

Citation: Thomas AC, Eijgelaar WJ, Daemen MJAP, Newby AC (2015) Foam Cell Formation *In Vivo* Converts Macrophages to a Pro-Fibrotic Phenotype. PLoS ONE 10(7): e0128163. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0128163

Editor: Christian Schulz, Medical Faculty, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, GERMANY

Received: January 29, 2015

Accepted: April 22, 2015

Published: July 21, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Thomas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are available via the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE70126.

Funding: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation and the NIHR Bristol Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit (ACT and ACN). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors had declared no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Foam Cell Formation *In Vivo* Converts Macrophages to a Pro-Fibrotic Phenotype

Anita C. Thomas¹*, Wouter J. Eijgelaar², Mat J. A. P. Daemen^{2,3}, Andrew C. Newby¹

1 Bristol Heart Institute, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, 2 Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht, The Netherlands, 3 Academisch Medisch Centrum (AMC), Amsterdam, The Netherlands

* a.thomas@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract

Formation of foam cell macrophages, which sequester extracellular modified lipids, is a key event in atherosclerosis. How lipid loading affects macrophage phenotype is controversial, with evidence suggesting either pro- or anti-inflammatory consequences. To investigate this further, we compared the transcriptomes of foamy and non-foamy macrophages that accumulate in the subcutaneous granulomas of fed-fat ApoE null mice and normal chow fed wild-type mice in vivo. Consistent with previous studies, LXR/RXR pathway genes were significantly over-represented among the genes up-regulated in foam cell macrophages. Unexpectedly, the hepatic fibrosis pathway, associated with platelet derived growth factor and transforming growth factor-β action, was also over-represented. Several collagen polypeptides and proteoglycan core proteins as well as connective tissue growth factor and fibrosis-related FOS and JUN transcription factors were up-regulated in foam cell macrophages. Increased expression of several of these genes was confirmed at the protein level in foam cell macrophages from subcutaneous granulomas and in atherosclerotic plaques. Moreover, phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of SMAD2, which is downstream of several transforming growth factor-ß family members, was also detected in foam cell macrophages. We conclude that foam cell formation in vivo leads to a pro-fibrotic macrophage phenotype, which could contribute to plaque stability, especially in early lesions that have few vascular smooth muscle cells.

Introduction

Atherosclerosis, the underlying cause of many vascular diseases, can be considered to be a healing response to multiple injurious stimuli that include endothelial dysfunction, lipid retention and inflammation (with activation of both innate and adaptive immune systems) [1,2]. Retention and oxidation of extracellular lipid in the vessel wall provokes the production of inflammatory mediators that recruit monocytes, which differentiate to macrophages [3,4]: it also creates neo-epitopes that can activate the immune system. Modified extracellular lipid is then taken up into foam cell macrophages (FCMs) [3] and smooth muscle cells (SMCs) [5,6]. Plaque macrophages and FCMs may also be differentially activated, adopting pro-inflammatory phenotypes similar to those designated M1 or 'classically activated' from *in vitro* experiments [7-9]. M1-like macrophages have been observed especially at the shoulder region of the fibrous cap of plaques. They express higher levels of many proteases, including matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [8], which can degrade the extracellular matrix leading to loss of collagen. This promotes subsequent cap rupture, thrombus formation and hence life-threatening myocardial infarctions or strokes [10,11]. Death of FCMs, because it releases lipid and enlarges the necrotic core of the plaque, increases mechanical stress on the plaque cap [12] and further promotes plaque rupture. Conversely, plaque macrophages with similarities to M2 or alternatively activated phenotypes have also been detected, especially in areas of plaques remote from the core, and in the adventitia [3,9,13]. These include so-called M2a, M2c/Mreg, Mhem and Mox macrophages that are anti-inflammatory, promote tissue repair and hence favour plaque stability [3,4,14–16].

The role of lipid uptake and foam cell formation *per se* in the activation of macrophage behaviour that provokes plaque rupture is controversial. Different *in vitro* studies suggested pro- or anti-inflammatory effects of treatment with oxidised lipids, whereas others showed no major influence on markers of M1 and M2 polarization [17-20]. Results from *ex vivo* studies of FCMs generated *in vivo* are also conflicting. Rabbit FCMs purified from subcutaneous granulomas showed increased activation of the nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B) pathway and production of several NF- κ B dependent MMPs compared with non-foamy macrophages (NFMs) [21,22]. On the other hand, a recent paper that compared FCMs with NFMs isolated from the peritoneum of atherosclerosis-prone mice suggested that increased desmosterol levels in FCMs initiate a transcriptional programme mediated by the liver X receptor (LXR) that suppresses the expression of many pro-inflammatory genes [23]. To re-examine this controversy, we adapted the subcutaneous sponge model we used in rabbits [21,22] for use in mice, and compared the transcriptomes of FCMs and NFMs produced in fat-fed ApoE null or wild-type mice. We then validated the differential expression of selected genes at the protein level both in subcutaneous granulomas and in brachiocephalic artery plaques.

We found, unexpectedly, that foam cell formation under these conditions favoured neither M1 nor M2 polarization but instead induced a pro-fibrotic transcriptional programme that should favour plaque stability. Understanding how FCMs are different from NFMs could enhance our ability to treat or prevent atherosclerotic plaque development and subsequent acute events.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The housing and care of all animals and procedures used in these studies was in accordance with and under license of the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (London, United Kingdom), and conform to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (Publication No. 85–23, revised 1996). The study received local institutional review board (University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom) approval. Homozygous ApoE^{-/-} mice and wild-type (control) mice on a C57BL background were bred in the University of Bristol Animal Services Unit.

Surgical sponge implantation and harvest of foamy and non-foamy macrophages. Adult male $ApoE^{-/-}$ mice (10–25 weeks old) were changed from normal rodent diet to a high fat diet containing 23% fat from lard, supplemented with 0.15% (w/w) cholesterol (Special Diets Services, UK). Three weeks after commencing the high-cholesterol diet, the animals were anaesthetised with halothane and had six 0.5 cm³ pieces of sterile sponges (containing Matrigel (BD Biosciences, UK)) placed dorsally, subcutaneously under aseptic conditions and buprenorphine analgesia. The high-fat diet continued for 4 weeks post-surgery, when the sponges were recovered. For controls, age-matched male C57/129 mice were fed a normal chow diet before and after sponge insertion as above. Water and food were given *ad libitum*. Mice were euthanized by an overdose of halothane and the sponges removed using aseptic technique.

FCMs were purified from sponges removed from fat-fed ApoE null mice based on their lower buoyant density than other cells followed by differential adherence to plastic, whereas NFM were purified by differential adherence alone [21,22]. Purified cells were used for RNA extraction (Illumina array, RT-qPCR), viability (Trypan blue), lipid content (histochemistry) or identification of cell type (RT-qPCR, immunocytochemistry). Some whole sponges from ApoE^{-/-} mice were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin and paraffin-embedded; 3 µm sections were then cut and used for immunohistochemistry.

Development of atherosclerosis. ApoE^{-/-} male mice commenced a high-fat diet at 5 weeks of age. Twelve weeks later the animals were killed and perfusion fixed at normal pressure with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by 10% phosphate-buffered formalin. Brachio-cephalic arteries were dissected out as described previously [24] and embedded in paraffin. Sections (3 μ m thick) were prepared and used for immunohistochemistry.

Transcriptomic comparison of FCMs and NFMs

Total cellular RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNease MiniKit (UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined using NanoDrop spectrometry (NanoDrop Technologies, USA). RNA samples of high quality (A260/280>2) (n = 4) were compared using Illumina bead chips (MouseRef8 v2.0 Expression BeadChips, Illumina, USA) at 1 µg/sample.

Functional Annotation. All genes from the dataset that met the unadjusted P-value cutoff of 0.01 were uploaded to the Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity Systems, <u>www.</u> <u>ingenuity.com</u>) system and included in the analysis. Each identifier was mapped to its corresponding object in the Ingenuity Knowledge Base. Functional analysis identified the biological functions and canonical pathway analysis identified the pathways that were most significant to the data set. Network maps were also generated within Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Differentially expressed genes were overlaid onto a global molecular network developed from information contained in the Ingenuity Knowledge Base and networks of these molecules algorithmically generated based on their connectivity. Molecules are represented as nodes, and the biological relationship between two nodes is represented as an edge (line). The intensity of the node colour indicates the degree of up- (red) or down- (green) regulation. Nodes are displayed using various shapes that represent the functional class of the gene product. Edges are displayed with various labels that describe the nature of the relationship between the nodes (e.g., P for phosphorylation, T for transcription).

Differentially expressed genes were also submitted to GO annotation and clustering using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) 2008, NIH, <u>http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov</u>).

RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assays. The array results were validated and expanded on RNA samples prepared in the same way using RT-qPCR (n = 5-7) with selected primer pairs (<u>Table 1</u>). For reverse transcription, 100 ng of total RNA was used to make cDNA, using a Qiagen Quantitect Reverse Transcripase Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequences of the PCR primer pairs used to amplify the respective cDNAs were designed using Ensembl and Primer3, and the

Table 1. Primer sequences used in this study.

GENE	ALTERNATIVE NAME	SPECIES	PRIMER SEQUENCE
36B4	ribosomal protein, large, P0, RPLP0	human	GCCCAGGGAAGACAGGGCGA GCGCATCATGGTGTTCTTGCCCA
NR2B2	retinoid X receptor β , RXR β	mouse	GGTGCTGACAGAGCTAGTGTCCAA TGCTTGCAATAGGTCTCCAGTGAG
NR2B1	RXRα	mouse	AGGACAGTACGCAAAGACCTGACC ATGTTTGCCTCCACGTATGTCTCA
NR1H3	liver X receptor a, LXRa	mouse	GCAGGACCAGCTCCAAGTAGAGAG CACAAAGGACACGGTGAAACAGTC
NR1H2	LXRβ	mouse	GGCGGCCCAACTGCAGTGCAACAA GCAAAGCGTTGCTGGCGGGCATCT
SREBF1	sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor-1, SREBP1	mouse	AGGCCATCGACTACATCCG TCCATAGACACATCTGTGCCTC
FASN	fatty acid synthase	mouse	GGCTCTATGGATTACCCAAGC CCAGTGTTCGTTCCTCGGA
MSR1	macrophage scavenger receptor-1, SR-A1, SCARA1	mouse	GCTGCCCTCATTGCTCTCT CTGGAAGCGTTCCGTGTCT
CD36	thrombospondin receptor	mouse	GTACAGCCCAATGGAGCCA AACCCCACAAGAGTTCTTTCAAA
SCARB1	scavenger receptor B1, SR-B1	mouse	GGTGCGCCTCTGTTTCTCTC AGAACTACTGGCTCGATCTTCCCT
ABCA1	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family A1	mouse	CTCAGTTAAGGCTGCTGCTG TCAGGCGTACAGAGATCAGG
PPARγ	peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y	mouse	TTGACAGGAAGGACAACGGACAAA TGTGATCTCTTGCACGGCTTCTAC
COL1α1	collagen 1α1	mouse	GATGATGCTAACGTGGTTCGTGAC CCATGTTGCAGTAGACCTTGATGG
COL4a1	collagen 4α1	mouse	CTGGCACAAAAGGGACGAG CGTGGCCGAGAATTTCACC
COL6α1	collagen 6α1	mouse	ATGTGACCCAACTGGTCAACTCAA AGCATGGAAGACAGAACACAGACG
COL8α1	collagen 8α1	mouse	TCATCATTTCCCTGAACTCTGTC CAAAGGCATGTGAGGGACTTG
BGN	biglycan	mouse	TAGCCAGTCCCTCCACAAACAAAT AGGAAGCTCCTTGATCCTCGTCTT
DCN	decorin	mouse	CACAACCTTGCTAGACCTGC GAAGTTCCTGGAGAGTTCTGG
CTGF	connective tissue growth factor	human	GGTGTACCGCAGCGGAGAGT GGGCCAAACGTGTCTTCCAG
BMP1	bone morphogenic protein v1,2	mouse	AGACCTTTATTCCCATGCCCAGTT TTCTTGGAGATGGTGTCGTCAGAG
THBS1	thrombospondin-1	mouse	GGGCAAAGAACTTGTCCAGACTGT ACTGGGTGACTTGTTTCCACATCA
TGFβ1	transforming growth factor-β1	mouse	CTCCCGTGGCTTCTAGTGC GCCTTAGTTTGGACAGGATCTG
FOS	FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog	mouse	TTCGACCATGATGTTCTCG TTGGCACTAGAGACGGACAGA
FosB	FosB	mouse	CCCTCCTGCATATCTTTGTCCTGT CTGTCATTTCCTCGTTGGGTCTCT
JUN	jun proto-oncogene	mouse	TGACTGCAAAGATGGAAACGA CAGGTTCAAGGTCATGCTCTGT
JunB	JunB	mouse	TCACGACGACTCTTACGCAG CCTTGAGACCCCGATAGGGA
SMAD2	Smad2 v1,2	mouse	ATGTCGTCCATCTTGCCATTC AACCGTCCTGTTTTCTTTAGCTT
SMAD3	Smad3	mouse	TGCACAGCCACCATGAATTAC TCCATCTTCACTCAGGTAGCC
ACVR1	activin A receptor-1/ALK2 v1,2,3	mouse	AATGGTGAGCAATGGTATAGTG GGGTCTGAGAACCATCTGTTAGG
TBFBR1	TGFβ receptor-1/ALK5	mouse	CAGCTCCTCATCGTGTTGGTG GCACATACAAATGGCCTGTCTC
CTSC	cathepsin C	mouse	GCAGGTCATCTACAATGCAACCAG TGGAGCATAAATGCTTCTAAGGGA
CTSE	cathepsin E	mouse	GCAGGTCATCTACAATGCAACCAG TGGAGCATAAATGCTTCTAAGGGA

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

PLOS ONE

GENE	ALTERNATIVE NAME	SPECIES	PRIMER SEQUENCE
CTSB	cystatin b	mouse	AGGTGAAGTCCCAGCTTGAAT TCTGATAGGAAGACAGGGTCA
CSTF	cystatin f	mouse	TGTTCCAAAGATTTGATCTCCAG GTACCAGGGCTTTGCTGACAT
LYZ	lysozyme v1&2	mouse	GAATGCCTGTGGGATCAATTGC GCTGCAGTAGAAGCACACCG
MMP2	matrix metalloproteinase-2	mouse	GGCTGACATCATGATCAACTTTGG GCCATCAGCCGTTCCCATACTTTAC
MMP9	MMP9	mouse	AGAGAGGAGTCTGGGGTCTGGTTT GAGAACACCACCGAGCTATCCACT
MMP12	MMP12	mouse	AATTACACTCCGGACATGAAGCGT GGCTAGTGTACCACCTTTGCCATC
MMP13	MMP13	mouse	ATGATGATGAAACCTGGACAAGCA ATAGGGCTGGGTCACACTTCTCTG
MMP14	MMP14	mouse	ACCACAAGGACTTTGCCTCTGAAG CACCGAGCTGTGAGATTCCCTTGA
MMP23	MMP23	mouse	CAAGGTTGGTGAGAGAGGGTAGGA AGGAGTAGGTGCTGAGAACACGCT
TIMP1	tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1	mouse	AGGAACGAAATTTGCACATCAGT CAAAGTGACGGCTCTGGTAGTCCT
TIMP2	TIMP2	mouse	GACTCCCCCTCAGACTCTCCCTAC CATATTGATACCACCGCACAGGAA
TIMP3	TIMP3	mouse	CACATCAAGGTGCCATTCAGGTAG GTTCTCCTCCTCCAACCAAACA
NOS2	nitric oxide synthase-2, iNOS	mouse	CTCATGACATCGACCAGAAGCGT TATATTGCTGTGGCTCCCATGTTG
IL-6	interleukin-6	mouse	GTTCTCTGGGAAATCGTGGA TTCTGCAAGTGCATCATCGT
CCL2	chemokine (C-C motif) ligand-2, MCP1	rabbit	GCTTGCCCAGCCAGATGCCGTGAA GGTTGGCAATGGCATCCTGGACCC
NF-кB1	nuclear factor of kappa light chain polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1	mouse	GGAGGCATGTTCGGTAGTGG CCCTGCGTTGGATTTCGTG
ARG1	arginase-1	mouse	AGTCTGGCAGTTGGAAGCATCTCT TTCCTTCAGGAGAAAGGACACAGG
ARG2	arginase-2	mouse	ACAGCCAGACTAGCACTGGATGTC CGAATGCCTTGCAACTCTGTAATG
Chi3l3	chitinase-like 3, Ym1	mouse	CAGGTCTGGCAATTCTTCTG GTCTTGCTCATGTGTGTAAGTG
CD206	mannose receptor, MRC1	mouse	CCATTTATCATTCCCTCAGCAAGC AAATGTCACTGGGGTTCCATCACT
RND3	member Rho GTPase family	human	GGGACACTTCGGGTTCTCCTTACT TGGACAAAATTCCTGGATTTCACC
SARAF	store-operated calcium entry-associated regulatory factor, Tmem66	mouse	GGCTTTAAGTCGGAGTTCACAGGA TCGAGTCTGCATTAGAGGATGCAC
CMPK2	cytidine monophosphate (UMP-CMP) kinase 2	mouse	GTTTCCTCGGTGTAGGAGCTGTGT CTCGAAGCTGACTTCACATGCAAT
MYH11	smooth muscle myosin heavy chain v1&2	mouse	ATGAGGTGGTCGTGGAGTTG GCCTGAGAAGTATCGCTCCC
MAPK14	mitogen-activated protein kinase 14	mouse	ATAAGAGGATCACAGCAGCCCAAG GACAGAACAGAAACCAGGTGCTCA
PICALM	picalm	mouse	CCATTCCAAGCTTAAACCCTTTCC AGGCCACTGTTGGTTTGAGAAGTC
GZMA	granzyme a	mouse	TGCTGCCCACTGTAACGTG GGTAGGTGAAGGATAGCCACAT
GZMB	granzyme b	mouse	TGCTGCTAAAGCTGAAGAGTAAG CGTGTTTGAGTATTTGCCCAT
NPC1	Niemann Pick type 1	mouse	GCTGTGAGCTGTGGTCTGC CTCACTCGGCTTCCTTTGGTA
NPC2	Niemann Pick type 2	mouse	AGGACTGCGGCTCTAAGGT AGGCTCAGGAATAGGGAAGGG

(Continued)



Table 1. (Continued)

GENE	ALTERNATIVE NAME	SPECIES	PRIMER SEQUENCE
CCR7	C-C chemokine receptor type-7	mouse	TGTACGAGTCGGTGTGCTTC GGTAGGTATCCGTCATGGTCTTG
VDR	vitamin D receptor	mouse	ACCCTGGTGACTTTGACCG GGCAATCTCCATTGAAGGGG
CD44	CD44	mouse	GTCTTCTTCCGGCTCTCCATGTAA ATCTCACATCCAATGGGACAAGGT

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128163.t001

specificity of the sequence confirmed using Nucleotide blast (NCBI). Samples were compared, either after normalising to a housekeeping gene (36B4) or as copies per ng RNA.

Immunocytochemistry and (immuno)histochemistry

After isolation, FCMs and NFMs were adhered to coverslips to allow identification of cell type (general leukocyte (Giemsa staining), macrophage or SMC/fibroblast; antibodies as listed in Table 2) after use of a mouse-on-mouse immunodetection kit (BMK-2212, Vector, USA) as necessary. Lipid content was identified after staining with Oil-Red-O (Sigma) and nuclei were counterstained with haematoxylin. Paraffin embedded sections of formalin-fixed sponges or brachiocephalic arteries from ApoE null mice were examined for expression of the various proteins (antibodies listed in Table 2), as were coverslips from RAW or bone marrow-derived monocyte (BMDM) cultures (S1 File). Specimens were visualised using light or fluorescent microscopy after labelling primary antibodies or controls with the appropriate secondary antibodies and substrate/fluorophore systems (DAB (Sigma), streptavidin-594 (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies). Nuclei were stained with haematoxylin (light microscopy) or DAPI (fluorescent; Prolong-Gold anti-fade medium + DAPI, Invitrogen). Irrelevant antibodies or appropriate sera were used in negative controls.

Statistics

Statistical analysis of the microarrays was performed using the 'R' Bioconductor Lumi and Limma packages, applying the linear models and empirical Bayes methods included in the package [25]. Variance stabilizing transformation was performed using the Robust Spline Normalization (RSN) algorithm [26] with unsupervised analysis methods such as Principal Component Analysis and Hierarchical Clustering used for initial data exploration. Statistical analysis of differential expression consisted of a univariate model to detect individual genes that are significantly different in abundance between the conditions [25]. P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons, using the False Discovery Rate (FDR) Benjamini-Hochberg method. Differential expression was classified as significant (P<0.01 after FDR correction for multiple testing) or suggestive (P<0.01 unadjusted). The significance of the association between the data set and the canonical pathway was measured by either a ratio of the number of molecules from the data set that map to the pathway divided by the total number of molecules that map to the canonical pathway is displayed, or by using a right-tailed Fisher's exact test, to calculate a P-value determining the probability that the association between the genes in the dataset and the canonical pathway is explained by chance alone.

For the RT-qPCR, data differences between the two groups were tested for significance with Student's *t*-test, using a logarithmic transformation or the Mann-Whitney U-test if the data were not normally distributed, using GraphPad Instat (USA). P-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

PLOS ONE

Table 2. Primary and secondary antibodies used in this study.

Antibody	Clone/Cat #	Туре	Supplier	
Primary antibodies/controls				
mouse monocyte/macrophage	MOMA2 /MBS530837	Rt_Mab	Biosource International, USA	
Human α/γ-SM actin	HHF35 /M0635	Mm_Mab	Dako, UK	
human smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (SM-1, SM-2)	hSM-V /M7786	Mm_Mab	Sigma, USA	
human biglycan	- /ab58562	Gt_Pab	Abcam, USA	
mouse CTGF	- /ab6992	Rb_Pab	Abcam	
human LXRα (NR1H3)	- /LS-B3526	Rb_Pab	Lifespan Biosciences, USA	
human cFOS	- /ab7963	Rb_Pab	Abcam	
human phospho-SMAD2 (ser465/467)	138D4 /3108	Rb_Mab	Cell Signalling Technology (New England Biolabs, UK)	
human total SMAD2	D43B4 /5339	Rb_Mab	Cell Signalling Technology	
human phospho-SMAD3 (ser423/425)	C25A9 /9520	Rb_Mab	Cell Signalling Technology	
human total SMAD3	C67H9 /9523	Rb_Mab	Cell Signalling Technology	
rabbit GAPDH	6C5 /MAB374	Mm_Mab	Chemicon (Millipore)	
normal rabbit IgG control	- /X0936		Dako	
	- 15006		Sigma	
normal rat IgG control	- /6-001-A		R&D Systems	
normal mouse IgG1 control	- /X0931		Dako	
normal mouse IgG2b control	- /X0944		Dako	
goat serum	- /X0907		Dako	
Secondary antibodies				
Mouse Ig	- /P0260	Rb_Pab	Dako	
	- /B7264	Gt_Pab	Sigma	
Rat Ig	- /E0468	Rb_Pab	Dako	
	- /80-9520	Mm_Pab	Zymed (Invitrogen)	
Rabbit Ig	- /P0448	Gt_Pab	Dako	
	- /A0545	Gt_Pab	Sigma	
	- /7074	Gt_Pab	Cell Signalling Technology	
Goat Ig	- /E0466	Rb_Pab	Dako	
	- /P0449	Rb_Pab	Dako	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128163.t002

Results

Yield and purity of cells isolated from subcutaneous granulomas

The yield of cells purified by floatation and adhesion from sponges implanted for 4 weeks into fat-fed ApoE null mice was $4.6 \pm 2.7 \times 10^6$. This was comparable to the $6.3 \pm 2.4 \times 10^6$ cells isolated by adhesion only from control non-fat-fed wild-type mice after 4 weeks, which is similar to values previously reported after 7 days [27]. Cells from the ApoE null and control mice were $96 \pm 5.8\%$ or $87 \pm 10\%$ MOMA2 positive, respectively, and only $0.86 \pm 1.2\%$ or $1.4 \pm 1.8\% \alpha/\gamma$ -SM actin positive, respectively. Data from RT-qPCR confirmed that the cell preparations contained low levels of SM myosin heavy chain (SM1/SM2) (averaging less than 2.5 copies/ng RNA), implying they have little SMC contamination. Whereas almost all of the cells in the preparations from the ApoE null mice had lipid-rich (Oil-Red-O positive) inclusions, there were none in cell preparations from control mice (Fig 1). Hence cells isolated from the fat-fed ApoE null mice were indeed foam cell macrophages (FCMs), whereas those from the control mice were non-foamy macrophages (NFMs).





Fig 1. Lipid droplets were found only in macrophages from mice fed a high-fat diet. Oil-Red-O staining for lipid (orange-red) in A) FCMs and B) NFMs isolated from sponges. Nuclei appear purple (haematoxylin). Magnification x 400.

Genes and pathways indicated from the array

RNA from FCMs and NFMs (n = 4) was subjected to microarray analysis on the Illumina platform (GEO accession number GSE70126). Using an adjusted P-value of <0.01, we found only 29 differentially expressed genes. However, using a less stringent unadjusted P-value <0.01, a total of 749 genes appeared to be differentially expressed, with 369 up-regulated and 380 down-regulated. A detailed list can be found in <u>S1 Table</u>. Canonical signalling analysis revealed that the most enriched pathway in FCMs compared to NFMs was that related to LXR and its obligate partner, retinoid acid receptor (RXR) (Fig 2), confirming the results of a previous study comparing peritoneal FCMs and NFMs from LDL receptor null mice [23]. The second most over-enriched pathway was that previously associated with hepatic fibrosis (Fig 2). Interestingly, functions found to be enriched and/or up-regulated in FCM by Ingenuity Functional Pathway analysis (<u>Table 3</u>) also implicated connective tissue development as well as cell regulation, differentiation and proliferation.

The 2 most statistically significant networks generated from the array data (Fig 3) pointed to a regulatory node around platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) and transforming growth factor- β (TGF β), which have been previously implicated in tissue fibrosis [28]. Network analysis also suggested that FOS and FosB, which are components of the activator protein-1 (AP-1) transcription factor complex that is known to be downstream of PDGF and TGF β activation [29], might be major contributors to the differences between FCMs and NFMs (Fig 3). The network analysis focused our attention on extracellular matrix protein and pro-fibrotic genes, including several collagen polypeptides, decorin, biglycan and bone morphogenic protein 1 (BMP1, a pro-collagen convertase), that were strongly over expressed in FCMs relative to NFMs. We also further investigated expression of connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), which is a major contributor to fibrosis; and proteases, including cathepsins and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which have been implicated in plaque rupture [30].

Comparison of array and RT-qPCR measurements of differentially expressed mRNAs

Despite the low stringency and hence potentially high false discovery rate of our initial analysis, most of the genes found to be differentially expressed in the array ($\underline{Fig 4A}$) were validated by







RT-qPCR, often with increased ratios (Fig 4B and Table 4). In addition, several candidate genes related to the identified pathways were found to be differentially expressed by RT-qPCR on greater numbers of preparations (Fig 4B and Table 4).

LXR-related genes. Genes associated with the LXR pathway that was identified by canonical signalling analysis were of special interest, given the previous data comparing peritoneal FCMs and NFMs from LDL receptor null mice [23]. RXR β was increased 1.2-fold in the array (P = 0.0019, Fig 4A) and this was confirmed by RT-qPCR (2-fold, P = 0.0303) with over 1000 copies/ng RNA in FCMs (Fig 4B and Table 4). RXRα was also over expressed in FCMs (P = 0.0170) but these cells only had 160 copies/ng RNA. LXR α and LXR β were over expressed in FCM (P = 0.0177 and P = 0.0478, respectively), although both had less than 25 copies/ng RNA. Looking at downstream genes, sterol regulatory element binding protein 1 (Srebp1) was increased in FCMs (P = 0.0303) and had over 1000 copies/ng RNA, whereas fatty acid synthase (FASN), also increased in FCMs (P = 0.0182), had less than 60 copies/ng RNA. Scavenger receptor class F member 2 (SCARF2) was 2-fold elevated in FCMs in the array (P = 0.0081) and macrophage scavenger receptor 1 (MSR1, scavenger receptor A1 (SR-A1)) was increased 17.5-fold in FCMs by RT-qPCR (P = 0.0038). The mRNAs for scavenger receptors CD36 and scavenger receptor class B, member 1 (SCARB1, SR-B1) (both associated with TGFβ [28,31– 34]), although abundant in FCMs, were not significantly different from those in NFMs. There were no significant differences between FCMs and NFMs in ATP binding cassette transporter isoform A1 (ABCA1) or peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y (PPARy expression, although both FCMs and NFMs had very high expression levels of these genes (130000-250000 copies/ng RNA), as previously reported [10].

Extracellular matrix structural proteins. Probably the most unexpected finding from our experiments was that several collagen polypeptide genes, as well as core proteins of the proteoglycans, biglycan and decorin, and the matricellular protein CTGF, were 2 to 6.5-fold up-regulated in FCM compared with NFM in the array (Fig 4A). All of these genes are known targets for induction by PDGF and/or TGF β [29,35]. The large increases in mRNAs for collagens 1, 4 and 6 were confirmed by RT-qPCR (11–20 fold increase in FCM, Fig 4B and Table 4). Collagen1 α 1 was the most abundant, with 18000 copies/ng RNA in FCMs and 1000 in NFMs (P = 0.0016, Table 4). FCMs had more than 63-fold more mRNA for CTGF than did NFM (P = 0.0001), also confirming the array result. The mRNAs for biglycan and decorin core proteins were also significantly increased and highly abundant, with 23000 or 100000 copies in FCM and only 2900 or 1200 in NFM, respectively (biglycan P = 0.0185, decorin P = 0.0482). The expression of BMP1, which is known to be up-regulated by TFG β and potentially involved in the regulation of TGF β activation [36], was considerably increased in FCM, with 540000 and 48000 copies/ng RNA in FCMs and NFMs, respectively (P = 0.0081; Fig 4B and Table 4). By contrast, thrombospondin 1 (THBS1), which is known to bind and activate TGF β [36] was

Table 3. Functions enriched/regulated in FCMs by Ingenuity Functional Pathway analysis.

Rank	Functions enriched/regulated in FCMs	Score
1	Dermatological diseases and conditions, Connective tissue development and function, Tissue morphology	52
2	Cancer, Cellular growth and proliferation, Nervous system development and function	30
3	Cardiovascular disease, Cellular growth and proliferation, Haematological system development and function	28
4	Cancer, Cellular movement, Cell death	23
5	Cell signalling, Molecular transport, Vitamin and mineral metabolism	23
6	Cellular growth and proliferation, Cancer, Cell death	13

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128163.t003



Fig 3. Network maps of genes differentially regulated in FCM and NFM array (top 2 networks). Molecules are represented as nodes, and the biological relationship between two nodes is represented as an edge (line). Continuous lines represent direct interactions, while indirect ones are represented by interrupted lines. The intensity of the node colour indicates the degree of up- (red) or down- (green) regulation. Colour of node indicates the presence (grey) or absence (white) of a given gene in the study. No change in M1/M2 markers were observed, but there was a regulatory node around PDGF and TGF β (which were absent from the array) (Ingenuity Systems Inc).

significantly reduced in FCM (P = 0.0051; Fig 4B), although both FCMs and NFMs had abundant THBS1 mRNA (23000 and 81000 copies/ng, respectively, Table 4).

Fibrosis-related signalling and associated molecules. Levels of TGFβ1 mRNA were similar in FCMs and NFMs (Fig 4B). However, the PDGF and TGFβ related activator protein-1 (AP-1) family members FOS and FosB were over expressed over 5-fold, whereas JUN and JunB were 1.5 and 2.4 fold higher, respectively, in FCMs compared to NFMs in the array (Fig 4A).

PLOS ONE



Α		F	CM/NFM	_	B		F	CM/NFM	-	
<u>-</u>		2 <u>6</u>	10 0	100.0		0 -		10 0	100.0	2000
RXRb RXRa LXRa LXRb Srebp1 FASN SCARF2 MSR1 CD36 SCARB1 ABCA1 PPARg		"* " "			RXRb RXRa LXRa LXRb Srebp1 FASN SCARF2 MSR1 CD36 SCARB1 ABCA1 PPARg		* *	*		
Col1a1 Col5a1 Col5a1 Col6a1 Col6a1 Col16a1 Col16a1 Col18a1 BGN DCN CTGF BMP1 THBS1		*			Col1a1 Col5a1 Col5a1 Col6a1 Col16a1 Col18a1 BGN DCN CTGF BMP1 THBS1	*		* * *	*	
TGFb1 FOS FosB Jun SMAD2 SMAD3 ACVR1 TGFBR1	*" *" *"	*			TGFb1 FOS Jun JunB SMAD2 SMAD3 ACVR1 TGFBR1			*		
CTSC CTSE CSTB CSTF LYZ MMP2 MMP12 MMP13 MMP14 MMP13 TIMP2 TIMP2 TIMP2 TIMP3		*	*		CTSC CTSE CSTB CSTF LYZ MMP9 MMP12 MMP13 MMP14 MMP23 TIMP1 TIMP2 TIMP3	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊇ * * !*		
NOS2 IL6 CCL2 NFkB1 ARG1 ARG2 YM1 CD206	*				NOS2 IL6 CCL2 NFkB1 ARG1 ARG2 YM1 CD206	*	-			
RND3 SARAF Cmpk2	*	*			RND3 SARAF Cmpk2	*	*	*		

Fig 4. Genes differentially regulated in FCM and NFM. A) array; B) RT-qPCR). Cyan = LXR-related genes, red = extracellular matrix structural proteins, yellow = fibrosis-related signalling and associated molecules, purple/blue = degradative enzymes/inhibitors, green = genes associated with M1 and M2 polarisation, peach = miscellaneous genes. Genes not present on the Illumina chip or not qPCR verified are left blank. *P<0.05.

Data from RT-qPCR (Fig 4B) confirmed that FCMs expressed more FOS, JUN and JunB than NFMs, with several thousand copies of each per ng RNA (Table 4). The level of FosB expression was lower than the other signalling molecules, but still over-expressed in FCMs compared with NFMs, with 130 copies/ng RNA in FCM and only 16 copies/ng RNA in NFM (P = 0.0001; Fig 4B). By contrast with the increases in AP-1 factors, signalling factors SMAD 2 and 3 that



Table 4. Levels of mRNAs by RT-qPCR in FCMs and NFMs (n = 5–7).

3884 1.98 364 68.1 258.2 2.0.3 0.3434 RXR6 1.98 5803 3100 2982 1173 0.3034 RXR6 2.08 167.1 59.10 80.25 32.69 0.0170 LXR6 4.10 2.337 18.82 5.703 2.298 0.0177 Srebp1 2.13 1332 77.2 634.3 174.7 0.0338 SASN 6.90 57.23 60.56 8.297 4.755 0.0161 SCAR1 1.12 1890 77.48 880.3 0.331 SCAR1 1.43 440.3 2761 297.49 14210 0.292 SCAR1 1.13 34580 95420 1314 3071 ~>9999 Cold1 1.1.7 3471 304.9 3.39 18.82 0.0016 Cold1 1.1.7 3471 304.9 0.393 0.0637 0.0017 Cold1 1.1.7 3451 2.933	Gene	FCM/NFM	FCMs (copies/ng RNA)	FCM SD	NFMs (copies/ng RNA)	NFM SD	P-value
RXRB 1.88 6903 3100 2882 1173 0.0303 RXRa 2.08 167.1 59.10 80.25 32.69 0.0170 LXRa 4.10 23.37 18.822 5703 2.298 0.0177 LXRa 2.69 2.000 1.219 0.743 0.108 0.0475 Srebp1 2.13 1332 712.8 624.3 174.7 0.0030 Srobp1 2.13 37074 45725 2116 0.002 0.0038 SCARB1 2.24 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.1061 SCARB1 2.32 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.0033 SCARB1 2.32 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.0061 SCARB1 2.32 150.7 17.6 51.51 26.4 0.0061 Colka1 17.1 80.49 31.39 148.2 0.0041 0.0051 Colka1 19.7 1	36B4	1.39	3564	683.1	2558	228.0	0.3434
RXRa 2.08 167.1 19.10 82.25 32.69 0.0177 LXRa 4.10 23.37 18.82 5.703 2.298 0.0177 Snebp1 2.13 1332 712.8 624.3 174.7 0.033 Snebp1 2.13 1332 712.8 624.3 174.7 0.033 CD36 2.47 1912 1890 774.8 880.3 0.4318 SCARB1 2.42 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.1061 ACA1 1.48 44043 27651 29749 14210 0.222 PPARy 1.83 248500 5420 136141 30.771 >0.999 Collo1 17.7 1045 1142 52.55 41.89 0.0078 Collo1 19.7 1045 2932 0.0418 0.0424 0.0380 Collo1 19.7 1045 2933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 Collo1 8.4 3.9	RXRβ	1.98	5903	3100	2982	1173	0.0303
LXRa 4.10 2.37 18.82 5.703 2.288 0.017 LXRp 2.69 2.000 1.219 0.743 0.108 0.0478 Srabp1 2.13 1332 712.8 624.3 174.7 0.0303 FASN 6.90 57.23 60.55 8.297 4.795 0.0188 C036 2.47 1912 1880 774.8 880.3 0.4318 SCARB1 2.92 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.1061 SCARB1 2.92 150.7 117.8 15.11 25.46 0.1016 SCARB1 2.92 150.7 117.8 13.12 70.89 0.0022 Colla1 17.8 2.8450 0.842 1382 10.012 70.89 0.0016 Colla1 19.7 1045 1114 52.95 41.89 0.073 Colla1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0783 Colla1 19	RXRα	2.08	167.1	59.10	80.25	32.69	0.0170
LXRB2.692.001.2190.7430.1080.0478Snebp12.131332712.8624.3174.70.0303FASN6.9057.2360.568.2974.7950.0128MSR117.53707445725211610020.0338SCARB12.92150.7117.651.5125.460.1014BACA11.48440432795129749142100.3222PARN1.83246500054201361413077170.999Collat17.818252147231024708.90.0016Colleat19.71045119452.5541.830.0073Colleat19.71045119452.65141.800.0036Colleat9.0255121066248416780.0083Colleat6.3.99964998512017950.0428Colleat11.454468047332547913201340.0081TGFF11.1937.6520.8431.8214.660.855FOS13.726.00119310.00510.6825SMAD20.8794668510015.7790.0001JJN3.09240511867770311440.0051JGFR10.79927382139211410.616.9SMAD20.8794668510015.7790.0001JJN3.992405 <t< td=""><td>LXRα</td><td>4.10</td><td>23.37</td><td>18.822</td><td>5.703</td><td>2.298</td><td>0.0177</td></t<>	LXRα	4.10	23.37	18.822	5.703	2.298	0.0177
Shebpt 2.13 13.32 71.2.8 624.3 17.4.7 0.0033 FASN 6.90 57.23 60.56 8.297 4.795 0.0182 MSR1 17.5 37074 45725 2116 1002 0.0033 CD36 2.47 1912 1890 774.8 880.3 0.4318 SCARB1 2.92 150.7 177.6 151.51 25.46 0.1061 ACA1 1.48 44043 27951 29749 14210 0.0322 Collo1 17.8 18252 14723 1024 706.9 0.0016 Collo1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Collo1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Collo1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Collo1 19.7 1045 1201 795 0.0482 DCN 8.30 964 2983	LXRβ	2.69	2.000	1.219	0.743	0.108	0.0478
FASIN6.9057.2360.568.2974.7950.0182MSR117.53707445725211610020.0038CO362.4719121890774.8880.30.4318SCARD12.92150.7117.651.5125.460.1061ABCA11.48440432795129749142100.3222PPARy1.832485809542013614130771>0.9999Colta117.812822147231024708.90.0016Colta119.71045119452.9541.890.0078Colta119.71045119452.9541.890.0018Colta19.08225512106624416760.0165DCN8.309964998512017950.0482DCN8.309964998512017950.0482DCN8.309964998512017950.0482DCN8.309964998512017950.0482DCN8.309964998512010.00150.0051TGFJ11.1937.6521.66217114.660.585DCS13.72867521.66217114.160.0035JUN3.092409511.87773716.0057790.0011JUN3.092409511.866347957031519010.2555SMAD20.87	Srebp1	2.13	1332	712.8	624.3	174.7	0.0303
MSR1 17.5 37074 45725 2116 1002 0.0038 CD36 2.47 1912 1890 774.8 880.3 0.431 ABCA1 1.48 44043 27951 29749 14210 0.3222 PPARy 1.83 248580 95420 136141 30771 >0.9392 Coltat 1.7.8 18252 14723 1024 70.9.9 0.0016 Coltat 1.1.1 347.1 304.9 31.39 18.82 0.0041 Coltat 1.7.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.078 Coltat 1.9.7 1045 21086 2444 0.678 0.0165 Coltat 9.0.8 22551 21086 2101 775 0.0637 0.0001 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 678 0.0637 THS1 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.0051 THS1 0.29 <td>FASN</td> <td>6.90</td> <td>57.23</td> <td>60.56</td> <td>8.297</td> <td>4.795</td> <td>0.0182</td>	FASN	6.90	57.23	60.56	8.297	4.795	0.0182
CD36 2.47 1912 1890 77.4.8 860.3 0.4318 SCARB1 2.92 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.1061 SCARB1 1.48 44043 27551 29749 14210 0.3222 PPARy 1.83 246580 95420 136141 30771 >0.9999 Colta1 17.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Col6a1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Col6a1 8.4 0.529 43.92 0.0418 0.0494 0.038 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.0482 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.0087 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 796 0.0061 TGF81 1.19 97.65 2.933 0.0623 0.797 0.0001 DLMS 0.29 2.3317	MSR1	17.5	37074	45725	2116	1002	0.0038
SCARB1 2.9.2 150.7 117.6 51.51 25.46 0.1031 ABCA1 1.48 44043 27951 29749 14210 0.3222 PARY 1.83 248500 95420 136141 307.1 >0.9999 Colta1 1.1.1 347.1 304.9 31.39 18.82 0.0041 Colda1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Colda1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0494 0.0380 Colda1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0637 0.0061 Colda1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0623 0.0637 0.0061 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.4482 hCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 THBS1 0.29 2.317 7266 81297 37646 0.055 JUN 3.09	CD36	2.47	1912	1890	774.8	880.3	0.4318
ABCA1 1.48 44043 27651 29749 14210 0.3222 PPAHy 1.83 248580 95420 136141 30771 >0.9992 Colda1 17.8 18252 14723 1024 70.8 0.0016 Colda1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Colda1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0494 0.0380 BGN 9.08 22551 21066 2484 1678 0.0057 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.0482 bCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 DMP1 11.4 5446800 473325 47913 20134 0.0081 TFGF 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.0051 TGFF 1.37 2.9675 21365 2171 1416 0.0038 JUN 3.99 24095 </td <td>SCARB1</td> <td>2.92</td> <td>150.7</td> <td>117.6</td> <td>51.51</td> <td>25.46</td> <td>0.1061</td>	SCARB1	2.92	150.7	117.6	51.51	25.46	0.1061
PPARy1.832485809542013614130771>0.9999Coltat17.818252147231024706.90.0016Coldat11.1347.1304.931.3918.820.0041Colkat19.71045119452.9541.890.0078Colkat84.43.5294.3920.04180.04940.0380BGN9.082255121086248416780.0185DCN8.309964999512017950.0482hCTGF63.53.9512.9330.06230.06370.0001BMP111.454468047332547913201340.0081TGFβ11.1937.6520.8431.5214.560.5855FOS13.72967521365217114160.0038JunB1.951864546347595703519010.0255JUNB1.951864546347595703519010.0255SMAD20.8794658510913500.6325SMAD20.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750CTSE0.72273271184038125141390.1805CSTF0.8225.4110.601.69931.1338<0.0011	ABCA1	1.48	44043	27951	29749	14210	0.3222
Collat 17.8 18252 14723 1024 708.9 0.0016 Coldat 11.1 347.1 304.9 31.39 18.82 0.0041 Collat 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.00380 Collat 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0494 0.0380 Colla 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.0482 Colla 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 BMP1 11.4 544680 473325 47913 2014 0.0836 Colla 13.7 29675 21365 2171 14.16 0.0038 FosB 8.02 128.4 77.37 16.00 5.779 0.0001 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7793 1501 0.6255 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 350 0.6325 SMAD3 0.82 132.5 10.00 <t< td=""><td>PPARγ</td><td>1.83</td><td>248580</td><td>95420</td><td>136141</td><td>30771</td><td>>0.9999</td></t<>	PPARγ	1.83	248580	95420	136141	30771	>0.9999
Colda1 11.1 347.1 304.9 31.39 18.82 0.0041 Colda1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Colda1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0494 0.0380 BGN 9.08 22551 21086 2484 1678 0.0183 DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.0482 DCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 BMP1 11.4 544680 473325 47913 20134 0.0081 TGFS 13.7 29675 21365 2171 1416 0.0038 FosB 8.02 128.4 7737 16.00 5.779 0.001 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7793 7144 0.0185 JunB 1.95 186454 63475 95703 51901 0.5255 SMAD2 0.87 946	Col1a1	17.8	18252	14723	1024	708.9	0.0016
Col6c1 19.7 1045 1194 52.95 41.89 0.0078 Col8c1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0494 0.0380 BGN 9.08 22551 21086 2484 1678 0.0482 DCN 8.30 964 9985 1201 795 0.0482 hCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 BMP1 11.4 544680 473325 47913 20134 0.0081 THBS1 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.0051 TGFp1 1.19 37.65 20.84 31.52 14.56 0.8855 FOS 13.7 29675 11387 7793 16.00 5.779 0.0001 JUN 30.9 24095 11387 7933 51901 0.2255 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 350 0.6325 SMAD3 0.82	Col4a1	11.1	347.1	304.9	31.39	18.82	0.0041
ColBat1 84.4 3.529 4.392 0.0418 0.0494 0.0380 BGN 9.08 22551 21086 2484 1678 0.0185 DCN 8.30 9964 9965 1201 795 0.0482 hCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 BMP1 11.4 544680 473325 47913 20134 0.0081 THBS1 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.0051 TGFβ1 1.19 37.65 20.84 31.52 14.56 0.5855 FOS 13.7 29675 21365 2171 1416 0.00380 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7793 7144 0.0155 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 350 0.6325 SMAD3 0.82 132.5 100.0 161.1 105.1 0.6421 ACVR1 1.21 84.40	Col6a1	19.7	1045	1194	52.95	41.89	0.0078
BGN 9.08 22551 21086 2484 1678 0.0185 DCN 8.30 9964 995 1201 795 0.0482 hCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 DMP1 11.4 44480 473325 47913 2164 0.0051 TGFβ1 1.19 37.65 20.84 31.52 14.56 0.8585 FOS 13.7 29675 21365 2171 1416 0.0031 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7793 7144 0.0185 JUNB 1.95 186454 63475 95703 51901 0.0255 SMAD2 0.87 946 52.7 69.62 25.40 0.5750 TGFB1 0.70 972 382 1392 1174 0.3101 CTSC 6.60 4003 2215 606.5 246.2 0.0031 CSTF 0.82 235.1 127.5<	Col8a1	84.4	3.529	4.392	0.0418	0.0494	0.0380
DCN 8.30 9964 9985 1201 795 0.0482 hCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0001 BMP1 11.4 544680 473325 47913 20134 0.0051 THBS1 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.0051 TGFB1 1.19 37.65 20.84 31.52 14.56 0.855 FOS 13.7 29675 21365 2171 1416 0.0038 FosB 8.02 128.4 77.37 16.00 5.779 0.0001 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7933 7144 0.0185 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 0.555 SMAD3 0.82 132.5 100.0 161.1 105.1 0.6421 ACVR1 1.21 84.40 52.27 69.62 25.40 0.5750 TGFBR1 0.70 972 382 1392	BGN	9.08	22551	21086	2484	1678	0.0185
hCTGF 63.5 3.951 2.933 0.0623 0.0637 0.0011 BMP1 11.4 544680 473325 47913 20134 0.0081 THBS1 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.0051 TGFβ1 1.19 37.65 20.84 31.52 14.56 0.5855 FOS 13.7 29675 21655 2171 1416 0.0081 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7793 7144 0.0155 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 350 0.6232 SMAD3 0.82 132.5 100.0 161.1 105.1 0.6421 ACVR1 1.21 84.40 52.27 69.62 25.40 0.5750 TGFBR1 0.70 972 382 1392 1174 0.3101 CTSE 13.4 22.72 10.60 1.6993 1138 <0.0033	DCN	8.30	9964	9985	1201	795	0.0482
BMP111.454468047332547913201340.081THBS10.2923317726881297376460.0051TGFβ11.1937.6520.8431.5214.560.5855FOS13.72967521365217114160.0038FosB8.02128.477.3716.005.7790.0001JUN3.092409511387779371440.0185JunB1.951864546347595703519010.6255SMAD20.8794658510913500.6325SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	hCTGF	63.5	3.951	2.933	0.0623	0.0637	0.0001
THBS1 0.29 23317 7268 81297 37646 0.051 TGFβ1 1.19 37.65 20.84 31.52 14.56 0.5855 FOS 13.7 29675 21365 2171 1416 0.0038 FosB 8.02 128.4 77.37 16.00 5.779 0.001 JUN 3.99 24095 11387 7793 7144 0.0185 JunB 1.95 186454 63475 95703 51901 0.6255 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 350 0.6325 SMAD3 0.82 132.5 100.0 161.1 105.1 0.6421 ACVR1 1.21 84.40 52.27 69.62 25.40 0.5750 GFBR1 0.70 972 382 1392 1174 0.3101 CTSE 6.64 4003 215 606.5 246.2 0.033 CTSE 0.72 27327 11840 38125 14139 0.1805 CSTF 0.82 654.4	BMP1	11.4	544680	473325	47913	20134	0.0081
TGFβ11.1937.6520.8431.5214.560.5855FOS13.72967521365217114160.0038FosB8.02128.477.3716.005.7790.0001JUN3.092409511387779371440.0185JunB1.951864546347595703519010.0255SMAD20.8794658510913500.63255SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.0011	THBS1	0.29	23317	7268	81297	37646	0.0051
FOS 13.7 29675 21365 2171 1416 0.038 FosB 8.02 128.4 77.37 16.00 5.779 0.0001 JUN 3.09 24095 11387 7793 7144 0.0185 JunB 1.95 186454 63475 95703 51901 0.0255 SMAD2 0.87 946 585 1091 350 0.6325 SMAD3 0.82 132.5 100.0 161.1 105.1 0.6421 ACVR1 1.21 84.40 52.27 69.62 25.40 0.5750 GFBR1 0.70 972 382 1392 1174 0.3101 CTSC 6.60 4003 2215 606.5 246.2 0.0033 CTSE 13.4 22.72 11840 38125 14139 0.1805 CSTF 0.82 235.1 127.5 285.1 136.3 0.5296 LYZ 8.84 105455 8263	TGFβ1	1.19	37.65	20.84	31.52	14.56	0.5855
FosB8.02128.477.3716.005.7790.0001JUN3.092409511387779371440.0185JunB1.951864546347595703519010.0255SMAD20.8794658510913500.6325SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750GFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.0011	FOS	13.7	29675	21365	2171	1416	0.0038
JUN3.092409511387779371440.0185JunB1.951864546347595703519010.0255SMAD20.8794658510913500.6325SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	FosB	8.02	128.4	77.37	16.00	5.779	0.0001
JunB1.951864546347595703519010.0255SMAD20.8794658510913500.6325SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	JUN	3.09	24095	11387	7793	7144	0.0185
SMAD20.8794658510913500.6325SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	JunB	1.95	186454	63475	95703	51901	0.0255
SMAD30.82132.5100.0161.1105.10.6421ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	SMAD2	0.87	946	585	1091	350	0.6325
ACVR11.2184.4052.2769.6225.400.5750TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	SMAD3	0.82	132.5	100.0	161.1	105.1	0.6421
TGFBR10.70972382139211740.3101CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	ACVR1	1.21	84.40	52.27	69.62	25.40	0.5750
CTSC6.6040032215606.5246.20.0033CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001	TGFBR1	0.70	972	382	1392	1174	0.3101
CTSE13.422.7210.601.69931.1338<0.001CSTB0.72273271184038125141390.1805CSTF0.82235.1127.5285.1136.30.5296LYZ8.841054558263711936149260.0177MMP29.82654.4500.666.6239.620.0103MMP90.94799.2562.8854.6823.80.8920MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0077MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	CTSC	6.60	4003	2215	606.5	246.2	0.0033
CSTB0.72273271184038125141390.1805CSTF0.82235.1127.5285.1136.30.5296LYZ8.841054558263711936149260.0177MMP29.82654.4500.666.6239.620.0103MMP90.94799.2562.8854.6823.80.8920MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0077MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	CTSE	13.4	22.72	10.60	1.6993	1.1338	<0.0001
CSTF0.82235.1127.5285.1136.30.5296LYZ8.841054558263711936149260.0177MMP29.82654.4500.666.6239.620.0103MMP90.94799.2562.8854.6823.80.8920MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0077MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	CSTB	0.72	27327	11840	38125	14139	0.1805
LYZ8.841054558263711936149260.0177MMP29.82654.4500.666.6239.620.0103MMP90.94799.2562.8854.6823.80.8920MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0007MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	CSTF	0.82	235.1	127.5	285.1	136.3	0.5296
MMP29.82654.4500.666.6239.620.0103MMP90.94799.2562.8854.6823.80.8920MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0007MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	LYZ	8.84	105455	82637	11936	14926	0.0177
MMP90.94799.2562.8854.6823.80.8920MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0007MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	MMP2	9.82	654.4	500.6	66.62	39.62	0.0103
MMP121.071341585901255394390.8804MMP130.20233014521136844870.0007MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	MMP9	0.94	799.2	562.8	854.6	823.8	0.8920
MMP130.20233014521136844870.007MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	MMP12	1.07	13415	8590	12553	9439	0.8804
MMP140.75350001213846875250610.4901MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	MMP13	0.20	2330	1452	11368	4487	0.0007
MMP236.9851093772732.0388.90.0080TIMP10.6720371393302317070.2955TIMP23.23692.0637.5214.5148.50.2677	MMP14	0.75	35000	12138	46875	25061	0.4901
TIMP1 0.67 2037 1393 3023 1707 0.2955 TIMP2 3.23 692.0 637.5 214.5 148.5 0.2677	MMP23	6.98	5109	3772	732.0	388.9	0.0080
TIMP2 3.23 692.0 637.5 214.5 148.5 0.2677	TIMP1	0.67	2037	1393	3023	1707	0.2955
	TIMP2	3.23	692.0	637.5	214.5	148.5	0.2677
TIMP3 3.81 19.70 18.27 5.165 5.289 0.2677	TIMP3	3.81	19.70	18.27	5.165	5.289	0.2677
NOS2 1.27 13143 8979 10331 5791 0.5550	NOS2	1.27	13143	8979	10331	5791	0.5550
IL6 0.33 443.6 467.4 1333 1419 0.2126	IL6	0.33	443.6	467.4	1333	1419	0.2126
CCL2 2.15 3.290 2.290 1.530 0.850 0.1061	CCL2	2.15	3.290	2.290	1.530	0.850	0.1061

(Continued)



Table 4. (Continued)

Gene	FCM/NFM	FCMs (copies/ng RNA)	FCM SD	NFMs (copies/ng RNA)	NFM SD	P-value
NF-ĸB1	0.41	978.9	405.6	2378	1248	0.0219
ARG1	1.71	72449	55730	42307	45624	0.3862
ARG2	0.63	6887	4015	10866	6745	0.2270
YM1	0.63	1198	610.1	1905	891	0.1315
CD206	2.22	34553	14498	15565	5164	0.5934
RND3	8.43	104.32	54.87	12.38	6.575	0.0004
SARAF	2.88	996.1	710.6	345.3	147.0	0.0194
Cmpk2	0.25	1265	895	5010	2654	0.0129

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128163.t004

are activated by TGF family members by phosphorylation appeared to be down-regulated in FCMs in the array, as were the TGF β receptors activin A receptor type 1 (ACVR1, ALK2) and TGF β Receptor 1 (TGFBR1, ALK5) (Fig 4A). However, none of these small fold changes could be confirmed by RT-qPCR (Fig 4B).

Degradative enzymes. The most differentially expressed gene in the array was cathepsin C (CTSC), with a 20-fold change between the FCM and the NFM (P = 0.0000, Fig 4A). This difference was confirmed by RT-qPCR (Fig 4B) with 4000 and 600 copies in FCMs and NFMs, respectively (Table 4). Cathepsin E (CTSE) was also over expressed in FCMs (Fig 4A and 4B), although there were few copies of mRNA in either type of macrophage. In contrast, cystatins E and F were either not changed or slightly decreased in FCMs (Fig 4A and 4B and Table 4). Lysozyme (LYZ) was over-expressed (4-fold) in FCMs in the array (Fig 4A) and RT-qPCR (Fig 4B), where FCMs and NFMs contained 105000 and 12000 lysozyme copies/ng RNA, respectively (P = 0.0177, Table 4). Turning to the MMPs, we found that the gelatinase, MMP2, and the cysteine-rich MMP, MMP23, were over expressed in FCMs (650 or 5100 copies in FCM and only 67 or 730 in NFM, respectively). In contrast, for those MMPs that are related to M1 activation [24], there was no change in MMP9 (Table 4) and the abundant M1-related collagenase, MMP13, was down-regulated in FCMs (2.9-fold, P = 0.0302 in the array and 4.9 fold P = 0.0007 by RT-qPCR). The tissue inhibitor of MMPs, TIMP-2, appeared to be increased in FCMs compared with NFMs in the array, although this could not be confirmed using RTqPCR (Fig 4A and 4B and Table 4).

Genes associated with M1 and M2 polarization. From the array, there were no differences in genes associated with M1 macrophage activation, such as inducible nitric oxide synthase (NOS2), IL-6, MMP9 or chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2, MCP1). However, as mentioned above, MMP13 was decreased, and in the NF- κ B pathway, which is implicated in M1 activation [37,38], NF- κ B₁ was also down-regulated in FCMs in the array (Fig 4A). Data from RT-qPCR (Fig 4B), confirmed that NOS2 expression was high in both FCM and NFM, 13000 and 10000 copies/ng RNA, respectively (P = 0.5550). CCL2 levels were also not significantly different in FCMs and NFMs (P = 0.1061), nor was MMP9 (Table 4). NF- κ B₁ was lower in FCM than in NFM, with 980 and 2400 copies/ng RNA in FCMs and NFMs, respectively (P = 0.0219, Fig 4B and Table 4), confirming the array data. There were no differences in M2 marker genes, Arg1, Ym1 and the mannose receptor (CD206) in the array (Fig 4A). By RTqPCR, Arg1 expression in both FCMs and NFMs was high, with 72450 and 42300 copies/ng RNA, respectively (P = 0.3862). Although Arg2 levels (suggesting either M1 or M2c activation) were lower in the FCM, the differences did not reach significance (FCM 6900, NFM 11000 copies/ng RNA, respectively, P = 0.2270). Both FCMs and NFMs expressed the M2 markers Ym1 (1000–2000 copies/ng RNA) and CD206 (15000–35000 copies/ng RNA) at similar levels.

Together these results indicate that FCMs and NFMs display similar levels of established markers for either M1 or M2 polarization.

Miscellaneous genes. Other genes that were confirmed as differentially regulated in the two set of macrophages were Rho family GTPase 3 (RND3), which regulates the organisation of the actin cytoskeleton in macrophages and other cells [39] and store-operated calcium entry-associated regulatory factor (SARAF, formerly Tmem66); both were elevated in FCMs (P = 0.0004 and P = 0.0194, respectively). Cytidine monophosphate (UMP-CMP) kinase 2 (Cmpk2), which is involved in the terminal differentiation of monocytic cells [40], was lower in FCMs (P = 0.0129, Fig 4B). Further details of the differences in the differentially expressed genes that were validated using RT-qPCR can be found in Table 4.

Immunohistochemical validation of selected findings from the array

Given that the two pathways most increased at the mRNA levels in FCMs compared to NFMs were the LXR and hepatic fibrosis pathways (Fig 2), we used immunohistochemistry to validate these findings in sections of subcutaneous sponges from ApoE null mice. We also took the opportunity, where possible, to compare plaque FCMs and adventitial NFMs in the same atherosclerotic plaques from the brachiocephalic artery of ApoE null mice.

LXRa. LXRa protein was identified in 52 ± 26% of cells (n = 5) in sections of sponges from ApoE null mice (Fig 5A) and in 53 ± 23% of the cells in plaques from brachiocephalic arteries from ApoE null mice (Fig 5B, 5B' and 5C,). Cells with nuclear (pink) and cytoplasmic (red) staining were found throughout the plaque. Cells containing LXRa in their cytoplasm were most often found near the lumen, while those cells closest to the internal elastic lamina, deep within the plaque, tended to have less cytoplasmic LXRa (Fig 5B). Little cytoplasmic (and even less nuclear) staining was found in adventitial cells (Fig 5C), and no staining at all in IgG control sections (Fig 5D and 5E).

CTGF. Most FCMs but very few NFMs isolated from sponges stained for CTGF (Fig 6A and 6B; red staining), which supports the mRNA data. Of cells in sponge sections from fat-fed ApoE null mice, $49 \pm 22\%$ (n = 10) stained for CTGF (arrows in Fig 6C). FCMs in brachioce-phalic artery plaque sections also had strong staining for CTGF (Fig 6D). SMC in the media also stained for CTGF, as did the extracellular matrix of the adventitia, which obscured any staining by adventitial cells. Approximately 90–95% (n = 6–7) of cells in the plaque, media and adventitia stained for CTGF protein, intracellularly or in the surrounding matrix. This data therefore confirmed the over expression of CTGF in sponge and plaque FCMs, and suggested that there might be reduced staining from NFMs, at least in in the sponges.

cFOS. FCMs isolated from sponges had prominent cFOS immunostaining in their cytoplasm (red), with a third of cells also expressing cFOS in their nucleus (pink) (Fig 7A). Staining was less pronounced in isolated NFMs (Fig 7B), and was only observed in a few nuclei. Staining for cFOS was also observed of FCMs in subcutaneous sponge granulomas (Fig 7C), with $42 \pm 22\%$ of cells (n = 5) having nuclear cFOS staining (pink), and many having cFOS staining (red) in their cytoplasm. 43–44% of cells within the BCA plaques (n = 2) also expressed nuclear (pink) cFOS, with many also having cytoplasmic cFOS staining (Fig 7D and 7D'). A similar proportion, 45–55%, of adventitial cells (from their shape possibly fibroblasts) also had nuclear cFOS staining (Fig 7D and 7E). Overall, almost half of all cells in the plaque, adventitia or sponge sections had nuclear cFOS staining. Clearly, at least some plaque FCMs were cFOS positive, which confirms the array and RT-qPCR findings.

Role of TGFβ1 and activation of SMAD2 signalling in FCMs. Members of the TGF family signal through phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of SMADs, especially SMAD2. Hence we hypothesised that FCMs in sponges and plaques might contain increased



Fig 5. LXR α in sponges and arteries from fat-fed ApoE null mice. LXR α is present in the cytoplasm (red) and/or nucleus (pink, arrows) of A) FCMs in sections from a subcutaneous sponge, or; B) cells in the plaque of a brachiocephalic artery. B' higher magnification of plaque in B. C) LXR α is occasionally present in the cytoplasm of the adventitial cells that are close to the media; D) sponge section negative control (only the sponge spicule is red); E) negative control in a section from the same plaque as B. Blue = nuclei (DAPI), green = autofluorescence. Magnification x 400 A-E, x 1000 B'.

levels of nuclear pSMAD2 detectable by immunohisto/cytochemistry. As a positive control, we first showed that TFG β 1 quickly stimulated SMAD2 and SMAD3 phosphorylation and translocation to the nucleus by 45 minutes in mouse RAW cells (Fig 8A and 8B and Table B in S1 File). Staining for nuclear pSMAD2 (pink) was found in 50 ± 12% of isolated FCMs; many also had cytoplasmic staining (red). By contrast, nuclear pSMAD2 staining was detected in only 10 ± 10% of NFM (n = 3, P = 0.0110, Fig 8C and 8D). Furthermore, 74–76% of the FCMs in brachiocephalic artery plaques had pSMAD2 present in their nuclei (pink), with many also



Fig 6. CTGF in sponges and arteries from mice. CTGF (red) is present in A) in FCMs, but not B) NFMs isolated from sponges. Blue = nuclei (DAPI). CTGF (brown) is present in C) FCMs in sponge sections or; D) throughout the plaque, media and adventitia of a brachiocephalic artery from a fat-fed ApoE null mouse. E) sponge section negative control; F) negative control in a section from a brachiocephalic artery plaque. Magnification x 200 (C, E), x 400 (A, B, D, F).

having pSMAD2 in their cytoplasm (orange) (Fig 8E and 8E'). No staining was observed in an IgG control section from the same plaque or in IgG control RAW cells (Fig 8F and 8G). This provides strong evidence for SMAD2 signalling in FCMs in sponges and plaques.

Discussion

Main findings

Our experiments document novel differences between the transcriptomes of FCMs and NFMs obtained from subcutaneous sponge-induced granulomas in living ApoE null mice. The most significant changes were in the LXR/RXR pathway, which concurs with a study of peritoneal FCMs and NFMs in LDL receptor null mice [23]. We also observed significant increases in

PLOS ONE



Fig 7. cFOS in sponge and artery macrophages from mice. cFOS is present in the cytoplasm (red) or nucleus (pink, arrows) in isolated macrophages from A) mice fed a high-fat diet (FCMs) or B) a normal diet (NFMs). cFOS was also observed in the cytoplasm (red, orange, yellow) and/or nucleus (pink, arrows) of cells in sections from C) a subcutaneous sponge granuloma or D, E) a brachiocephalic artery from a fat-fed ApoE null mouse. D') higher magnification of plaque in D. Blue = nuclei (DAPI), green = autofluorescence. Magnification x 400 A-E, x 1000 D'. See Fig 5C and 5D for negative control staining.

fibrosis-related gene expression in FCMs, including several collagen polypeptides and proteoglycan core proteins. Furthermore, we observed elevated levels of FOS and JUN transcription factors that are associated with pro-fibrotic actions [41] and phosphorylation of the SMAD2 transcription factor that mediates actions of TGF family members [42]. FCMs from subcutaneous sponges from ApoE null mice were polarized neither towards the M1 (classically activated)





Fig 8. Phosphorylated SMAD proteins in RAW 264.7 cells and sponge or artery macrophages from mice. RAW cells were exposed to 10 ng/ml TGF β 1 for 45 minutes. A) phospho-SMAD2 and B) phospho-SMAD3 were present in the cytoplasm and nucleus of many cells. pSMAD2 was also found in C) the cytoplasm and nucleus (arrows) from isolated FCMs, but only in the D) cytoplasm of NFMs. E) pSMAD is present in the cytoplasm and nuclei (arrows) of plaques in a brachiocephalic artery a fat-fed ApoE null mouse. E') higher magnification of plaque in E. F) negative control in a section from the same plaque. G) RAW negative control staining (rabbit IgG). Red/orange = cytoplasmic staining, pink = nuclear staining, blue = nuclei (DAPI), green = autofluorescence. A, B, E', G magnification x 1000, C, D, E, F magnification x 400.

nor M2 (alternatively activated) phenotypes. Our results demonstrate that FCMs formed *in vivo* adopt a pro-fibrotic phenotype.

LXR/RXR pathway

LXRs act by heterodimer formation with RXRs and subsequent binding of the complex with LXR response elements in target genes. They can also inhibit expression of other genes by antagonising the activity of transcription factors or by preventing the release of co-repressor complexes from target-gene promoters [43]. We found that FCMs had increased expression of LXR α and LXR β , as well of their binding partners RXR α and RXR β , as well as several downstream mediators (e.g. Srepb1, FASN). Similar observations were reported previously in peritoneal macrophages isolated from fat-fed LDL receptor null mice [23]; and loading of mouse BMDM with acetylated low density lipoprotein (LDL) *in vitro* also upregulates several LXR-related genes [44]. On the other hand, loading with oxidised- (ox-) LDL for 24 hours down-regulates MSR1, FASN and SCARB1 but upregulates CD36 and ABCA1, most likely by modulating transcription by ATF3 [45]. Such disparities dependent on both the type of lipids used and the phenotypic state of the mouse macrophages before loading have been previously reviewed [46].

The pro-fibrotic response of FCMs

In addition to lipid-related genes, we found that the mRNAs of many extracellular matrix proteins were up-regulated in FCMs. These included mRNAs for collagens 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 16 and 18 as well as the pro-collagen convertase, BMP-1. Furthermore, mRNAs for the core proteins of biglycan, decorin and versican, which are proteoglycans that bind to collagen and promote matrix assembly and maturation, were elevated in FCMs (Table 4 and S1 Table). All of these matrix proteins are present at high levels within plaques [47–49] but have been thought of as products of SMCs or fibroblasts [35]. Our new data suggests that FCMs contribute to their own surrounding extracellular matrix. Interestingly, FCMs recovered from the peritoneum of LDL receptor null mice also overexpress collagen 1 α 2, collagen 3 α 1, collagen 6 α 1 and decorin by 2–4 fold compared to NFMs (Supplementary Table IC of [23]). Hence the pro-fibrotic transformation we observed in cells from subcutaneous granulomas in ApoE mice is replicated in the LDL receptor null background and at another site. On the other hand, several transcriptomic studies of mouse BMDM loaded with lipids for short periods *in vitro* did not note any changes in fibrosis-related genes [44,45,50], which suggests that such changes may evolve slowly or depend on the local microenvironment *in vivo*.

Collagens 1 and 3 are believed to be important in stabilizing plaques against rupture [51]. In contrast, collagen 8 coincides with active remodelling, migration and increased production of some MMPs [52,53]. Biglycan and versican are known to trap lipid within the matrix and hence perpetuate lesion progression leading ultimately to instability [48,49,54]. The impact of fibrotic transformation of FCMs could therefore be beneficial for stability through collagen synthesis but promote plaque progression through proteoglycans.

Canonical pathway analysis and network maps suggested PDGF and TGF β as a regulatory node in the gene expression changes in FCMs compared to NFMs (Fig 3). At first sight this seems counter-intuitive, because TGF β has been shown to reduce foam cell formation *in vitro* by decreasing scavenger receptor mRNA expression and oxidised LDL uptake [4]. However, much previous literature establishes that TGF β can stimulate collagen synthesis in the plaques [29,35], as well as during the development of restenosis [55]. Proteolytic activation of latent TGF β , rather than increased expression, is often responsible for increased TGF β activity [29]. Consistent with this, we found that TGF β 1 mRNA was not increased in FCMs compared with NFMs; nor was the TGF β 1 receptor, ALK5. However, MMP2 was increased; and BMP1, which

can also activate TGF β , was up-regulated 11-fold in FCMs compared with NFMs. CTGF (CCN2), a multi-functional growth factor that is up-regulated by TGF β via AP-1 and SMADs [28,56,57] was also increased in FCMs. CTGF may act synergistically with TGF β [28,56] and can promote monocyte migration into the atherosclerotic plaque [58]. To validate our differential gene expression data *in vivo*, we showed that CTGF staining in both FCM- and SMC-rich areas of mouse plaques (Fig 6D). CTGF staining has also been reported in human atherosclerotic plaques, particularly near areas associated with large numbers of macrophages e.g. the shoulder region and surrounding the lipid core [58], and alveolar macrophages examined *in vivo* have been shown to express CTGF [59]. On the other hand, thrombospondin-1, which is also implicated in the binding and activation of TGF β [31], was significantly decreased in FCMs.

TGF β signalling is mediated by phosphorylation of SMADs, and by up-regulation of FOS, JUN and LXR transcription factors [28,34,36,55,60,61]. Consistent with this, we found that although the mRNA expression of SMADs 2 and 3 were not elevated in FCMs, levels of phosphorylated, nuclear localised SMAD2 were increased in granuloma FCMs relative to NFMs and in plaque FCMs, suggesting that TGF β 1 signalling was occurring. SMAD2 staining has been previously associated with FCMs in fibro-fatty lesions [60]. FCMs also had elevated expression of FosB, JUN and JunB. Interestingly, other factors that are affected by the presence of FosB, such as the extracellular matrix protein tenascin C [57], were also significantly up-regulated in our FCMs (S1 Table). We also confirmed that mouse FCMs overexpressed cFOS, with protein expression often found in the nucleus.

Proteinases

Cathepsins C and E were overexpressed in *in vivo* generated FCMs in our study and also in mouse BMDM loaded with acetylated LDL *in vitro* [44]. However, these are acidic proteases, and only cathepsins with activity at neutral pH have been directly implicated in destabilization of plaques [62,63]. We found increased expression of MMP2, which promotes SMC migration and proliferation [64]. MMP2 is also known to activate latent TGF β [65] and release TGF β from extracellular matrix stores [55], which could further contribute to a pro-fibrotic action. MMP9, which also promotes migration of SMC [64], was not changed in our study, despite data from peritoneal FCMs showing a decrease [23]. Instead, we observed decreased expression of MMP13, which is the main collagenase of mouse atherosclerosis [66]. On the other hand, the expression of MMP23, which has not been studied in the context of atherosclerosis, was increased.

Polarization towards M1 or M2 phenotypes

Peritoneal FCMs appeared to be polarized away from M1 compared to NFMs in LDL receptor null mice [23]. Characteristic M1 genes, including IL1 β and MMP9, were down-regulated and, moreover, peritoneal FCMs were resistant to the M1 polarizing effects of added toll-like receptor ligands *ex vivo*, in part because accumulation of desmosterol led to ligation of LXR, which stabilized the co-repressor complex, NcoR [23]. In contrast with these results, we did not observe a significant decrease in M1 marker genes, including MMP9, NOS2, CCL2, IL-6 and ARG2, in granuloma FCMs compared with NFMs, although MMP13 and NF- κ B1 levels, which are also M1-related genes [24,37,38], were decreased. There could be several reasons for this discrepancy, including different diets and background strains in the two studies and the metabolic consequences of ApoE compared with LDL receptor knockout. Another difference is that we took FCMs from subcutaneous granulomas rather than the peritoneum, and the cells therefore experienced a different inflammatory environment *in vivo*. NFMs from the peritoneum are known to be strongly polarized towards M2 [67], whereas, given the foreign body reaction in granulomas, it is not surprising that we measured considerable levels of M1 markers in both NFMs and

FCMs (Table 4). Our previous work on subcutaneous granulomas in lipid- or chow-fed, wildtype rabbits also demonstrated the presence of M1 markers, but these were greater in FCMs than NFMs. FCMs had greater activation of NF- κ B and up-regulation of the NF- κ B dependent genes, MMP1 and MMP3; they also had decreased expression of arginase-1 and increased nitrite production compared with NFMs [21,22], confirming polarization away from M2. In the only available study of human plaque cells examined ex vivo, cells including FCMs also expressed M1 genes, and this was dependent on toll-like receptor-2 (TLR2) stimulation [68]. Hence M1/M2 polarisation appears to depend crucially on the microenvironment from which the FCMs are obtained. FCMs appear polarised towards M1 when extracted from human plaques [68] and rabbit granulomas [21,22], towards M2 when extracted from the peritoneum of LDL receptor null mice [23] or neither M1 nor M2 when extracted from mouse subcutaneous granulomas in this study. Consistent with this, FCMs bearing M1 markers, M2 markers or neither are detected in mouse plaques by histology [69] and FCMs observed histologically in human plaques also demonstrate a wide variety of phenotypes [9]. Many FCMs appear to be M1, based on nuclear NF-κB localisation and expression of marker genes such as NOS2 and COX2 [8,19]. Laser capture dissected plaque macrophages also show up-regulation of several M1-related genes, including NOS2, Arg2, TLR2 and IL1r1 [70]. However, FCMs bearing M2 markers such as CD206 and PPARy [19] are also present, although they tend to be less foamy, probably thanks to upregulation of reverse transporters [13]. In plaques, FCMs may also ingest particles other than LDLs, including bacteria, apoptotic bodies and cholesterol crystals that can cause additional polarization towards M1 [71,72], or take up haem and become deactivated [16].

A similar disparity of findings has been obtained in *in vitro* studies, depending on the type of lipid used (e.g. minimally compared to extensively oxidised LDL, acetylated LDL, oxidised phospholipids or cholesterol, cholesterol crystals) and the phenotypic state of the mouse macrophages before loading (reviewed by Adamson and Leitinger [46]). For example, ox-LDL loading of M2 macrophages generates a pro-inflammatory state [50], but oxidised phospholipid treatment leads to a distinct, anti-oxidant state [69]. Lipid ligands of PPAR γ can prime macrophages towards an anti-inflammatory state [13]. In agreement with this diversity of responses, one study of ox-LDL loaded human monocyte derived macrophages observed over-expression of M1 genes thanks to toll-like receptor activation [20] but another found little effect on M1 or M2 markers, MMP-14 or TIMP-3, at least at the mRNA level [73], consistent with our present findings.

Limitations of our study

Since FCMs and NFMs were both harvested from subcutaneous sponges in our study, the foreign body response to the sponge itself could not obscure the transcriptomic differences, including up-regulation of pro-fibrotic genes, which we observed in FCMs. However, we do not yet know how significantly these contribute to plaque progression and stability in man. Supporting the relevance of our present data in mice, formation of human FCMs *in vitro* has been previously shown to up-regulate expression of many of the same genes, including CTSC, LXR, Cmpk2, and fibrotic genes [14,17,18,40,74]. Studies in human and mouse plaques, including our own reported here, also corroborate the findings from isolated FCMs studied *ex vivo*, but these have so far relied almost entirely on immunohistochemistry. In the future, it would be desirable to confirm them with other methods such as transcriptomics of laser capture dissected plaque cells. However, this will not be an easy task, owing to the limited amounts and quality of extracted RNA, the difficulties of extracting FCMs and NFMs from the same microenvironments, and also of distinguishing macrophages from smooth muscle cells based on CD68 staining [6]. In addition, although our differential gene analysis implicated pro-fibrotic signalling pathways related to PDGF and TGF β , their precise roles will require further verification. Macrophage-selective knockout of individual pro-fibrotic mediators and their receptors would shed additional light but they are clearly beyond the present scope of this study. The translational potential of our studies also needs further consideration. It will be interesting to investigate the roles of the pro-fibrotic genes we identified in mice, using bio-bank and genetic approaches in man. From a treatment perspective, selective oestrogen receptor modulators such as tamoxifen that are known to increase active TGF β levels in patients appear to stabilize plaques and reduce acute coronary syndromes [75,76]. Based on our findings, further approaches, including targeting production of FOS and JUN transcription factors might represent alternative strategies.

Implications for plaque rupture

Our novel data show conclusively that FCMs overexpress mRNAs for collagen and other matrix proteins that would tend to stabilize plaques. At first sight, this conclusion is paradoxical and needs to be reconciled with the more commonly-held view that FCMs overexpress matrix-degrading enzymes and therefore promote collagen degradation and plaque rupture. However, it is worth remembering that arterial fatty streaks and other xanthomas that contain few VSMCs or fibroblasts neither rupture nor cause thrombosis. Our conclusion is, therefore, that formation of FCMs is intrinsically pro-fibrotic and this may be necessary to stabilize early lesions. FCMs in more advanced plaques, for example at the vulnerable shoulder regions of plaques, are exposed to locally-acting inflammatory stimuli, which initiate other transcriptional programmes that tip the balance from collagen synthesis to degradation and therefore promote plaque rupture.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Experiments using RAW 264.7 and mouse BMDM, including comparisons of RNA (Table A) and protein expression (Table B). (DOCX)

S1 Table. Genes differentially regulated between FCM and NFM (Ingenuity array, n = 4). (XLS)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their appreciation of expert assistance from Drs Elaine Mo Hayes, Stephen White, Jason Johnson, Graciela Sala-Newby, Ray Bush and Chris Jackson, and Mrs Laura Bevan.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ACT ACN. Performed the experiments: ACT WJE. Analyzed the data: ACT WJE. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: ACT WJE MJAPD ACN. Wrote the paper: ACT WJE MJAPD ACN.

References

- 1. Ross R. Atherosclerosis-an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med 1999; 340: 115–126. PMID: <u>9887164</u>
- Thomas AH, Edelman ER, Stultz CM. Collagen fragments modulate innate immunity. Exp Biol Med 2007; 232: 406–411.
- 3. Ley K, Miller YI, Hedrick CC. Monocyte and macrophage dynamics during atherogenesis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2011; 31: 1506–1516. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.221127 PMID: 21677293

- McLaren JE, Michael DR, Ashlin TG, Ramji DP. Cytokines, macrophage lipid metabolism and foam cells: Implications for cardiovascular disease therapy. Prog Lipid Res 2011; 50: 331–347. doi: <u>10.1016/j.plipres.2011.04.002</u> PMID: <u>21601592</u>
- Cookson FB. The origin of foam cells in atherosclerosis. Br J Exp Pathol 1971; 52: 62–69. PMID: 5547656
- Allahverdian S, Chehroudi AC, McManus BM, Abraham T, Francis GA. Contribution of intimal smooth muscle cells to cholesterol accumulation and macrophage-like cells in human atherosclerosis. Circulation 2014; 129: 1551–1559. doi: <u>10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005015</u> PMID: <u>24481950</u>
- Galkina E, Ley K. Immune and inflammatory mechanisms of atherosclerosis. Annu Rev Immunol 2009; 27: 165–197. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev.immunol.021908.132620</u> PMID: <u>19302038</u>
- Huang WC, Sala-Newby GB, Susana A, Johnson JL, Newby AC. Classical macrophage activation upregulates several matrix metalloproteinases through mitogen activated protein kinases and nuclear factor-kB. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e42507. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042507 PMID: 22880008
- Stoger JL, Gijbels MJ, van der Velden S, Manca M, van der Loos CM, Biessen EA, et al. Distribution of macrophage polarization markers in human atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis 2012; 225: 461–468. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.09.013 PMID: 23078881
- Shashkin P, Dragulev B, Ley K. Macrophage differentiation to foam cells. Curr Pharmaceut Design 2005; 11: 3061–3072.
- Suzuki M, Becker L, Pritchard DK, Gharib SA, Wijsman E, Bammler TK, et al. Cholesterol accumulation regulates expression of macrophage proteins implicated in proteolysis and complement activation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2012; 32: 2910–2918. doi: <u>10.1161/ATVBAHA.112.300383</u> PMID: <u>23042816</u>
- Li ZY, Howarth S, Trivedi RA, U-King-Im JM, Graves MJ, Brown A, et al. Stress analysis of carotid plaque rupture based on in vivo high resolution MRI. J Biomech 2006; 39: 2611–2622. PMID: <u>16256124</u>
- 13. Chinetti-Gbaguidi G, Baron M, Bouhlel MA, Vanhoutte J, Copin C, Sebti Y, et al. Human atherosclerotic plaque alternative macrophages display low cholesterol handling but high phagocytosis because of distinct activities of the PPARγ and LXRα pathways. Circ Res 2011; 108: 985–995. doi: <u>10.1161/</u> <u>CIRCRESAHA.110.233775 PMID: 21350215</u>
- Wolfs IM, Donners MM, de Winther MP. Differentiation factors and cytokines in the atherosclerotic plaque micro-environment as a trigger for macrophage polarisation. Thromb Haemost 2011; 106: 763– 771. doi: 10.1160/TH11-05-0320 PMID: 21947328
- Ambarus CA, Krausz S, van Eijk M, Hamann J, Radstake TR, Reedquist KA, et al. Systematic validation of specific phenotypic markers for in vitro polarized human macrophages. J Immunol Methods 2012; 375: 196–206. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jim.2011.10.013</u> PMID: <u>22075274</u>
- Boyle JJ. Heme and haemoglobin direct macrophage Mhem phenotype and counter foam cell formation in areas of intraplaque haemorrhage. Curr Opin Lipidol 2012; 23: 453–461. PMID: 22777293
- Joseph SB, Castrillo A, Laffitte BA, Mangelsdorf DJ, Tontonoz P. Reciprocal regulation of inflammation and lipid metabolism by liver X receptors. Nat Med 2003; 9: 213–219. PMID: <u>12524534</u>
- Martinez FO, Gordon S, Locati M, Mantovani A. Transcriptional profiling of the human monocyte-tomacrophage differentiation and polarization: New molecules and patterns of gene expression. J Immunol 2006; 177: 7303–7311. PMID: <u>17082649</u>
- Bouhlel MA, Derudas B, Rigamonti E, Dievart R, Brozek J, Haulon S, et al. PPARγ activation primes human monocytes into alternative M2 macrophages with anti-inflammatory properties. Cell Metabol 2007; 6: 137–143.
- Lundberg AM, Yan ZQ. Innate immune recognition receptors and damage-associated molecular patterns in plaque inflammation. Curr Opin Lipidol 2011; 22: 343–349. PMID: 21881501
- Chase AJ, Bond M, Crook MF, Newby AC. Role of nuclear factor-kB activation in metalloproteinase-1, -3, and -9 secretion by human macrophages in vitro and rabbit foam cells produced in vivo. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2002; 22: 765–771. PMID: 12006388
- 22. Thomas AC, Sala-Newby GB, Ismail Y, Johnson JL, Pasterkamp G, Newby AC. Genomics of foam cells and nonfoamy macrophages from rabbits identifies arginase-I as a differential regulator of nitric oxide production. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2007; 27: 571–577. PMID: <u>17194896</u>
- Spann NJ, Garmire LX, McDonald JG, Myers DS, Milne SB, Shibata N, et al. Regulated accumulation of desmosterol integrates macrophage lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses. Cell 2012; 151: 138–152. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.054 PMID: 23021221
- Hayes EM, Tsaousi A, Di Gregoli K, Jenkinson SR, Bond AR, Johnson JL, et al. Classical and alternative activation and metalloproteinase expression occurs in foam cell macrophages in male and female ApoE null mice in the absence of T- and B-lymphocytes. Front Immunol 2014; 5: 537. doi: <u>10.3389/</u> <u>fimmu.2014.00537</u> PMID: <u>25389425</u>

- Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol 2004; 3: Article3. PMID: <u>16646809</u>
- Lin SM, Du P, Huber W, Kibbe WA. Model-based variance-stabilizing transformation for Illumina microarray data. Nucleic Acids Res 2008; 36: e11. doi: <u>10.1093/nar/gkm1075</u> PMID: <u>18178591</u>
- Daley JM, Brancato SK, Thomay AA, Reichner JS, Albina JE. The phenotype of murine wound macrophages. J Leukoc Biol 2010; 87: 59–67. PMID: 20052800
- 28. Leask A, Abraham DJ. TGF-β signaling and the fibrotic response. FASEB J 2004; 18: 816–827. PMID: 15117886
- Border WA, Noble NA. Transforming growth factor β in tissue fibrosis. N Engl J Med 1994; 331: 1286– 1292. PMID: <u>7935686</u>
- Newby AC. Proteinases and plaque rupture: Unblocking the road to translation. Curr Opin Lipidol 2014; 25: 358–366. PMID: <u>25089553</u>
- Yehualaeshet T, O'Connor R, Green-Johnson J, Mai S, Silverstein R, Murphy-Ullrich JE, et al. Activation of rat alveolar macrophage-derived latent transforming growth factor β-1 by plasmin requires interaction with thrombospondin-1 and its cell surface receptor, CD36. Am J Pathol 1999; 155: 841–851. PMID: 10487979
- 32. Draude G, Lorenz RL. TGF-β1 downregulates CD36 and scavenger receptor A but upregulates LOX-1 in human macrophages. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2000; 278: H1042–H1048. PMID: <u>10749696</u>
- Zuckerman SH, Panousis C, Evans G. TGF-β reduced binding of high-density lipoproteins in murine macrophages and macrophage-derived foam cells. Atherosclerosis 2001; 155: 79–85. PMID: <u>11223429</u>
- 34. Hu YW, Wang Q, Ma X, Li XX, Liu XH, Xiao J, et al. TGF-β1 up-regulates expression of ABCA1, ABCG1 and SR-BI through liver X receptor α signaling pathway in THP-1 macrophage-derived foam cells. J Atheroscler Thromb 2010; 17: 493–502. PMID: 20057170
- Rekhter MD. Collagen synthesis in atherosclerosis: Too much and not enough. Cardiovasc Res 1999; 41: 376–384. PMID: 10341837
- ten Dijke P, Arthur HM. Extracellular control of TGFβ signalling in vascular development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2007; 8: 857–869. PMID: <u>17895899</u>
- de Winther MP, Kanters E, Kraal G, Hofker MH. Nuclear factor κB signaling in atherogenesis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2005; 25: 904–914. PMID: <u>15731497</u>
- Martinez FO. Regulators of macrophage activation. Eur J Immunol 2011; 41: 1531–1534. doi: <u>10.1002/</u> eji.201141670 PMID: <u>21607943</u>
- Guasch RM, Scambler P, Jones GE, Ridley AJ. RhoE regulates actin cytoskeleton organization and cell migration. Mol Cell Biol 1998; 18: 4761–4771. PMID: <u>9671486</u>
- Xu Y, Johansson M, Karlsson A. Human UMP-CMP kinase 2, a novel nucleoside monophosphate kinase localized in mitochondria. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 1563–1571. PMID: 17999954
- Karin M, Liu Z, Zandi E. AP-1 function and regulation. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1997; 9: 240–246. PMID: 9069263
- Derynck R, Zhang YE. Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways in TGF-β family signalling. Nature 2003; 425: 577–584. PMID: <u>14534577</u>
- 43. Pourcet B, Feig JE, Vengrenyuk Y, Hobbs AJ, Kepka-Lenhart D, Garabedian MJ, et al. LXRα regulates macrophage arginase 1 through PU.1 and interferon regulatory factor 8. Circ Res 2011; 109: 492–501. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.241810 PMID: 21757649
- 44. Berisha SZ, Hsu J, Robinet P, Smith JD. Transcriptome analysis of genes regulated by cholesterol loading in two strains of mouse macrophages associates lysosome pathway and ER stress response with atherosclerosis susceptibility. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e65003. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0065003</u> PMID: 23705026
- Gold ES, Ramsey SA, Sartain MJ, Selinummi J, Podolsky I, Rodriguez DJ, et al. ATF3 protects against atherosclerosis by suppressing 25-hydroxycholesterol-induced lipid body formation. J Exp Med 2012; 209: 807–817. doi: 10.1084/jem.20111202 PMID: 22473958
- Adamson S, Leitinger N. Phenotypic modulation of macrophages in response to plaque lipids. Curr Opin Lipidol 2011; 22: 335–342. PMID: <u>21841486</u>
- 47. Merrilees M, Beaumont B, Scott L, Hermanutz V, Fennessy P. Effect of TGF-β1 antisense S-oligonucleotide on synthesis and accumulation of matrix proteoglycans in balloon catheter-injured neointima of rabbit carotid arteries. J Vasc Res 2000; 37: 50–60. PMID: <u>10720886</u>
- Wight TN, Merrilees MJ. Proteoglycans in atherosclerosis and restenosis: Key roles for versican. Circ Res 2004; 94: 1158–1167. PMID: <u>15142969</u>

- 49. Merrilees MJ, Beaumont BW, Braun KR, Thomas AC, Kang I, Hinek A, et al. Neointima formed by arterial smooth muscle cells expressing versican variant V3 is resistant to lipid and macrophage accumulation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2011; 31: 1309–1316. doi: <u>10.1161/ATVBAHA.111.225573</u> PMID: <u>21441139</u>
- 50. van Tits LJH, Stienstra R, van Lent PL, Netea MG, Joosten LAB, Stalenhoef AFH. Oxidized LDL enhances pro-inflammatory responses of alternatively activated M2 macrophages: A crucial role for Kruppel-like factor 2. Atherosclerosis 2011; 214: 345–349. doi: <u>10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.11.018</u> PMID: <u>21167486</u>
- 51. Libby P. Collagenases and cracks in the plaque. J Clin Invest 2013; 123: 3201–3203. doi: <u>10.1172/</u> JCI67526 PMID: 23908120
- Weitkamp B, Cullen P, Plenz G, Robenek H, Rauterberg J. Human macrophages synthesize type VIII collagen in vitro and in the atherosclerotic plague. FASEB J 1999; 13: 1445–1457. PMID: 10428768
- Adiguzel E, Ahmad PJ, Franco C, Bendeck MP. Collagens in the progression and complications of atherosclerosis. Vasc Med 2009; 14: 73–89. doi: <u>10.1177/1358863X08094801</u> PMID: <u>19144782</u>
- 54. Bobik A, Agrotis A, Kanellakis P, Dilley R, Krushinsky A, Smirnov V, et al. Distinct patterns of transforming growth factor-β isoform and receptor expression in human atherosclerotic lesions. Colocalization implicates TGF-β in fibrofatty lesion development. Circulation 1999; 99: 2883–2891. PMID: 10359732
- 55. Suwanabol PA, Kent KC, Liu B. TGF-β and restenosis revisited: A Smad link. J Surg Res 2011; 167: 287–297. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2010.12.020 PMID: 21324395
- Moritani NH, Kubota S, Eguchi T, Fukunaga T, Yamashiro T, Takano-Yamamoto T, et al. Interaction of AP-1 and the *ctgf* gene: A possible driver of chondrocyte hypertrophy in growth cartilage. J Bone Miner Metab 2003; 21: 205–210. PMID: 12811624
- Ramachandran A, Gong EM, Pelton K, Ranpura SA, Mulone M, Seth A, et al. FosB regulates stretchinduced expression of extracellular matrix proteins in smooth muscle. Am J Pathol 2011; 179: 2977– 2989. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.08.034</u> PMID: <u>21996678</u>
- Cicha I, Yilmaz A, Klein M, Raithel D, Brigstock DR, Daniel WG, et al. Connective tissue growth factor is overexpressed in complicated atherosclerotic plaques and induces mononuclear cell chemotaxis in vitro. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2005; 25: 1008–1013. PMID: 15761189
- 59. Xifteri A, Drakopanagiotakis F, Tzouvelekis A, Tsiambas E, Karameris A, Tsakanika K, et al. Expression of CTGF and TNFa in alveolar macrophages of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis before and after treatment with azathioprine or interferon-γ-1β. Pneumon 2011; 24: 149–156.
- 60. Kalinina N, Agrotis A, Antropova Y, Ilyinskaya O, Smirnov V, Tararak E, et al. Smad expression in human atherosclerotic lesions. Evidence for impaired TGF-β/Smad signaling in smooth muscle cells of fibrofatty lesions. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004; 24: 1391–1396. PMID: <u>15166010</u>
- Chen G, Chen X, Sukumar A, Gao B, Curley J, Schnaper HW, et al. TGFβ receptor I transactivation mediates stretch-induced Pak1 activation and CTGF upregulation in mesangial cells. J Cell Sci 2013; 126: 3697–3712. doi: 10.1242/jcs.126714 PMID: 23781022
- Rodgers KJ, Watkins DJ, Miller AL, Chan PY, Karanam S, Brissette WH, et al. Destabilizing role of cathepsin S in murine atherosclerotic plaques. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2006; 26: 851–856. PMID: 16410454
- Liu J, Sukhova GK, Sun JS, Xu WH, Libby P, Shi GP. Lysosomal cysteine proteases in atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004; 24: 1359–1366. PMID: <u>15178558</u>
- Galis ZS, Khatri JJ. Matrix metalloproteinases in vascular remodeling and atherogenesis: The good, the bad, and the ugly. Circ Res 2002; 90: 251–262. PMID: 11861412
- 65. Yu Q, Stamenkovic I. Cell surface-localized matrix metalloproteinase-9 proteolytically activates TGF-β and promotes tumor invasion and angiogenesis. Genes Dev 2000; 14: 163–176. PMID: <u>10652271</u>
- Quillard T, Araujo HA, Franck G, Tesmenitsky Y, Libby P. Matrix metalloproteinase-13 predominates over matrix metalloproteinase-8 as the functional interstitial collagenase in mouse atheromata. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2014; 34: 1179–1186. doi: <u>10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303326</u> PMID: <u>24723558</u>
- Xu W, Schlagwein N, Roos A, van den Berg TK, Daha MR, van Kooten C. Human peritoneal macrophages show functional characteristics of M-CSF-driven anti-inflammatory type 2 macrophages. Eur J Immunol 2007; 37: 1594–1599. PMID: <u>17474153</u>
- Monaco C, Gregan SM, Navin TJ, Foxwell BM, Davies AH, Feldmann M. Toll-like receptor-2 mediates inflammation and matrix degradation in human atherosclerosis. Circulation 2009; 120: 2462–2469. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.851881 PMID: 19948979
- 69. Kadl A, Meher AK, Sharma PR, Lee MY, Doran AC, Johnstone SR, et al. Identification of a novel macrophage phenotype that develops in response to atherogenic phospholipids via Nrf2. Circ Res 2010; 107: 737–746. doi: <u>10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.215715</u> PMID: <u>20651288</u>

- 70. Tuomisto TT, Korkeela A, Rutanen J, Viita H, Brasen JH, Riekkinen MS, et al. Gene expression in macrophage-rich inflammatory cell infiltrates in human atherosclerotic lesions as studied by laser microdissection and DNA array: Overexpression of HMG-CoA reductase, colony stimulating factor receptors, CD11A/CD18 integrins, and interleukin receptors. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2003; 23: 2235–2240. PMID: 14576072
- Duewell P, Kono H, Rayner KJ, Sirois CM, Vladimer G, Bauernfeind FG, et al. NLRP3 inflammasomes are required for atherogenesis and activated by cholesterol crystals. Nature 2010; 464: 1357–1361. doi: <u>10.1038/nature08938</u> PMID: <u>20428172</u>
- Rajamaki K, Lappalainen J, Oorni K, Valimaki E, Matikainen S, Kovanen PT, et al. Cholesterol crystals activate the NLRP3 inflammasome in human macrophages: A novel link between cholesterol metabolism and inflammation. PLoS ONE 2010; 5: e11765. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0011765</u> PMID: <u>20668705</u>
- 73. Johnson JL, Jenkins N, Huang WC, Di Gregoli K, Sala-Newby G, Scholtes V, et al. Relationship of MMP-14 and TIMP-3 expression with macrophage activation and human atherosclerotic plaque vulnerability. Mediators Inflamm 2014; 2014: Article ID 276457.
- 74. Wilson HM, Chettibi S, Jobin C, Walbaum D, Rees AJ, Kluth DC. Inhibition of macrophage nuclear factor-κB leads to a dominant anti-inflammatory phenotype that attenuates glomerular inflammation in vivo. Am J Pathol 2005; 167: 27–37. PMID: <u>15972949</u>
- 75. Grainger DJ, Metcalfe JC. Tamoxifen: Teaching an old drug new tricks? Nat Med 1996; 2: 381–385. PMID: 8597938
- 76. Hackshaw A, Roughton M, Forsyth S, Monson K, Reczko K, Sainsbury R, et al. Long-term benefits of 5 years of tamoxifen: 10-year follow-up of a large randomized trial in women at least 50 years of age with early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 1657–1663. doi: <u>10.1200/JCO.2010.32.2933</u> PMID: <u>21422412</u>