Review Article



Phosphatidylinositol(4,5)bisphosphate: diverse functions at the plasma membrane

Matilda Katan¹ and () Shamshad Cockcroft²

¹Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, Division of Biosciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; ²Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, Division of Biosciences, University College London, 21 University Street, London WC1E 6JJ, U.K.

Correspondence: Shamshad Cockcroft (S.Cockcroft@ucl.ac.uk)

Phosphatidylinositol(4,5) bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2) has become a major focus in biochemistry, cell biology and physiology owing to its diverse functions at the plasma membrane. As a result, the functions of $PI(4,5)P_2$ can be explored in two separate and distinct roles – as a substrate for phospholipase C (PLC) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and as a primary messenger, each having unique properties. Thus PI(4,5)P₂ makes contributions in both signal transduction and cellular processes including actin cytoskeleton dynamics, membrane dynamics and ion channel regulation. Signalling through plasma membrane G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and immune receptors all use PI(4,5)P₂ as a substrate to make second messengers. Activation of PI3K generates $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ (phosphatidylinositol(3,4,5)trisphosphate), a lipid that recruits a plethora of proteins with pleckstrin homology (PH) domains to the plasma membrane to regulate multiple aspects of cellular function. In contrast, PLC activation results in the hydrolysis of $PI(4,5)P_2$ to generate the second messengers, diacylglycerol (DAG), an activator of protein kinase C and inositol(1,4,5)trisphosphate ($IP_3/I(1,4,5)P_3$) which facilitates an increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} . Decreases in PI(4,5)P₂ by PLC also impact on functions that are dependent on the intact lipid and therefore endocytosis, actin dynamics and ion channel regulation are subject to control. Spatial organisation of $PI(4,5)P_2$ in nanodomains at the membrane allows for these multiple processes to occur concurrently.

Introduction

Phosphatidylinositol(4,5)bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P₂), is a low abundance, cellular membrane phospholipid generated by phosphorylation of phosphatidylinositol (PI) (Figure 1A). PI, the parent lipid of all phosphoinositides, comprises between 5 and 8% of the total lipids of the cell [1]. The inositol head group can be reversibly phosphorylated at 3, 4 and 5 positions giving rise to seven phosphoinositide derivatives. Approximately, 10% of the PI is in the phosphorylated state [2]. These minor phosphorylated derivatives (PI4P, PI3P, PI5P, PI(4,5)P₂, PI(3,4)P₂, PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(3,4,5)P₃ (phosphatidylinositol(3,4,5)trisphosphate)) are distributed in different membrane compartments determined by the presence of the kinases that phosphorylate the inositol ring. Of these, PI(4,5)P₂ is the most abundant phosphoinositide and is enriched in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane comprising 1–2 mol% of total plasma membrane lipid [3,4]. One of the most striking characteristics of mammalian PI and its derivatives is its acyl chain composition. The fatty acids linked to the glycerol backbone are predominantly, stearic acid (C18:0; 18 carbons with no double bonds) at the *sn-1* position and arachidonic acid (C20:4; 20 carbons with 4 double bonds) at *sn-2* position [5,6] (Figure 1B).

Received: 09 July 2020 Revised: 25 July 2020 Accepted: 29 July 2020

Version of Record published: 26 August 2020



Figure 1. Phosphatidylinositol(4,5)bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P₂)

(A) Multiple functions of $PI(4,5)P_2$ at the plasma membrane. $PI(4,5)P_2$ is a substrate for two signalling pathways, phospholipase C (PLC) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K). $PI(4,5)P_2$ also functions as an intact lipid to regulate ion channels, membrane dynamics and the actin cytoskeleton. Three pathways can deplete $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels, marked with yellow arrows – PLC, PI3K and 5-phosphatase. Abbreviations: DAG, diacylglycerol; GPCR, G-protein coupled receptor; IP_3 , inositol(1,4,5)trisphosphate; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PI4K, PI 4-kinase; PI4P, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate; PI4P5K, PI4P 5-kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase. (B) Structure of $PI(4,5)P_2$. $PI(4,5)P_2$ comprises a glycerol backbone with an inositol headgroup which is phosphorylated at the 4 and 5 positions on the inositol ring. The fatty acid composition of $PI(4,5)P_2$ is distinctive; stearic acid (C18:0) at the *sn*-1 position and arachidonic acid (C20:4) at the *sn*-2 position of the glycerol backbone. (C) $PI(4,5)P_2$ can bind domains such as PH or by electrostatic interactions to basic residues of arginines and lysines. $PI(4,5)P_2$ can bind to structured domains such as PH domains or it can bind to unstructured clusters of positively charged lysine and arginine residues in proteins due to electrostatic interactions. Abbreviation: PH domain, pleckstrin homology domain.

© 2020 The Author(s). This is an open access article published by Portland Press Limited on behalf of the Biochemical Society and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

Table 1 Summary of milestones in the field

PI(4,5)P ₂ -dependent functions at the plasma membrane	Comments
Substrate for PLC to make second messengers, $I(1,4,5)P_3$ and DAG	This lipid signalling pathway was first described in 1953 [139]; it was only in 1983 that the second messengers and their functions were discovered [140].
Regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by $PI(4,5)P_2$ [99]	The first two actin-binding proteins identified to interact with $PI(4,5)P_2$ were profilin in 1985 [141] and gelsolin in 1987 [142]. Many actin-regulatory proteins are activated or inactivated by binding to $PI(4,5)P_2$ [14,91].
Substrate for Pl3-kinase to make $Pl(3,4,5)P_3$; the lipid recruits a subset of PH domain-containing proteins including AKT	This pathway was discovered in 1988 [143,144]; insulin-mediated signalling utilises this pathway for glucose uptake [67].
The PH domain of pleckstrin was first shown to bind specifically to $\mathrm{Pl}(4,5)\mathrm{P}_2$	PH domains are 120 amino acids in length, the first PH domain was detected in pleckstrin in 1994, hence the domain name [145]. The PH domain of PLC δ 1 binds to Pl(4,5)P ₂ with high affinity and the GFP (green fluorescent protein)-fusion protein is used to monitor PIP(4,5)P ₂ in living cells.
Exocytosis: mediates release of hormones, neurotransmitters from neurons and neuroendocrine cells. $Pl(4,5)P_2$ is required for priming and for exocytic fusion [111]	Priming factor, CAPS (Ca ²⁺ -dependent activator protein for secretion), recruited by $PI(4,5)P_2$ was the first protein identified in 1992 [146,147]; Syntaxin 1 clustered at the plasma membrane by $PI(4,5)P_2$ [110]; Synaptagmin-1 and Doc2 β are recruited to plasma membranes by $PI(4,5)P_2$ and are essential for exocytosis [109,148].
lon channels and transporters – $PI(4,5)P_2$ have multiple effects dependent on the ion channels and transporters [112]	The first paper to implicate Pl(4,5)P ₂ in regulation of the Na ⁺ /Ca ²⁺ exchanger and K _{ATP} channels was published in 1996 [149]. Kir (inward rectifying K ⁺) channels are maintained in the open state by Pl(4,5)P ₂ ; hydrolysis of Pl(4,5)P ₂ up-regulates both the current amplitude and voltage-gated channels and Pl(4,5)P ₂ up-regulates both the current amplitude and voltage sensitivity of the KCNQ2 channel. Disruption of the interaction of Pl(4,5)P ₂ with the S4–S5 linker of KCNQ by a single mutation decreases the voltage sensitivity and current amplitude [150].
Clathrin-mediated endocytosis: $PI(4,5)P_2$ is required for AP2 binding to membranes	The first paper identifying $PI(4,5)P_2$ for recruitment of AP2 was first published in 1998 [151]. Another protein that is recruited by $PI(4,5)P_2$ is dynamin, and was first shown in 1996 [99,152,153].
GPCRS have hot spots for PI(4,5)P_2 and can form bridging interactions with $G\alpha$ subunits or with arrestin	The first paper to identify PI(4,5)P ₂ binding to GPCRS was published in 2018 [119]. β 1 adrenergic receptors–G α s interaction is stabilised by the binding of two molecules of PI(4,5)P ₂ [119]; phosphorylated neurotensin receptor 1 bound to arrestin is bridged by one molecule of PI(4,5)P ₂ [121].

 $PI(4,5)P_2$ regulates many aspects of cell function at the plasma membrane (Figure 1A) (reviewed in [7–17]). $PI(4,5)P_2$ is a substrate for two signalling pathways. Phospholipase C (PLC) leads to the generation of two second messengers, inositol(1,4,5)trisphosphate (I(1,4,5)P₃), known trigger for mobilising Ca^{2+} from endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stores and diacylglycerol (DAG), an activator for protein kinase C (PKC). The second pathway is phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) to make PI(3,4,5)P₃, a lipid with wide-ranging functions. Thus, PLC, PI3K, PI4P 5-kinases (PI4P5Ks) and PI(4,5)P2 5-phosphatases maintain dynamic turnover and tight spatiotemporal control of $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels (Figure 1A). This is important as $PI(4,5)P_2$ as an intact lipid regulates diverse cellular functions, including cytoskeletal organisation and membrane trafficking (including endocytosis and exocytosis) and ion channel regulation [10-13]. PI(4,5)P₂ interacts with a variety of binding proteins including ANTH (AP180 N-Terminal Homology), ENTH (Epsin N-Terminal Homology), C2 (protein kinase C conserved region 2), FERM (a domain named after four proteins, Band 4.1, ezrin, radixin and moesin), PDZ (named after three proteins, PSD95, Dig1 and Zo-1 that share the domain), PH (pleckstrin homology) and Tubby domains (Tubby domain first identified in the Tubby protein) [8,18], indicating diverse downstream effectors of $PI(4,5)P_2$. In addition, $PI(4,5)P_2$ is a highly negatively charged lipid and therefore can bind unstructured clusters of basic residues on numerous membrane proteins (for example, ion channels, receptors and cytoskeletal proteins) [3,11] (see Figure 1C). Functions of $PI(4,5)P_2$ are prolific due to the large number of effector proteins identified as $PI(4,5)P_2$ binding proteins and Table 1 provides examples of $PI(4,5)P_2$ functions at the plasma membrane.

Synthesis of PI(4,5)P₂

PI(4,5)P₂ is synthesised from PI at the plasma membrane by sequential phosphorylation by two lipid kinases, PI 4-kinase (PI4K) and PI4P5K (Figure 2). The first enzyme PI4K converts PI into PI4P. There are altogether four PI4K in the mammalian genome, Type II (α and β) and Type III PI4K (α and β) (there is no Type I PI4Ks as they were subsequently discovered to be PI 3-kinases). Of the four enzymes, PI4K Type III α (PI4KIII α) plays a major role in the generation of PI4P at the plasma membrane [19,20]. PI4KIII α is present in a complex with two adapter proteins, TTC7 (tetratricopeptide repeat domain 7) and EFR3 (protein encoded by the *EFR3* gene) that allows targeting to the plasma membrane [19]. The conversion of PI4P into PI(4,5)P₂ is catalysed by PI4P 5-kinases and three isoforms









PLC hydrolyses PI(4,5)P₂ resulting in the formation of the second messengers, IP₃ and DAG. DAG is phosphorylated to PA at the plasma membrane by DAG kinase (DAGK). PA is transferred to the ER via lipid transfer proteins. In the ER, PA is converted into CDP-DAG catalysed by CDS enzymes (CDS1 and CDS2). In the final step, inositol and CDP-DAG are synthesised into PI catalysed by the enzyme, PI synthase (PIS). The newly synthesised PI is transferred to the plasma membrane for phosphorylation to PI(4,5)P₂ by the resident enzymes, PI4KIII α and PIP5K. Abbreviations: CDP-DAG, cytidine diphosphate diacylglycerol; CDS, CDP-DAG synthase; IP₃, inositol(1,4,5)triphosphate; PA, phosphatidic acid; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PIS, PI synthase; PITP, phosphatidylinositol transfer protein; PI4P, PI 4-phosphate; PI(4,5)P₂, phosphatidylinositol (4,5) bisphosphate.

(PI4P5K α , β , γ) have been identified in mammals. However, the relative roles of each of the three PI4P5Ks remain to be characterised. PIP5K γ is essential as mice lacking this enzyme do not survive [21].

Although PI phosphorylation to $PI(4,5)P_2$ takes place at the plasma membrane, the synthesis of PI takes place in the ER [6]. PI synthesis is a two-step process, the conversion of PA (phosphatidic acid) into the intermediate, cytidine diphosphate DAG (CDP-DAG) by CDP-DAG synthase (CDS) enzymes followed by its conversion into PI by PI synthase (PIS) (Figure 2). There are two CDS enzymes, CDS1 and CDS2, and one PIS enzyme, both localised at the ER; all three enzymes are integral membrane proteins [6,22]. The first step requires CTP and the second-step requires inositol. PA can be either obtained by *de novo* synthesis, or from the $PI(4,5)P_2$ -PLC cycle. Following PLC activation, DAG is rapidly converted into PA and is utilised for the synthesis of PI (Figure 2). Due to the topological arrangement of the enzymes present in separate membrane compartments (i.e. plasma membrane and ER), lipid transfer of PI and PA has to take place. This is accomplished by a family of PI transfer proteins (PITPs) [23–26].

PLC signalling PLC families, their regulation and biological functions

PLCs hydrolyse different glycerophospholipids, including phosphoinositides, at the phosphodiester bond (between the glycerol backbone and the phosphate group). In mammals, PLC enzymes that use phosphoinositides (preferentially PI(4,5)P₂) as their substrates have been grouped into six families (β , γ , δ , ε , ζ and η). Within each family are multiple members: four PLC β (1–4), two PLC γ (1 and 2), three PLC δ (1, 3, 4), one PLC ε , one PLC ζ and two PLC η (1 and 2) making thirteen PLCs in total (reviewed in [27–33]) (Figure 3A). Recently, a seventh family of PLCs was discovered across different eukaryotic species, including three isoforms in humans, and named PLC-XD (PLC X-domain containing protein) [34]; more research is, however, needed to fully understand distinct properties and biological functions of PLC-XD enzymes. The PLC-XD enzymes are more related to bacterial PLCs whose substrate is PI rather than PI(4,5)P₂ [35].

As outlined in Figure 3A, six PLC families share a conserved core structure in addition to a variety of other domains specific for each family. The conserved core structure comprises a PH, EF hands (helix–loop–helix structural domain





Figure 3. Mammalian phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C (PLC) families

(A) Domain organisation of PLC enzymes. Domain organisation of PLC families, showing the PLC-core (green), that includes the catalytic $\beta\alpha$ -barrel domain (light green), and domains unique for each PLC family (pink). Some of the well-characterised regulatory interactions are indicated by symbols. Abbreviations: CDC25, cell cycle division 25 (Ras GEF domain); cSH2, C-terminal SH2; CTD; C-terminal domain; C2, protein kinase C conserved region 2; EF, EF-hands; nSH2, N-terminal SH2; PH, pleckstrin homology domain; RA, Ras-association domain; SH2, Src homology 2 domain; SH3, Src homology 3 domain; sPH, split PH; X and Y, conserved halves of the catalytic domain. (B) Mechanism of PLC activation. One common aspect of PLC activation involves the release of autoinhibition. In PLC γ enzymes, the activation is triggered by phosphorylation of a specific Tyrosine (Y) residue (yellow) within the regulatory region. In the inactive form, two domains within the regulatory region (cSH2 and sPH) directly contribute to autoinhibition. Following phosphorylation, the critical pY residue (yellow) binds to the cSH2 domain resulting in repositioning of the regulatory region and release of autoinhibition.

found in Ca²⁺-binding proteins), X and Y and a C2 domain (protein kinase C conserved region 2; coloured green in Figure 3A). The enzyme activity in PLCs is encapsulated in the $\beta\alpha$ -barrel structure (the TIM-barrel (triosephosphate isomerase barrel) domain); X and Y correspond to the two halves of the barrel. Some of the regulatory elements are present in the common PLC-core domains as distinct features in different PLCs; for example, the PH domain (pleckstrin homology domain) in PLC δ 1 binds PI(4,5)P₂ while in PLC β isoforms, it interacts with a small GTPase Rac. The regulatory function of many family-specific domains has been defined. In PLC β , the unique C-terminal domain has been implicated in interactions with G α q and with the membrane. In PLC γ isoforms, the linker between the two halves of the catalytic TIM-barrel differs from a relatively short, disordered region in all other families and is known as the γ -specific array (γ SA) (coloured pink in Figure 3A). The γ SA contains a 'split' PH domain (sPH), two Src homology 2 domains (nSH2 and cSH2) and a Src homology 3 (SH3) domain. The well-defined contacts with some members of the receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and a small GTPase Rac, are examples of many regulatory interactions mediated by the γ SA. PLC ε contains a CDC25 domain (cell division cycle 25 (Ras GEF domain) has Ras GEF (guanine nucleotide exchange factor) activity) and two Ras association (RA) domains, both related to the regulatory interplay with small GTPases.

Together, the regulatory interactions embedded in the PLC-core and contained within the additional domains, provide links with numerous and diverse cell surface receptors [27–33]. Overall, the signalling connectivity remains best defined for the G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and PLC β isoforms, mediated by the α and $\beta\gamma$ subunits





Figure 4. Class I Phosphoinositide-3-kinases (PI3K)

(A) Domain organisation of Class I PI3K. Domain organisation of Class I PI3Ks (IA and IB) showing the catalytic subunits (green), that include the kinase domain (light green), and regulatory subunits (pink). Heterodimers, comprising a specific combination of one catalytic and one regulatory subunit within each subclass, are commonly designated based on the identity of the catalytic subunit as PI3K α , PI3K β , PI3K γ and PI3K δ . Abbreviations: ABD, adaptor-binding domain; BH, breakpoint cluster region homology; cSH2, C-terminal SH2; C2, protein kinase C conserved region 2; i-SH2, inter-SH2 domain; nSH2, N-terminal SH2. (B) Activation of PI3K α . Schematic of the activation of PI3K α (p110 α /p85 α heterodimer) downstream of RTKs and adaptors containing phosphorylated YXXM-motifs (pYXXM). The binding of PI3K α to these proteins at the membrane proximity is mediated by the SH2 domains in p85 α , resulting in disruption of inhibitory contacts with the p110 α catalytic subunits. Ras also activates PI3K α , with Ras activation being strongly synergistic with activation downstream of phosphorylated RTKs and adapters.

of G-proteins, and for the RTKs and tyrosine kinases linked to immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM)-associated receptors, that activate PLC enzymes by direct phosphorylation. The regulation that involves small GTPases, activated by a range of different receptors, is also documented for several PLC families (PLC β , PLC γ and PLC ε) but the understanding of signalling links within relevant physiological contexts requires further studies. The importance of changes in cytosol Ca²⁺, in particular for the regulation PLC δ and PLC η isoforms, has also been suggested; however, precise binding sites on these PLCs are not clearly determined.

The presence of multiple PLCs with distinct regulatory links provides differential means of regulation of PLC activity, reflected in great diversity of their biological functions; this is illustrated here by several examples. Among many roles, ubiquitously expressed PLC β 1 enzyme has been implicated in control of neuronal function and the enhancement of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in pancreatic β -cells downstream of specific GPCRs in these different cell types [36–40]. PLC γ 2, highly expressed in hematopoietic cells, has the key role in signalling downstream of ITAM-associated receptors; for example, it controls multiple functions of B cells, and several types of innate immune cells in response to stimulation of the B-cell antigen receptor (BCR) and Fc receptors (FcRs), respectively [41,42]. Another illustration from a wide spectrum of different biological functions is provided by PLC ζ 1. This PLC is sperm-specific and is the physiological trigger responsible for generating I(1,4,5)P₃-mediated Ca²⁺ oscillations that induces oocyte activation during mammalian fertilisation [43,44].



Figure 5. Actin cytoskeleton dynamics regulated by PI(4,5)P2

Regulation of the actin-binding proteins, cofilin, N-WASP and ERM proteins by $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels. All these actin-binding proteins associate with $PI(4,5)P_2$ through similar multivalent electrostatic interactions, but have different affinities for $P(4,5)P_2$. Cofilin has low affinity, N-WASP has medium affinity and ERM proteins have high affinity. Cofilin is only bound to the membrane when $PI(4,5)P_2$ is present at high density. When $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels fall, cofilin is released into the cytosol to promote actin filament disassembly. In contrast, N-WASP interactions with $PI(4,5)P_2$ results in a change in confirmation leading to activation; this allows the binding of actin-related protein 2/3 (Arp2/3) to mediate actin filament nucleation at the plasma membrane. ERM proteins are stably attached to the membrane by $PI(4,5)P_2$ and link actin filaments to the plasma membrane. Cofilin and N-WASP require high $PI(4,5)P_2$ density for interactions with the membrane, whereas ERM remain bound to the membrane at low $PI(4,5)P_2$ density. Figure is adapted from [14]. Abbreviations: Arp2/2, actin-related protein 2/3; ERM, Ezrin, Radixin, Moesin; N-WASP, neural Wiskcott–Aldrich syndrome protein.

A substantial number of 3D structures for PLC enzymes provide a valuable basis for the understanding of various functional properties at the molecular level, including their PLC activity and regulatory mechanisms [45–49]. Notably, despite the diversity of their interacting proteins, the general molecular mechanism for regulation of PLCs is centred on intramolecular interactions that maintain PLCs in their inactive form, also referred to as autoinhibition, that becomes released in the process of activation. One example that illustrates this concept is provided by recent structural insights into PLC γ 1, primarily regulated by RTKs (Figure 3B). In the inactive form, two domains within the regulatory region (cSH2 and sPH) directly contribute to autoinhibition by interacting with the PLC-core, preventing membrane interactions required for the access to the PLC substrate, PI(4,5)P₂ [47,48]. Following phosphorylation of PLC γ 1, the critical pTyr residue in PLC γ 1 binds to its cSH2 domain; this intramolecular interaction is required for repositioning of the regulatory region and release of the autoinhibition.

Downstream signalling

It is well established that both products of PLC hydrolysis, $I(1,4,5)P_3$ and DAG, are second messengers. They regulate a range of functions by engaging ever-increasing number of protein targets and also through their further conversion by metabolic enzymes. $I(1,4,5)P_3$ binds to IP_3 receptors present at the ER to release Ca^{2+} into the cytosol from the



ER stores whilst hydrophobic DAG binds to C1 domains (protein kinase C conserved region 1) of proteins for membrane recruitment and activation. $I(1,4,5)P_3$ is also a substrate for the synthesis of inositol polyphosphates including pyro-phosphates such as IP₇ and IP₈ which are recognised as signalling molecules, including metabolic messengers or energy sensors [50]. Members of the PKC and Munc13 (mammalian uncoordinated-13) family as well as Ras-GRP4 (Ras guanyl-releasing protein 4) are prime examples of proteins that are regulated by transient changes in DAG [51–53]. In principle, conversion of DAG into PA also generates a bioactive metabolite with multiple functions [54–58]. PA can recruit and/or activate specific proteins such as PIP5K [55,56] and, with its cone-shaped geometry, PA can locally influence membrane topology and thus impact in membrane trafficking events [59]. However, it is more likely that the PA, generated during the PI(4,5)P₂ – PLC cycle, is segregated for resynthesis into PI.

In addition to generation of second messengers, $PI(4,5)P_2$ hydrolysis by PLC can decrease the levels of $PI(4,5)P_2$. As already outlined in the introduction, $PI(4,5)P_2$ concentrations regulate a number of processes by affecting recruitment of peripheral membrane proteins and by regulation of integral membrane proteins. Some specific examples, where changes in the $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels caused by PLC activation regulate these processes, are provided in later sections.

PI3K signalling Class I PI3Ks, their regulation and biological functions

PI3Ks phosphorylate the 3-hydroxyl group of the inositol ring in phosphatidylinositol lipids, allowing these to serve as ligands and functional regulators of a broad range of proteins. The three classes (Classes I, II and III) of these enzymes differ in their substrate specificity; the Class I PI3Ks selectively recognises and phosphorylates $PI(4,5)P_2$ (reviewed in [60–63]).

The Class I enzymes act in signalling downstream of plasma membrane-bound receptors and the small GTPases. These PI3Ks are heterodimers of a p110 catalytic subunit (that includes the kinase domain) with a regulatory subunit that keeps the heterodimer in an inactive, cytosolic state. Mammals express four catalytic subunits (p110 α , p110 β , p110 γ and p110 δ) and five regulatory subunits (p85 α , p85 β , p55 α , p50 α and p55 γ). A Class IA (p110 α , p110 β , and p110 δ) binds the p85/p50/p55 type of regulatory subunits while Class IB (p110 γ) binds one of two related regulatory subunits, p101 and p87, which have no homology to other proteins or recognisable domain structure (Figure 4A). Various domains that affect the kinase activity are present in both, catalytic and regulatory subunits of different isoforms and include the Ras-binding domain (RBD) that interacts with members of the Ras GTPase superfamily (the Ras and Rho families), SH2 domains that bind to phospho-tyrosine residues (pYXXM motifs) on growth factor receptors or adaptor proteins and a domain involved in binding to $\beta\gamma$ subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins. As a generalised overview, activation of the lipid kinase present in p110 α and p110 β is mediated by binding of their heterodimers to the pYXXM motifs, in p110 γ through the binding of $\beta\gamma$ subunits while p110 β can be activated via both types of interactions. Additionally, all p110 catalytic subunits can interact with members of Ras GTPase superfamily. Notably, synergistic activation of specific Class I PI3K isoforms through different signalling inputs is an important aspect of their regulation [64].

In addition to differences in regulation, physiological roles of specific heterodimers are determined by their expression patterns and levels of expression. p110 α and p110 β have a broad tissue distribution. p110 α heterodimers play a key role in glucose homeostasis and in insulin and growth factor signalling [65–67]. p110 γ and p110 δ are highly expressed in the immune cells but are also found in some other tissues at lower levels. They both play important, non-redundant roles in the immune system [68–70]. In addition to their diverse functions established in normal cells, PI3Ks are also quite extensively studied as targets for cancer therapy; the PI3K pathway is one of the most frequently dysregulated in cancer [71,72]. In particular, oncogenic mutations in the gene encoding the p110 α catalytic subunit, *PIK3CA*, occur with high frequency in several common cancers [73].

Structural and biophysical studies have defined the mechanisms of autoinhibition and activation of different Class I isoforms. As a well-studied example, the p110 α -p85 heterodimer and its activation by physiological signals is depicted in Figure 4B. In this case, the PI3K activity is inhibited by a combination of intra- and inter-subunit contacts that become disrupted following the engagement of the SH2 domains, present in p85, with the phosphorylated tyrosine residues in RTK/adapter proteins in stimulated cells; the activation also favours the interaction with the plasma membrane [74–76]. Interestingly, a number of frequent cancer mutations in *PIK3CA* upregulate the PI3K activity by mimicking or enhancing one or more conformational events that accompany the physiological activation [77].

Effectors of PI(3,4,5)P₃

The key to the understanding of PI3K signalling is the connectivity with downstream effectors of $PI(3,4,5)P_3$. In addition to $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ itself, its derivative $PI(3,4)P_2$ (the product of dephosphorylation on the 5-position by the



SHIP family of phosphatases (Src homology (SH2) containing inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase)) is recognised by a number of these effectors. $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ and $PI(3,4)P_2$ interact with the lipid-binding PH-domain in a range of protein effectors, resulting in their recruitment to membrane-signalling complexes and/or modulation of their activity [78–81]. Many Class I PI3K protein effectors bind to both $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ and $PI(3,4)P_2$. Interactions of proteins with these lipids, not mediated by the PH-domains or related modules, have also been described; one example are specific isoforms of the myosin motor proteins [82,83].

The PH-domain containing effectors comprise several subsets with common enzymatic or signalling functions. These include serine/threonine kinases such as AKT/PKB (protein kinase B), tyrosine kinases of the TEC (tyrosine kinase expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma) family particularly relevant for immune cells, modulators of small GT-Pase activities (various GEFs and GAPs (GTPase activating protein)) and scaffolding proteins (such as GAB (Grb2 (growth factor receptor bound protein 2)-associated binder) proteins). As a result, the activation of Class I PI3Ks can simultaneously trigger multiple, diverging downstream pathways. Compared with other effectors, the AKT kinases (AKT1, AKT2, AKT3) seem to be activated more universally downstream of receptor-mediated PI3K activation (reviewed in [81,84]). Following the $PI(3,4,5)P_3/PI(3,4)P_2$ binding by the PH domain and translocation to the membrane, AKTs undergo phosphorylation on two conserved residues (Thr³⁰⁸ by PDK1 and Ser⁴⁷³ by mTORC2), leading to their activation. More than 100 AKT substrates have been identified, including TSC2 (tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (also known as tuberin)) with the GAP function for a small GTPase RHEB (Ras homologue enriched in brain) and a number of FOXO (Forkhead family) transcription factors. The functional outcomes of TSC2 phosphorylation by AKT are well defined and linked to regulation of mTORC1 (mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1) by growth factor stimulation. As the key signalling node that coordinates anabolic metabolism and cell mass accumulation, mTORC1 integrates signals from nutrient availability with those from the growth factor receptors/Class I PI3Ks/AKT/TSC2 pathway. In contrast, the involvement of the FOXO transcription factors in PI3K signalling is less clear and most likely, substantially cell-context dependent; in T cells, the AKT/FOXO signalling controls cell differentiation and adaptation to nutrients and stress [85,86].

Intact PI(4,5)P₂ regulates actin cytoskeleton remodelling

As illustrated for $PI(3,4,5)P_3$ above, $PI(4,5)P_2$ similarly binds and regulates a range of proteins; a subset of these downstream effectors is involved in regulation of actin cytoskeleton. Remodelling of the actin cytoskeleton occurs during many processes including cytokinesis, phagocytosis, endocytosis, cell motility and at focal adhesions. One of the main drivers for this process is $PI(4,5)P_2$ [87]; it interacts with several actin-binding proteins at the plasma membrane, serving to regulate their activity through its levels (Figure 5) [14,88]. The actin cytoskeleton provides rigidity to the cells and is attached to the plasma membrane by Ezrin, Radixin and Moesin, collectively known as ERM proteins [89,90]. ERM proteins contain the FERM domain that directly binds to $PI(4,5)P_2$. This interaction is important for releasing the autoinhibited state of the protein. ERM family proteins serve to securely cross-link actin filaments to the cell cortex; they have a very high affinity for $PI(4,5)P_2$ and only dissociates from the membrane under extreme circumstances [14,91]. In lymphocytes, the chemokine, SDF-1 (stromal cell-derived factor 1 (also known as chemokine 12)), inactivates ERM proteins, causing their release from the plasma membrane following PLC activation [92]. Another class of linker protein between the plasma membrane and the actin cortex is class I myosin family proteins. Similar to the ERM proteins, class I myosins are also recruited to the membrane by $PI(4,5)P_2$ [90].

In general, actin binding proteins have differing affinities for $PI(4,5)P_2$, meaning that the level of $PI(4,5)P_2$ at the plasma membrane can tightly regulate the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton, with decreased $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels having the overall effect of decreased actin stability. Overall, $PI(4,5)P_2$ density plays an important role in cell motility by regulating the activity of actin binding proteins. Proteins such as cofilin that disassemble actin filaments have low affinity for $PI(4,5)P_2$. Thus cofilin is retained at the plasma membrane under resting conditions when $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels are high. During actin cytoskeletal remodelling, when $PI(4,5)P_2$ levels are locally altered, proteins that aid actin filament disassembly such as cofilin are released into the cytosol where it can engage in disassembly of actin filaments making available actin monomers. Proteins such as N-WASP (neural Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein) that initiate actin polymerisation are active when interacting with $PI(4,5)P_2$ [14,91]. N-WASP has a high affinity for $PI(4,5)P_2$, and is activated in regions with a high $PI(4,5)P_2$ density, which in turn activates the actin-related protein 2/3 (Arp2/3) complex to initiate actin nucleation. This is important for cell migration; N-WASP localise at extending lamellipodia which are regions of high $PI(4,5)P_2$ density.

PLC activation results in decreased $PI(4,5)P_2$ and this impacts on the actin cytoskeleton. For example, lamellipodial protrusion and directional migration of carcinoma cells towards chemoattractants, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), depend upon the spatial and temporal regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. EGF induces a rapid loss of



 $PI(4,5)P_2$ through PLC activity, resulting in release and activation of a membrane-bound pool of cofilin. Upon release, cofilin binds to and severs F-actin, which is coincident with actin polymerisation and lamellipodium formation [93].

Focal adhesions are structures that mechanically connect the extracellular matrix to intracellular actin bundles via integrins. Talin is an integrin-activating focal adhesion component directly connecting integrins in the plasma membrane with the actomyosin cytoskeleton [94,95]. Talin contains a FERM domain that allows the protein to attach to $PI(4,5)P_2$. Talin also binds to $PIP5K\gamma$, the enzyme that makes $PI(4,5)P_2$, defining a mechanism for spatial generation of $PI(4,5)P_2$ at focal adhesions [96–98].

Endocytosis

Internalisation of nutrients, cargo-bound receptors and ligand-bound signalling receptors takes place by clathrin-mediated endocytosis which requires PI(4,5)P₂ [99]. PI(4,5)P₂ at the plasma membrane localises the required endocytic machinery to the site of endocytosis. The adaptor protein, AP2 is a complex of four proteins consisting of a core comprising the N-terminal domains of the α -and β 2-adaptins in complex with the μ 2 and σ 2 subunits. The α , β 2 and μ 2 subunits all contain PI(4,5)P₂ binding sites. Long flexible linkers, referred to as hinge regions, connect the C-terminal appendage domains of α -and β 2-adaptins to the core (Figure 6A). AP2 exists in a closed conformation in the cytosol, in which the clathrin binding site is buried by interactions between the β 2 hinge and the core. The PI(4,5)P₂ and cargo binding sites on the μ 2 subunit are also buried in this conformation. The interaction of surface-exposed binding sites on both the α - and β 2-adaptin with plasma membrane-enriched PI(4,5)P₂ triggers an allosteric conformational change to an open conformation that exposes the clathrin binding site on the β 2 hinge as well as the PI(4,5)P₂ and cargo binding sites of μ 2 (Figure 6A). The active conformation of AP2 can then recruit clathrin. A positive feedback loop is also established as AP2 activates PIP 5-kinase for increased PI(4,5)P₂ production, promoting further recruitment of AP2 and assembly of endocytic vesicles [100].

Additional roles for $PI(4,5)P_2$ are also central for completion of the endocytic process. After clathrin recruitment, $PI(4,5)P_2$ facilitates membrane deformation. Epsin binds to $PI(4,5)P_2$, localising epsin to the endocytic site where it inserts an amphipathic helix for membrane deformation [101,102]. Accessory proteins with BAR (domain named after three proteins: Bin, Amphiphysin and Rvs that share the domain) domains, which also bind $PI(4,5)P_2$, also contribute to deformation of the membrane [103]. $PI(4,5)P_2$ also plays a crucial role in the recruitment of dynamin to the plasma membrane where it assembles at the neck of the budding vesicle and mediates fusion of the non-cytosolic leaflets of the membrane [99]. $PI(4,5)P_2$ is dephosphorylated by 5-phosphatases for uncoating to take place [104]. Thus, although $PI(4,5)P_2$ facilitates the mechanism of clathrin vesicle endocytosis, excess $PI(4,5)P_2$ inhibits endocytosis. Persistence of $PI(4,5)P_2$ on vesicular membranes prevents the uncoating of the vesicle and subsequent vesicular fusion with the target membrane [105].

Exocytosis

A potential role for phosphoinositides in exocytosis was first described by studies that used a bacterial PLC for depletion resulting in inhibition of Ca^{2+} -mediated exocytosis in permeabilised chromaffin cells [106]. Subsequent work in several types of secretory cells found PI(4,5P)₂ necessary for exocytosis [107,108]. Several PI(4,5P)₂-binding proteins have been identified with important functions in SNARE complex assembly, including C2-domain-containing proteins, synaptotagmin-1 and Munc13-1 [109], PH- and C2-domain-containing protein CAPS (Ca^{2+} -dependent activator protein for secretion), and syntaxin-1 [110]. Synaptotagmin-1 is a synaptic vesicle-associated membrane protein whilst Munc13-1 and CAPS are cytosolic protein recruited by PI(4,5)P₂. In contrast syntaxin 1 is clustered by high concentration of PI(4,5)P₂ at the plasma membrane. Thus PI(4,5)P₂ participates in multiple aspects of exocytosis including docking, priming and fusion of secretory granules [111].

Ion channel regulation by PI(4,5)P₂

Like endocytosis, many ion channels and transporters in the plasma membrane also depend on the presence of $PI(4,5)P_2$ for correct functioning [112–114]. $PI(4,5)P_2$ acts directly on ion channels including inwardly rectifying K⁺ (Kir) channels, KCNQ (also known as Kv) channels and transporters such as the Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger to facilitate their opening. This dependence on $PI(4,5)P_2$ allows the activity of channels and transporters to be directly linked to cellular signalling. A variety of signalling pathways involve PLC activation and so $PI(4,5)P_2$ depletion, leading to the inactivation of these $PI(4,5)P_2$ -dependent channels. The best characterised example is the KCNQ channels which are maintained in the open state allowing K⁺ to move freely (Figure 6B). Upon stimulation with the muscarinic agonist, M1 receptors are activated which couple to Gaq and activate PLC β 1. A robust decrease in $PI(4,5)P_2$ causes channel closure; the $PI(4,5)P_2$ hydrolysis products IP₃ and DAG do not contribute directly to channel regulation. Resynthesis





Figure 6. Examples of membrane peripheral and membrane integral proteins regulated by PI(4,5)P 2

(A) Binding of Pl(4,5)P₂ to the protein complex, AP2, changes its conformation to allow cargo and clathrin interactions. The adaptor protein, AP2 is a complex of four proteins consisting of a core comprising the N-terminal domains of the α -and β 2-adaptins in complex with the μ 2 and σ 2 subunits. The α , β 2 and μ 2 subunits all contain Pl(4,5)P₂ binding sites marked with pink stars. Long flexible linkers, referred to as hinge regions, connect the C-terminal appendage domains of α -and β 2-adaptins to the core. AP2 exists in a closed conformation in the cytosol, in which the clathrin binding site is buried by interactions between the β 2 hinge and the core and the cargo binding site on the μ 2 subunit are also buried. Initially, the surface-exposed Pl(4,5)P₂ binding site on the α -and β 2-adaptin interact with the lipid triggering an allosteric conformational change to an open conformation. This exposes the clathrin binding site on the β 2 hinge as well as the Pl(4,5)P₂ and cargo binding sites of μ 2. Figure adapted from [99]. (B) Regulation of potassium channels by Pl(4,5)P₂ depletion by PLC. Potassium channels are maintained in the open state when bound to Pl(4,5)P₂. Stimulation of the muscarinic M1 receptor by a cholinergic stimulus activates PLC to hydrolyse Pl(4,5)P₂. Pl(4,5)P₂ depletion results in closure of the ion channel. Abbreviations: M₁R, M₁ muscarinic receptor; Pl(4,5)P₂, phosphatidylinos-itol(4,5,)bisphosphate. Figure adapted from [154].

of $PI(4,5)P_2$ is a rapid process which reopens the channels [115,116]. Another example is the Kir2.2 channel, which is maintained in the open state to allow inflow of K⁺. A crystal structure of the inward rectifier Kir2.2 channel shows that each subunit directly coordinates a single $PI(4,5)P_2$ molecule in a conserved basic pocket to keep the channel open [12,13].



Regulation of ion channels by PI(4,5)P₂ can either maintain channels in the 'open' or 'closed' state. The Ca^{2+/}Na⁺ TRPV4 (transient receptor potential vanilloid 4) channel is inhibited by PI(4,5)P₂ and opens when PI(4,5)P₂ levels drop, the opposite to Kir2.1 channels. The depletion of PI(4,5)P₂ by agonists such as prostaglandin E₂, ATP or acetylcholine that signal through $G\alpha q$ -PLC β 1 can therefore cause a simultaneous closure of Kir2.1 channels and the opening of TRPV4 channels as observed in endothelial cells [117].

A recent development is the use of high resolution cryo-electron microscopy to study structures of ion channels which are functionally reconstituted in lipid nanodiscs. The GABA_A receptor is a pentamer and two molecules of $PI(4,5)P_2$ are constitutively associated with the receptor. The negatively charged headgroup of $PI(4,5)P_2$ occupies a positively charged pocket in the intracellular juxta-membrane region of one of the subunits. The function of $PI(4,5)P_2$ is not to regulate channel function. It is speculated that in a physiological context, this interaction may serve to sequester the protein to specific lipid microdomains, where trafficking the protein can be precisely regulated [13,118].

PI(4,5)P₂ stabilises interactions between GPCRs and G α subunits and with arrestin

Recent studies highlight a role for $PI(4,5)P_2$ in stabilising interactions between GPCRs and their binding partners, G-proteins and arrestins. $PI(4,5)P_2$ binds to GPCRs such as the β 1-adrenergic receptor, the adenosine A2 receptor, and the neurotensin receptor 1. The head group of $PI(4,5)P_2$ specifically bridges the G α s (but not G α i or G α 12) subunit and the transmembrane domain of the β 1-adrenergic receptor stabilising the active state of the GPCR [119]. Stabilisation of the receptors in the active state increases GTPase activity and enhances selectivity of coupling to G proteins.

To terminate GPCR signalling, the receptors are phosphorylated by G-protein receptor kinases (GRKs) promoting the binding of arrestin. This prevents G-protein coupling, triggering receptor internalisation and affecting various downstream pathways. The structure of the phosphorylated human neurotensin receptor 1 with arrestin reveals a $PI(4,5)P_2$ molecule forming a bridge between the receptor and arrestin [120,121].

Organisation of PI(4,5)P₂ at the plasma membrane

As described above, many processes that require $PI(4,5)P_2$ operate simultaneously at the plasma membrane. This raises the question of how the different requirements of $PI(4,5)P_2$ -dependent functions are maintained. Our understanding of the plasma membrane has evolved with the recognition that the lipids are not homogeneously distributed but are segregated; one early concept was 'lipid rafts' as platforms enriched in cholesterol and sphingolipids, in which specific proteins involved in signalling can accumulate [122,123].

 $PI(4,5)P_2$ segregation has been studied by comparing its diffusion at the cytoplasmic leaflet of cellular plasma membranes and membranes devoid of protein [124]. The diffusion coefficient is much lower and results indicate that two thirds of the $PI(4,5)P_2$ is reversibly bound to proteins. Similar results have been seen in red blood cells where 50% of the $PI(4,5)P_2$ is bound to cytoskeletal proteins [125]. A further refinement of this concept is the formation of dynamic clusters of $PI(4,5)P_2$ at nanoscale. Using super-resolution stimulated-emission depletion (STED) microscopy on the plasma membranes of PC12 cells, $PI(4,5)P_2$ was found in clusters of ~65–73 nm in size [110,126]. Basically, current studies strongly suggest that $PI(4,5)P_2$ clusters in the cytoplasmic leaflet align with cholesteroland sphingomyelin-rich regions in the external leaflet of the plasma membranes by a mechanism referred to as trans-bilayer coupling [111,127–130]. Local enrichment of $PI(4,5)P_2$ can occur by multiple mechanisms [131,132]. There can be preferential trapping of $PI(4,5)P_2$ in lipid rafts, binding proteins such as MARCKS (myristoylated alanine-rich C-kinase substrate), syntaxin-1 and K-Ras that sequester $PI(4,5)P_2$, or localised recruitment of PIP5K to generate $PI(4,5)P_2$. Although there is strong evidence to support segregation of $PI(4,5)P_2$, as discussed above, there remains many caveats due to technical limitations [132,133].

Future directions

The present and past decades have seen a tremendous surge in the study of phosphoinositide signalling and reiterated their important place in regulation of diverse biological processes; the list continues to increase to span many cellular functions and their dysregulation in disease. Among different phosphoinositides, $PI(4,5)P_2$ has an important role both, as a substrate for two types of key signalling enzymes (PLC and PI3K) and as a regulatory ligand for peripheral and integral membrane proteins. Many important proteins in different signalling networks linked to $PI(4,5)P_2$ have been extensively characterised. However, further structural and functional characterisation of higher order complexes and more detailed insights into allosteric regulation of proteins by the $PI(4,5)P_2$ -binding (particularly relevant



for ion channels and GPCRs) is needed; in pursuing these directions, we are likely to see an increasing contribution from methodologies such as cryo-EM. Although not covered in this review, the importance of aberrant functions of different PLCs and PI3Ks in disease development is well established and continues to expand [11,62,67,72,134–138]. Therefore, these efforts are likely to have a significant translational value, notably for drug discovery. The need for more cellular and physiological studies is also apparent. For example, as we understand more and more about the importance of spatial and temporal organisation and connectivity of the PI(4,5)P₂ signals, it has become clear that we need to follow changes in live cells with subcellular resolution; the techniques capable of achieving super-resolution level imaging are likely to play an important contribution in this area. Some tools are available for specifically imaging PI(4,5)P₂ (including the widely-used PH domain of PLC δ) but these have limitations and therefore further development is required.

Summary

- PI(4,5)P₂ plays many roles in the plasma membrane.
- PI(4,5)P₂ is a substrate for two signalling pathways, PLC and PI3K.
- PI(4,5)P₂ regulates many actin binding proteins for actin cytoskeleton dynamics.
- PI(4,5)P₂ recruits many protein for endocytosis and for exocytosis.
- Ion channels and GPCRs are regulated by changes in PI(4,5)P₂ levels that can be mediated by PLC.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Funding

The authors declare that there are no sources of funding to be acknowledged.

Open Access

Open access for this article was enabled by the participation of University College London in an all-inclusive *Read & Publish* pilot with Portland Press and the Biochemical Society under a transformative agreement with JISC.

Author Contribution

S.C. and M.K. wrote the article.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr Nicholas Blunsom for preparing the figures and commenting on the manuscript.

Abbreviations

AKT, serine/threonine kinase, also known as PKB; CAPS, Ca²⁺-dependent activator protein for secretion; CDC25 domain, cell division cycle 25 (Ras GEF domain); CDP-DAG, cytidine diphosphate diacylglycerol; CDS, CDP-DAG synthase; DAG, diacyl-glycerol; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; FERM, domain found in four proteins Band 4.1, ezrin, radixin and moesin; FOXO, Forkhead family of transcription factor; GAP, GTPase activating protein; GEF, guanine nucleotide exchange factor; GPCR, G-protein coupled receptor; IP₃/I(1,4,5)P₃, inositol(1,4,5)trisphosphate; ITAM, immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif; Kir, inward rectifying K⁺ channel; mTORC1, mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1; Munc13, mammalian uncoordinated-13; N-WASP, neural Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein; PA, phosphatidic acid; PDZ, domain named after three proteins: PSD95, Dig1 and Zo-1 that share the domain; PH domain, pleckstrin homology domain; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PI(3,4,5)P₃, phosphatidylinositol(4,5)bisphosphate; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PI4K, PI 4-kinase; PI4P, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate; PI4P5-K, PI4P 5-kinase; PIS, PI synthase; PKC, protein kinase C; PLC, phospholipase



C; PLC-XD, PLC X-domain containing protein; RA, Ras association; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; SH2, Src homology 2; SH3, Src homology 3; SNARE, Soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors; TIM barrel, triosephosphate isomerase barrel; TRPV4, transient receptor potential vanilloid 4; TSC2, tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (also known as tuberin); γSA, γ-specific array.

References

- 1 van Meer, G., Voelker, D.R. and Feigenson, G.W. (2008) Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 9, 112–124, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2330
- 2 Cunningham, E., Thomas, G.M.H., Ball, A., Hiles, I. and Cockcroft, S. (1995) Phosphatidylinositol transfer protein dictates the rate of inositol trisphosphate production by promoting the synthesis of PIP₂. *Curr. Biol.* 5, 775–783, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(95)00154-0
- 3 McLaughlin, S. and Murray, D. (2005) Plasma membrane phosphoinositide organization by protein electrostatics. *Nature* **438**, 605–611, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04398
- 4 McLaughlin, S., Wang, J., Gambhir, A. and Murray, D. (2002) PIP(2) and proteins: interactions, organization, and information flow. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **31**, 151–175, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.31.082901.134259
- 5 Barneda, D., Cosulich, S., Stephens, L. and Hawkins, P. (2019) How is the acyl chain composition of phosphoinositides created and does it matter? *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 47, 1291–1305, https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20190205
- 6 Blunsom, N.J. and Cockcroft, S. (2020) Phosphatidylinositol synthesis at the endoplasmic reticulum. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell. Biol. Lipids* 1865, 158471, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2019.05.015
- 7 Di Paolo, G. and de Camilli, P. (2006) Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics. *Nature* **443**, 651–657, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05185
- 8 Balla, T. (2013) Phosphoinositides: tiny lipids with giant impact on cell regulation. *Physiol. Rev.* 93, 1019–1137, https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00028.2012
- 9 Lemmon, M.A. (2007) Pleckstrin homology (PH) domains and phosphoinositides. *Biochem. Soc. Symp.* **74**, 81–93, https://doi.org/10.1042/BSS0740081
- 10 Schink, K.O., Tan, K.W. and Stenmark, H. (2016) Phosphoinositides in control of membrane dynamics. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **32**, 143–171, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-111315-125349
- 11 Duncan, A.L., Song, W. and Sansom, M.S.P. (2020) Lipid-dependent regulation of ion channels and G protein-coupled receptors: insights from structures and simulations. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **60**, 31–50, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010919-023411
- 12 Hansen, S.B. (2015) Lipid agonism: the PIP2 paradigm of ligand-gated ion channels. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1851**, 620–628, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2015.01.011
- 13 Robinson, C.V., Rohacs, T. and Hansen, S.B. (2019) Tools for understanding nanoscale lipid regulation of ion channels. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 44, 795–806, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2019.04.001
- 14 Senju, Y. and Lappalainen, P. (2019) Regulation of actin dynamics by Pl(4,5)P2 in cell migration and endocytosis. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 56, 7–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2018.08.003
- 15 Hammond, G.R.V. and Burke, J.E. (2020) Novel roles of phosphoinositides in signaling, lipid transport, and disease. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **63**, 57–67, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2019.12.007
- 16 Dickson, E.J. and Hille, B. (2019) Understanding phosphoinositides: rare, dynamic, and essential membrane phospholipids. *Biochem. J.* **476**, 1–23, https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20180022
- 17 Kolay, S., Basu, U. and Raghu, P. (2016) Control of diverse subcellular processes by a single multi-functional lipid phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate [Pl(4,5)P2]. *Biochem. J* **473**, 1681–1692, https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160069
- 18 Kutateladze, T.G. (2010) Translation of the phosphoinositide code by Pl effectors. Nat. Chem. Biol. 6, 507-513, https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.390
- 19 Chung, J., Nakatsu, F., Baskin, J.M. and De Camilli, P. (2015) Plasticity of Pl4KIllalpha interactions at the plasma membrane. *EMBO Rep.* 16, 312–320, https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439151
- 20 Nakatsu, F., Baskin, J.M., Chung, J., Tanner, L.B., Shui, G., Lee, S.Y. et al. (2012) PtdIns4P synthesis by Pl4KIIIalpha at the plasma membrane and its impact on plasma membrane identity. J. Cell Biol. 199, 1003–1016, https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201206095
- 21 Volpicelli-Daley, L.A., Lucast, L., Gong, L.W., Liu, L., Sasaki, J., Sasaki, T. et al. (2010) Phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-kinases and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate synthesis in the brain. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 28708–28714, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.132191
- Blunsom, N.J. and Cockcroft, S. (2020) CDP-diacylglycerol synthases: gateway to phosphatidylinositol and cardiolipin synthesis. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 8, https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00063
- 23 Cockcroft, S. and Raghu, P. (2016) Topological organisation of the phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate-phospholipase C resynthesis cycle: PITPs bridge the ER-PM gap. *Biochem. J.* **473**, 4289–4310, https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160514C
- 24 Cockcroft, S. (2012) The diverse functions of phosphatidylinositol transfer proteins. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **362**, 185–208
- 25 Thomas, G.M.H., Cunningham, E., Fensome, A., Ball, A., Totty, N.F., Troung, O. et al. (1993) An essential role for phosphatidylinositol transfer protein in phospholipase C-mediated inositol lipid signalling. *Cell* **74**, 919–928, https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90471-2
- 26 Kim, Y.J., Guzman-Hernandez, M.L., Wisniewski, E., Echeverria, N. and Balla, T. (2016) Phosphatidylinositol and phosphatidic acid transport between the ER and plasma membrane during PLC activation requires the Nir2 protein. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 44, 197–201, https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20150187
- 27 Kadamur, G. and Ross, E.M. (2013) Mammalian phospholipase C. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 75, 127–154, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183750



- 28 Nakamura, Y. and Fukami, K. (2017) Regulation and physiological functions of mammalian phospholipase C. J. Biochem. 161, 315–321
- 29 Suh, P.G., Park, J.I., Manzoli, L., Cocco, L., Peak, J.C., Katan, M. et al. (2008) Multiple roles of phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C isozymes. BMB Rep. 41, 415–434, https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2008.41.6.415
- 30 Gresset, A., Sondek, J. and Harden, T.K. (2012) The phospholipase C isozymes and their regulation. *Subcell. Biochem.* **58**, 61–94, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-3012-0'3
- 31 Fukami, K., Inanobe, S., Kanemaru, K. and Nakamura, Y. (2010) Phospholipase C is a key enzyme regulating intracellular calcium and modulating the phosphoinositide balance. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **49**, 429–437, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2010.06.001
- 32 Cocco, L., Follo, M.Y., Manzoli, L. and Suh, P.G. (2015) Phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C in health and disease. J. Lipid Res. 56, 1853–1860, https://doi.org/10.1194/ilr.R057984
- 33 Everett, K.L. and Katan, M. (2016) The PLC pathway. In *Encyclopedia of Cell Biology* (Bradshaw, R.A. and Stahl, P.D., eds), vol. **3**, pp. 153–160, Academic Press, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.
- 34 Gellatly, S.A., Kalujnaia, S. and Cramb, G. (2012) Cloning, tissue distribution and sub-cellular localisation of phospholipase C X-domain containing protein (PLCXD) isoforms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 424, 651–656, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.06.079
- 35 Roberts, M.F., Khan, H.M., Goldstein, R., Reuter, N. and Gershenson, A. (2018) Search and subvert: minimalist bacterial phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C enzymes. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 8435–8473, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00208
- 36 Kim, D., Jun, K.S., Lee, S.B., Kang, N.G., Min, D.S., Kim, Y.H. et al. (1997) Phospholipase C isozymes selectively couple to specific neurotransmitter receptors. *Nature* 389, 290–293, https://doi.org/10.1038/38508
- 37 Biddlecome, G.H., Berstein, G. and Ross, E.M. (1996) Regulation of phospholipase C-beta1 by Gq and m1 muscarinic cholinergic receptor. Steady-state balance of receptor-mediated activation and GTPase-activating protein-promoted deactivation. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 7999–8007, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.14.7999
- 38 Bohm, D., Schwegler, H., Kotthaus, L., Nayernia, K., Rickmann, M., Kohler, M. et al. (2002) Disruption of PLC-beta 1-mediated signal transduction in mutant mice causes age-dependent hippocampal mossy fiber sprouting and neurodegeneration. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 21, 584–601, https://doi.org/10.1006/mcne.2002.1199
- 39 Hwang, H.J., Yang, Y.R., Kim, H.Y., Choi, Y., Park, K.S., Lee, H. et al. (2019) Phospholipase C-beta1 potentiates glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. FASEB J. 33, 10668–10679, https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201802732RR
- 40 Hwang, H.J., Jang, H.J., Cocco, L. and Suh, P.G. (2019) The regulation of insulin secretion via phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase Cbeta signaling. Adv. Biol. Regul. 71, 10–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbior.2018.09.011
- 41 Wang, D., Feng, J., Wen, R., Marine, J.C., Sangster, M.Y., Parganas, E. et al. (2000) Phospholipase Cgamma2 is essential in the functions of B cell and several Fc receptors. *Immunity* **13**, 25–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)00005-4
- 42 Hashimoto, A., Takeda, K., Inaba, M., Sekimata, M., Kaisho, T., Ikehara, S. et al. (2000) Cutting edge: essential role of phospholipase C-gamma 2 in B cell development and function. J. Immunol. 165, 1738–1742, https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.165.4.1738
- 43 Hachem, A., Godwin, J., Ruas, M., Lee, H.C., Buitrago, M.F., Ardestani, G. et al. (2017) PLCzeta is the physiological trigger of the Ca(2+) oscillations that induce embryogenesis in mammals but conception can occur in its absence. *Development* 144, 2914–2924, https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.150227
- 44 Nozawa, K., Satouh, Y., Fujimoto, T., Oji, A. and Ikawa, M. (2018) Sperm-borne phospholipase C zeta-1 ensures monospermic fertilization in mice. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1315, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19497-6
- 45 Essen, L.O., Perisic, O., Cheung, R., Katan, M. and Williams, R.L. (1996) Crystal structure of a mammalian phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C delta. *Nature* **380**, 595–602, https://doi.org/10.1038/380595a0
- 46 Waldo, G.L., Ricks, T.K., Hicks, S.N., Cheever, M.L., Kawano, T., Tsuboi, K. et al. (2010) Kinetic scaffolding mediated by a phospholipase C-beta and Gq signaling complex. Science 330, 974–980, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193438
- 47 Hajicek, N., Keith, N.C., Siraliev-Perez, E., Temple, B.R., Huang, W., Zhang, Q. et al. (2019) Structural basis for the activation of PLC-gamma isozymes by phosphorylation and cancer-associated mutations. *eLife* **8**, e51700, https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.51700
- 48 Liu, Y., Bunney, T.D., Khosa, S., Mace, K., Beckenbauer, K., Askwith, T. et al. (2020) Structural insights and activating mutations in diverse pathologies define mechanisms of deregulation for phospholipase C gamma enzymes. *EBioMedicine* **51**, 102607, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.102607
- 49 Lyon, A.M., Dutta, S., Boguth, C.A., Skiniotis, G. and Tesmer, J.J. (2013) Full-length Galpha(q)-phospholipase C-beta3 structure reveals interfaces of the C-terminal coiled-coil domain. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 355–362, https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2497
- 50 Wilson, M.S., Livermore, T.M. and Saiardi, A. (2013) Inositol pyrophosphates: between signalling and metabolism. *Biochem. J.* **452**, 369–379, https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20130118
- 51 Suire, S., Lecureuil, C., Anderson, K.E., Damoulakis, G., Niewczas, I., Davidson, K. et al. (2012) GPCR activation of Ras and PI3Kc in neutrophils depends on PLCb2/b3 and the RasGEF RasGRP4. *EMBO J.* **31**, 3118–3129, https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.167
- 52 Xu, J., Camacho, M., Xu, Y., Esser, V., Liu, X., Trimbuch, T. et al. (2017) Mechanistic insights into neurotransmitter release and presynaptic plasticity from the crystal structure of Munc13-1 C1C2BMUN. *eLife* 6, e22567, https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.22567
- 53 Colon-Gonzalez, F. and Kazanietz, M.G. (2006) C1 domains exposed: from diacylglycerol binding to protein-protein interactions. *Biochim. Biophys Acta* **1761**, 827–837, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2006.05.001
- 54 Thakur, R., Naik, A., Panda, A. and Raghu, P. (2019) Regulation of membrane turnover by phosphatidic acid: cellular functions and disease implications. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 7, 83, https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00083
- 55 Stace, C., Manifava, M., Delon, C., Coadwell, J., Cockcroft, S. and Ktistakis, N.T. (2008) PA binding of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase. *Adv. Enzyme Regul.* **48**, 55–72, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advenzreg.2007.11.008
- 56 Cockcroft, S. (2009) Phosphatidic acid regulation of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinases. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1791**, 905–912, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.03.007



- 57 Kim, S.-C. and Wang, X. (2020) Phosphatidic acid: an emerging versatile class of cellular mediators. *Essays Biochem.*, https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20190089
- 58 Tanguy, E., Wang, Q., Moine, H. and Vitale, N. (2019) Phosphatidic acid: from pleiotropic functions to neuronal pathology. *Front. Cell Neurosci.* **13**, 2, https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2019.00002
- 59 Putta, P., Rankenberg, J., Korver, R.A., van Wijk, R., Munnik, T., Testerink, C. et al. (2016) Phosphatidic acid binding proteins display differential binding as a function of membrane curvature stress and chemical properties. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1858**, 2709–2716, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2016.07.014
- 60 Vanhaesebroeck, B., Guillermet-Guibert, J., Graupera, M. and Bilanges, B. (2010) The emerging mechanisms of isoform-specific PI3K signalling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **11**, 329–341, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2882
- 61 Fritsch, R. and Downward, J. (2013) SnapShot: class I PI3K isoform signaling. *Cell* **154**, 940.e941–940.e941, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.045
- 62 Fruman, D.A., Chiu, H., Hopkins, B.D., Bagrodia, S., Cantley, L.C. and Abraham, R.T. (2017) The PI3K pathway in human disease. *Cell* **170**, 605–635, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.029
- 63 Burke, J.E. (2018) Structural basis for regulation of phosphoinositide kinases and their involvement in human disease. *Mol. Cell* **71**, 653–673, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.08.005
- 64 Burke, J.E. and Williams, R.L. (2015) Synergy in activating class I PI3Ks. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **40**, 88–100, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2014.12.003
- 65 Foukas, L.C., Claret, M., Pearce, W., Okkenhaug, K., Meek, S., Peskett, E. et al. (2006) Critical role for the p110alpha phosphoinositide-3-OH kinase in growth and metabolic regulation. *Nature* **441**, 366–370, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04694
- 66 Knight, Z.A., Gonzalez, B., Feldman, M.E., Zunder, E.R., Goldenberg, D.D., Williams, O. et al. (2006) A pharmacological map of the PI3-K family defines a role for p110alpha in insulin signaling. *Cell* **125**, 733–747, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.035
- 67 Hopkins, B.D., Goncalves, M.D. and Cantley, L.C. (2020) Insulin-PI3K signalling: an evolutionarily insulated metabolic driver of cancer. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **16**, 276–283, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-0329-9
- 68 Hawkins, P.T. and Stephens, L.R. (2015) PI3K signalling in inflammation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1851, 882–897, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.12.006
- 69 Okkenhaug, K. (2013) Signaling by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase family in immune cells. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **31**, 675–704, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-095946
- 70 Lucas, C.L., Chandra, A., Nejentsev, S., Condliffe, A.M. and Okkenhaug, K. (2016) PI3Kdelta and primary immunodeficiencies. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 16, 702–714, https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.93
- 71 Janku, F., Yap, T.A. and Meric-Bernstam, F. (2018) Targeting the PI3K pathway in cancer: are we making headway? *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **15**, 273–291, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2018.28
- 72 Goncalves, M.D., Hopkins, B.D. and Cantley, L.C. (2018) Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, growth disorders, and cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **379**, 2052–2062, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1704560
- 73 Samuels, Y. and Waldman, T. (2010) Oncogenic mutations of PIK3CA in human cancers. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 347, 21–41
- 74 Vadas, O., Burke, J.E., Zhang, X., Berndt, A. and Williams, R.L. (2011) Structural basis for activation and inhibition of class I phosphoinositide 3-kinases. Sci. Signal. 4, re2, https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002165
- 75 Burke, J.E. and Williams, R.L. (2013) Dynamic steps in receptor tyrosine kinase mediated activation of class IA phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3K) captured by H/D exchange (HDX-MS). Adv. Biol. Regul. 53, 97–110, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbior.2012.09.005
- 76 Hon, W.C., Berndt, A. and Williams, R.L. (2012) Regulation of lipid binding underlies the activation mechanism of class IA PI3-kinases. *Oncogene* **31**, 3655–3666, https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2011.532
- 77 Burke, J.E., Perisic, O., Masson, G.R., Vadas, O. and Williams, R.L. (2012) Oncogenic mutations mimic and enhance dynamic events in the natural activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110alpha (PIK3CA). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 15259–15264, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205508109
- 78 Isakoff, S.J., Cardozo, T., Andreev, J., Li, Z., Ferguson, K.M., Abagyan, R. et al. (1998) Identification and analysis of PH domain-containing targets of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase using a novel in vivo assay in yeast. *EMBO J.* **17**, 5374–5387, https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.18.5374
- 79 Zhang, P., Wang, Y., Sesaki, H. and lijima, M. (2010) Proteomic identification of phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5) triphosphate-binding proteins in Dictyostelium discoideum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 11829–11834, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1006153107
- 80 Park, W.S., Heo, W.D., Whalen, J.H., O'Rourke, N.A., Bryan, H.M., Meyer, T. et al. (2008) Comprehensive identification of PIP3-regulated PH domains from *C. elegans* to *H. sapiens* by model prediction and live imaging. *Mol. Cell* **30**, 381–392, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.04.008
- 81 Manning, B.D. and Toker, A. (2017) AKT/PKB signaling: navigating the network. Cell 169, 381-405, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.001
- 82 Chen, C.L., Wang, Y., Sesaki, H. and lijima, M. (2012) Myosin I links PIP3 signaling to remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton in chemotaxis. *Sci. Signal.* **5**, ra10, https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002446
- 83 Plantard, L., Arjonen, A., Lock, J.G., Nurani, G., Ivaska, J. and Stromblad, S. (2010) PtdIns(3,4,5)P(3) is a regulator of myosin-X localization and filopodia formation. J. Cell Sci. **123**, 3525–3534, https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.069609
- 84 Dummler, B. and Hemmings, B.A. (2007) Physiological roles of PKB/Akt isoforms in development and disease. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 35, 231–235, https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0350231
- 85 Hedrick, S.M., Hess Michelini, R., Doedens, A.L., Goldrath, A.W. and Stone, E.L. (2012) F0X0 transcription factors throughout T cell biology. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **12**, 649–661, https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3278
- 86 Luo, C.T. and Li, M.O. (2018) Foxo transcription factors in T cell biology and tumor immunity. Semin. Cancer Biol. 50, 13–20, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2018.04.006



- 87 Raucher, D., Stauffer, T., Chen, W., Shen, K., Guo, S., York, J.D. et al. (2000) Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate functions as a second messenger that regulates cytoskeleton-plasma membrane adhesion. *Cell* **100**, 221–228, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81560-3
- Janmey, P.A., Bucki, R. and Radhakrishnan, R. (2018) Regulation of actin assembly by PI(4,5)P2 and other inositol phospholipids: an update on possible mechanisms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 506, 307–314, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.07.155
- 89 Fehon, R.G., McClatchey, A.I. and Bretscher, A. (2010) Organizing the cell cortex: the role of ERM proteins. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **11**, 276–287, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2866
- 90 Tsujita, K. and Itoh, T. (2015) Phosphoinositides in the regulation of actin cortex and cell migration. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1851**, 824–831, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.10.011
- 91 Senju, Y., Kalimeri, M., Koskela, E.V., Somerharju, P., Zhao, H., Vattulainen, I. et al. (2017) Mechanistic principles underlying regulation of the actin cytoskeleton by phosphoinositides. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, E8977–E8986, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1705032114
- 92 Hao, J.J., Liu, Y., Kruhlak, M., Debell, K.E., Rellahan, B.L. and Shaw, S. (2009) Phospholipase C-mediated hydrolysis of PIP2 releases ERM proteins from lymphocyte membrane. J. Cell Biol. 184, 451–462, https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200807047
- 93 van, R.J., Song, X., van, R.W., Cammer, M., Chen, X., Desmarais, V. et al. (2007) EGF-induced PIP2 hydrolysis releases and activates cofilin locally in carcinoma cells. J. Cell Biol. 179, 1247–1259
- 94 Chinthalapudi, K., Rangarajan, E.S. and Izard, T. (2018) The interaction of talin with the cell membrane is essential for integrin activation and focal adhesion formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, 10339–10344, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806275115
- 95 Dedden, D., Schumacher, S., Kelley, C.F., Zacharias, M., Biertümpfel, C., Fässler, R. et al. (2019) The architecture of Talin1 reveals an autoinhibition mechanism. *Cell* **179**, 120.e113–131.e113, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.034
- 96 Barsukov, I.L., Prescot, A., Bate, N., Patel, B., Floyd, D.N., Bhanji, N. et al. (2003) Phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase type 1gamma and beta1-integrin cytoplasmic domain bind to the same region in the talin FERM domain. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 31202–31209, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M303850200
- 97 Di Paolo, G., Pellegrini, L., Letinic, K., Cestra, G., Zoncu, R., Voronov, S. et al. (2002) Recruitment and regulation of phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase type 1 gamma by the FERM domain of talin. *Nature* **420**, 85–89, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01147
- 98 Ling, K., Doughman, R.L., Firestone, A.J., Bunce, M.W. and Anderson, R.A. (2002) Type I gamma phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase targets and regulates focal adhesions. *Nature* 420, 89–93, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01082
- 99 Mettlen, M., Chen, P.H., Srinivasan, S., Danuser, G. and Schmid, S.L. (2018) Regulation of Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 87, 871–896, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-012644
- 100 Krauss, M., Kukhtina, V., Pechstein, A. and Haucke, V. (2006) Stimulation of phosphatidylinositol kinase type I-mediated phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate synthesis by AP-2mu-cargo complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 11934–11939, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510306103
- 101 Garcia-Alai, M.M., Heidemann, J., Skruzny, M., Gieras, A., Mertens, H.D.T., Svergun, D.I. et al. (2018) Epsin and Sla2 form assemblies through phospholipid interfaces. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 328, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02443-x
- 102 Ford, M.G., Mills, I.G., Peter, B.J., Vallis, Y., Praefcke, G.J., Evans, P.R. et al. (2002) Curvature of clathrin-coated pits driven by epsin. *Nature* **419**, 361–366, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01020
- 103 Yoon, Y., Zhang, X. and Cho, W. (2012) Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P2) specifically induces membrane penetration and deformation by Bin/amphiphysin/Rvs (BAR) domains. J. Biol. Chem. **287**, 34078–34090, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.372789
- 104 Wallroth, A. and Haucke, V. (2018) Phosphoinositide conversion in endocytosis and the endolysosomal system. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 1526–1535, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R117.000629
- 105 Kim, W.T., Chang, S., Daniell, L., Cremona, O., Di Paolo, G. and de Camilli, P. (2002) Delayed reentry of recycling vesicles into the fusion-competent synaptic vesicle pool in synaptojanin 1 knockout mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **99**, 17143–17148, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222657399
- 106 Eberhard, D.A., Low, M.G. and Holz, R.W. (1990) Evidence that the polyphosphoinositides are necessary for exocytosis: Inhibition of secretion in permeabilized cells by a bacterial phospholipase C. *Biochem. J.* **268**, 15–25, https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2680015
- 107 Hay, J.C., Fisette, P.L., Jenkins, G.H., Fukami, K., Takenawa, T., Anderson, R.E. et al. (1995) ATP-dependent inositide phosphorylation required for Ca²⁺-activated secretion. *Nature* **374**, 173–177, https://doi.org/10.1038/374173a0
- 108 Fensome, A., Cunningham, E., Prosser, S., Tan, S.K., Swigart, P., Thomas, G. et al. (1996) ARF and PITP restore GTP_γS-stimulated protein secretion from cytosol-depleted HL60 cells by promoting PIP₂ synthesis. *Curr. Biol.* **6**, 730–738, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(09)00454-0
- 109 Bradberry, M.M., Bao, H., Lou, X. and Chapman, E.R. (2019) Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate drives Ca(2+)-independent membrane penetration by the tandem C2 domain proteins synaptotagmin-1 and Doc2beta. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 10942–10953, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.007929
- 110 van den Bogaart, G., Meyenberg, K., Risselada, H.J., Amin, H., Willig, K.I., Hubrich, B.E. et al. (2011) Membrane protein sequestering by ionic protein-lipid interactions. *Nature* **479**, 552–555, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10545
- 111 Martin, T.F. (2015) PI(4,5)P(2)-binding effector proteins for vesicle exocytosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1851**, 785–793, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.09.017
- 112 Suh, B.C. and Hille, B. (2008) PIP2 is a necessary cofactor for ion channel function: how and why? *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **37**, 175–195, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.37.032807.125859
- 113 Hille, B., Dickson, E.J., Kruse, M., Vivas, O. and Suh, B.C. (2015) Phosphoinositides regulate ion channels. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1851, 844–856
- 114 Brown, D.A. (2018) Regulation of neural ion channels by muscarinic receptors. *Neuropharmacology* **136**, 383–400, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.11.024
- 115 Delmas, P. and Brown, D.A. (2005) Pathways modulating neural KCNQ/M (Kv7) potassium channels. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 850–862, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1785



- 116 Zhang, H., Craciun, L.C., Mirshahi, T., Rohács, T., Lopes, C.M., Jin, T. et al. (2003) PIP(2) activates KCNQ channels, and its hydrolysis underlies receptor-mediated inhibition of M currents. *Neuron* 37, 963–975, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00125-9
- 117 Harraz, O.F., Longden, T.A., Hill-Eubanks, D. and Nelson, M.T. (2018) PIP2 depletion promotes TRPV4 channel activity in mouse brain capillary endothelial cells. *eLife* 7, e38689, https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38689
- 118 Laverty, D., Desai, R., Uchański, T., Masiulis, S., Stec, W.J., Malinauskas, T. et al. (2019) Cryo-EM structure of the human α1β3γ2 GABA(A) receptor in a lipid bilayer. *Nature* 565, 516–520, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0833-4
- 119 Yen, H.Y., Hoi, K.K., Liko, I., Hedger, G., Horrell, M.R., Song, W. et al. (2018) Ptdlns(4,5)P2 stabilizes active states of GPCRs and enhances selectivity of G-protein coupling. *Nature* **559**, 423–427, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0325-6
- 120 Komolov, K.E., Du, Y., Duc, N.M., Betz, R.M., Rodrigues, J., Leib, R.D. et al. (2017) Structural and functional analysis of a β(2)-adrenergic receptor complex with GRK5. *Cell* **169**, 407.e416–421.e416, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.047
- 121 Huang, W., Masureel, M., Qu, Q., Janetzko, J., Inoue, A., Kato, H.E. et al. (2020) Structure of the neurotensin receptor 1 in complex with β-arrestin 1. *Nature* **579**, 303–308, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-1953-1
- 122 Sezgin, E., Levental, I., Mayor, S. and Eggeling, C. (2017) The mystery of membrane organization: composition, regulation and roles of lipid rafts. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **18**, 361–374, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.16
- 123 Levental, I. (2020) Lipid rafts come of age. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 420-420, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0252-x
- 124 Golebiewska, U., Nyako, M., Woturski, W., Zaitseva, I. and McLaughlin, S. (2008) Diffusion coefficient of fluorescent phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate in the plasma membrane of cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **19**, 1663–1669, https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e07-12-1208
- 125 Hagelberg, C. and Allan, D. (1990) Restricted diffusion of integral membrane proteins and polyphosphoinositides leads to their depletion in microvesicles released from human erthrocytes. *Biochem. J.* **271**, 831–834, https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2710831
- 126 Wang, J. and Richards, D.A. (2012) Segregation of PIP2 and PIP3 into distinct nanoscale regions within the plasma membrane. *Biol. Open* **1**, 857–862, https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.20122071
- 127 Sengupta, P., Seo, A.Y., Pasolli, H.A., Song, Y.E., Johnson, M.C. and Lippincott-Schwartz, J. (2019) A lipid-based partitioning mechanism for selective incorporation of proteins into membranes of HIV particles. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **21**, 452–461, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-019-0300-y
- 128 Bucki, R., Wang, Y.H., Yang, C., Kandy, S.K., Fatunmbi, O., Bradley, R. et al. (2019) Lateral distribution of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate in membranes regulates formin- and ARP2/3-mediated actin nucleation. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 4704–4722, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.005552
- 129 Pike, L.J. and Miller, J.M. (1998) Cholesterol depletion delocalizes phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate and inhibits hormone-stimulated phosphatidylinositol turnover. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 22298–22304, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.35.22298
- 130 Nishimura, T., Gecht, M., Covino, R., Hummer, G., Surma, M.A., Klose, C. et al. (2019) Osh proteins control nanoscale lipid organization necessary for PI(4,5)P2 synthesis. *Mol. Cell.* **75**, 1043.e1048–1057.e1048, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.06.037
- 131 Hilgemann, D.W. (2007) Local PIP2 signals: when, where, and how? *Pflüg. Arch.* **455**, 55–67, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-007-0280-9
- 132 Hammond, G.R. (2016) Does PtdIns(4,5)P2 concentrate so it can multi-task? *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 44, 228–233, https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20150211
- 133 Omar-Hmeadi, M., Gandasi, N.R. and Barg, S. (2018) Ptdlns(4,5)P(2) is not required for secretory granule docking. *Traffic* **19**, 436–445, https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12562
- 134 Magno, L., Lessard, C.B., Martins, M., Lang, V., Cruz, P., Asi, Y. et al. (2019) Alzheimer's disease phospholipase C-gamma-2 (PLCG2) protective variant is a functional hypermorph. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* **11**, 16, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-019-0469-0
- 135 Zhou, Q., Lee, G.-S., Brady, J., Datta, S., Katan, M., Sheikh, A. et al. (2012) A hypermorphic missense mutation in PLCG2, encoding phospholipase Cγ2, causes a dominantly inherited autoinflammatory disease with immunodeficiency. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **91**, 713–720, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2012.08.006
- 136 Ombrello, M.J., Remmers, E.F., Sun, G., Freeman, A.F., Datta, S., Torabi-Parizi, P. et al. (2012) Cold Urticaria, immunodeficiency, and autoimmunity related to PLCG2 deletions. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **366**, 330–338, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1102140
- 137 Koss, H., Bunney, T.D., Behjati, S. and Katan, M. (2014) Dysfunction of phospholipase Cgamma in immune disorders and cancer. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **39**, 603–611, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2014.09.004
- 138 Neves, J.F., Doffinger, R., Barcena-Morales, G., Martins, C., Papapietro, O., Plagnol, V. et al. (2018) Novel PLCG2 mutation in a patient with APLAID and Cutis Laxa. *Front. Immunol.* **9**, 2863, https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02863
- 139 Hokin, M.R. and Hokin, L.E. (1953) Enzyme secretion and the incorporation of ³²P into phospholipides of pancreas slices. *J. Biol. Chem.* **203**, 967–977
- 140 Berridge, M.J. (1987) Inositol trisphosphate and diacylglycerol: two interacting second messengers. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 56, 159–193, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.56.070187.001111
- 141 Lassing, I. and Lindberg, U. (1985) Specific interaction between phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and profilactin. *Nature* **314**, 472–474, https://doi.org/10.1038/314472a0
- 142 Janmey, P.A. and Stossel, T.P. (1987) Modulation of gelsolin function by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *Nature* **325**, 362–364, https://doi.org/10.1038/325362a0
- 143 Whitman, M., Downes, C.P., Keeler, M., Keller, T. and Cantley, L. (1988) Type I phosphatidylinositol kinase makes a novel inositol phospholipid, phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate. *Nature* **332**, 644–646, https://doi.org/10.1038/332644a0
- 144 Traynor-Kaplan, A.E., Harris, A.L., Thompson, B.L., Taylor, P. and Sklar, L.A. (1988) An inositol tetrakisphosphate-containing phospholipid in activated neutrophils. *Nature* **334**, 353–356, https://doi.org/10.1038/334353a0
- 145 Harlan, J.E., Hajduk, P.J., Yoon, H.S. and Fesik, S.W. (1994) Pleckstrin homology domains bind to phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate. *Nature* **371**, 168–170, https://doi.org/10.1038/371168a0



- 146 Kabachinski, G., Kielar-Grevstad, D.M., Zhang, X., James, D.J. and Martin, T.F. (2016) Resident CAPS on dense-core vesicles docks and primes vesicles for fusion. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 27, 654–668, https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E15-07-0509
- 147 Walent, J.H., Porter, B.W. and Martin, T.F.J. (1992) A novel 145kd brain cytosolic protein reconstitutes Ca²⁺- regulated secretion in permeable neuroendocrine cells. *Cell* **70**, 765–775, https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90310-9
- 148 Walter, A.M., Muller, R., Tawfik, B., Wierda, K.D., Pinheiro, P.S., Nadler, A. et al. (2017) Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate optical uncaging potentiates exocytosis. *Elife* **6**, e30203, https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30203
- 149 Hilgemann, D.W. and Ball, R. (1996) Regulation of cardiac Na⁺, Ca²⁺ exchanges and K_{ATP} potassium channels by PIP₂. *Science* **273**, 956–959, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.273.5277.956
- 150 Zhang, Q., Zhou, P., Chen, Z., Li, M., Jiang, H., Gao, Z. et al. (2013) Dynamic PIP2 interactions with voltage sensor elements contribute to KCNQ2 channel gating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 20093–20098, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312483110
- 151 Jost, M., Simpson, F., Kavran, J.M., Lemmon, M.A. and Schmid, S.L. (1998) Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate is required for endocytic coated vesicle formation. *Curr. Biol.* **8**, 1399–1402, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(98)00022-0
- 152 Kelly, B.T., Graham, S.C., Liska, N., Dannhauser, P.N., Honing, S., Ungewickell, E.J. et al. (2014) Clathrin adaptors. AP2 controls clathrin polymerization with a membrane-activated switch. *Science* **345**, 459–463, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254836
- 153 Salim, K., Bottomley, M.J., Querfurth, E., Zvelebil, M.J., Gout, I., Scaife, R. et al. (1996) Distinct specificity in the recognition of phosphoinositides by the pleckstrin homology domains of dynamin and Bruton's tyrosine kinase. *EMBO J.* **15**, 6241–6250, https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1996.tb01014.x
- 154 Falkenburger, B.H., Jensen, J.B. and Hille, B. (2010) Kinetics of PIP2 metabolism and KCNQ2/3 channel regulation studied with a voltage-sensitive phosphatase in living cells. J. Gen. Physiol. **135**, 99–114, https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200910345