ANIMAL STUDY

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2020; 26: e920637 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.920637

Received: 2019.10.12

MONITOR

MEDICAL
SCIENCE

e920637-1

Background

Esophageal motility is crucial for peristalsis of the esophagus body and for relaxation and contraction of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) [1,2]. Primary achalasia and other esophageal motility disorders of peristalsis are characterized by aperistalsis in the esophagus body or by contraction of the LES when swallowing [3]. Treatment for patients involves medical, endoscopic, and surgical options. In recent years, several studies have supported POEM as an initial treatment strategy for patients with achalasia and other esophageal motility disorders. This is due to their significantly higher treatment success rates compared to other therapies [4,5]. However, these therapies only focus on the gastrointestinal tract smooth muscles but neglect the recovery of esophageal body peristalsis, which may lead to poor long-term prognosis [6,7]. Electrical stimulation therapy of the smooth muscles has been used as a treatment for esophageal motility disorders [8]. Based on the results of our pervious study using rabbit models [9], esophageal electric stimulation induced esophageal contraction temporarily at the stimulated site *in vivo*, and this effect was not mediated by neurotransmitters, which made it easier to stimulate the esophageal body sequentially with successive electrodes and then induce esophageal peristalsis. We hypothesized that the esophagus in patients with primary achalasia may also regain peristalsis after sequential electrical pacing with the help of an implantable pacemaker and electrodes. Using the combination of an esophageal pacemaker, electrode implantation, and POEM, esophageal peristalsis function in patients with achalasia can be restored together with an LES incision, and hence lead to a more favorable prognosis. We inserted electrodes into the esophageal body submucosal tunnel during the POEM procedure and placed a pacemaker under the abdominal wall and then connected them. This idea was inspired by the workings of cardiac pacemakers.

Material and Methods

Five male domestic pigs (castrated), weighing 20–30 kg were purchased from the teaching laboratory of China Agricultural University, Hebei, China. The pigs were housed in an indoor environment with moderate temperature, humidity, and light. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University General Hospital.

Electrical stimulation equipment and manometry

Baseline and post-surgical LES pressures were recorded using a water-perfused esophageal high-resolution pressure measuring manometry (22-channel, Medical Measurement Systems, Laborie, CA). A submucosal implanted electrode automatically sensing digestive tract sequential pacing therapy device (provided by Dr. Wu, patent applied, patent number CN 103143116 A, China, Fig) was used for electrical stimulation.

Surgical procedure

Experimental pigs were housed for 7 days and fasted for 3 days prior to surgery. After endotracheal intubation and venous access were established, the pigs were anesthetized using ketamine hydrochloride injection (1 mg/min during the procedure, provided by Tianjin Medical University General Hospital Anesthesiology Department). The animals were positioned in left lateral position and ventilated. Vital signs were monitored (blood pressure checked every 5 min, respiratory rate, heart rate, and oxygen saturation) using a multi-parameter monitor. Upper endoscopy was then performed using a standard endoscope 9.8 mm in diameter with a 2.8-mm working channel (GIF-H260, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Approximately 5 cm above the esophagogastric junction (EGJ) at the 12 o'clock position, a submucosal injection consisting of a mixture of glycerol fructose, adrenaline, and methylene blue was administered. Afterwards, the mucosa was lifted and the submucosal space was expanded to facilitate safe mucosal incision. The mucosal layer was longitudinally cut using a Hook knife (KD-620LR, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). A submucosal tunnel was then established along the submucosal layer that reached the anterior wall of the gastric body near the lesser gastric curvature (Figure 1A). Based on the NOTES (natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery) procedure, the muscularis propria and serosal layer was cut using a TT knife at 7–8 cm below the cardia, at the anterior wall of the gastric body near the lesser gastric curvature and entering into the abdominal cavity. During the procedure, hemostasis using clamps and ligatures was essential. A 3–5-cm longitudinal nick was then made at the abdominal wall, directly facing the above-mentioned incision in the stomach wall (Figure 1B). Subcutaneous tissue was then bluntly separated. Electrodes were inserted though this access and merged with the endoscope in the abdominal cavity. The electrodes were clamped using forceps and pulled into the submucosal tunnel (Figure 1C). After placement, the electrodes were fixed using titanium clips so that the proximal part of the electrode was 5 cm above the EGJ (Figure 1D–1F). The pacemaker was implanted subcutaneously, with the interface connected to the distal end of the electrode.

Afterwards, titanium clips were used to close the tunnel opening in the esophageal lumen, and the liquid perfusion highresolution pressure measuring instrument catheter was placed into the esophagus after the electrodes and pacemaker were fixed in place (Figure 1G, 1H). The abdominal incision was then sutured (Figure 1I). Esophageal electrical stimulation was performed using a single pair of electrodes. Contraction of the esophagus was observed using an endoscope and HRM.

Figure 1. Surgical procedure (**A** – submucosal tunnel was established along the submucosal layer reaching the anterior wall of the gastric body near the lesser gastric curvature; **B** – a 3–5-cm longitudinal nick was made at the abdominal wall, directly facing the above-mentioned incision in the stomach wall; **C** – electrodes were clamped using forceps and pulled into the submucosal tunnel; **D–F** – the electrodes were fixed with titanium clips with the proximal part of the electrode being 5 cm above the EGJ; G, H – closure of the tunnel opening in the esophageal lumen using titanium clips. A liquid perfusion highresolution pressure measuring instrument catheter was then placed into the esophagus; **I** – the abdominal incision was then sutured).

Post-surgical treatment

After the surgery, the animals were intravenously administered cefoxitin sodium (Yangtze River Pharmaceutical Group, China, 2 g). The pigs were resuscitated and vital signs were monitored using a multi-parameter monitor. Six hours later, the animals were euthanized using pentobarbital (0.5 g, provided by Tianjin Medical University General Hospital Anesthesiology Department). Autopsies were performed to measure bleeding of the esophagus, thoracic cavity, abdominal cavity, visceral organs, and abdominal wall.

e920637-3

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]

Figure 2. Electrodes were securely positioned in the tunnel and the junctions of the electrodes and the pacemaker were well sealed.

Results

The procedure was successfully completed for all the animals and lasted about 1.5 h. After recovery from anesthesia, the experimental pigs were euthanized 6 h later. During the procedure, blood pressure and respiratory and heart rates of the pigs increased slightly but returned to pre-surgical levels after awakening. Oxygen saturation was stable based on multiparameter monitors. Autopsies showed no obvious hemorrhage in the abdominal puncture site and abdominal cavity. The electrodes were still securely positioned in the tunnel and the junctions of the electrodes and the pacemaker were well sealed (Figure 2). Esophageal pressure changes were recorded using pressure-measuring instruments, and contraction of the esophagus was observed using an endoscope and HRM. The current mode was single-phase square wave of 8 mA, frequency 50 Hz, pulse width 3000 μs, stimulation time 1s, and electrode sequential delay time 3 s (Figure 3). Contraction occurred immediately after stimulation.

Discussion

Esophageal motility disorders are gradually being recognized. Current therapy directly targets obstructions in the esophagus [10,11]; however, using these methods does not fully alleviate esophageal peristaltic function [12,13]. In order to restore normal physiological function of the esophagus, it is essential to develop treatment strategies to regain proper peristalsis of the esophagus.

Electrical pacing treatments for gastrointestinal tract diseases has been reported extensively and have been mostly used for gastroparesis and other motility disorders [14]. This indicates that human gastrointestinal smooth muscles can react to electrical stimulation, and, as a result, can facilitate or block food passage. Previous studies in humans and animal models have demonstrated that the esophageal smooth muscle can contract under electrical stimulation [15,16]. Our previous study demonstrated that, except for the lower esophageal sphincter, esophageal smooth muscle strips in the body of the esophagus can also contract immediately after electrical stimulation. In addition, we found that the amplitude of esophageal contraction was current- and frequency-dependent [9]. This made plausible our hypothesis, similar to cardiac electrical stimulation therapy, that the esophagus could also be induced by electrical stimulation to initiate peristalsis. The approach we took was to place an esophageal submucosal pacing electrode and connect it to the abdominal wall subcutaneous to a pacemaker. This was achieved through a submucosal tunnel to the esophagus to implant the electrode, and then to connect it to the pacemaker through the abdominal cavity. This was the most important part of the procedure, as it was necessary to connect the submucosal tunnel of the esophagus and the abdominal cavity to the exterior during the surgery. To reduce the risk of infection, every step of the surgery had to be performed rapidly without compromising sterility. To facilitate the capture of the bottom of the electrode to the nipper tethered to the abdominal cavity, we constructed a tunnel at the front wall of the gastric body. Accordingly, we demonstrated the feasibility and safety of the implantation of the esophagus pacemaker and electrode with the combination of the POEM technique and abdominal wall puncturing. We found that electrical stimulation in the esophageal

Figure 3. Esophageal pressure changes recorded using a pressure-measuring instrument. The arrow indicates esophageal pressure increase after electrical stimulation using a single-phase square wave of current 8 mA, frequency 50 Hz, and pulse width 3000 μs.

tunnel could induce esophageal contraction at the stimulation site instantaneously [17]. Similar to the multi-point stimulation procedure demonstrated by Amaris et al. [18] in the intestine serosa of a canine isolated colon, we also observed smooth muscle contraction after stimulation, which was observed using a gastroscope.

Our study demonstrated immediate contraction after stimulation. The reasons are likely dependent on different electrical stimulation parameters and electrical stimulation sites. Additional electrical stimulation parameters and esophageal body pressure changes should be evaluated in future studies.

For future studies, we aim to implant at sites at least 15 cm into the esophagus instead of the distal esophagus and to perform longer post-surgical monitoring to determine longterm safety, such as delayed bleeding and the risk of infection. Biocompatibility data for the electrodes and stimulators

References:

- 1. Richard M, Tibbitts, Richardson P: Gray's anatomy for students. Philadelphia, Elsevier/Churchill Livingstone, 2005; 192–94
- 2. Hall AC, Guyton JE: Textbook of medical physiology (11th ed.). Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 2005
- 3. Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M et al: The Chicago Classification of esophageal motility disorders, v3.0. Neurogastroenterol Motil, 2015; 27: 160–74
- 4. Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M et al: Expert consensus document: Advances in the management of oesophageal motility disorders in the era of high-resolution manometry: A focus on achalasia syndromes. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2017; 14: 677–88
- 5. Ponds FA, Fockens P, Lei A et al: Effect of peroral endoscopic myotomy *vs.* pneumatic dilation on symptom severity and treatment outcomes among treatment-naive patients with achalasia: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA, 2019; 322: 134–44
- 6. Parrilla P, Martinez de Haro LF, Ortiz A et al: Factors involved in the return of peristalsis in patients with achalasia of the cardia after Heller's myotomy. Am J Gastroenterol, 1995; 90: 713–17
- 7. Park S, Zifan A, Kumar D, Mittal RK: Genesis of esophageal pressurization and bolus flow patterns in patients with achalasia esophagus. Gastroenterology, 2018; 155: 327–36
- 8. Rodriguez L, Rodriguez P, Gomez B et al: Electrical stimulation therapy of the lower esophageal sphincter is successful in treating GERD: Final results of open-label prospective trial. Surg Endosc, 2013; 27: 1083–92
- 9. Zhang L, Zhao W, Zhao C et al: Study on effects of electrical stimulation on rabbit esophageal body motility *in vivo*. Physiol Res, 2018; 67: 275–82

and the accurate effect of multi-point electrical stimulation will also have to be evaluated. Additionally, we also need to investigate the risk of electrode displacement after long-term placement and the time required for local adhesion fixation.

Conclusions

We described a novel procedural approach of esophageal electrical pacing using an esophageal submucosal pacing electrode and connecting it to a pacemaker subcutaneously. Further studies will focus on evaluating pacing parameters and longterm safety.

Conflict of interests

None.

- 10. Blozik E: Fundoplication versus medical management of gastroesophageal reflux disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Surg Endosc, 2014; 28: 2249
- 11. Sato H, Takahashi K, Mizuno KI et al: Esophageal motility disorders: New perspectives from high-resolution manometry and histopathology. J Gastroenterol, 2018; 53: 484–93
- 12. Roman S, Kahrilas PJ, Mion F et al: Partial recovery of peristalsis after myotomy for achalasia: More the rule than the exception. JAMA Surg, 2013; 148: 157–64
- 13. Park SK, Hong SJ, Han JP et al: Changes in pressure waves after endoscopic intervention in patients with achalasia: A focus on peristaltic recovery of the esophageal body. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2016; 27: 4–9
- 14. Camilleri M, Parkman HP, Shafi MA et al: Clinical guideline: management of gastroparesis. Am J Gastroenterol, 2013; 108: 18–37; quiz 38
- 15. Rodriguez L, Rodriguez P, Neto MG et al: Short-term electrical stimulation of the lower esophageal sphincter increases sphincter pressure in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Neurogastroenterol Motil, 2012; 24: 446–50, e213
- 16. Sun Y, Song GQ, Yin J et al: Effects and mechanisms of gastrointestinal electrical stimulation on slow waves: A systematic canine study. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 2009; 297: R1392–99
- 17. Lee JW, Kim CH, Wang YY et al: Lysophosphatidic acid presynaptically blocks NO uptake during electric field stimulation-induced relaxation via LPA(1) receptor in cat lower esophageal sphincter. Arch Pharm Res, 2011; 34: 169–76
- 18. Mintchev MP, Sanmiguel CP, Amaris M, Bowes KL: Microprocessor-controlled movement of solid gastric content using sequential neural electrical stimulation. Gastroenterology, 2000; 118: 258–63

e920637-5