}$, $Z = z|\delta|$, $T = t\sqrt{2|\delta|/D}$, $h = \sqrt{g^2\gamma/2|\delta|^3}$, s_δ is $sign(\delta)$ and s_β is $sign(\beta)$. In these normalized variables, the pulse duration (defined as for the NLSE as the pulse duration parameter of a hyperbolic secant) and peak power can be written in real units as

$$P = \frac{2|\delta|}{\gamma} |U_0|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \tau^2 = \frac{|D|}{2|\delta|} \Delta T^2.$$
(4a,b)

Here, $|U_0|^2$ and ΔT^2 are the unitless peak power and pulse duration and are determined by the solution to Eq. 3. These equations can be written in a more instructive form as

$$P\Delta\tau^2 = \frac{|D|}{\gamma}F$$
, and $|\delta| = \frac{|D|\Delta T^2}{2\Delta\tau^2} = \frac{P\gamma}{2|U_0|^2}$, (5a,b)

where $F = |U_0|\Delta T^2$. We term Eq. 5a the Kerr-comb area theorem; it relates the pulse parameters to the system parameters in a general way. Equation 5b is a complementary relation that determines the required detuning for a given comb duration or peak power.

For analysis of frequency combs, we further generalized the known class of solutions given in ref. 40 to include a phase factor, ψ , that accounts for the phase difference between the resonantly circulating cavity mode and the coherent pump (or drive). The relation of the phase on the pulse to that of the coherent pump is determined by the so-called ansatz technique. In addition, for convenient representation of both soliton and wavetrain forms, we set f = cn. Thus, the generalized closed-from analytic solution to Eq. 3 is given by

$$U = e^{i\psi + i\pi/2} \frac{G + Kcn(T/\tau, m)^2}{1 + Lcn(T/\tau, m)^2},$$
(6)

where *cn* is the Jacobi Elliptic function and *G*, *K*, *L*, τ and *m* are real pulse parameters which are determined by the system parameter, *h*. The pulse parameters are solved for by inserting the ansatz into Eq. 3 with $\frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} = 0$ and separately satisfying the real and imaginary parts. This process requires the solution of four nonlinear algebraic equations. Before examining the solution for arbitrary values of *m*, it is instructive to first examine the solution for the values where the *cn* function reduces to the hyberbolic cosine (*m* = 1) and the cosine (*m* = 0) functions.

With the particular value of m = 1, Eq. 6 reduces the the soliton solution and can be written as

$$U = e^{i\psi + i\pi/2} \left(CW + \frac{A}{\cosh(T/\tau) + B} \right),\tag{7}$$

where *CW*, *A*, *B*, and τ are real parameters. The four nonlinear algebraic equations, in this case, have two solutions. One solution is known to be unstable³⁰ and the other (stable) solution is given by

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\tau\sqrt{2\tau^{2}+1}},$$

$$h = \frac{\sqrt{\tau^{2}-1}(2\tau^{2}+1)}{3\sqrt{6}\tau^{3}},$$

$$CW = -\frac{\sqrt{\tau^{2}-1}}{\sqrt{6}\tau},$$

$$B = -\frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\tau^{2}-1}}{\sqrt{2\tau^{2}+1}}.$$
(8)



Figure 2. Representative closed-form solutions. Temporal (left) intensity and (right) phase profiles for (**a**,**b**) the soliton (Eq. 7) and (**c**,**d**) trigonometric wavetrain solutions (Eq. 9). The solitons are identified by *h* including the h = 0 solution and the trigonometric solutions are also identified by *h* but h = 0 is not a solution. The cnoidal wavetrain solutions are qualitatively the same as the trigonometric solutions.

Here, the parameters are written in terms of τ instead of h for compactness. In addition, $s_{\delta} = 1$. It is clear that when h = 0 the equation reduces to the NLSE and the solution (Eq. 7) reduces to the well-known soliton solution of the form Asech(AT). In this special case, F = 1, and Eq. 5a reduces to the familiar soliton area theorem. However, when h > 0, the pulse develops a continuous-wave background with a characteristic dip in field amplitude at the wings of the pulse (Fig. 2a). This is the result of a phase shift when the electric field changes sign (Fig. 2b).

 $|U_0|^2$, ΔT^2 , *F* and are plotted for the soliton solution in Fig. 3(a). When h = 0, $|U_0|^2$, ΔT^2 , *F* and are equal to 1 and Eq. 5 reduces to the well-known soliton area theorem and phase relation⁴¹. When *h* takes on a maximum allowed value of $h = \sqrt{2/27}$, the prefactor of the Kerr comb area theorem becomes F = 0.8, revealing significant deviation of the true cavity-soliton solution from the background-free NLSE soliton area theorem. Surprisingly however, despite the clear differences in the solutions, the area theorem is qualitatively identical. This is of particular importance because it provides theoretical justification for the utility of the NLSE for modeling real Kerr-comb systems. For example, in ref. 24 the authors showed that the NLSE solitons do indeed accurately predict the solutions to several experimental results. This can now be understood as a result of the qualitatively identical study, a subset of the solutions to Eq. 3 were tied to the damped case (Eq. 2)³⁰, validating this solution as a general model for solitons in Kerr-comb systems. It is also noteworthy that, in the normal dispersion regime, this same ansatz is a closed-form analytic solution for a dark soliton.

Numerical evaluation of Eq. 3 produces convergence to a stable soliton solution, as seen in Fig. 4. Despite the absence of a linear damping term in Eq. 3, energy decay occurs through spectral shedding; when convergence is achieved, the pump-wave no longer adds energy to the pulse due to wave-interference. For comparison, this numerical soliton solution of Eq. 3 is plotted atop the analytic soliton solution (Eq. 7), revealing excellent agreement without any fitting parameters. The background-free NLSE soliton is also shown in Fig. 4. While the center of all three pulses show good agreement, divergence from the background-free NLSE solution is apparent when the phase changes and the continuous-wave background begins.



Figure 3. Solution dependence the normalized drive strength. Kerr-comb area theorem prefactor F, $1/|U_0|^2$, and ΔT^2 for the (**a**) soliton and (**b**) trigonometric wavetrain solution. The dashed line represents the value for the NLSE soliton for comparison. The pulse form is represented in the inset.



Figure 4. Numerical simulations of the driven NLSE (Eq. 3). The temporal (a) intensity and (b) phase profiles are displayed (black solid) along with Eq. 7 (red) and the NLSE soliton solution with the same peak power (blue) for comparison. $\beta_2 = 0.3 \text{ ps}^2/\text{m}$, $\gamma = 1(Wm)$, $\delta = 15.0133/m$ and g = 0.35 mW/m.

Another case of interest occurs when Eq. 6 is evaluated for m = 1. In this case, the solution can be written as

$$U = e^{i\psi + i\pi/2} \left(CW + \frac{A}{\cos(T/\tau) + B} \right).$$
(9)

Hence, the solution exhibits a periodic time dependence. In this case, the set of equations from which the pulse parameters are found can be expressed as

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\tau\sqrt{2\tau^{2}-1}},$$

$$h = \frac{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+1}(2\tau^{2}-1)}{3\sqrt{6}\tau^{3}},$$

$$CW = -\frac{\sqrt{\tau^{2}+1}}{\sqrt{6}\tau},$$

$$B = \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\tau^{2}+1}}{\sqrt{2\tau^{2}-1}}.$$
(10)



Figure 5. Trends of the cnoidal wavetrain solution. (a) The wavetrain period vs. m and (b) Kerr-comb area theorem prefactor, F vs. m for two values of h. The dashed lines represent discontinuous points in the roots to the nonlinear algebraic equations. Note that the period approaches infinity at m = 1, where the cnoidal solution reduces to the soliton solution.

This wavetrain solution is periodic with period $2\pi\tau$ (Fig. 2(*c*)). The wavetrain deviates from a sinusoid at the troughs of the intensity profile as a result of a phase shift (Fig. 2(*d*)). The detuning for the trigonometric solution is also negative ($s_{\delta} = 1$). The Kerr-comb area theorem and phase relation can again be derived and written in the form of Eq. 5. Figure 3(b) reveals that the prefactor, *F*, is again close to 1. This can be understood by considering that the dominate contributions to the pulse formation are nonlinearity and anomalous dispersion. Therefore, just as for the soliton, the magnitude of the dispersion must also be comparable to that of the nonlinearity for their contributions to be equal and opposite.

The broader class of solutions for arbitrary *m* has pulse parameters which can be determined numerically from the solutions of the four nonlinear algebraic equations which relate them. The period of the solution is given by $2\tau Re[K[m]]$, where Re[K[m]] is the real part of the complete elliptic integral of the first kind (plotted vs. *m* in Fig. 5(a)). As *m* approaches 1 the period approaches ∞ where the localized soliton forms. That is, when *m* is very near one, Eq. 6 represents an infinite train of soliton pulses of the form from Eq. 7. The broad class of wavetrain solutions are qualitatively similar to Eq. 9. A Kerr-comb area theorem again takes the form of Eq. 5. The prefactor, *F*, can be determined, as for the other cases, by solving four characteristic nonlinear algebraic equations. The result is plotted as a function of *m* for constant *h* in Fig. 5(b). Interestingly there exists a regime of parameters where *F* becomes much smaller than unity. In this regime, for a transform-limited pulse, larger bandwidths can be achieved with a given peak power; this is a desirable trait for wideband frequency comb applications. Note that, in contrast to the bright soliton solution, which only exists with negative detuning ($\delta > 0$) and anomalous dispersion ($\beta_2 > 0$), these periodic wavetrain solutions can exist at both signs of dispersion and detuning. This feature of the wavetrain solution is consistent with previous simulations and experiments^{14,23,39}. For the purposes of stable frequency comb generation these periodic solutions are intriguing; they permit tunability of the comb FSR, and a wider range of wave-forms over a parameter space that is much larger than soliton solutions.

Discussion

The ability to relate the pulse parameters to the nonlinear system parameters enables direct application towards design. For example, to design a soliton frequency comb with a given bandwidth ($\propto 1/\Delta \tau$), Eq. 5a can be used to determine the peak power, *P*, and Eq. 5b can be used to determine the detuning, δ . Given the detuning, peak power and bandwidth, the required pump power for a given free-spectral range frequency comb can be calculated. Hence, the parameters of the system are fully determined using this closed-form treatment.

While the conditions for the existence of the cavity soliton and wavetrain solutions in Kerr-comb systems have been outlined here using this analytical framework, the stability of the solutions is paramount for their experimental observation. Wavetrain solutions have been studied extensively through numerical simulations. While the existence of wavetrain solutions has been demonstrated in full simulations here (Fig. 1(c,d)) and in refs 14,23,39, further study is required to rigorously connect the large class of wavetrain solutions produced by Eq. 6 to the damped driven NLSE of Eq. 2. Hence, further numerical studies of the damped driven NLSE, with a focus on the wavetrain solutions, would be valuable.

By contrast, the stability of cavity solitons has been established through experiments^{14,15} as well as in full numerical simulations (Fig. 1(a,b)). In addition, the analytical cavity soliton solutions presented here were shown to be stable with numerics (see Fig. 4); following ref. 30, this class of soliton solutions is known to be stable with damping. The physical relevance of this analytical cavity soliton solution is corroborated by numerical models, analytical treatments, and recent experiments.

Perhaps the most valuable next step based on the results of this study would be to use this new solution as a trail function for a variational analysis of the Lugiato-Lefever equation over a broad range of system parameters. Such an approach would be more efficient than full numerical simulations and more accurate than approaches based on the NLSE, which cannot readily account for the structure between the pulse and the background, for the deviation of the pulse from a hyperbolic secant, and for the more complete group of wavetrain solutions. This

technique, when verified by numerical solutions¹⁶ and experimental results, should provide the ideal pathway toward Kerr-frequency comb design for optimum performance.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a closed-form solution that represents a large range of Kerr frequency comb forms. The cavity soliton is shown to deviate from the soliton solutions of the undriven NLSE, the wave-trains represent a stable nonlinear attracting solution with implications for high performance frequency combs, and a simple Kerr-comb area theorem and detuning relation were derived and used to generate experimental design guidelines and to predict new regions of performance. These solutions provide an ideal ansatz for studies based on the variational approach for identifying new regimes of stable Kerr-comb generation.

References

- 1. Del'Haye, P. et al. Optical frequency comb generation from a monolithic microresonator. Nature 450, 1214–1217 (2007).
- Savchenkov, A. *et al.* Tunable Optical Frequency Comb with a Crystalline Whispering Gallery Mode Resonator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101, 093902 (2008).
- 3. Grudinin, I. S., Yu, N. & Maleki, L. Generation of optical frequency combs with a CaF_2 resonator. Opt. Lett. 34, 878-880 (2009).
- 4. Levy, J. S. et al. CMOS-compatible multiple-wavelength oscillator for on-chip optical interconnects. Nat. Photon 4, 37–40 (2009).
- 5. Razzari, L. et al. CMOS-compatible integrated optical hyper-parametric oscillator. Nat. Photon 4, 41–45 (2009).
- 6. Liang, W. et al. Generation of near-infrared frequency combs from a MgF2 whispering gallery mode resonator. Opt. Lett. 36, 2290-2292 (2011).
- Kippenberg, T. J., Holzwarth, R. & Diddams, S. A. Microresonator-based optical frequency combs. Science (New York, N.Y.) 332, 555–559 (2011).
- 8. Del'Haye, P. et al. Octave Spanning Tunable Frequency Comb from a Microresonator. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 063901 (2011).
- Okawachi, Y. *et al.* Octave-spanning frequency comb generation in a silicon nitride chip. *Opt. Lett.* 36, 3398-400 (2011).
 Ferdous, F. *et al.* Spectral line-by-line pulse shaping of on-chip microresonator frequency combs. *Nat. Photon* 5, 770-776 (2011).
- 11. Okawachi, Y. et al. Bandwidth shaping of microresonator-based frequency combs via dispersion engineering. Opt. Lett. 39, 3535–3538 (2014).
- Braje, D., Hollberg, L. & Diddams, S. Brillouin-Enhanced Hyperparametric Generation of an Optical Frequency Comb in a Monolithic Highly Nonlinear Fiber Cavity Pumped by a cw Laser. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 193902 (2009).
- Matsko, A. B., Liang, W., Savchenkov, A. A. & Maleki, L. Chaotic dynamics of frequency combs generated with continuously pumped nonlinear microresonators. Opt. Lett. 38, 525–527 (2013).
- 14. Herr, T. et al. Temporal solitons in optical microresonators. Nat. Photon 8, 145-152 (2013).
- Leo, F. *et al.* Temporal cavity solitons in one-dimensional Kerr media as bits in an all-optical buffer. *Nat. Photon* 4, 471–476 (2010).
 Godey, C., Balakireva, I. V., Coillet, A. & Chembo, Y. K. Stability analysis of the spatiotemporal Lugiato-Lefever model for Kerr optical frequency combs in the anomalous and normal dispersion regimes. *Phys. Rev. A* 89, 063814 (2014).
- 17. Chembo, Y. K. & Yu, N. Modal expansion approach to optical-frequency-comb generation with monolithic whispering-gallerymode resonators. *Phys. Rev. A* 82, 033801 (2010).
- Chembo, Y. K., Strekalov, D. V. & Yu, N. Spectrum and Dynamics of Optical Frequency Combs Generated with Monolithic Whispering Gallery Mode Resonators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104, 103902 (2010).
- 19. Hansson, T., Modotto, D. & Wabnitz, S. Dynamics of the modulational instability in microresonator frequency combs. *Phys. Rev. A* 88, 023819 (2013).
- 20. Loh, W., Del'Haye, P., Papp, S. B. & Diddams, S. A. Phase and coherence of optical microresonator frequency combs. *Phys. Rev. A* 89, 053810 (2014).
- 21. Matsko, A. B. et al. Mode-locked Kerr frequency combs. Opt. Lett. 36, 2845-2847 (2011).
- Coen, S., Randle, H. G., Sylvestre, T. & Erkintalo, M. Modeling of octave-spanning Kerr frequency combs using a generalized meanfield Lugiato-Lefever model. Opt. Lett. 38, 37–39 (2013).
- Lamont, M. R. E., Okawachi, Y. & Gaeta, A. L. Route to stabilized ultrabroadband microresonator-based frequency combs. Opt. Lett. 38, 3478–3481 (2013).
- 24. Coen, S. & Erkintalo, M. Universal scaling laws of Kerr frequency combs. Opt. Lett. 38, 1790-1792 (2013).
- Coillet, A. et al. Azimuthal Turing Patterns, Bright and Dark Cavity Solitons in Kerr Combs Generated With Whispering-Gallery-Mode Resonators. IEEE Photon. J. 5, 6100409 (2013).
- Parra-Rivas, P., Gomila, D., Matas, M. A., Coen, S. & Gelens, L. Dynamics of localized and patterned structures in the Lugiato-Lefever equation determine the stability and shape of optical frequency combs. *Phys. Rev. A* 89, 043813 (2014).
- 27. Del'Haye, P. et al. Phase steps and resonator detuning measurements in microresonator frequency combs. Nat. Comms. 6, 5668 (2015).
- 28. Lugiato, L. & Lefever, R. Spatial dissipative structures in passive optical systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2209-2211 (1987).
- Chembo, Y. K. & Menyuk, C. R. Spatiotemporal Lugiato-Lefever formalism for Kerr-comb generation in whispering-gallery-mode resonators. *Phys. Rev. A* 87, 053852 (2013).
- Barashenkov, I. & Smirnov, Y. Existence and stability chart for the ac-driven, damped nonlinear Schrödinger solitons. *Phys. Rev. E* 54, 5707–5725 (1996).
- 31. Whitham, G. B. Linear and Nonlinear Waves. Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Wiley Series of Texts, Monographs and Tracts (Wiley, 2011).
- 32. Novikov, S. Theory of Solitons: The Inverse Scattering Method. Contemporary Soviet mathematics (Consultants Bureau, 1984).
- Kaup, D. J. & Newell, A. C. Solitons as Particles, Oscillators, and in Slowly Changing Media: A Singular Perturbation Theory. P. Roy. Soc. A-Math Phy. 361, 413–446 (1978).
- 34. Nozaki, K. & Bekki, N. Chaos in a perturbed nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1226-1229 (1983).
- 35. Nozaki, K. & Bekki, N. Solitons as attractors of a forced dissipative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Lett. A* **102**, 383–386 (1984).
- 36. Nozaki, K. & Bekki, N. Low-dimensional chaos in a driven damped nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Physica D 21, 381–393 (1986).
- 37. Friedland, L. & Shagalov, A. Excitation of solitons by adiabatic multiresonant forcing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 4357–4360 (1998).
- Terrones, G. & McLaughlin, D. Stability and bifurcation of spatially coherent solutions of the damped-driven NLS equation. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 50, 791–818 (1990).
- Haelterman, M., Trillo, S. & Wabnitz, S. Dissipative modulation instability in a nonlinear dispersive ring cavity. Opt. Commun. 91, 401–407 (1992).
- Raju, T. S., Kumar, C. N. & Panigrahi, P. K. On exact solitary wave solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a source. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38, L271–L276 (2005).
- 41. Agrawal, G. P. Nonlinear Fiber Optics (Academic Press, New York, 2001), 3rd edn.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from Yale University.

Author Contributions

W.H.R. performed simulations and produced the analytical results with guidance from P.T.R. The manuscript was prepared by W.H.R. and P.T.R.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Renninger, W. H. and Rakich, P. T. Closed-form solutions and scaling laws for Kerr frequency combs. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24742; doi: 10.1038/srep24742 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/