

COMMENTARY

Open Access



# Saving lives through life-threatening measures? The COVID-19 paradox of infection prevention in long-term care facilities

Ansgar Thiel<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Dorothee Altmeier<sup>1,2</sup>, Annika Frahsa<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Gerhard W. Eschweiler<sup>4</sup>, Andreas Nieß<sup>2,5</sup>, Gorden Sudeck<sup>1,2</sup> and on behalf of the BaSAIt study team

## Abstract

The current SARS Cov-2 infection control measures have paradoxical effects. On the one hand, the lockdown measures help to protect vulnerable populations in particular. On the other hand, these measures inevitably have the effect that those who are to be protected not only become socially isolated and are exposed to enormous psychological stress, but also break down physically due to inactivity. Thus, the activation that is omitted in the lockdown is not compensated by external reference groups, which also indicates that important conditions for healthy ageing are not given in long-term care facilities.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Infection prevention, Nursing homes, Long-term care, Physical inactivity

## Summary

The current SARS-Cov-2-infection control measures have paradoxical implications. On the one hand, lockdown measures contribute to the protection of vulnerable populations in particular. On the other hand, the inevitable result of these measures is that those being protected become not only socially isolated and subject to enormous psychological strain, but also decline physically due to prolonged sedentariness.

## Background

Current studies related to the COVID-19 pandemic show that the indisputably necessary measures to restrict contacts have the serious side effect of decreasing

physical activity in the population [1]. However, in the public discussion concerning the consequences of the pandemic, the fact that physical activity is one of the most relevant factors in primary, secondary and tertiary prevention of chronic degenerative diseases is rarely addressed. Physical activity is particularly relevant for residents of long-term care facilities due to its preventive effects regarding cardiovascular diseases, the development of sarcopenia and the risk of (neuro-) degenerative diseases [2]. Furthermore, a lack of physical activity is associated with declining cognitive flexibility, gait quality and performance, and depression [3, 4]. In addition, physical activity has positive effects on self-efficacy, mobility, provides opportunities for social interaction, improves well-being, helps maintain quality of life and can help decrease feelings of loneliness or exclusion [5, 6]. The ability of being physically active can thus be considered an important coping strategy for dealing with psychological stressors induced by a crisis such as the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

\* Correspondence: [ansgar.thiel@uni-tuebingen.de](mailto:ansgar.thiel@uni-tuebingen.de)

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Sport Science, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Interfaculty Research Institute for Sport and Physical Activity, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

### The infection prevention paradox in long-term care facilities

Against this background, the current infection control measures have paradoxical implications. On the one hand, lockdown measures contribute to the protection of vulnerable populations in particular. On the other hand, the inevitable result of these measures is that those being protected become not only socially isolated and subject to enormous psychological strain, but also decline physically due to prolonged sedentariness [6].

The lockdown setting reduces physical activity in several ways. Firstly, residents are restricted from leaving facilities during local COVID-19 outbreaks. Secondly, visitors – significant motivators for physical activity – are no longer permitted access. Thirdly, caregivers have less time for the residents' physical activation due to the additional workloads caused by COVID-19. Fourthly, group activities offered by qualified external trainers and providers are either completely discontinued or held by less qualified internal staff and conducted for a shorter duration with reduced numbers of participants – if at all [7].

From this perspective, the limited mobility and restricted social interactions of vulnerable groups in long-term care facilities come with a high price: while weeks of isolation and reduced interactions with visitors could potentially save lives, the lockdown endangers health and potentially increases the risk of mortality in equal measure.

Given that physical activity promotion in long-term care facilities tends not to be structurally embedded with respect to staffing and fixed time slots, there is no substitution for the consequential lack of activating stakeholders [7]. The lockdown thus highlights two main problems with regard to the overall goal of active and healthy ageing in long-term care facilities:

(1) Long-term care facilities are designed to provide protection for the vulnerable but not to empower residents and promote self-determination and autonomy of the residents. The severe lockdown situation reinforces passive-oriented care rather than activating and recognizing residents as experts of their own well-being.

(2) Although physical activity is essential for promoting health and preventing increasing care needs, most long-term care facilities depend on external service providers and visitors to promote physical activity. If these resources are no longer available, activity also comes to a halt.

### Conclusion

The COVID-19 lockdowns should encourage us to re-think the paradigm of resident care, but also the training of caregivers in long-term care facilities:

- Instead of focusing only on protective care for rather passive residents, resource- and activity-oriented empowerment should be the main goal of resident care in long-term care facilities.
- To reduce caregiver role overload, the use of digital tools such as tablets for video communication and video coaching should be incorporated into standard routines in long-term care facilities.
- Long-term care facilities should establish expert networks providing suitable methods for assessing the different physical activity motives and resident needs and for ensuring the quality of offered programs.

Ultimately, the principle for dealing with residents of long-term care facilities should be: *Make the day as challenging as possible in order to maintain Activities of the Daily Living (ADLs) as long as possible.*

#### Acknowledgements

The commentary was written within the context of the multidisciplinary BaSAIt Study.

#### Authors' contributions

AT: Idea, writing of the original draft, review and editing. DA, AF: Idea, contribution to the original draft, review and editing. GWE, AN, GS: review and editing. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

The BaSAIt study is funded by the Bundesministerium für Gesundheit, Germany. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Sport Science, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Interfaculty Research Institute for Sport and Physical Activity, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. <sup>4</sup>Centre for Geriatric Medicine, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Department of Sports Medicine, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany.

Received: 17 February 2021 Accepted: 31 May 2021

Published online: 21 June 2021

#### References

1. Ammar A, Brach M, Trabelsi K, Chtourou H, Boukhris O, Masmoudi L, et al. Effects of COVID-19 home confinement on eating behaviour and physical activity: Results of the ECLB-COVID19 international online survey. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(6):1583. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12061583>.
2. Gennuso KP, Gangnon RE, Matthews CE, Thraen-Borowski KM, Colbert LH. Sedentary behavior, physical activity, and markers of health in older adults.

Med Sci Sports Exerc 2013;45(8):1493–1500. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318288a1e5>.

3. Kobayashi Y, Takahashi Y, Seki T, Kaneta T, Amarume K, Kasai M, et al. Decreased physical activity associated with executive dysfunction correlates with cognitive impairment among older adults in the community: A retrospective analysis from the Kurihara Project. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord Extra*. 2016;13(6):350–60. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000448027>.
4. Lerche S, Gutfreund A, Brockmann K, Hobert MA, Wurster I, Sünkel U, et al. Effect of physical activity on cognitive flexibility, depression and RBD in healthy elderly. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2018;165:88–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2018.01.008>.
5. Allison MJ, Keller C. Self-Efficacy intervention effect on physical activity in older adults. *West J Nurs Res*. 2004;26(1):31–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945903259350>.
6. Brett L, Traynor V, Stapley P. Effects of physical exercise on health and well-being of individuals living with a dementia in nursing homes: A Systematic Review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2016;17(2):104–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2015.08.016>.
7. Frahsa A, Altmeier D, John JM, Gropper H, Granz H, Pomiersky R, et al. "I trust in staff's creativity" - The impact of COVID-19 lockdowns on physical activity promotion in nursing homes through the lenses of organizational sociology. *Front Sports Active Living*. 2020;2:131. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2020.589214>.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

**At BMC, research is always in progress.**

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

