

Citation: Wolniczak I, Cáceres-DelAguila JA, Maguiña JL, Bernabe-Ortiz A (2017) Fruits and vegetables consumption and depressive symptoms: A population-based study in Peru. PLoS ONE 12(10): e0186379. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0186379

Editor: Kenji Hashimoto, Chiba Daigaku, JAPAN

Received: June 20, 2017

Accepted: September 30, 2017

Published: October 12, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Wolniczak et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data and materials used in this analysis are the public domain and freely available at website of the Instituto Nacional de Estadistica e Informatica (INEI) at http://iinei. inei.gob.pe/microdatos/.

Funding: AB-O is supported by a Research Training Fellowship in Public Health and Tropical Medicine (103994/Z/14/Z) funded by Wellcome Trust (www.wellcome.ac.uk). The funder had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Fruits and vegetables consumption and depressive symptoms: A population-based study in Peru

Isabella Wolniczak¹, José A. Cáceres-DelAguila¹, Jorge L. Maguiña¹, Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz^{1,2,3}*

1 School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas–UPC, Lima, Perú, 2 CRONICAS Center of Excellence in Chronic Diseases, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Perú, 3 Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

* Antonio.Bernabe@upch.pe

Abstract

Objectives

Among different factors, diet patterns seem to be related to depression. The aim of this study was to evaluate the association between the consumption of fruits and/or vegetables and depressive symptoms.

Methodology/Principal findings

A secondary data analysis was conducted using information from a population-based survey from 25 regions from Peru. The outcome was the presence of depressive symptoms according to the Patient Health Questionnaire (cutoff \geq 15 to define major depressive syndrome); whereas the exposure was the self-reported consumption of fruits and/or vegetables (in tertiles and using WHO recommendation \geq 5 servings/day). The association of interest was evaluated using Poisson regression models controlling for the complex-sample survey design and potential confounders. Data from 25,901 participants were analyzed, mean age 44.2 (SD: 17.7) and 13,944 (54.0%) women. Only 910 (3.8%; 95%CI: 3.5%–4.2%) individuals reported consuming \geq 5 servings of fruits and/or vegetables/day; whereas 819 (2.8%; 95%CI: 2.5%–3.1%) had depressive symptoms. Those in the lowest tertile of fruits and/or vegetables consumption had greater prevalence of depressive symptoms (PR = 1.88; 95% CI: 1.39–2.55) than those in the highest tertile. This association was stronger with fruits (PR = 1.92; 95%CI: 1.46–2.53) than vegetables (PR = 1.42; 95%CI: 1.05–1.93) alone.

Conclusions

An inverse relationship between consumption of fruits and/or vegetables and depressive symptoms is reported. Less than 5% of subjects reported consuming the amount of fruits and vegetables recommended by the WHO. There is a need to implement strategies to promote better diet patterns with potential impact on mental health.



Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Depression is a common mental disorder affecting approximately 350 million people worldwide and more than half of those do not receive treatment [1]. Moreover, depression is also recognized as the leading cause of disability and one of the greatest contributors to the global burden of disease [2].

There is an estimated 5% of people suffering from depression in low- and middle-income countries [1]. However, the burden of depression might change according to the population and the setting studied [3]. For example, a previous report found that the lifetime prevalence of depression in Peru was 6.4% according to the Worldwide Mental Health Study [4].

Depression is a multi-factorial disease attributable to social, environmental, genetic, physiological and biochemical factors [5]. Social variables associated with increased rates of depression include extreme poverty and overcrowding, amongst others [6, 7]. In addition, other common sociodemographic indicators associated with the presence of depression include variables such as: gender, age and marital status [8].

Among different factors, diet patterns seem to be related to depression. Previous studies have shown that individuals with a traditional diet based on the consumption of fish and fruits have lower risk of depressive symptoms than those with a diet high in fat and sugars [9, 10]. In a different study, a high vegetable intake was inversely associated with depression, but this relationship was not found with fruit or fish consumption [11]. On the contrary, the opposite relationship has been also established: people suffering from depression tend to have diets including lower rates of fruits and vegetables [12]. As a result, the potential influence of certain dietary patterns is still controversial.

Current changes in nutritional patterns seen in developing countries are partially influenced by the lifestyle from developed countries [13]; thus, recently Peru is considered as having the highest density of fast food restaurants in the world [14]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the association between the consumption of fruits and vegetables and the presence of depressive symptoms, using data from a population-based study. Additionally, the prevalence of depression in our context was also estimated.

Materials and methods

Design and study area

A secondary data analysis was conducted using information from a population-based study in Peru. Data from the Health Questionnaire of the Demographic Health Survey (ENDES in Spanish) 2014 was utilized. This survey is performed annually collecting data from the 25 regions of Peru, including urban and rural settings [15]. The design of the survey included a multistage sampling strategy. In the rural areas, primary sampling units were villages of 500– 2000 people and secondary sampling units were households within each of these villages. In the urban areas, sampling units consisted of blocks or groups of blocks with more than 2000 individuals, and a average of 100 houses and secondary sampling units were the same as in rural settings [16].

Selection criteria

The ENDES survey usually includes residents from private households: women aged between 15 to 49 years and children under 5 years, as well as male individuals aged 15 years or above. Only the information collected from males or females that participated in the Health Questionnaire, aged \geq 18 years, and habitual residents of the study setting selected was included for analyses.

Definition of variables

The outcome of interest was depression, defined according to the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [17]. The questionnaire has been validated in Spanish in Chile [18] and adapted to the Peruvian population [19]. The tool includes nine questions to evaluate the presence of depressive symptoms in the last 14 days. Each question has four response options (never, some days, more than half of the day and nearly every day) and scored from 0 to 3; thus, the total score ranges from 0 to 27 points. For study purposes, a total score of 15 points or more was used to define depressive symptoms because it is indicative of a major depressive syndrome and requires treatment [17].

The exposure of interest was the self-reported consumption of fruits and vegetables, defined based upon the questions from STEP-wise approach to non-communicable disease risk factor surveillance (WHO STEPs) [20]. Fruits and vegetables consumption was assessed individually and together. In the latter case, two different definitions were utilized: the first one was based on the cut-point recommend by the WHO (i.e. five or more servings of fruit and vegetables per day–equivalent to 400 grams of fruits and vegetables per day) [21], and the second one was obtained by dividing the total number of fruits and vegetables calculated per day in tertiles (highest, middle and lowest).

Other variables considered into the analyses were gender; age group (18–34, 35–54, 55–74, and 75+ years); education level (< 7 years, 7–11 years, 12+ years); socioeconomic status, based on a wealth index created according to housing characteristics and assets, and then split into tertiles (low, middle or high); marital status (married, never married or previously married); region (coastal, highlands and jungle); place of residence (urban or rural); self-reported daily smoking (\geq 1 cigarette/day); binge drinking, defined as the consumption of alcohol for >12 days in the last 12 months; and previous treatment for depressive symptoms (yes vs. no), based on the question: "During the previous 12 months, have you received by any health professional, any treatment for depression, sadness, little interest, or irritability?". Finally, hypertension status was also included, defined as systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg or previous diagnosis by a physician.

Procedures

This is a secondary analysis of a population-based survey freely available. The methods and procedures of data collection have been published elsewhere [15]. Briefly, data collection was performed using handheld computers (Personal Digital Assistants). Information was collected using a face-to-face technique. A field team was composed by a supervisor, two fieldworkers and an anthropometrist, which were trained before data collection activities.

Sample size and power

With 25901 records available in the database of the ENDES, we have a power \geq 80% to detect a prevalence ratio \geq 1.7, assuming a prevalence of depressive symptoms of 6% [4], a proportion of individuals reporting a consumption of \geq 5 servings of fruits and vegetables per day of 5% [22], and a design effect of 3 [23].

Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with STATA 13 for Windows (StataCorp, College Station, TX, US). All analyses were adjusted for the complex-sample survey design considering the sample strata, primary sampling units and population weights [24].

The description of the study population was performed using proportions for categorical variables. Prevalence and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) of exposure and outcome were reported. Chi square test was used for comparisons according to the variables of interest.

The association of interest was assessed using Poisson regression models [25], reporting prevalence ratios (PR) and 95%CI. Crude and adjusted models were built separately for fruits, vegetables and fruits and vegetables together. Gender was evaluated as potential effect modifier of the association of interest using the likelihood ratio test.

Ethics

The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee of the Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas–UPC, Lima, Peru. This study included a secondary analysis of a de-identified database freely available and, as a result, the informed consent was waived by the Ethical Committee.

Results

Characteristics of the study population

Data from a total of 25,848 participants were included in the analyses. The mean age of participants was 44.2 (SD: 17.7) years, whereas 13,944 (54.0%) were women. The characteristics of the study population according to the consumption of fruits and vegetables (in tertiles) and taking into account the multistage study design are shown in <u>Table 1</u> (un-weighted estimates are shown in <u>S1 Table</u>). Of interest, only daily smoking and binge drinking were not associated with the self-reported fruit and vegetables consumption.

On the other hand, when the demographic and behavioral variables were tabulated according to the presence of depressive symptoms, daily smoking and binge drinking were not associated with depression (Table 2). Un-weighted estimates are shown in <u>S2 Table</u>.

Consumption of fruits and vegetables and depressive symptoms

Only 910 (3.8%; 95%CI: 3.5%–4.2%) individuals reported consuming \geq 5 servings of fruits and vegetables per day. On the other hand, 819 (2.8%; 95%CI: 2.5%–3.1%) individuals were categorized as having depressive symptoms. Interestingly, 928 (3.9%; 95%CI: 3.5%–4.2%) subjects of the total sample reported having received previous treatment for depressive symptoms.

There was a clear increasing trend in the prevalence of depressive symptoms according to the consumption of fruits (highest tertile: 1.8% vs. lowest tertile: 3.8%; p<0.001), the consumption of vegetables (highest tertile: 2.3% vs. lowest tertile: 3.8%; p = 0.003), and the combined consumption of fruits and vegetables (highest tertile: 1.9% vs. lowest tertile: 4.0%; p<0.001).

In multivariate model, there was evidence of strong association between the presence of depressive symptoms and the consumption of fruits, vegetables and fruits and vegetables together (Table 3 and S3 Table). Gender was not an effect modifier of the association of interest. In addition, when the cutoff of \geq 5 servings of fruits and vegetables was used as defined by the WHO, there was association between the exposure and depressive symptoms (PR = 0.50; 95%CI: 0.28–0.87) in the crude model, but this was in the limit of significance after controlling for several potential confounders (PR = 0.58; 95%CI: 0.33–1.02).

Discussion

Main findings

According to our results, there is evidence of a strong association between the consumption of fruits and/or vegetables and depressive symptoms after controlling for potential confounders.



	Fruits an	Fruits and vegetables consumption (in tertiles)		
	Highest	Middle	Lowest	p-value ^a
	(n = 8,509)	(n = 8599)	(n = 8740)	
Gender				< 0.001
Male	3,517 (40.5%)	4,012 (46.9%)	4,375 (51.7%)	
Female	4,992 (59.5%)	4,587 (53.1%)	4365 (48.3%)	
Age				< 0.001
18–34 years	3,107 (39.7%)	3,018 (39.4%)	2,609 (34.5%)	
35–54 years	3,074 (36.9%)	3,083 (37.0%)	2,850 (36.3%)	
55–74 years	1,559 (18.5%)	1,642 (18.8%)	2,037 (21.8%)	
75+ years	401 (4.9%)	470 (4.8%)	874 (7.4%)	
Missing values	368	386	370	
Education level				< 0.001
< 7 years	2,383 (24.0%)	3,017 (28.5%)	4,483 (42.6%)	
7–11 years	3,323 (40.8%)	3,259 (40.6%)	2,776 (36.5%)	
12+ years	2,787 (35.2%)	2,299 (30.9%)	1,452 (20.9%)	
Missing values	16	24	29	
Socioeconomic status				< 0.001
Low	2,034 (14.4%)	2,516 (18.0%)	4,213 (34.1%)	
Middle	2,843 (27.6%)	3,112 (31.2%)	2,707 (30.3%)	
High	3,632 (58.0%)	2,971 (50.8%)	1,820 (35.6%)	
Marital status				0.002
Married	5,372 (61.7%)	5,472 (62.6%)	5,389 (63.0%)	
Never married	1,674 (25.9%)	1,641 (24.4%)	1,485 (21.8%)	
Previously married	1,463 (13.4%)	1,486 (13.0%)	1,866 (15.2%)	
Region				< 0.001
Coastal	3,855 (63.7%)	3,483 (59.0%)	2,712 (48.9%)	
Highlands	2,712 (22.7%)	3,498 (30.0%)	4,430 (39.0%)	
Jungle	1,942 (13.6%)	1,618 (11.0%)	1,598 (12.1%)	
Place of residence				< 0.001
Urban	6,010 (82.5%)	5,569 (77.8%)	4,343 (64.6%)	
Rural	2,499 (17.5%)	3,030 (22.2%)	4,397 (35.4%)	
Daily smoking				0.57
No	8,135 (97.9%)	8,430 (98.0%)	8,540 (97.6%)	
Yes	192 (2.1%)	165 (2.0%)	196 (2.4%)	
Missing values	2	4	4	
Binge drinking				0.87
No	7,477 (86.3%)	7,581 (85.9%)	7,776 (86.2%)	
Yes	1,022 (13.7%)	1,008 (14.1%)	945 (13.8%)	
Missing values	10	10	19	
Previous depression				0.02
No	8,120 (95.7%)	8,290 (95.9%)	8,500 (97.2%)	
Yes	386 (4.3%)	306 (4.1%)	236 (3.8%)	
Missing values	3	3	4	
Hypertension status				0.59
No	6,582 (77.4%)	6,682 (78.3%)	6,766 (78.2%)	
Yes	1,899 (22.6%)	1,887 (21.7%)	1,950 (21.8%)	

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population according to fruits and vegetables consumption taking into account the complex sample design.

(Continued)



Table 1. (Continued)

	Fruits and	Fruits and vegetables consumption (in tertiles)			
	Highest	Middle	Lowest	p-value ^a	
	(n = 8,509)	(n = 8599)	(n = 8740)		
Missing values	28	30	24		

^a P-value was calculated using Chi squared test

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186379.t001

Moreover, lower consumption of fruits and/or vegetables was associated with greater prevalence of depressive symptoms, although this trend was not completely clear in the case of vegetables. Interestingly, only 3% of individuals in the study population reported symptoms consistent with clinical depression, which requires any kind of therapy; whereas <5% of the study population reported consuming \geq 5 servings of fruits and vegetables per day according to the WHO recommendations.

Comparison with other studies

Previous studies have reported similar findings that our study [9, 10, 26, 27]; however, certain considerations must be evaluated. For example, a study in Australian adults (18–74 years) found that increased consumption of certain foods, including meat, poultry and vegetables was associated with a lower probability of developing depressive symptoms [28]. However, participants reported self-diagnosis of depression rather than being directly evaluated by a screening tool. On the other hand, a cross-sectional study in China reported that consumption of vegetables but no of fruits was associated with lower depressive symptoms in individuals aged ≥ 65 years [29]. Moreover, the Geriatric Depression Scale was used in this survey instead of the PHQ-9 as in our study. Similarly, a study on Chinese college students showed that not only low consumption of fruit was associated with depressive symptoms, but other components of the diet (junk food, among others) also were associated with greater levels of depression [30].

Conversely, some studies have found that depressive symptoms were associated with poor quality diet [31, 32]. Given the cross-sectional nature of these studies, reverse causality may arise as a problem. However, data from prospective studies are also important. For example, a prospective cohort study, conducted in Spain, reported an inverse relationship between adherence to the Mediterranean diet and depression [26]. Moreover, there was a dose-response relationship between consumption of fruits, nuts and legumes, and the presence of depression. Apparently, these results suggest that some biochemical components of the Mediterranean diet might be associated with the reduction of depressive symptoms. Similarly, in a prospective cohort of individuals aged ≥ 65 years performed in Taiwan, including four years of follow-up, found that both, fruits and vegetables, reduced the risk of depression [27]. Thus, our results are consistent with those reported by longitudinal studies.

Although the trend effect of vegetables intake was not clear in our study, other reports have found that some components, mainly sulforaphane, can have an effect on depressive symptoms. Thus, a relatively recent study reported that the sulforaphane, present in cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli sprout, may prevent the onset of depression related to chronic inflammation [33]. Similarly, the dietary intake of glucoraphanin, a precursor of sulforaphane, appears to increase resilience to stress in mice [34]. Unfortunately, data regarding the kind of fruits or vegetables consumed by participants was not available. Thus, more studies are needed to clarify what components of fruits and vegetables are beneficial to mental health.

Table 2. Characteristics of the study population according to depressive symptoms taking into account the complex sample design.

No Yes p-value* (n = 25,02) (n = 819) $<$ Gender 11.683 (98.4%) 221 (1.5%) $<$ Female 11.3,46 (96.1%) 589 (38%) $<$ Age		Depressive s							
(n = 25,029)(n = 819)Gender < 0.001 Male11,683 (88.4%)221 (1.6%)Fenale13,346 (66.1%)586 (3.8%)Age < 0.001 18-54 years8,854 (84.3%)150 (1.6%)35-54 years8,876 (97.3%)231 (2.7%)35-54 years4,982 (80.5%)28 (1.0%)35-54 years4,982 (80.5%)28 (1.0%)35-54 years4,982 (80.5%)28 (1.0%)35-74 years1,984 (83.3%)151 (6.7%)Missing values10.834.1Education level < 0.001 < 7 years9.473 (95.4%)21 6 (2.4%)7-1 years9.474 (96.6%)91 (1.4%)Missing values618Sociececononic status < 0.001 Low8.390 (96.4%)373 (8.5%)Midel8.400 (96.5%)221 (3.1%)Low8.390 (96.4%)373 (8.5%)Mariad status < 0.001 Low8.390 (96.4%)373 (8.5%)Midel8.400 (96.5%)281 (3.1%)High8.238 (97.7%)185 (2.2%)Never maried4,703 (98.3%)97 (1.7%)Previously maried4,508 (44.4%)307 (5.6%)Maried10.200 (96.4%)373 (8.5%)Midel10.200 (96.4%)404 (3.6%)Under15.498 (97.5%)255 (2.5%)Piec of residence0.01Under15.498 (97.5%)255 (2.5%)No2.491 (97.5%)38 (6.4%)Missing values91		No	Yes	p-value ^a					
Gender <		(n = 25,029)	(n = 819)						
Male 11,833 (98.4%) 221 (1.6%) Female 13,346 (96.1%) 598 (3.9%) 18-34 years 8,554 (98.4%) 150 (1.6%) <<0.001	Gender			< 0.001					
Female 13,346 (96.1%) 598 (3.9%) Age	Male	11,683 (98.4%)	221 (1.6%)						
Age < < < < 18-3-4 years 8,576 (97,3%) 231 (2.7%) 55-74 years 4,992 (96,0%) 246 (4,0%) 75- years 1,594 (93,3%) 151 (6,7%) Missing values 1,033 41 7 years 9,379 (95,4%) 504 (4,6%) <td>Female</td> <td>13,346 (96.1%)</td> <td>598 (3.9%)</td> <td></td>	Female	13,346 (96.1%)	598 (3.9%)						
18-34 years 8,84 (98.4%) 150 (1.6%) 35-54 years 8,776 (97.3%) 231 (2.7%) 35-54 years 4,982 (98.0%) 246 (4.0%) 75+ years 1,594 (93.3%) 151 (6.7%) Missing values 1,083 41 Education level <0.001	Age			< 0.001					
35-54 years 8,776 (97.3%) 231 (2.7%) 55-74 years 4,992 (96.0%) 246 (4.0%) 75-years 1,594 (63.3%) 151 (6.7%) Missing values 1,083 41 Education level <0.001	18–34 years	8,584 (98.4%)	150 (1.6%)						
55-74 years 4,992 (96.0%) 246 (4.0%) 75- years 1,534 (83.3%) 151 (6.7%) Missing values 1,033 41 <i>Education level</i> - <0.001	35–54 years	8,776 (97.3%)	231 (2.7%)						
75+ yars 1,594 (93.3%) 151 (6.7%) Missing values 1,083 41 Caucation level <0.001	55–74 years	4,992 (96.0%)	246 (4.0%)						
Missing values 1,083 41 Education level <0.001	75+ years	1,594 (93.3%)	151 (6.7%)						
Education level < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	Missing values	1,083	41						
< 7 years 9,379 (95.4%) 504 (4.6%) 7-11 years 9.142 (97.6%) 216 (2.4%) Missing values 6,47 (98.6%) 91 (1.4%) Missing values 61 8 Socieconomic status Low 8,390 (96.4%) 373 (3.6%) Middle 8,401 (96.9%) 261 (3.1%) High 8,288 (97.7%) 185 (2.3%) Marital status <<0.001	Education level			< 0.001					
7-11 years 9.142 (97.6%) 216 (2.4%) 12 + years 6,447 (98.6%) 91 (1.4%) Missing values 61 8 Socioeconomic status <0.001	< 7 years	9,379 (95.4%)	504 (4.6%)						
12+ years 6,447 (98.6%) 91 (1.4%) Missing values 61 8 Socioeconomic status < <<0.001 Low 8,390 (96.4%) 373 (3.6%) Middle 8,401 (96.9%) 261 (3.1%) High 8,238 (97.7%) 185 (2.3%) Marital status < <<0.001 Marited 15.818 (97.4%) 415 (2.6%) Never maried 4,703 (98.3%) 97 (1.7%) Previously married 4,508 (94.4%) 307 (5.6%) Region < <<0.001 Coastal 9.795 (97.5%) 255 (2.5%) Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540 (96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Oaily smoking 0.78 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (7–11 years	9.142 (97.6%)	216 (2.4%)						
Missing values 61 8 Socieconomic status <	< <td><<td><<td><<td><<t< td=""><td>12+ years</td><td>6,447 (98.6%)</td><td>91 (1.4%)</td><td></td></t<></td></td></td></td>	< <td><<td><<td><<t< td=""><td>12+ years</td><td>6,447 (98.6%)</td><td>91 (1.4%)</td><td></td></t<></td></td></td>	< <td><<td><<t< td=""><td>12+ years</td><td>6,447 (98.6%)</td><td>91 (1.4%)</td><td></td></t<></td></td>	< <td><<t< td=""><td>12+ years</td><td>6,447 (98.6%)</td><td>91 (1.4%)</td><td></td></t<></td>	< <t< td=""><td>12+ years</td><td>6,447 (98.6%)</td><td>91 (1.4%)</td><td></td></t<>	12+ years	6,447 (98.6%)	91 (1.4%)	
Socioeconomic status < 0.001 Low 8,390 (96.4%) 373 (3.6%) Middle 8,401 (96.9%) 261 (3.1%) High 8,238 (97.7%) 186 (2.3%) Marital status <	Missing values	61	8						
Low 8,390 (96.4%) 373 (3.6%) Middle 8,401 (96.9%) 261 (3.1%) High 8,238 (97.7%) 185 (2.3%) Marital status <0.001	Socioeconomic status			< 0.001					
Middle 8,401 (96.9%) 261 (3.1%) High 8,238 (97.7%) 185 (2.3%) Marital status < Maritel 15,818 (97.4%) 415 (2.6%) Never married 4,703 (98.3%) 97 (1.7%) Previously married 4,703 (98.3%) 97 (1.7%) Previously married 4,508 (94.4%) 307 (5.6%) Region < < Coastal 9,795 (97.5%) 255 (2.5%) Highlands 10,200 (96.4%) 440 (3.6%) Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0	Low	8,390 (96.4%)	373 (3.6%)						
High 8,238 (97.7%) 185 (2.3%) Marital status < < Married 15,818 (97.4%) 415 (2.6%) Never married 4,703 (98.3%) 97 (1.7%) Previously married 4,508 (94.4%) 307 (5.6%) Region	Middle	8,401 (96.9%)	261 (3.1%)						
Marital status < < < < < < < < < < < <th<< td=""><td>High</td><td>8,238 (97.7%)</td><td>185 (2.3%)</td><td></td></th<<>	High	8,238 (97.7%)	185 (2.3%)						
Married 15,818 (97.4%) 415 (2.6%) Never married 4,703 (98.3%) 97 (1.7%) Previously married 4,508 (94.4%) 307 (5.6%) Region <0.001	Marital status			< 0.001					
Never married 4,703 (98.3%) 97 (1.7%) Previously married 4,508 (94.4%) 307 (5.6%) Region < < Coastal 9,795 (97.5%) 255 (2.5%) Highlands 10,200 (96.4%) 440 (3.6%) Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Yes 38 1 Previous depression <<0.001	Married	15,818 (97.4%)	415 (2.6%)						
Previously married 4,508 (94.4%) 307 (5.6%) Region <<0.001 Coastal 9,795 (97.5%) 255 (2.5%) Highlands 10,200 (96.4%) 440 (3.6%) Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression < <0.001 No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) < Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%)	Never married	4,703 (98.3%)	97 (1.7%)						
Region < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <t< td=""><td>Previously married</td><td>4,508 (94.4%)</td><td>307 (5.6%)</td><td></td></t<>	Previously married	4,508 (94.4%)	307 (5.6%)						
Coastal 9,795 (97.5%) 255 (2.5%) Highlands 10,200 (96.4%) 440 (3.6%) Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Region			< 0.001					
Highlands 10,200 (96.4%) 440 (3.6%) Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Coastal	9,795 (97.5%)	255 (2.5%)						
Jungle 5,034 (97.8%) 124 (2.2%) Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Highlands	10,200 (96.4%)	440 (3.6%)						
Place of residence 0.01 Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540 (96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Jungle	5,034 (97.8%)	124 (2.2%)						
Urban 15,489 (97.4%) 433 (2.6%) Rural 9,540 (96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Place of residence			0.01					
Rural 9,540(96.6%) 386 (3.4%) Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression < < No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Mo 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	Urban	15,489 (97.4%)	433 (2.6%)						
Daily smoking 0.78 No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Rural	9,540(96.6%)	386 (3.4%)						
No 24,483 (97.2%) 802 (2.8%) Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <0.001	Daily smoking			0.78					
Yes 537 (96.9%) 16 (3.1%) Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Missing values 10 0 Yes 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	No	24,483 (97.2%)	802 (2.8%)						
Missing values 9 1 Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Yes 38 1 Previous depression No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status 10 0 No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	Yes	537 (96.9%)	16 (3.1%)						
Binge drinking 0.03 No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression <	Missing values	9	1						
No 22,072 (97.1%) 762 (2.9%) Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression < < No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status <<0.001	Binge drinking			0.03					
Yes 2,919 (98.1%) 56 (1.9%) Missing values 38 1 Previous depression < No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status <<0.001	No	22,072 (97.1%)	762 (2.9%)						
Missing values 38 1 Previous depression 38 1 No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	Yes	2,919 (98.1%)	56 (1.9%)						
Previous depression <<0.001 No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status <<0.001	Missing values	38	1						
No 23,043 (97.6%) 674 (2.4%) Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status < < < No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	Previous depression			<0.001					
Yes 787 (88.1%) 94 (11.9%) Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status 0 No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	No	23,043 (97.6%)	674 (2.4%)						
Missing values 10 0 Hypertension status 0 < < No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) <	Yes	787 (88.1%)	94 (11.9%)						
Hypertension status <0.001 No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	Missing values	10	0						
No 19,494 (97.5%) 536 (2.5%) Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	Hypertension status			<0.001					
Yes 5,458 (96.1%) 278 (3.9%)	No	19,494 (97.5%)	536 (2.5%)						
	Yes	5,458 (96.1%)	278 (3.9%)						

(Continued)



Table 2. (Continued)

	Depressive sy	Depressive symptoms		
	No	Yes	p-value ^a	
	(n = 25,029)	(n = 819)		
Missing values	77	5		

^a P-value was calculated using Chi squared test

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186379.t002

Other relevant results

Less than 5% of participants consumed the WHO recommended consumption of fruits and vegetables (\geq 5 servings per day). This finding is relevant because a greater consumption of fruits and vegetables is associated with several potential benefits in health, including better mental health [11], but also reduction in the risk of mortality for other non communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease and cancer [35]. In a previous study evaluating the intake of fruits and vegetables in 52 low- and middle-income countries reported the around 80% of individuals consumed less than the minimum consumption recommended by the WHO [36].

Regarding depression, only 3% presented symptoms consistent with the need of treatment according to the PHQ-9. A previous study enrolling individuals from 18 to 65 years from 5 of the most important cities from Peru reported a lifetime prevalence of depression of 6.4% [4]. These differences might be explained by the use of different tools to assess depression but also because older people as well as rural areas were not included in the aforementioned study. In addition, a recent systematic review found a global point prevalence of major depressive disorder of 4.7% after controlling for methodological differences [37]. This review also found 13 studies on the prevalence of depression in South America, reporting a prevalence of 4% in the region, very close to our estimates.

	Depressive	symptoms	Crude model	Adjusted model ^a	Adjusted model ^b
Consumption of	No (n = 25,029)	Yes (n = 819)	PR (95%CI)	PR (95%CI)	PR (95%CI)
fruits					
Highest	7,724 (98.2%)	187 (1.8%)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Middle	8,600 (97.2%)	258 (2.8%)	1.55 (1.16–2.07)	1.41 (1.05–1.90)	1.47 (1.09–1.98)
Lowest	8,705 (96.2%)	374 (3.8%)	2.07 (1.62–2.66)	1.81 (1.37–2.39)	1.92 (1.46–2.53)
vegetables					
Highest	6,842 (97.7%)	178 (2.3%)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Middle	9,729 (97.5%)	244 (2.5%)	1.07 (0.79–1.47)	1.01 (0.73–1.41)	1.01 (0.73–1.40)
Lowest	8,458 (96.3%)	397 (3.8%)	1.62 (1.22–2.15)	1.39 (1.02–1.89)	1.42 (1.05–1.93)
fruits and vegetables					
Highest	8,311 (98.1%)	198 (1.9%)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Middle	8,358 (97.3%)	241 (2.7%)	1.41 (1.05–1.88)	1.38 (1.02–1.87)	1.36 (1.01–1.85)
Lowest	8,360 (96.0%)	380 (4.0%)	2.09 (1.58–2.77)	1.82 (1.34–2.47)	1.88 (1.39–2.55)

Table 3. Association between fruits and vegetables consumption and depressive symptoms: Crude and adjusted models taking into account the complex sample design.

Results may not add due to missing values. Percentages are shown in rows.

^a Model adjusted for gender, age, education level, socioeconomic status, marital status, region, and place of residence

^b Model adjusted for gender, age, education level, socioeconomic status, marital status, region, place of residence, daily smoking, binge drinking, previous depression, and hypertension status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186379.t003

Public health relevance

The low consumption of fruits and vegetables in our community can help implement appropriate strategies to encourage their consumption. Peru is a producer of a variety of fruits and vegetables which can be easily accessible, and therefore there is a need of implementing appropriate strategies to ensure a diet of quality at the population level. An appropriate intake of fruits and vegetables may help reduce mental health problems as well as cardiovascular disease [38–40]. Thus, consumption of fruits and vegetables at the population level should start from childhood. As these food groups are essential for proper child development, their consumption should be encouraged from an early age, guarantying their intake in the long term [41]. Given the nutritional and epidemiological transition that several low- and middle-income countries [42], including Peru, are undergoing, this kind of initiative is critical.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of this study include a multi-stage sampling, representative and with an adequate sample size to ensure an appropriate response to the proposed research aims. However, this study has some limitations. First, given the cross-sectional nature of the study, it can evaluate only association and not causality. Moreover, there is risk of reverse causality in our findings. However, our results are in line with existing longitudinal research [26, 27]. Second, information on the consumption of fruits and vegetables was self-reported based on the previous seven days before the application of the questionnaire. Moreover, information about the type of fruits and vegetables consumed by participants was not available. In addition, although small, some recall and social desirability bias might arise. However, the WHO STEPs, a well-known tool for measuring the consumption of fruits and vegetables, was used. In addition, any overestimation of the fruits and vegetables consumption would reduce the probability of reject the null hypothesis of no association. Third, our results might be biased due to the absence of other potential confounders such as physical activity, family history of depression, stress, comorbidities, etc. In addition, other diet patterns, including the consumption of omega-3 fatty acids, known to prevent depressive symptoms [43], was not available in the database. Finally, the PHQ-9 is a screening and not a diagnostic tool for depression. However, a conservative cutoff was used to include those with depressive symptoms requiring medication or psychological management, which, in theory, would reduce the risk of misclassification.

Conclusions

This study found an inverse relationship between consumption of fruits and/or vegetables and the presence of depressive symptoms. Moreover, less than 5% of subjects reported consuming fruits and vegetables as recommended by the WHO. Around 3% of individuals had depressive symptoms assessed by the PHQ-9. There is a need to implement appropriate strategies to promote better diet patterns with potential impact on mental health.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Characteristics of the study population according to fruits and vegetables consumption. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Characteristics of the study population according to depressive symptoms. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Association between fruits and vegetables consumption and depressive symptoms: Crude and adjusted models. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Isabella Wolniczak, José A. Cáceres-DelAguila, Jorge L. Maguiña, Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz.

Data curation: Isabella Wolniczak, José A. Cáceres-DelAguila, Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz.

Formal analysis: Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz.

- Investigation: Isabella Wolniczak, José A. Cáceres-DelAguila, Jorge L. Maguiña, Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz.
- Methodology: Isabella Wolniczak, José A. Cáceres-DelAguila, Jorge L. Maguiña, Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz.

Supervision: Jorge L. Maguiña.

Writing - original draft: Isabella Wolniczak.

Writing - review & editing: José A. Cáceres-DelAguila, Jorge L. Maguiña, Antonio Bernabe-Ortiz.

References

- World Health Organization. Depression: A global public health concern. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 1. 2012
- Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years 2. (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012; 380(9859):2197-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61689-4 PMID: 23245608.
- 3. Kohn R, Levav I, de Almeida JM, Vicente B, Andrade L, Caraveo-Anduaga JJ, et al. [Mental disorders in Latin America and the Caribbean: a public health priority]. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2005; 18(4-5):229-40. PMID: 16354419.
- 4. Fiestas F, Piazza M. [Lifetime prevalence and age of onset of mental disorders in Peru: results of the World Mental Health Study, 2005]. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica. 2014; 31(1):39-47. PMID: 24718525.
- 5. Palazidou E. The neurobiology of depression. Br Med Bull. 2012; 101:127–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bmb/lds004 PMID: 22334281.
- Muntaner C, Eaton WW, Miech R, O'Campo P. Socioeconomic position and major mental disorders. 6. Epidemiologic reviews. 2004; 26:53–62. Epub 2004/07/06. https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxh001 PMID: 15234947.
- 7. Ruiz-Grosso P, Bernabe-Ortiz A, Diez-Canseco F, Gilman RH, Checkley W, Bennett IM, et al. Depressive Mood Among Within-Country Migrants in Periurban Shantytowns of Lima, Peru. Journal of immigrant and minority health / Center for Minority Public Health. 2015; 17(6):1635-42. Epub 2014/11/05. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-014-0121-9 PMID: 25367530; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4418955.
- 8. Kessler RC, Bromet EJ. The epidemiology of depression across cultures. Annu Rev Public Health. 2013; 34:119-38. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114409 PMID: 23514317; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4100461.
- Jacka FN, Pasco JA, Mykletun A, Williams LJ, Hodge AM, O'Reilly SL, et al. Association of Western 9. and traditional diets with depression and anxiety in women. Am J Psychiatry. 2010; 167(3):305-11. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09060881 PMID: 20048020.
- 10. Le Port A, Gueguen A, Kesse-Guyot E, Melchior M, Lemogne C, Nabi H, et al. Association between dietary patterns and depressive symptoms over time: a 10-year follow-up study of the GAZEL cohort. PLoS One. 2012; 7(12):e51593. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051593 PMID: 23251585; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3520961.

- Lai JS, Hiles S, Bisquera A, Hure AJ, McEvoy M, Attia J. A systematic review and meta-analysis of dietary patterns and depression in community-dwelling adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014; 99(1):181–97. https:// doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.069880 PMID: 24196402.
- 12. Forsyth AK, Williams PG, Deane FP. Nutrition status of primary care patients with depression and anxiety. Aust J Prim Health. 2012; 18(2):172–6. https://doi.org/10.1071/PY11023 PMID: 22551840.
- Uauy R, Albala C, Kain J. Obesity trends in Latin America: transiting from under- to overweight. J Nutr. 2001; 131(3):893S–9S. PMID: <u>11238781</u>.
- Kain J, Hernandez Cordero S, Pineda D, de Moraes AF, Antiporta D, Collese T, et al. Obesity Prevention in Latin America. Curr Obes Rep. 2014; 3(2):150–5. Epub 2014/06/01. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-014-0097-8 PMID: 26626601.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadistica e Informatica. Microdatos: Base de datos de la Encuesta Demografica y de Salud Familiar—ENDES Lima, Peru: INEI; 2015 [cited 2015 July 20]. Available from: http://inei. inei.gob.pe/microdatos/.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadistica e Informatica. Encuesta Nacional de Hogares 2014. Lima, Peru: INEI, 2014.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001; 16(9):606–13. PubMed <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x</u> PMID: 11556941; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1495268.
- Baader T, Molina JL, Venezian S, Rojas C, Farias R, Fierro-Freixenet C, et al. [Validity and utility of PHQ9 (Patient Helth Questionnaire) in the diagnosis of depression in user patients of primary care in Chile]. Rev Chil Neuro-Psiquia. 2012; 50(1):10–22.
- Calderon M, Galvez-Buccollini JA, Cueva G, Ordonez C, Bromley C, Fiestas F. [Validation of the Peruvian version of the PHQ-9 for diagnosing depression]. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica. 2012; 29 (4):578–9. PMID: 23338650.
- 20. World Health Organization. The WHO STEPwise approach to chronic disease risk factor surveillance (STEPS). WHO STEPS Instrument. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2008.
- World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Fruits and vegetables for health: Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Workshop. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2005.
- Arribas-Harten C, Battistini-Urteaga T, Rodriguez-Teves MG, Bernabé-Ortiz A. [Association between obesity and fruit and vegetable consumption: a population-based study in Peru]. Revista chilena de nutrición. 2015; 42:241–7.
- Graubard BI, Korn EL. Modelling the sampling design in the analysis of health surveys. Statistical methods in medical research. 1996; 5(3):263–81. Epub 1996/09/01. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1177/096228029600500304 PMID: 8931196.
- West BT, Berglund P, Heeringa SG. A closer examination of subpopulation analysis of complex-sample survey data. Stata J. 2008; 8(4):520–31.
- Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC medical research methodology. 2003; 3:21. Epub 2003/10/22. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-3-21 PMID: 14567763; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc521200.
- Sanchez-Villegas A, Delgado-Rodriguez M, Alonso A, Schlatter J, Lahortiga F, Serra Majem L, et al. Association of the Mediterranean dietary pattern with the incidence of depression: the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra/University of Navarra follow-up (SUN) cohort. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009; 66 (10):1090–8. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.129 PMID: 19805699.
- Tsai AC, Chang TL, Chi SH. Frequent consumption of vegetables predicts lower risk of depression in older Taiwanese—results of a prospective population-based study. Public Health Nutr. 2012; 15 (6):1087–92. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980011002977 PMID: 22176686.
- Meyer BJ, Kolanu N, Griffiths DA, Grounds B, Howe PR, Kreis IA. Food groups and fatty acids associated with self-reported depression: an analysis from the Australian National Nutrition and Health Surveys. Nutrition. 2013; 29(7–8):1042–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2013.02.006 PMID: 23759265.
- Woo J, Lynn H, Lau WY, Leung J, Lau E, Wong SY, et al. Nutrient intake and psychological health in an elderly Chinese population. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2006; 21(11):1036–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gps. 1603</u> PMID: 16955432.
- Liu C, Xie B, Chou CP, Koprowski C, Zhou D, Palmer P, et al. Perceived stress, depression and food consumption frequency in the college students of China Seven Cities. Physiol Behav. 2007; 92(4):748– 54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.05.068 PMID: 17585967.
- Avila-Funes JA, Garant MP, Aguilar-Navarro S. [Relationship between determining factors for depressive symptoms and for dietary habits in older adults in Mexico]. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2006; 19 (5):321–30. PMID: <u>16805974</u>.

- Sarlio-Lahteenkorva S, Lahelma E, Roos E. Mental health and food habits among employed women and men. Appetite. 2004; 42(2):151–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2003.08.014 PMID: 15010179.
- Zhang JC, Yao W, Dong C, Yang C, Ren Q, Ma M, et al. Prophylactic effects of sulforaphane on depression-like behavior and dendritic changes in mice after inflammation. The Journal of nutritional biochemistry. 2017; 39:134–44. Epub 2016/11/12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2016.10.004</u> PMID: 27833054.
- 34. Yao W, Zhang JC, Ishima T, Dong C, Yang C, Ren Q, et al. Role of Keap1-Nrf2 signaling in depression and dietary intake of glucoraphanin confers stress resilience in mice. Scientific reports. 2016; 6:30659. Epub 2016/07/30. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30659 PMID: 27470577; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4965765.
- 35. Wang X, Ouyang Y, Liu J, Zhu M, Zhao G, Bao W, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. BMJ. 2014; 349:g4490. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g4490 PMID: 25073782; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4115152.
- Hall JN, Moore S, Harper SB, Lynch JW. Global variability in fruit and vegetable consumption. Am J Prev Med. 2009; 36(5):402–9.e5. Epub 2009/04/14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.01.029</u> PMID: 19362694.
- Ferrari AJ, Somerville AJ, Baxter AJ, Norman R, Patten SB, Vos T, et al. Global variation in the prevalence and incidence of major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the epidemiological literature. Psychol Med. 2013; 43(3):471–81. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001511 PMID: 22831756.
- Bazzano LA, He J, Ogden LG, Loria CM, Vupputuri S, Myers L, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of cardiovascular disease in US adults: the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002; 76(1):93–9. Epub 2002/06/26. PMID: 12081821.
- Bhupathiraju SN, Wedick NM, Pan A, Manson JE, Rexrode KM, Willett WC, et al. Quantity and variety in fruit and vegetable intake and risk of coronary heart disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013; 98(6):1514–23. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.066381 PMID: 24088718; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3831537.
- Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, Ellinger S, Haller D, Kroke A, et al. Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. Eur J Nutr. 2012; 51(6):637–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-012-0380-y PMID: 22684631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3419346.
- 41. Knai C, Pomerleau J, Lock K, McKee M. Getting children to eat more fruit and vegetables: a systematic review. Prev Med. 2006; 42(2):85–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2005.11.012 PMID: 16375956.
- Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. Nutr Rev. 2012; 70(1):3–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2011.00456.x PMID: 22221213; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3257829.
- 43. Grosso G, Pajak A, Marventano S, Castellano S, Galvano F, Bucolo C, et al. Role of omega-3 fatty acids in the treatment of depressive disorders: a comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. PLoS One. 2014; 9(5):e96905. Epub 2014/05/09. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096905 PMID: 24805797; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4013121.