Prevalence of Vascular Complications Among Type 2 Diabetic Patients in a Rural Health Center in South India

Journal of Primary Care & Community Health Volume 11: 1–11 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2150132720959962 journals.sagepub.com/home/jpc SAGE

Akila Govindarajan Venguidesvarane¹, Aliya Jasmine¹, Samya Varadarajan¹, Vanishree Shriraam¹, Anitha Rani Muthuthandavan¹, Vanitha Durai¹, Gayathri Thiruvengadam¹, and Shriraam Mahadevan¹

Abstract

Introduction: Vascular complications are the major cause of morbidity in patients with diabetes mellitus. Screening for these complications is crucial in early detection and tertiary prevention. Hence, this study aimed at finding the prevalence of micro and macrovascular complications and their associated factors in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in a rural health center by using simple and easily available tools. Methodology: This hospital based cross sectional study was conducted in Rural Health and Training Centre (RHTC) of Sri Ramachandra medical college from Jan 2017 to Aug 2017. All type 2 diabetes patients registered at RHTC were included in the study. By the use of questionnaire, clinical examination and laboratory investigations, the prevalence of macro and microvascular complications and associated factors were ascertained. Multiple logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with vascular complications of diabetes. Results: The study included 390 type 2 diabetes patients. The overall prevalence of macrovascular and microvascular complications in our study population was 29.7% and 52.1%, respectively. Among the macrovascular complications, both coronary artery disease (CAD) and peripheral vascular disease (PVD) had a prevalence rate of 15.1%. Among the microvascular complications, peripheral neuropathy (44.9%) had the highest prevalence followed by nephropathy (12.1%) and diabetic foot (7.2%). Multiple logistic regression analyses showed high HbA1c level, lower education, high postprandial blood sugar, hypertension, abdominal obesity were significantly associated with increased risk of vascular complications of diabetes. **Conclusion:** This study demonstrated the increased prevalence of vascular complications in Type 2 diabetes patients in rural India. Regular screening to identify those patients at risk could prevent further progression of complications.

Keywords

rural prevalence, primary health center, type 2 diabetes, macrovascular complications, microvascular complications, screening

Dates received: 8 June 2020; revised: 24 August 2020; accepted: 29 August 2020

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is increasing in prevalence both in urban and rural population in India.¹⁻³ The main consequences, in the long term, of uncontrolled diabetes are microvascular (nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy) and macrovascular (coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular disease) complications.⁴ The latter has resulted in diabetes being proclaimed as vascular disease and it is the leading cause of cardiovascular death worldwide.⁵ The clinical management of the patient with diabetes includes not only addressing hyperglycemia but also screening for the angiopathic complications and assessing the other risk factors.⁶ The burden of diabetic complications is high in India because of the large number of people with diabetes.⁷ Studies from India reported a prevalence of 5% to 37% of macrovascular and microvascular complications among diabetes

Corresponding Author:

Shriraam Mahadevan, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research, Porur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600116, India. Email: mshriraam@gmail.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

patients.^{8,9} Patients with diabetes and associated microvascular complications are at higher risk of accelerated atherosclerosis which ultimately culminates in cerebrovascular and cardiovascular events and premature death.⁶ A multicentric study in India reported a high prevalence of microvascular complications like diabetic neuropathy (26.1%) and nephropathy (26.9%).¹⁰ The prevalence of undetected complications is more in rural area when compared to urban area, due to poor control of diabetes, lack of awareness, and limited access to health care.^{1,11}

Population data on diabetes complications are available in urban India.¹¹ Data on the burden of vascular complications of diabetes, its prevalence and severity are scarce from the rural setting in India.^{12,13} This may be due to lack of expertise in rural clinics, non-availability of tools that may be required for screening as well as cost of the tests.¹⁴ India being a developing country and with majority of the population being in rural area, comprehensive assessment of diabetic patients and identifying the complications becomes the need of the hour. Screening for both macro and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes patients will help in understanding the burden of the problem. In this background, we proposed to assess the prevalence of vascular complications in type 2 diabetes patients with clinical examination and simple easily available tools in a rural health center.

Methodology

Study Setting and Population

This hospital based cross sectional study was conducted in Rural Health and Training Centre (RHTC) of Department of Community Medicine of medical college located at Vayallanullur, Tamil Nadu from Jan 2017 to Aug 2017. Based on study done by Agarwal et al, on vascular complication of diabetes (prevalence of neuropathy—32.5%), the minimum sample size was calculated to be 324 subjects at 95% confidence level and 5% of allowable error.⁸ RHTC caters to 800 diabetic and hypertensive patients who are registered with the center. Of them, 300 were diagnosed with only hypertension and another 100 were not regular in their visits for treatment and so they were excluded from this study.

Data Collection and Quality Control Measures

Information on background demographic characteristics like age, gender, education, occupation, etc. was collected using a pretested structured questionnaire. Details of comorbidities were obtained from their records as well as from history. The content validity of the questionnaire was examined by an expert team of 3 endocrinologists. The questionnaire was then translated to Tamil and then back translated to English by language experts. Data collection was done by the principal and co-investigators of the study.

Anthropometric assessment of study population was done using standard procedures.¹⁵ The cut off for waist circumference was taken to be 90 cm for male and 85 cm for female.¹⁶ Blood pressure was measured and patients with blood pressure of either systolic >140 or diastolic > 90 mm Hg were considered to be hypertensive.¹⁷

Complete foot examination was done to look for signs of skin discoloration, absent hair, dystrophic nails, fissured skin, ulceration, gangrene, absence of toes, past healed/unhealed ulcers, deformity, absent peripheral pulses (dorsalis pedis, posterior tibial). Touch (prick) sensation in lower limbs was assessed using 10g monofilament. The monofilament was held perpendicular to the skin and pressure was applied until the filament just bends with a contact time of 2 seconds. Vibration and touch were tested at 5 areas—plantar surface of distal hallux, heads of first metatarsal, fifth metatarsal, heel, dorsal surface of the foot. In addition, presence or absence of ankle reflex was checked using percussion hammer.

Blood was examined for plasma glucose (fasting and postprandial), HbA1c, and serum creatinine. Urine was examined for glucose, albumin, pus cells, and RBCs. A 12-lead electrocardiogram resting ECG was taken. Nephropathy was assessed using estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) which was calculated by the abbreviated MDRD equation (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease).¹⁸ Based on the patient's history, physical examination and laboratory findings, vascular complications of diabetes were diagnosed by an endocrinologist (SM).

Operational Definition of Vascular Complications Macrovascular Complications

- Coronary artery disease (CAD): History of angina or myocardial infarction or/and documented in medical records or abnormal ECG findings indicating the presence of ischemia or infarction indicating coronary artery disease.¹⁹
- Cerebrovascular disease (CVD): History of stroke or transient ischemic attack/hemiplegia or/and documented in medical records.²⁰
- Peripheral vascular disease (PVD): Definitive history of intermittent claudication or if one or more peripheral pulses absent in any one foot.²¹

Microvascular Complications

- Peripheral neuropathy: Ankle reflex absent or 10g monofilament for touch is
- <3/5 or 128 Hz vibration sense is <3/5 in any 1 foot.²²
- Nephropathy: Estimated Glomerular filtration rate less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m².²³

 Diabetic foot: Presence of ulcer/old healed ulcer/ gangrene/ deformity/amputation in either foot.²⁴

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee prior to the commencement of the study (IEC-NI/16/NOV/56/81). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before data collection after giving the details of the study.

Data Processing and Analysis

Data entry and analysis of the variables was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16 (IBM Corporation, Somers, New York, USA) software after checking for completeness. Qualitative variables such as socio-demographic characteristics were expressed as frequency and percentages. The prevalence of vascular complications of diabetes was calculated as percentages and 95% Confidence Interval. Univariate and multiple logistic regression analysis was done to identify various factors associated with complications of diabetes among study subjects. "Two sided" P value of <.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Missingness was observed in certain background variables such as education (0.2%), waist circumference (3.5%), and duration of diabetes (2.8%). About 1.5% of blood parameters like FBS and PPBS were missing due to errors in processing of blood sample.

Results

Out of the 400 diabetic patients who attended the diabetic clinic at the rural health center, 10 patients refused to give consent. The remaining 390 patients were included in the study. The socio-demographic characteristics of the study subjects are given in Table 1. The mean (SD) age of study population was 56.3 ± 10.1 years. About three fourth of the study population were females. About 65% of study population were educated less than primary school level. The mean (SD) BMI was 26.9 ± 11.4 kg/m² and more than 50% of the study population were overweight/obese. The mean (SD) duration of diabetes was 6.3 ± 6.1 years. Based on the HbA1c value about 55.4% of study population had their diabetes under control (HbA1c < 7%).

The overall prevalence of macrovascular and microvascular complications in our study population was 29.7% and 52.1%, respectively. About 19.7% of study subjects had both macro and microvascular complications (Figure 1). Figures 2 and 3 describes the various signs and symptoms of complications of diabetes. About 12.3% of study subjects presented with abnormal ECG findings. History of CAD and CVD was observed in 4.4% and 1.5% of study subjects
 Table I. Socio Demographic Characteristics of Study Subjects (n = 390).

Variables	Number	Percentage
Age		
<50	119	30.5
50-70	247	63.3
>70	24	6.2
Gender		
Male	104	26.7
Female	286	73.3
Education ^a		
Less than primary	252	64.8
Middle school and above	137	35.2
Smoking		
Yes	42	10.8
No	348	89.2
Body mass index ^b		
Normal (18.5-24.99)	159	41.2
Overweight (25.00-29.99)	147	38.1
Obese (≥30)	80	20.7
Waist circumference ^c		
Normal	124	33
High	252	67
Hypertension		
Yes	204	52.3
No	186	47.7
Duration of diabetes ^d		
<5 years	224	59.1
5-10 years	100	26.4
>10 years	55	14.5
FBS ^e		
<125	193	50.3
≥126	191	49.7
PPBS ^f		
<200	151	39.3
≥200	233	60.7
HbAlc		
≤7	216	55.4
7.1-9.5	131	33.6
≥9.6	43	11

Abbreviations: FBS, fasting blood sugar; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; PPBS, post prandial blood sugar.

Missing values = a(n = 1), b(n = 4), c(n = 14), d(n = 11), e(n = 6), f(n = 6).

respectively. About 3.3% and 12.3% of study subjects presented with history of intermittent claudication and absent peripheral pulses. Among the symptoms of peripheral neuropathy, diminished touch sensation (30.3%) was most prevalent followed by absent ankle reflex (23.1) and diminished vibration (17.3). About 10% of study subjects had abnormal urine albumin and serum creatinine. The findings of diabetic foot among the study participants were presence of ulcer (3.6%), healed ulcer (4.3%), gangrene (0.8%), and deformity (6.2%).



Figure 1. Prevalence of both macro and microvascular complications among type 2 diabetes patients in a Rural Health Centre (n=390).

Table 2 describes the prevalence of macrovascular complications and univariate analysis of various background variables with macrovascular complications. The prevalence of coronary artery disease (CAD), peripheral vascular disease (PVD) and cerebrovascular Disease (CVD) in our study population were 15.1%, 15.1%, and 1.5% respectively. Univariate analysis showed that increasing age, lower education, hypertension was significantly associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease. Hypertension and increased duration of diabetes were associated with increased risk of cerebrovascular disease.

Table 3 describes the prevalence of microvascular complications and its association with various background variables. Peripheral neuropathy (44.9%) had the highest prevalence followed by nephropathy (12.1%) and diabetic foot (7.2%). Univariate analysis showed that factors such as increasing age, lower education, increased duration of diabetes, higher fasting blood sugar, postprandial blood sugar and HbA1c (\geq 9.6%) were significantly associated with peripheral neuropathy(P < .05). Hypertension was significantly associated with nephropathy (P < .05) whereas higher glycemic parameters such as fasting, postprandial blood sugars, and HbA1c were significantly associated with diabetic foot (P < .05).

Multiple logistic regression analysis was done on 376 subjects due to missingness observed. Regression analysis for macrovascular complications (Table 4) showed that subjects with primary schooling and less (Adjusted odds ratio (aOR)=2.1[1.1-4.5]), being hypertensive (aOR=2.0[1.1-3.8]) had increased risk of associated CAD than those with higher education and normotensives. Study subjects with abdominal obesity had 2.2 [1.1-4.5] times increased risk of associated PVD than those with normal waist measurements (P=.031).

Multiple logistic regression analysis (Table 5) for microvascular complications showed the less than primary schooling (aOR = 2.8[1.7-4.8]), duration of diabetes > 5 years (aOR = 1.8[1.1-3.2]), postprandial blood sugar $(\geq 200 \text{ mg/dl})$ (aOR=1.7[1.1-2.9]) and HbA1c ($\geq 9.6\%$) (aOR=2.6[1.2-5.9]) had increased risk of associated neuropathy than the others. Females (aOR=0.3[0.1-0.9]) had lower risk of associated diabetic foot than males. Subjects with HbA1c (7.1%-9.5%) value had 4.1 [1.4-11.3] times increased risk of associated diabetic foot than those with HbA1c of ($\leq 7\%$).

Discussion

In this study, simple, easily available screening tools were used to assess the prevalence of vascular complications of diabetes in a rural setting. It revealed high prevalence of both micro and macrovascular complications in the population. The implications of the study findings are: (1) burden of vascular complications is quite high in our rural population also, (2) simple tools of assessment may suffice in periodic evaluation of these patients.

Among the macrovascular complications, the prevalence of CAD and PVD was high (15.1%) followed by CVD (1.5%). Studies done in rural India observed the prevalence of Ischemic heart disease and stroke to be 7.8% to 11.4% and 0.5% respectively.^{13,25} Study done by Agarwal et al observed that the prevalence of PVD by both clinical examination and doppler to be 18.1% which was close to our study findings.²⁶ Presence of claudication (likelihood ratio LR—3.30) and any pulse abnormality (LR, 3.10) are the most useful clinical findings in diagnosis of PVD. PVD is one of the dangerous complications of diabetes, a simple history of intermittent claudication and examination of peripheral pulses will help in early detection of PVD and patients can be referred to higher centers for further diagnosis and treatment.²⁷

Among the microvascular complication, peripheral neuropathy (44.9%) had the highest prevalence followed by nephropathy (12.1%) and diabetic foot (7.2%). Studies have shown the prevalence of peripheral neuropathy in South India to range from 10.5% to 60.4%.²⁸⁻³⁰ The prevalence was higher in urban areas when compared to rural area.³¹⁻³³ The high prevalence in rural areas could be due to delay in diagnosis, poor self-care, poor health-seeking behavior. In the current study, medical officers in the rural center were trained to use these simple tools like monofilament and VibraTip for early detection of peripheral neuropathy which can be implemented country wide. Awareness program on foot care was conducted with special training to patients diagnosed with peripheral neuropathy.

A multicentric study done in India to screen diabetic patients for presence of diabetic foot revealed 8.7% of study population suffered from foot ulcers and blisters which was close to our study findings (7.2%).³⁴ In our study comprehensive assessment of foot along with peripheral vascular disease and peripheral neuropathy was done which helped in identifying at -risk foot too. Medical officers in rural



Figure 2. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of macrovascular complications among study subjects (n=390). Abbreviations: ECG, electrocardiogram; H/O CAD, history of coronary artery disease; H/O CVD, history of cerebrovascular disease; PVD, peripheral vascular disease.



Figure 3. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of microvascular complications among study subjects (n = 390). Abbreviation: H/O, history of.

center were trained to educate the patients on simple selffoot examination which is a very effective preventive strategy to reduce this grave complication.

Diabetic nephropathy is the leading cause of end-stage renal disease world-wide.³⁵ A study done in rural India reported that the prevalence of diabetic nephropathy was 13.6% close to our study findings (12.1%).³⁶ Nephropathy was assessed by eGFR (MDRD equation) which requires

age, gender, serum creatinine value of patients. Using this simple method yearly once screening of patients for nephropathy is highly recommended to diagnose early and prevent further progression of the disease.

Lower education status, hypertension were independent and commonly encountered risk factors for CAD. Educational status influences the awareness about diabetes, compliance to drugs and the health seeking behavior of an

	Macro vascular complication N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% Cl)	CAD N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% Cl)	CVD N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% Cl)	PVD N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% CI)
Prevalence [95% CI]	116 (29.7) [25.17-34.23]		59 (15.1) [11.55-18.65]		6 (1.5) [0.29-2.71]		59 (15.1) [11.55-18.65]	
Age (n = 390)								
<50	26 (21.8)	I	13 (10.9)	I	0 (0)	_	14 (11.8)	I
50-70	78 (31.6)	1.6 (0.9-2.7) ^a	39 (15.8)	1.5 (0.8-2.9) ^c	5 (2)	_	40 (16.2)	I.4 (0.8-2.8) ^e
>70	12 (50.0)	3.5 (1.4-8.8) ^b	7 (29.2)	3.3 (1.2-9.6) ^d	1 (4.1)	_	5 (20.8)	1.9 (0.6-6.1) ^f
P value		^{al} .54 ^{bl} .006*		^{c1} .214 ^{d1} .024*	.188	_	.391	el.265 fl.239
Gender (n=390)								
Male	25 (24.0)	I	15 (14.4)	I	2 (1.9)	I.	11 (10.6)	I.
Female	91 (31.8)	1.5 (0.8-2.4)	44 (15.4)	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	4 (1.4)	0.7 (0.1-4.0)	48 (16.8)	1.7 (0.8-3.4)
P value	. ,	.137	. ,	.815	. ,	.659	. ,	.130
Education $(n = 389)$								
Middle school and above	35 (25.5)	I	13 (9.5)	I	2 (1.5)	I	23 (16.8)	I
Less than primary	81 (32.1)	1.4 (0.9-2.0)	46 (18.3)	2.1 (1.1-4.0)	4 (1.6)	1.0 (0.1-6.0)	36 (14.3)	0.8 (0.4-1.4)
P value		.174		.026*		.922		.511
Smoking $(n = 390)$								
No	103 (29.5)	I	51 (14.7)	I	6 (1.7)	_	54 (15.5)	I
Yes	13 (31.7)	1.1 (0.5-2.1)	8 (19.0)	1.3 (0.6-3.1)	0 (0)	_	5 (11.9)	0.7 (0.2-1.9)
P value		.771		.453	1.00	_	· · · ·	.537
Waist circumference $(n = 376)$)							
Normal	31 (25.0)	I	19 (15.3)	I	3 (2.4)	I	12 (9.7)	I
High	82 (32.5)	1.4 (0.8-2.3)	39 (15.5)	1.0 (0.5-1.8)	3 (1.2)	0.4 (0.09-2.4)	45 (17.9)	2.0 (1.1-3.9)
P value		.134		.969		.371		.038*
Hypertension $(n = 390)$								
No	38 (20.4)	1	17 (9.1)	1	0 (0)	_	24 (12.9)	1
Yes	78 (38.2)	2.4 (1.5-3.7)	42 (20.6)	2.5 (1.4-4.7)	6 (2.9)	_	35 (17.2)	1.3 (0.7-2.4)
P value		.000*		.02*	.031*	_		.242
Duration of diabetes $(n = 379)$)							
<5 years	, 67 (29.9)	1	36 (16.1)	1	2 (0.9)	_	33 (14.7)	1
5-10 years	28 (28.6)	0.9 (0.5-1.5) ^g	12 (12.0)	0.7 (0.3-1.4) ⁱ	0 (0)	_	18 (18.6)	1.2 (0.6-2.3) ^k
\geq 10 years	17 (30.9)	1.0 (0.5-1.9) ^h	9 (16.4)	1.0 (0.4-2.2) ^j	3 (5.5)	_	6 (10.9)	0.7 (0.2-1.7)
P value	()	^{g1} .727 ^{h1} .885	. ()	ⁱ¹ .342 ^{j1} .958	.012*	—	- ()	^{k1} .456
FBS (n = 384)								
<125	55 (28.5)	I.	27 (14.0)	I	2(1)	I.	31 (16.1)	I.
≥126	59 (30.9)	1.1 (0.7-1.7)	31 (16.2)	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	4 (2.1)	2.0 (.3-11.2)	27 (14.1)	0.8 (0.4-1.5)
P value	. ,	.608	. ,	.540	. ,	.403	. ,	.670
PPBS (n = 384)								
<200	42 (27.8)	I	21 (13.9)	I	2 (1.3)	I	22 (14.6)	I
≥200	73 (31.3)	1.1 (0.7-1.8)	37 (15.9)	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	4 (1.7)	1.3 (0.2-7.1)	37 (15.9)	1.1 (0.6-1.9)
P value	(<i>'</i>	.462	()	.663		.762	()	.728
HbAIc (n=390)								
≤7	67 (31.0)	I	33 (15.3)	I	5 (2.3)	_	33 (15.3)	I
7.1-9.5	39 (29.8)	0.9 (0.5-1.5) ^m	21 (16.0)	1.1 (0.5-1.9)°	0 (0)	_	21 (16.0)	1.1 (0.5-1.9) ^q
≥9.6	10 (23.3)	0.6 (0.3-1.4) ⁿ	5 (11.6)	0.7 (0.2-1.9) ^p	1 (2.3)	_	5 (11.6)	0.7 (0.2-1.9) ^r
P value	· · /	^{m1} .807 ⁿ¹ .311	~ /	° ¹ .851 ^{P1} .538	.214	_	× /	^{۹۱} .851 ^{۲۱} .538

 Table 2.
 Prevalence and Association of Various Background Characteristics with the Macrovascular Complications Among Study

 Subjects.
 Subjects.

Abbreviations: FBS, fasting blood sugar; HbAIc, glycated hemoglobin; PPBS, post prandial blood sugar.

accelendicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of age 50-70 years versus <50 years for macrovascular complications, CAD, PVD respectively and ^{al,cl,el}indicates the corresponding P values.

bdf Indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of age >70 years versus <50 years for macrovascular complications, CAD, PVD respectively and b1,d1,f1 indicates the corresponding P values.

gikIndicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of duration of diabetes 5-10 years versus <5 years for macrovascular complications, CAD, PVD respectively and glilklindicates the corresponding *P* values.

hillIndicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of duration of diabetes >10 years versus <5 years for macrovascular complications, CAD, PVD respectively and hill.

 m,oq Indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of HbA1c (7.1%-9.5%) versus HbA1c (<7.1%) for macrovascular complications, CAD, PVD respectively and m,o1,q1 indicates the corresponding P values.

n.p.r.Indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of HbAIc (>9.6) versus HbAIc (<7.1%) for macrovascular complications, CAD, PVD respectively and nl.pl.rlindicates the corresponding *P* values.

*P<.05.

#Unadjusted odds ratio.

	Micro vascular complication N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% CI)	Neuropathy N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% CI)	Nephropathy N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% CI)	Diabetic foot N (%)	Odds ratio# (95% CI)
Prevalence [95% CI]	203 (52.1) [47.0-57.10]		175 (44.9) [39.96-49.84]		47 (12.1) [9.0-15.70]		28 (7.2) [4.63-9.77]	
Age (n = 390)								
<50	49 (41.2)	I.	41 (34.5)	I.	9 (7.6)	L	10 (8.4)	1 I
50-70	138 (55.9)	1.8 (1.1-2.8) ^a	119 (48.2)	1.7 (1.1-2.7) ^c	34 (13.8)	1.9 (0.9-4.2) ^e	18 (7.3)	0.8 (0.3-1.9)
>70	16 (66.7)	2.8 (1.1-7.1) ^b	15 (62.5)	3.1 (1.2-7.8) ^d	4 (16.7)	2.4 (0.6-8.7) ^f	0 (0)	
P value		^{al} .009* ^{bl} .026*		^{c1} .014* ^{d1} .013*		el.089 ^{fl} .168		.707
Gender (n = 390)								
Male	56 (53.8)	L	48 (46.2)	I	14 (13.5)	I	11 (10.6)	I
Female	147 (51.4)	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	127 (44.4)	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	33 (11.5)	0.8 (0.5-1.6)	17 (5.9)	0.5 (0.2-1.1)
P value	× ,	.669		.759	()	.606	· · · ·	.117
Education (n = 389)								
Middle school and above	54 (39.4)	I	42 (30.7)	I	13 (9.5)	I	11 (8.0)	I
Less than primary	149 (59.1)	2.2 (1.4-3.4)	133 (52.8)	2.5 (1.6-3.9)	34 (13.5)	1.4 (0.7-2.9)	17 (6.7)	0.8 (0.3-1.8)
P value	× ,	.000*		.000*	()	.247	· · · ·	.640
Smoking (n=390)								
No	178 (51.1)	I	154 (44.3)	I	40 (11.5)	I	25 (7.2)	I
Yes	25 (59.5)	1.4 (0.7-2.6)	21 (50.0)	1.2 (0.6-2.3)	7 (16.7)	1.5 (0.6-3.6)	3 (7.1)	0.9 (0.2-3.5)
P value	(),	.305	()	.479	()	.331	()	.992
Waist circumference $(n = 376)$								
Normal	67 (54.0)	I	60 (48.4)	I	14 (11.3)	I	8 (6.5)	I
High	125 (49.6)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	107 (42.5)	0.7 (0.5-1.2)	29 (11.5)	1.0 (0.5-2.0)	17 (6.7)	1.0 (0.4-2.5)
P value	× ,	.419		.277		.950		.914
Hypertension $(n = 390)$								
No	87 (46.8)	I.	75 (40.3)	1	16 (8.6)	I.	12 (6.5)	1 I
Yes	116 (56.9)	1.5 (1.1-2.2)	100 (49.0)	1.4 (0.9-2.1)	31 (15.2)	1.9 (1.1-3.6)	16 (7.8)	1.1 (0.5-2.6)
P value		.04*		.08		.04*		.595
Duration of diabetes (n=379)								
<5 years	107 (47.8)	I	88 (39.3)	I	22 (9.8)	I	20 (8.9)	I
5-10 years	62 (62.0)	1.7 (1.1-2.8) ^g	55 (55.0)	1.8 (1.1-3.0) ⁱ	17 (17.0)	1.8 (0.9-3.7) ^k	4 (4)	0.4 (0.1-1.2) ^m
>10 years	29 (52.7)	1.2 (0.6-2.2) ^h	27 (49.1)	1.4 (0.8-2.6) ^j	8 (14.5)	1.5 (0.6-3.7) ¹	4 (7.3)	0.8 (0.2-2.4) ⁿ
P value		^{g1} .018* ^{h1} .510		ⁱⁱ .009* ^{ji} .187		^{k1} .070 ¹¹ .314		^{m1} .128 ⁿ¹ .695
FBS (n = 384)								
<125	86 (44.6)	I	72 (37.3)	I	21 (10.9)	I	9 (4.7)	1 I
≥126	114 (59.7)	1.8 (1.2-2.7)	101 (52.9)	1.8 (1.2-2.8)	24 (12.6)	1.1 (0.6-2.1)	19 (9.9)	2.2 (1.1-5.1)
P value		.003*		.002*		.608		.04*
PPBS (n = 384)								
<200	61 (40.4)	I	53 (34.6)	I	15 (9.9)	I	6 (4)	1 I
≥200	139 (59.7)	2.1 (1.4-3.3)	120 (51.2)	2.0 (1.3-3.1)	30 (12.9)	1.3 (0.6-2.5)	22 (9.4)	2.5 (0.9-6.3)
P value		.000*		.001*		.381		.04*
HbAIc(n=390)								
≤7	109 (50.5)	I	93 (43.1)	I	22 (10.2)	I	8 (3.7)	1
7.1-9.5	67 (51.1)	1.0 (0.6-1.5)°	55 (42.0)	0.9 (0.6-1.4) ^q	18 (13.7)	1.4 (0.7-2.7) ^s	15 (11.5)	3.3 (1.3-8.1) ^u
≥9.6	27 (62.8)	1.6 (0.8-3.2) ^p	27 (62.8)	2.2 (1.1-4.3) ^r	7 (16.3)	1.7 (0.6-4.3) ^t	5 (11.6)	3.4 (1.1-11.0) ^v
P value		° ¹ .902 ^{P1} .142		^{۹۱} .845 ^۱ .020*		^{s1} .316 ^{t1} .252		^{ul} .007* ^{vl} .039*

Table 3.	Prevalence and	Association of	Various	Background	Characteristics	With the	Microvascular	Complications <i>i</i>	Among St	udy
Subjects.										

Abbreviations: FBS, fasting blood sugar; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; PPBS, post prandial blood sugar.

accelndicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of age 50 to 70 years versus <50 years for microvascular complications, neuropathy, nephropathy respectively and ^{al,cl,el}indicates the corresponding *P* values.

 $h^{b,d}$ indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of age >70 years versus <50 years for microvascular complications, neuropathy, nephropathy respectively and $h^{b,d,f,f}$ indicates the corresponding *P* values.

g^{i,k,m}Indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of duration of diabetes 5 to 10 years versus <5 years for microvascular complications, neuropathy, nephropathy, diabetic foot respectively and g^{i,i,i,k,l,m}Indicates the corresponding *P* values.

h,jl,nIndicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of duration of diabetes >10 years versus <5 years for microvascular complications, neuropathy, nephropathy, diabetic foot respectively and h1,j1,II,n1 indicates the corresponding P values.

^{o.q.s.u}Indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of HbA1c (7.1%-9.5%) versus HbA1c (<7.1%) for microvascular complications, neuropathy, nephropathy, diabetic foot respectively and ^{o.q.s.u}Indicates the corresponding *P* values.

 $P^{p,r,t,v}$ Indicates unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of HbAIc (>9.6) versus HbAIc (<7.1%) for microvascular complications, neuropathy, nephropathy, diabetic foot respectively and $P^{1,r,1,t,v}$ Indicates the corresponding *P* values.

*P<.05.

#Unadjusted odds ratio.

Variables	Macro vascular complication	P value	CAD	P value	PVD	P value
Age						
<50	I		I		I	
50-70	1.4 (0.8-2.5)	.193	1.3 (0.6-2.7)	.477	1.5 (0.7-3.0)	.246
>70	2.8 (0.9-8.2)	.059	3.2 (0.9-10.8)	.057	1.5 (0.3-6.5)	.562
Gender			× ,		× ,	
Male	I		I		I	
Female	1.7 (0.9-3.2)	.088	1.2 (0.5-2.7)	.626	1.8 (0.8-4.2)	.143
Education					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Middle school and above	I		I		I	
Less than primary	1.1 (0.6-1.9)	.635	2.1 (1.1-4.5)	.04*	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	.176
Smoking					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
No	I		I		I	
Yes	1.4 (0.6-3.3)	.369	1.6 (0.6-4.4)	.286	0.9 (0.3-3.0)	.949
Waist circumference	· · · · ·				× ,	
Normal	I		I		I	
High	1.4 (0.8-2.3)	.197	0.8 (0.4-1.6)	.655	2.2 (1.1-4.5)	.031*
Hypertension	· · · · ·				× ,	
No	I		I		I	
Yes	1.9 (1.2-3.1)	.009*	2.0 (1.1-3.8)	.032*	1.2 (0.6-2.3)	.434
Duration of diabetes	· · · · ·				× ,	
<5 years	I		I		I	
5-10 years	0.8 (0.4-1.5)	.615	0.7 (0.3-1.5)	.383	1.1 (0.5-2.2)	.667
>10 years	0.8 (0.4-1.7)	.691	0.7 (0.2-1.7)	.446	0.7 (0.2-1.1)	.501
FBS	· · · · ·				× ,	
<125	I		I		I	
≥126	1.1 (0.6-1.9)	.694	1.1 (0.5-2.2)	.854	0.8 (0.4-1.7)	.686
PPBS	· · · · ·					
<200	I		I		I	
≥200	1.1 (0.6-2.1)	.551	1.1 (0.5-2.5)	.647	1.2 (0.6-2.4)	.569
HbAlc					× /	
≤7	I		I		I	
7.1-9.5	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	.826	1.2 (0.6-2.4)	.505	1.0 (0.5-1.9)	.955
≥9.6	0.8 (0.3-2.0)	.736	1.1 (0.3-3.3)	.848	0.8 (0.2-2.4)	.721

Table 4. Logistic Regression Analysis of Various Background Characteristics With the Macrovascular Complications Among Study Subjects (n = 376).

Abbreviations: FBS, fasting blood sugar; PPBS, post prandial blood sugar.

Adjusted for age, gender, education, smoking, waist circumference, hypertension, duration of diabetes, FBS, PPBS, HbA1c. *P < .05.

individual. Studies have observed that lower the education higher is the risk of CAD.^{37,38} The risk of PVD in diabetic patients increased 2.2 times in those with high waist circumference which is a measure of abdominal obesity. Study done by Rao et al observed that abdominal obesity measured by waist-hip ratio and waist circumference were independent and significant risk factor of PVD similar to our study findings.³⁹

Lower education, increased duration of diabetes, high postprandial blood sugar and high HbA1c values were significantly associated with peripheral neuropathy (P < .05). A meta-analysis on diabetic neuropathy observed that duration of diabetes, HbA1c are significantly associated with

increased risks of DPN among diabetic patients.⁴⁰ Studies done in India observed that poor glycemic control and increased duration of diabetes were significantly associated with diabetic neuropathy which was similar to our study findings.^{41,42} Males have higher risk of developing diabetic foot than females. Agriculture is the major occupation in rural India and men are more prone to foot injuries during work than women and this could be reason for this difference. Study done by Dinh et al also observed similar results.⁴³ Poor glycemic control was also associated with occurrence of diabetic foot. Study done by Arambewela et al observed that risk of diabetic foot increased with increase in HbA1c value similar to our study results.⁴⁴ Good

Variables	Micro vascular complication	P value	Neuropathy	P value	Nephropathy	P value	Diabetic foot	P value
Age								
<50	I		I		I		I	
50-70	1.6 (0.9-2.7)	.064	1.3 (0.8-2.3)	.239	2.4 (0.9-6.2)	.067	0.7 (0.3-2.0)	.638
>70	2.1 (0.7-6.3)	.151	2.1 (0.7-6.1)	.169	3.1 (0.7-13.9)	.134		
Gender	()		(· · · · ·			
Male	I		I		I		I	
Female	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	.735	0.8 (0.4-1.5)	.609	0.8 (0.3-1.9)	.696	0.3 (0.1-0.9)	.041*
Education	()		(· · · · ·		(
Middle school and above	I		I		I		I	
Less than primary	2.3 (1.4-3.8)	.001*	2.8 (1.7-4.8)	.000*	1.3 (0.6-2.8)	.453	1.1 (0.4-3.1)	.713
Smoking	× ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		× ,		× ,	
No	I		I		I		I	
Yes	1.3 (0.5-2.8)	.504	1.3 (0.6-2.9)	.478	1.3 (0.4-4.1)	.574	0.6 (0.1-3.1)	.570
Waist circumference	× ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		× ,		× ,	
Normal	I		I		I		I	
High	0.8 (0.5-1.4)	.564	0.8 (0.5-1.3)	.430	1.0 (0.5-2.1)	.900	0.9 (0.3-2.4)	.914
Hypertension	× ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		× ,	
No	I		I		I		I	
Yes	1.3 (0.8-2.1)	.183	1.2 (0.7-1.9)	.392	1.9 (0.9-4.0)	.074	1.8 (0.7-4.3)	.190
Duration of diabetes								
<5 years	I		I		I		I	
5-10 years	1.5 (0.9-2.6)	.090	1.8 (1.1-3.2)	.018*	1.4 (0.6-3.1)	.369	0.3 (0.1-1.2)	.078
>10 years	0.7 (0.3-1.5)	.498	1.0 (0.5-2.0)	.941	1.0 (0.4-2.7)	.920	0.4 (0.1-1.7)	.240
FBS								
<125	I		I		I		I	
≥126	1.2 (0.7-2.0)	.426	1.3 (0.7-2.2)	.318	0.7 (0.3-1.5)	.406	1.5 (0.5-4.5)	.377
PPBS								
<200	I		I		I		I	
≥200	2.0 (1.2-3.4)	.008*	1.7 (1.1-2.9)	.040*	I.6 (0.6-3.7)	.263	2.1 (0.6-6.9)	.201
HbAIc								
≤7	I		I		I		I	
7.1-9.5	1.0 (0.6-1.7)	.912	0.8 (0.4-1.3)	.490	1.8 (0.8-4.0)	.102	4.1 (1.4-11.3)	.006*
≥9.6	2.0 (0.9-4.4)	.079	2.6 (1.2-5.9)	.015*	2.4 (0.8-7.1)	.112	3.1 (0.7-12.5)	.101

Table 5. Logistic Regression Analysis of Various Background Characteristics with the Microvascular Complications among Study Subjects (n = 376).

Abbreviations: FBS, fasting blood sugar; PPBS, post prandial blood sugar.

Adjusted for age, gender, education, smoking, waist circumference, hypertension, duration of diabetes, FBS, PPBS, HbA1c. *P < .05.

glycemic control and proper self-care of foot has proven to dramatically decrease the prevalence of diabetic foot.⁴⁵

Limitations of Study

Few limitations of the study were because of cross sectional nature of the study, temporality of association between factors studied and risk of complications among diabetes patients could not be established. As the study was conducted in a rural health center, dilation and examination of eyes for diabetic retinopathy was not feasible and lipid profile was not taken due to lack of facilities. Majority of our study population were women as it was center based study done during daytime.

Strength of Study

Simple, easily available tools for assessment of diabetes complications were used in this study making it highly relevant for resource constrained settings in both urban and rural settings in our country.

Conclusion

High prevalence of vascular complications was observed in this study from rural center. Simple, effective, and easily available tools may suffice to screen for the complications facilitating early diagnosis and referral. Factors such as education, hypertension, HbA1c, and postprandial blood sugar were strongly associated with increase in vascular complications of diabetes.

Authors' Note

Place where research was conducted: Rural Health and Training Centre, Vayalanallur, Department of Community Medicine, Sri Ramachandra Medical College and Research Institute, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research

Author Contributions

AGV: concepts; design; definition of intellectual content; literature search; data acquisition; data analysis; statistical analysis; manuscript preparation; manuscript editing; manuscript review; guarantor. AJ: definition of intellectual content; literature search; data acquisition; data analysis; manuscript preparation; manuscript editing; manuscript review; guarantor. SV: definition of intellectual content; literature search; data acquisition; data analysis; manuscript preparation; manuscript editing; manuscript review; guarantor. VS: concepts; design; definition of intellectual content; literature search; data acquisition; data analysis; statistical analysis; manuscript preparation; manuscript editing; manuscript review; guarantor. ARM: data acquisition; manuscript editing; guarantor. VD: concepts; design; data acquisition; guarantor. GT: data analysis; statistical analysis; guarantor. SM: concepts; design; definition of intellectual content; manuscript preparation; manuscript editing; manuscript review; guarantor. The manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors, the requirements for authorship have been met and each author believes that the manuscript represents honest work.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Akila Govindarajan Venguidesvarane D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4387-8564

Samya Varadarajan D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6396-5593 Vanishree Shriraam D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3538-7797

References

- Deepa M, Bhansali A, Anjana RM, et al. Knowledge and awareness of diabetes in urban and rural India: the Indian Council of Medical Research India Diabetes Study (Phase I): Indian Council of Medical Research India Diabetes. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;18(3):379-385.
- Nanditha A, Snehalatha C, Satheesh K, et al. Secular TRends in DiabEtes in India (STRiDE–I): change in prevalence in 10 years among urban and rural populations in Tamil Nadu. *Diabetes Care Mar.* 2019;42:476-485.

- Little M, Humphries S, Patel K, Dewey C. Decoding the type 2 diabetes epidemic in rural India. *Med Anthropol.* 2017;36:96-110.
- Kumar HK, Kota S, Basile A, Modi K. Profile of microvascular disease in type 2 diabetes in a tertiary health care hospital in India. *Ann Med Health Sci Res.* 2012;2:103-108.
- Einarson TR, Acs A, Ludwig C, Panton UH. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes: a systematic literature review of scientific evidence from across the world in 2007-2017. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2018;17:83.
- Chawla A, Chawla R, Jaggi S. Microvascular and macrovascular complications in diabetes mellitus: distinct or continuum? *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;20:546-551.
- Kaveeshwar SA, Cornwall J. The current state of diabetes mellitus in India. *Australas Med J.* 2014;7:45-48.
- Agrawal RP, Ola V, Bishnoi P, Gothwal S, Sirohi P, Agrawal R. Prevalence of micro and macrovascular complications and their risk factors in type-2 diabetes mellitus. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2014;62:504-508.
- Raman R, Gupta A, Krishna S, Kulothungan V, Sharma T. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic microvascular complications in newly diagnosed type II diabetes mellitus. Sankara Nethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Study (SN-DREAMS, report 27). J Diabetes Complicat. 2012;26:123-128.
- Pradeepa R, Anjana RM, Unnikrishnan R, Ganesan A, Mohan V, Rema M. Risk factors for microvascular complications of diabetes among South Indian subjects with type 2 diabetes-the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES) Eye Study- 5. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2010;12:755-761.
- Joshi SR. Diabetes care in India. Ann Glob Health. 2015;81:830-838.
- Vaz NC, Ferreira A, Kulkarni M, Vaz FS, Pinto N. Prevalence of diabetic complications in rural goa, India. *Indian J Community Med.* 2011;36:283-286.
- Maniarasu K, Muthunarayanan L. Prevalence of certain chronic complications of diabetes among type 2 diabetic patients in rural population of Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu- a cross sectional study. *Int J Med Public Health*. 2017;7:41-46.
- Kumar M, Rawat R, Verma VK, Zafar KS, Kumar G. Chronic complications in newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in rural area of western Uttar Pradesh, India. *Int J Res Med Sci.* 2016;4:2292-2296.
- Casadei K, Kiel J. Anthropometric measurement. https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537315/. Published January 28, 2020. Accessed February 19, 2020.
- Pratyush DD, Tiwari S, Singh S, Singh SK. Waist circumference cutoff and its importance for diagnosis of metabolic syndrome in Asian Indians: a preliminary study. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;16:112-115.
- Crim MT, Yoon SS, Ortiz E, et al. National surveillance definitions for hypertension prevalence and control among adults. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2012;5:343-351.
- Babaliche P, Nadpara RA, Maldar A. Association between estimated glomerular filtration rate and microvascular complications in type II diabetes mellitus patients: a 1-year crosssectional study. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2019;111:83-87.

- Goyal A, Kahlon P, Jain D, et al. Trend in prevalence of coronary artery disease and risk factors over two decades in rural Punjab. *Heart Asia*. 2017;9:e010938.
- Truelsen T, Begg S, Mathers C. The global burden of cerebrovascular disease website. https://www.who.int/healthinfo/statistics/bod_cerebrovasculardiseasestroke.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2019.
- Shukla V, Fatima J, Ali M, Garg A. A study of prevalence of peripheral arterial disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in a teaching hospital. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2018;66:57-60.
- 22. Tesfaye S, Boulton AJ, Dyck PJ, et al. Diabetic neuropathies: update on definitions, diagnostic criteria, estimation of severity, and treatments. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:2285-2293.
- Anupama YJ, Uma G. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease among adults in a rural community in South India: results from the kidney disease screening (KIDS) project. *Indian J Nephrol.* 2014;24:214-221.
- 24. Mishra SC, Chhatbar KC, Kashikar A, Mehndiratta A. Diabetic foot. *BMJ*. 2017;359:j5064.
- Mohan V, Venkatraman JV, Pradeepa R. Epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes: the Indian scenario. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2010;4:158-170.
- Agarwal PR, Ranka M, Beniwal R. Prevalence of micro and macro vascular complications in type 2 diabetes and their risk factors. *Int J Diab Dev Countries*. 2004;24:11-16.
- Khan NA, Rahim SA, Anand SS, Simel DL, Panju A. Does the clinical examination predict lower extremity peripheral arterial disease? *JAMA*. 2006;295:536-546.
- Pradeepa R, Rema M, Vignesh J, Deepa M, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic neuropathy in an urban south Indian population: the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-55). *Diabet Med.* 2008;25:407-412.
- D'Souza M, Kulkarni V, Bhaskaran U, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy and its determinants among patients attending a tertiary health care centre in Mangalore, India. *J Public Health Res.* 2015;4:450.
- Rani PK, Raman R, Rachapalli SR, Pal SS, Kulothungan V, Sharma T. Prevalence and risk factors for severity of diabetic neuropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Med Sci.* 2010;64:51-57.
- Begum S, Venkatesan M, Ganapathy K. Foot care practices, its barriers and risk for peripheral neuropathy among diabetic patients attending medical college in rural Puducherry. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2019;6:203-207.
- Darivemula S, Nagoor K, Patan SK, Reddy NB, Deepthi CS, Chittooru CS. Prevalence and its associated determinants of Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (DPN) in individuals having type-2 diabetes mellitus in Rural South India. *Indian J Community Med.* 2019;44:88-91.

- Gill HK, Yadav SB, Ramesh V, Bhatia E. A prospective study of prevalence and association of peripheral neuropathy in Indian patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Postgrad Med*. 2014;60:270-275.
- Dixit S, Kumar S. Awareness of diabetic foot complications in type 2 diabetes population in Rural India: are we doing enough? *J Diabetes Metab.* 2014;5:363.
- Alicic RZ, Rooney MT, Tuttle KR. Diabetic kidney disease: challenges, progress, and possibilities. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2017;12:2032-2045.
- Jindal RM, Salifu MO, Patel TG, Misra R. Prevalence of diabetic nephropathy in an underserved rural community. *Indian J Nephrol.* 2012;22:484-485.
- Dégano IR, Marrugat J, Grau M, et al. The association between education and cardiovascular disease incidence is mediated by hypertension, diabetes, and body mass index. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:12370.
- Gupta R, Deedwania PC, Sharma K, et al. Association of educational, occupational and socioeconomic status with cardiovascular risk factors in Asian Indians: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e44098.
- Rao SM, Narendar V. Prevalence of asymptomatic peripheral vascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes by color Doppler study. *Int J Adv Med.* 2016;3:471-474.
- Liu X, Xu Y, An M, Zeng Q. The risk factors for diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0212574.
- Bansal D, Gudala K, Muthyala H, Esam HP, Nayakallu R, Bhansali A. Prevalence and risk factors of development of peripheral diabetic neuropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus in a tertiary care setting. *J Diabetes Investig*. 2014; 5:714-721.
- 42. Vibha SP, Kulkarni MM, Kirthinath Ballala AB, Kamath A, Maiya GA. Community based study to assess the prevalence of diabetic foot syndrome and associated risk factors among people with diabetes mellitus. *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2018;18:43.
- 43. Dinh T, Veves A. The influence of gender as a risk factor in diabetic foot ulceration. *Wounds*. 2008;20:127-131.
- 44. Arambewela MH, Somasundaram NP, Jayasekara HBPR, et al. Prevalence of chronic complications, their risk factors, and the cardiovascular risk factors among patients with type 2 diabetes attending the diabetic clinic at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Sri Lanka. J Diabetes Res. 2018;2018:4504287.
- 45. Mariam TG, Alemayehu A, Tesfaye E, et al. Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer and associated factors among adult diabetic patients who attend the diabetic follow-up clinic at the university of Gondar referral hospital, North West Ethiopia, 2016: institutional-based cross-sectional study . *J Diabetes Res.* 2017;2017:2879249.