Ther Adv Med Oncol

2024, Vol. 16: 1–10 DOI: 10.1177/ 17588359241229661

© The Author(s), 2024. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Efficacy and safety of raltitrexedeluting CalliSpheres® bead transarterial chemoembolization in patients with intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: a single-arm, prospective study

Zhanguo Sun[®], Dechao Jiao[®], Yi Fang, Yiming Liu, Kaihao Xu, Chengzhi Zhang, Yuanhao Huang and Xinwei Han

Abstract

Background: The most common loadable chemotherapeutic drugs in drug-eluting bead transarterial chemoembolization (DEB-TACE) include doxorubicin, epirubicin, etc. CalliSpheres® beads have exhibited efficient loadability and eluting characteristics for raltitrexed as well as *in vitro* and animal experiments. However, the efficacy and safety of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE in patients with intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remain unclear.

Objectives: To assess the efficacy and safety of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE in patients with intermediate-stage HCC.

Design: The study was conducted as a single-arm prospective study.

Methods: This study was a prospective, single-arm trial conducted between June 2019 and June 2022. CalliSpheres® beads loaded with raltitrexed were used in the DEB-TACE procedure. The follow-up lasted for at least 1 year or until death. The primary endpoint was overall survival (OS), and the secondary endpoints were time to progression (TTP), progression-free survival (PFS), objective response rate (ORR), and adverse events (AEs). **Results:** The 6-month ORR and disease control rates were 90.1% and 93.8%, respectively. The median OS was 33.0 months. The 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival rates were 95.1%, 82.1%, and 43.6%, respectively. Child–Pugh class and bilobar disease occurrence were identified as independent OS predictors. The median TTP and PFS were 22.7 and 19.8 months, respectively. Eleven (11.5%) patients experienced at least one grade 3 AE, and serious AEs were reported in five participants (5.2%). No patient experienced grade 4 or 5 AEs.

Conclusion: Raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE is feasible, safe, and effective in patients with intermediate-stage HCC.

Trial registration: This trial was registered at www.chictr.org.cn under the identifier: 1900024097 on 25 June 2019.

Plain language summary

Efficacy and safety of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE in patients with intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma

The utility of raltitrexed-loaded CalliSphere® beads in drug-eluting bead transarterial chemoembolization (DEB-TACE) has been demonstrated in in vitro and animal

Department of Interventional Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, No. 1 Jianshe East Road, Zhengzhou, Henan, China **jiaodechao007/@126.com**

Correspondence to: Dechao Jiao

Zhanguo Sun Yi Fang Yiming Liu Kaihao Xu Chengzhi Zhang Xinwei Han

Department of Interventional Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Yuanhao Huang Department of

Interventional Medicine, Zhengzhou Central Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

journals.sagepub.com/home/tam



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Sage and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

experiments. However, its efficacy and safety in patients with intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remain unclear. Hence, this study aimed to assess the efficacy and safety profiles of DEB-TACE for such patients. We discovered that raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE led to a 6-month ORR of 90.1%, a median OS of 33.0 months, a median TTP of 22.7 months, and a median PFS of 19.8 months. The 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival rates were 95.1%, 82.1%, and 43.6%, respectively. Factors such as Child-Pugh class and bilobar disease occurrence were identified as independent predictors of OS. The study also showed acceptable safety profiles, with a low incidence of grade 3 adverse events and no grade 4 or 5 adverse events. The results indicated that raltitrexed-eluting CalliSpheres® beads for TACE can be a viable option for treating patients with intermediate-stage HCC.

Keywords: beads, chemoembolization, DEB-TACE, hepatocellular carcinoma, raltitrexed, transarterial

Received: 9 April 2023; revised manuscript accepted: 10 January 2024.

Introduction

Worldwide, 905,677 new cases of liver cancer, ranked as the sixth most frequently diagnosed cancer and the third leading cause of cancerrelated fatalities, were diagnosed in 2020, leading to 830,180 deaths.¹ Majority of these cases (75-85%) were hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs). Owing to the lack of early-stage symptoms, most patients with HCC are diagnosed at an intermediate stage [Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage B] or an advanced stage (BCLC stage C), precluding curative therapies such as resection, liver transplantation, and ablation. In patients with intermediate-stage HCC, transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the established first-line treatment.² In patients with unresectable HCC, TACE improves survival compared with supportive care or systemic chemotherapy.^{3,4} However, the overall survival (OS) rate remains low and the ideal TACE approach remains undetermined.

Drug-eluting bead transarterial chemoembolization (DEB-TACE) is an emerging therapeutic strategy. Drug-eluting beads (DEBs) facilitate the gradual and continuous release of loaded chemotherapeutic drugs into the tumor region, maintaining a high local drug concentration. CalliSpheres[®] beads (Jiangsu Hengrui Medicine Co. Ltd, Jiangsu, China) are microspheres used for DAB-TACE with a network structure and negative charge, primarily responsible for the adsorption of positively charged antitumor drugs through ion exchange.

Commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs for DEB-TACE include doxorubicin, epirubicin,

pirarubicin, irinotecan, and idarubicin. Raltitrexed, an antimetabolic drug, effectively controls cancer by inhibiting thymidylate synthase (TS) and has shown satisfactory effects in HCC treatment.5-7 Unlike 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), which is a TS inhibitor widely used in conventional transarterial chemoembolization (cTACE), raltitrexed definitively inhibits TS activity in a brief infusion, leading to a more effective dose-response relationship than that by 5-FU, making it a promising candidate for TACE. In both in vitro and animal experiments, CalliSpheres® beads exhibited effective loadability and an acceptable release profile for eluting raltitrexed.8 However, no study has assessed the efficacy and safety of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE in patients with intermediate-stage HCC. Hence, in this study, we aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE in patients with intermediate-stage HCC.

Materials and methods

This single-arm, single-center, prospective study, conducted at the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, was registered on 25 June 2019, at www.chictr.org.cn (ChiCTR 19000 24097). The reporting of this study conforms to the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) statement⁹ (Supplemental File).

Study population

HCC was diagnosed based on clinical and pathological findings according to the guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria		Exclusion criteria			
• Pati	ents aged 18 years old or older (both sexes)	Patients with concomitant cancer			
 Not such 	suitable for curative treatments or rejects n treatments	Pregnant and lactating patients			
• Pati	ents with Child–Pugh class A or B	• Patients with active bleeding disease or severe coagulation dysfunction, severe infection			
 Patient treat 	ents were BCLC stage B at the time of tment	• Major arterio-portal shunt in the liver			
• ECO	G performance status level <2	Allergic to raltitrexed			
• Tum	oor nodes ≤5; total tumor size ≤10 cm				
• Expe	ected survival time ≥3months				
Major arterio-portal shunt: The main portal vein and its branches are visible in the early arterial phase, but the hepatic					

artery distal to the fistula is pale or even invisible.

BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group;

Diseases.¹⁰ Patients who were unsuitable for curative therapies (resection, liver transplantation, or ablation) or for whom these therapies were contraindicated were enrolled in this trial. Prior to enrollment, patients were required to meet all inclusion criteria while ensuring that they did not meet the exclusion criteria (Table 1). Patients were enrolled between June 2019 and June 2021, with the last follow-up evaluation conducted in June 2022. During the trial, 143 patients were screened, of whom 47 were excluded: 36 failed to meet the inclusion criteria and 11 declined to participate. Of the 36 patients who failed to meet the inclusion criteria, 15 had tumor nodes ≥ 5 , 11 had a total tumor size \geq 10 cm, 5 had severe coagulation dysfunction, 3 had severe infection, and 2 had a major arterioportal shunt. Two patients failed to complete the first TACE cycle: one owing to the inability to catheterize a tumor-feeding artery and the other owing to a high-flow arteriohepatic venous fistula. The remaining 94 patients underwent at least one cycle of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE (Figure 1). The average age during enrollment for these 94 patients was 58.3 ± 9.0 years, with 70.2%being male. Among them, 84 patients (89.4%) were classified as Child-Pugh class A and 10 (10.6%) as class B. The median serum alphafetoprotein level was 317.4 ng/mL (2.3–13,902 ng/ mL). In approximately 43.6% of patients, both the left and right liver lobes were involved, whereas in the remaining 56.4%, only one lobe

was involved. The baseline demographics are summarized in Table 2. At the time of trial closure, two patients underwent curative therapy after downstaging (one resection and one percutaneous thermal ablation), nine patients were lost to follow-up, and two patients withdrew their consent. Finally, 81 of the initial 94 patients were included in the final efficacy analysis.

Raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE

Prior to the DEB-TACE procedure, the Pharmacy Department aseptically loaded a vial of CalliSpheres[®] beads with 4mg of raltitrexed. CalliSpheres® beads ranging 300-500 µm were used for lesions >5 cm and 100-300 µm were used for lesions ≤ 5 cm. Following hepatic angiography using a 5F RH (5 French Rosch Hepatic) catheter through the femoral artery, a microcatheter (2.4F-2.8F) was selectively catheterized into the feeding artery of the target tumor. Raltitrexedeluting CalliSpheres® beads were embolized into the tumor-feeding artery at a rate of no more than 1 mL/min under fluoroscopy guidance. The embolization endpoint was attained when the contrast agent was ambiguous, within three to four heartbeats on angiography. Hepatic angiography was performed again after 5 min to detect incomplete embolization. If the tumor still exhibited a blushed appearance, the embolization procedure was repeated with no more than two DEB vials for each session.



Figure 1. Flow chart summary of the study.

Efficacy and safety analyses

Post-TACE follow-up was necessary for at least 1 year or until death. Postoperatively, the patients received monthly follow-ups for the initial 3 months, which was then shifted to every 3 months. Furthermore, DEB-TACE was performed 'on-demand.'

Imaging analysis: Imaging was performed at baseline and subsequent follow-up visits using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT). CT scans were performed in three phases: non-contrast, arterial, and portal. MRI included T1 fatsuppressed images, T2 fat-suppressed sequences, and dynamic fat-suppressed T1 sequences with gadolinium enhancement. All imaging measurements were performed by two radiologists specializing in CT or MRI. In case of discrepancies, a consensus was reached through discussion.

Response analysis: Tumor response was assessed through multiphase MRI or CT using the modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (mRECIST).¹¹ Overall response was categorized as complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), or progressive disease.

Outcome definition: The disease control rate (DCR) was defined as the sum of the CR, PR, and SD. Objective response rate (ORR) was defined as the percentage of patients who achieved CR or PR. Time to progression (TTP) was calculated from the initial treatment to the date of disease progression. Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the initiation of initial treatment to the date of disease progression or death. OS was defined as the period from initial treatment to death from any cause. The primary endpoint was OS and the secondary endpoints were TTP, PFS, ORR, and adverse events (AEs).

Table 2. Baseline demographic and clinicalcharacteristics.

Characteristic	Value		
Gender (male/female)	66/28		
Age (years)	58.3 ± 9.0		
ECOG score			
0	64 (66.7%)		
1	30 (31.3%)		
Child–Pugh class			
А	84 (89.4%)		
5	59 (62.8%)		
6	25 (26.6%)		
В	10 (10.6%)		
7	7 (7.4%)		
8	3 (3.2%)		
ALBi grade			
1	89 (94.7%)		
2	5 (5.3%)		
Etiology of liver disease			
Hepatitis B virus	69 (73.4%)		
Hepatitis C virus	10 (10.6%)		
Alcohol	1 (1.1%)		
Other	14 (14.9%)		
AFP (ng/mL)			
≥400	43 (45.7%)		
<400	51 (54.3%)		
Extension of disease			
Unilobar	53 (56.4%)		
Bilobar	41 (43.6%)		
Number of nodules			
2	32 (34.0%)		
3	36 (38.3%)		
≥4	26 (27.7%)		
Diameter of the largest nodule (cm)	5.1 ± 4.3		

AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALBi grade, albumin-bilirubin grade; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

Adverse events: All procedure-related AEs were recorded. Serious adverse events (SAEs) can occur at any point during the observation period, resulting in death, immediate lifethreatening conditions, prolonged hospitalization, unscheduled hospital visits, permanent or significant disability, or incapacity.¹² AEs were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 5.0.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package for the social sciences software (version 23.0; IBM, New York, NY, USA). Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages, whereas continuous variables are described as median and 95% confidence interval (CI) or (mean \pm standard deviation). Survival curves for TTP, PFS, and OS were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and described as medians with 95% CIs. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard analyses were used to assess the prognostic role of baseline patient characteristics. Variables associated with OS (p < 0.10) in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate regression model. A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered a significant difference.

Results

Study population

Ninety-four patients underwent 285 sessions of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE in total, with a median of three sessions (range, 1–8). Among these patients, raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE sessions were received as follows: 3 (3.2%) had one session, 24 (25.5%) had two sessions, 44 (46.8%) had three sessions, 17 (18.1%) had four sessions, and 6 (6.4%) had more than four sessions, respectively. The bead sizes in the raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE sessions were 100–300 μ m (88.3%) and 300–500 μ m (11.7%). Only two patients (2.1%) required more than one vial of DEBs.

Efficacy

The median follow-up period of the entire series was 22.3 months (range, 4.2–35 months). Based on the mRECIST criteria, the 6-month ORR and DCR were 90.1% and 93.8%, respectively.

able 3. Six-month responses.				
Parameter	Value			
ORR (CR + PR)	73 (90.1%)			
DCR (CR + PR + SD)	76 (93.8%)			
CR	10 (12.3%)			
PR	63 (77.8%)			
SD	3 (3.7%)			
Death	1 (1.2%)			

CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Of the 81 patients, 10 (12.3%) had CR, 63 (77.8%) had PR, and 3 (3.7%) had SD at the 6-month mark, respectively. Owing to gastrointestinal bleeding, a patient died 4.2 months after the first cycle of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE (Table 3).

In all, 20 patients died during the data collection period. Potential causes of death included liver failure (n=10), gastrointestinal hemorrhage (n=4), pulmonary embolism (n=1), renal failure (n=1), heart failure (n=1), and unknown causes (n=3). The median OS was 33.0 months (95%) CI, 29.0-36.9 months) [Figure 2(a)]. The survival rates at 1, 2, and 3 years were 95.1%, 82.1%, and 43.6%, respectively. In univariate regression analysis, Child-Pugh class, bilobar disease occurrence, and a number of nodules were independently and adversely associated with survival (p < 0.1). Among these variables, Child–Pugh class and bilobar disease occurrence were confirmed by multivariate analysis (p < 0.05) (Table 4). Tumor progression was observed in 46 of 81 patients. Five patients had portal vein invasion and eight had extrahepatic spread (four lung metastases, three lymph node metastases, and one adrenal gland metastasis). The median TTP was 22.7 months (95% CI, 19.4–26.0 months) [Figure 2(b)], whereas the median PFS was 19.8 months (95% CI, 15.8-23.8 months) [Figure 2(c)].

Safety

The observed AEs are listed in Table 5. The safety evaluation included 94 patients who underwent at least one cycle of raltitrexed-loaded

DEB-TACE. There were no procedure-related mortalities during the 285 TACE sessions. None of the patients withdrew from the study because of AEs. The most common AE was post-embolization syndrome, including abdominal pain (53.2%), nausea or vomiting (28.7%), and fatigue (14.9%). The most common laboratory abnormalities were elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (52.1%) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (51.1%) levels. The majority of AEs were of grade 1 or 2. Of the 94 participants, 11 (11.7%) experienced at least one grade 3 AE, potentially attributable to the raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE sessions. Notably, no grade 4 or 5 AEs were reported. SAEs were documented in five patients (5.3%), which were attributed to post-embolization syndrome. All these AEs were resolved using conservative treatment.

Discussion

In patients with intermediate-stage HCC, we discovered that raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE led to a 6-month ORR of 90.1%, a median OS of 33.0 months, a median TTP of 22.7 months, and a median PFS of 19.8 months. The 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival rates were 95.1%, 82.1%, and 43.6%, respectively. The phase III trial conducted by the PRECISION Italia Study Group reported that after doxorubicin-loaded DEB-TACE, the 1- and 2-year survival rates were 86.2% and 56.8%, the median survival was 29 months, and the median TTP was 9 months, respectively.¹³ In 2016, a retrospective cohort of 249 patients with unresectable HCC revealed that the doxorubicin-loaded DEB-TACE group achieved an ORR of 74.8% and a median survival of 32 months.¹⁴ In a study by Gomes *et al.*,¹⁵ patients with intermediate-stage HCC treated with doxorubicin-loaded DEB-TACE had a mean survival of 31.9 months. Thus, the results of our study indicate that the efficacy profile of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE is comparable to that of doxorubicin-loaded DEB-TACE.

Regarding the safety of raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE, the three most frequently observed AEs were abdominal pain (53.2%) and elevated ALT (52.1%) and AST (51.0%) levels. Eleven (11.7%) participants experienced at least one grade 3 AE, and none experienced grade 4 or 5 AEs. SAEs were reported in five patients (5.3%). In the PRECISION Italia Study Group phase III trial, the most common AEs was post-procedural pain, with a 6% incidence of SAEs in 89 patients who



Figure 2. Plots depicting the efficacy results in 81 study participants treated with raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE. Kaplan–Meier curve and median 95% CI estimates of (a) OS, (b) PFS, and (c) TTP. CI, confidence interval; DEB-TACE, drug-eluting bead transarterial chemoembolization; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; TTP, time-to-progression.

underwent doxorubicin-loaded DEB-TACE.¹³ In a single-arm phase II trial by Guiu *et al.*,¹⁶ grade 3 and 4 AEs were observed in 75% of patients who underwent idarubicin-loaded DEB-TACE, with elevated ALT and AST levels being the most common among them. Zhao *et al.* reported a 12% incidence of grade 3 or 4 AEs in raltitrexed-based cTACE. The most common grade 3 and 4 AEs included elevated AST levels and abdominal pain.¹⁷ The overall incidence of grade 3 and 4 toxicities was lower than that reported in a previous study of DEB-TACE loaded with other drugs and raltitrexed-based cTACE.

TACE has long been used as the standard treatment for patients with intermediate-stage HCC and has evolved steadily over the years. DEB-TACE was developed in the late 2000s and has been widely used as one of the most important treatments for unresectable HCC.18 Owing to their significantly controlled pharmacokinetics, DEBs enable the sustained local release of drugs, extend their therapeutic effect, reduce peripheral concentrations, and minimize systemic adverse effects. Furthermore, substantial research has been conducted to determine the DEB-TACE values for HCC treatment. The PRECISION V phase II randomized controlled trial demonstrated that DEB-TACE displayed a reduced incidence of systemic toxicity compared with cTACE. A superior objective response was observed in patients with advanced disease (Child-Pugh B, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group 1, and bilobar or recurrent disease).¹⁹ Greco et al.²⁰ and Richter et al.²¹ achieved similar promising results in terms of tumor response.

Raltitrexed, a next-generation TS inhibitor, is primarily used for the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer. The application of raltitrexed in TACE offers several advantages. (1) Being a direct and specific TS inhibitor, raltitrexed has low toxicity, high efficacy, and mild adverse reactions.^{22,23} (2) Raltitrexed exhibits a longer half-life and a favorable dose-response relationship than other chemotherapy drugs of the same type. (3) Cardiotoxicity, a well-known AE, is associated with anthracyclines and 5-FU, which are commonly used agents for TACE. Raltitrexed demonstrated no cardiac toxicity and may be more suitable for patients with cardiologic risk factors.²⁴⁻²⁶ Recent studies have also explored the safety and efficacy of raltitrexed for cTACE. A multicenter real-world study enrolled 184 patients with intermediate and advanced HCC, half of whom were treated with raltitrexed + lobaplatin + pirarubicin-based cTACE, while the others were treated with lobaplatin + pirarubicin-based cTACE. The 6-month, 1-year, and 2-year OS rates in the raltitrexed group were significantly higher than those in the control group (78.2% versus 60.9%, 43.5% versus 22.8%, and 17.4% versus 2.2%), respectively.⁶ In a study by Zhao et al.,¹⁷ raltitrexed + oxaliplatin-based TACE resulted in a better ORR and OS than 5-FU+ oxaliplatin or doxorubicin + oxaliplatin-based TACE in patients with unresectable HCC.

This study has limitations because of its singlecenter, single-arm nature, and a relatively small study population, potentially introducing the confounding effect of selection bias. The absence of a parallel control group necessitated the use of
 Table 4. Univariate and multivariate regression models on patient survival.

Parameter	Univariate		Multivariate	
	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	р
Age	1.00 (0.95–1.05)	0.96	-	-
Gender	1.24 (0.50–3.14)	0.65	-	-
ECOG	0.73 (0.30–1.80)	0.50	-	_
Child-Pugh class	0.24 (0.08–0.75)	0.01	0.23 (0.07–0.73)	0.01
AFP (ng/mL) (≥400 <i>versus</i> <400)	1.36 (0.55–3.34)	0.50	-	-
Bilobar <i>versus</i> unilobar involvement	3.66 (1.46–9.15)	0.01	3.37 (1.23–9.28)	0.02
Number of nodules	1.26 (0.99–1.60)	0.07	1.10 (0.83–1.47)	0.51
Diameter of the largest nodule (cm)	1.00 (0.82–1.22)	0.97	-	-

Variables with a *p*-value of <0.10 at univariate analysis were entered in multivariate Cox regression. AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, hazard ratio. Bold characters in the Table indicate significant P values.

Table 5. Adverse events are possibly attributable to TACE sessions.

Adverse event	Any grade, n (%)	Grade 1, <i>n</i> (%)	Grade 2, <i>n</i> (%)	Grade 3, <i>n</i> (%)	Grade 4, <i>n</i> (%)	
Laboratory abnormalities						
Leukopenia	3 (3.2)	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	0	0	
Thrombocytopenia	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	0	0	
Elevated ALT level	49 (52.1)	25 (26.6)	16 (17.0)	9 (9.6)	0	
Elevated AST level	48 (51.1)	28 (29.8)	13 (13.8)	8 (8.5)	0	
Hyperbilirubinemia	27 (28.7)	21 (22.3)	5 (5.3)	1 (1.1)	0	
Hypoalbuminemia	38 (40.4)	32 (34.0)	5 (5.3)	1 (1.1)	0	
Clinical adverse event						
Abdominal pain	50 (53.2)	32 (34.0)	14 (14.9)	4 (4.3)	/	
Nausea or vomiting	27 (28.7)	16 (17.0)	6 (6.4)	3 (3.2)	/	
Fatigue	14 (14.9)	10 (10.6)	2 (2.1)	2 (2.1)	/	
Fever	11 (11.7)	8 (8.5)	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	0	
Rash	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	0	/	
Ascites/pleura effusion	5 (5.3)	3 (3.2)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	0	
ALT alaning amingtransferase. AST aspartate amingtransferase						

LI, atanine aminotransierase; AST, aspartate aminotransierase.

external historical data to assess the effectiveness and safety comparisons. The research design of this study made it challenging to obtain entirely consistent historical data, leading to the inability to accurately assess these results. Therefore, large, multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled trials are required to validate our results. In addition, clinical trials are required to determine the superiority of raltitrexed in DEB-TACE compared to other chemotherapeutic agents.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this single-center single-arm prospective study showed that raltitrexed-loaded DEB-TACE is safe, feasible, and effective in patients with intermediate-stage HCC. However, additional studies are required to compare the efficacy of raltitrexed-eluting CalliSpheres[®] beads compared to doxorubicin-eluting beads.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki, the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines on Good Clinical Practice, and national laws and regulations. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics and Scientific Trial Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University (approval number: 2018-52). All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Zhanguo Sun: Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Dechao Jiao: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Writing – review & editing.

Yi Fang: Data curation; Formal analysis; Software; Writing – original draft.

Yiming Liu: Formal analysis; Investigation; Visualization; Writing – original draft.

Kaihao Xu: Data curation; Investigation; Methodology; Visualization; Writing – original draft.

Chengzhi Zhang: Data curation; Formal analysis; Software; Writing – review & editing.

Yuanhao Huang: Investigation; Methodology; Software; Writing – original draft.

Xinwei Han: Conceptualization; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision; Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude to the patients and their families who participated in this study. We also extend our heartfelt gratitude to our esteemed colleagues in the Departments of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Oncology, Pathology, Radiology, and Hepatology for their support and valuable consultations throughout the patient's treatment.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and materials

The anonymized data associated with this article will be shared with the corresponding author upon request.

ORCID iDs

Zhanguo Sun Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-3718-0485

o 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-

Dechao Jiao 5055-4672

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, *et al.* Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021; 71: 209–249.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2018; 69: 182–236.
- 3. Llovet JM, Real MI, Montana X, *et al.* Arterial embolisation or chemoembolisation *versus* symptomatic treatment in patients with

unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 1734–1739.

- 4. Lo CM, Ngan H, Tso WK, *et al.* Randomized controlled trial of transarterial lipiodol chemoembolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2002; 35: 1164–1171.
- Chen S, Zhang K, Liu W, et al. Hepatic arterial infusion of oxaliplatin plus raltitrexed in patients with intermediate and advanced stage hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase II, single-arm, prospective study. Eur J Cancer 2020; 134: 90–98.
- 6. He J, Sun H, Li F, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of raltitrexed-based transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for intermediate and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a multicenter real-world study. *Hepatol Res* 2021; 51: 1153–1163.
- Shao W, Li C, Tang J, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of raltitrexed plus oxaliplatin-based transarterial chemoembolization in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Manag Res* 2019; 11: 9863–9869.
- 8. Wang H, Wang J, Lv T, *et al.* Loadability and releasing profiles *in vitro* and pharmacokinetics *in vivo* of vinorelbine and raltitrexed by CalliSpheres beads. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm* 2020; 38: 536–542.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 1453–1457.
- Bruix J and Sherman M; Practice Guidelines Committee, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2005; 42: 1208–1236.
- 11. Lencioni R and Llovet JM. Modified RECIST (mRECIST) assessment for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Semin Liver Dis* 2010; 30: 52–60.
- Sacks D, McClenny TE, Cardella JF, et al. Society of interventional radiology clinical practice guidelines. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2003; 14: S199–S202.
- Golfieri R, Giampalma E, Renzulli M, et al. Randomised controlled trial of doxorubicineluting beads vs conventional chemoembolisation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Br J Cancer 2014; 111: 255–264.

 Facciorusso A, Mariani L, Sposito C, et al. Drug-eluting beads versus conventional chemoembolization for the treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 31: 645–653.

- Gomes AS, Monteleone PA, Sayre JW, et al. Comparison of triple-drug transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) with singledrug TACE using doxorubicin-eluting beads: long-term survival in 313 patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2017; 209: 722–732.
- Guiu B, Chevallier P, Assenat E, et al. Idarubicin-loaded beads for chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma: the IDASPHERE II single-arm phase II trial. *Radiology* 2019; 291: 801–808.
- 17. Zhao C, Fan L, Qi F, *et al.* Raltitrexed plus oxaliplatin-based transarterial chemoembolization in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Anticancer Drugs* 2016; 27: 689–694.
- Lewis AL, Taylor RR, Hall B, et al. Pharmacokinetic and safety study of doxorubicineluting beads in a porcine model of hepatic arterial embolization. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2006; 17: 1335–1343.
- 19. Lammer J, Malagari K, Vogl T, *et al.* Prospective randomized study of doxorubicin-eluting-bead embolization in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: results of the PRECISION V study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2010; 33: 41–52.
- Greco G, Cascella T, Facciorusso A, et al. Transarterial chemoembolization using 40 μm drug eluting beads for hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Radiol 2017; 9: 245–252.
- Richter G, Radeleff B, Stroszczynski C, et al. Safety and feasibility of chemoembolization with doxorubicin-loaded small calibrated microspheres in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: results of the MIRACLE I prospective multicenter study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2018; 41: 587–593.
- 22. Royer B, Schmitt A, Nguyen T, *et al.* Exposureresponse analysis of raltitrexed assessing liver toxicity. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2021; 87: 1327–1337.
- 23. Batra A, Rigo R, Hannouf MB, *et al.* Real-world safety and efficacy of raltitrexed in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* 2021; 20: e75–e81.
- 24. Kelly C, Bhuva N, Harrison M, *et al.* Use of raltitrexed as an alternative to 5-fluorouracil and capecitabine in cancer patients with cardiac history. *Eur J Cancer* 2013; 49: 2303–2310.
- 25. Ransom D, Wilson K, Fournier M, *et al.* Final results of Australasian Gastrointestinal Trials Group ARCTIC study: an audit of raltitrexed for patients with cardiac toxicity induced by fluoropyrimidines. *Ann Oncol* 2014; 25: 117–121.
- Suter TM and Ewer MS. Cancer drugs and the heart: importance and management. *Eur Heart J* 2013; 34: 1102–1111.

Visit Sage journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tam

Sage journals