# **Concise Communication**



# Air exchanges, climate change, and severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): Results from a survey of the Society of Healthcare Epidemiology of America Research Network (SRN)

Jason P. Burnham MD, MSCI<sup>1</sup> <sup>(i)</sup>, Fred Betz PhD<sup>2</sup>, Roger Lautz PE<sup>2</sup>, Ehsan Mousavi PhD<sup>3</sup> <sup>(i)</sup>, Richard A. Martinello MD<sup>4,5</sup> <sup>(i)</sup>, Forbes McGain PhD, FANZCA, FCICM<sup>6,7,8</sup> <sup>(i)</sup> and Jodi D. Sherman MD<sup>9,10</sup> <sup>(i)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri, United States, <sup>2</sup>Affiliated Engineers, Building Performance Practice/Intelligent Buildings Practice, Madison, Wisconsin, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Construction Science and Management, College of Architecture, Arts, and Humanities, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, United States, <sup>4</sup>Departments of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States, <sup>5</sup>Division of Quality and Safety, Yale New Haven Health, New Haven, Connecticut, United States, <sup>6</sup>Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Western Health, Melbourne, Australia, <sup>7</sup>Department of Critical Care, University of Melbourne, New Haven, Victoria, Australia, <sup>8</sup>School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, <sup>9</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States and <sup>10</sup>Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

# Abstract

In this cross-sectional survey, we assessed knowledge, attitudes and behaviors regarding operating room air-change rates, climate change, and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic implications. Climate change and healthcare pollution were considered problematic. Respondents checked air exchange rates for COVID-19 and  $\sim$ 25% increased them. Respondents had difficulty completing questions concerning hospital heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems.

Keywords air exchanges; climate change; COVID-19; HVAC; operating rooms

(Received 8 October 2021; accepted 17 December 2021)

Climate change is the greatest public health threat of the 21st century.<sup>1</sup> Healthcare is a major polluting industry, responsible for 8.5% of US greenhouse gas emissions.<sup>2</sup> Mitigating healthcare pollution is integral to first doing no harm. Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) accounts for 70%–97% of operating room (OR) energy usage.<sup>3,4</sup> Healthcare HVAC systems could be safely manipulated to reduce energy use and environmental impact.<sup>5</sup>

The American Society for Health Care Engineering (ASHE) hospital HVAC recommendations for ORs (unchanged during our survey) include (1) minimum efficiency reporting value (MERV) filter ratings of  $\geq$ 14, (2) positive pressure ORs, and (3) minimum of 20 air changes per hour (4 outdoor air changes per hour minimum).<sup>6</sup> In a 2019 review of ASHE HVAC recommendations, outdoor air changes per hour and minimum total OR air changes per hour were rated as needing 'further investigation' due to little supporting clinical evidence, and other hospital area parameters had little or poor-quality evidence.<sup>7</sup> Current standards are based on staff comfort, odor control, fire prevention, and

Author for correspondence: Jason P. Burnham, Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, 4523 Clayton Avenue, Campus Box 8051, St Louis, MO 63110. E-mail address: burnham@wustl.edu

Cite this article: Burnham JP, Betz F, Lautz R, Mousavi E, Martinello RA, McGain F, and Sherman JD. (2022). Air exchanges, climate change, and severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): Results from a survey of the Society of Healthcare Epidemiology of America Research Network (SRN). *Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology*, https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2021.256

infection prevention; however, little evidence correlates air-change rates and outcomes including surgical site infections (SSIs).<sup>7</sup>

We surveyed current OR air-change rate practices, including any set-back transitions (ie, decreasing air changes during off hours), as well as attitudes about climate change, and OR change rates in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.


#### Methods

The Washington University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board approved the survey, and it was pilot tested with 5 experts. The 36-item anonymous survey was distributed to the American Hospital Association (AHA) by nondedicated e-mail and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America Research Network (SRN) by dedicated e-mail from October 2020 to February 2021. Within the SRN, 67 US institutions (1 recipient per hospital) received 4 notifications. Data were collected using Qualtrics software (Seattle, WA). Descriptive statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27 software (IBM, Armonk, NY).

#### Results

The AHA survey recipients had response rates <1% and were excluded from analysis. Of 67 SRN participants, 30 (45%) opened the survey. Of these 30 participants, 10 (15%) completed the survey, and 20 (30%) partially completed it (Fig. 1).

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



**Fig. 1.** Flow diagram of participants' survey completion.

Among respondents, 7 (70%) were male, 8 (80%) were white, 7 (70%) were aged >50 years, 8 (80%) were hospital epidemiologists, and 2 (20%) were infection preventionists. Six respondents were from academic hospitals, 3 were from a community-based hospital, and 1 was from a Veterans Affairs hospital. The hospitals of 80% of respondents had >250 beds.

# Climate

Overall, 10 (100%) respondents agreed or strongly agreed that "pollution caused by the healthcare industry is important to minimize" and "I am concerned about climate change" (Table 1). Regarding the statement "There is no limit to the amount of resources we should use to prevent even one patient from developing a hospital-acquired infection," 1 (10%) agreed or strongly agreed, 3 (30%) were neutral, and 6 (60%) disagreed or strongly disagreed.

#### Air change rates

Of 16 respondents, 14 (88%) did not decrease OR air-exchange rates during off hours before the pandemic. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, among these 14 respondents, 86% checked air exchanges more frequently than annually.

The percentages of air change from outdoor air varied. Overall, 1 respondent reported 100% external (outside) air changes (the most energy-intensive strategy); 2 respondents reported 35%–99% external air changes; and 3 respondents reporting each of the following: <20% external air, 20%–24% external air, and 25%–29% external air. Of 10 respondents, 9 (90%) used HEPA final filters in some ORs, and final filters were changed at least every 2 years in the institutions of all respondents (100%). In response to COVID-19, 6 (60%) of 10 did not increase MERV filter rating because it was not desired, 1 (10%) did not because filters were unavailable, 2 (20%) did not because it exceeded HVAC design, and 1 (10%) increased MERV filter rating (this respondent had <100% OR HEPA filter use at baseline). Regarding opinions on national standards for OR air change rates, 6 (60%) of 10 felt that they should remain unchanged, 2 (20%) had no opinion or did not know, and 2 (20%) thought that they should increase. None felt that rates should decrease. This question did not specify whether respondents should include consideration of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

#### COVID-19

Among 14 respondents, 11 (79%) reviewed code-required airchange rates because of COVID-19; 8 (73%) made no changes, 18% increased air-change rates, and 9% decreased air-change rates. Among these 14 respondents, 10 did not increase the fraction of outside air supplied to ORs. Of these 10, 2 (20%) were retrofitted OR HVACs to accommodate COVID-19 patients (ie, turned ORs from positive to negative pressure). Table 1 lists further details.

# Discussion

Despite little evidence to support their use from an infection control perspective,<sup>7</sup> US healthcare institutions use high energy-intensive air-change rates, even exceeding recommended minimums. Reductions in air changes in acute care has the potential for large energy, financial, and carbon savings.<sup>5,8</sup> Our survey's respondents indicated that climate change, pollution, and the healthcare industry's impact thereon were considered important. Despite absence of evidence of benefit, the extent to which HVAC energy resources should be used to prevent infections varied. For example, ~90% of respondents did not engage in set-back practices in ORs during off hours.

Approximately 80% of respondents reported that they checked OR air-change rates and filters because of COVID-19, some altered air changes or other presumptive infection prevention measures. There was little agreement about whether or how air-change rate standards should be changed. Such uncertainty perhaps resulted from the paucity of evidence for relationships between air-change rates and infections,<sup>7</sup> guideline unfamiliarity, or both.

# Table 1. Statement and Responses Regarding Climate Change, Energy, and Infections

≤12		17.00				
	13-16	17–20		>20		
air exchanges per hour	air exchanges per hour	air exchanges per hour		air exchanges per hour		
5.8	23.4	32.2		37.5		
Do you decrease the a	air exchange rates in your opera	ting rooms during of	f hours (eg, nights/	weekends)? (N = 16), no. (%)		
Yes			No			
2 (12.5)			14 (87.5)			
What is the air exchar	nge rate decreased to during off	hours (air exchanges	s/hour)? (N = 2)			
13–16 air exchanges p	er hour		>2	20 air exchanges per hour		
1				1		
What percentage of o	perating rooms have their air ex	change rate decrease	ed during off hours	? (N = 2), mean %		
Decreased to ≤12 air exchanges per hour	Decreased to 13–16 air exchanges per hour	Decreased to 17–20 air exchanges per hour	Decreased to >20 air exchanges per hour	No change in air exchanges per hour		
0	15	0	0	85		
Prior to COVID-19, how rooms? (N = 14), no. (	w often were air exchanges chec (%)	ked to confirm they	are occurring at th	e desired number of exchange	es per hour in the operatin	
0–3 mo	3–6 mo	6–12 mo	1-2 y	>2 y	Never	
5 (36)	2 (14)	5 (36)	2 (14)	0	0	
Did your facility review	w operating room code-required	air change rates as	part of your COVID	-19 response? (N = 14), no. (%	b)	
/es			No			
11 (79)			3 (21)			
	o the previous question (ie, oper air-change rates? (N = 11), no. (		ge rates were revie	wed because of COVID-19), as	a result of the review, what	
Increased	No change			Decreased		
2 (10)	8 (73)			1 (9)		
2 (18)						
2 (18) For a typical operatin; return)? (N = 12), no.	g room at your institution, what	percentage of your	operating room air	exchanges are from outdoor a	air (rather than from interr	
For a typical operating	g room at your institution, what	percentage of your	operating room air 30%-35%	exchanges are from outdoor a 35%-99%	air (rather than from interr 100%	
For a typical operating eturn)? (N = 12), no. <20%	g room at your institution, what (%)				·	
or a typical operating eturn)? (N = 12), no. (20% (25)	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24%	25%-29% 3 (25)	30%-35% 0	35%-99% 2 (17)	100%	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increa	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25)	25%-29% 3 (25)	30%-35% 0	35%-99% 2 (17)	100%	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25)	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25)	25%-29% 3 (25)	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI	35%-99% 2 (17)	100%	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increa- Yes 4 (29)	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the	25%-29% 3 (25)	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71)	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%)	100% 1 (8)	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increa Yes 4 (29) If you answered yes to fraction changed? (N	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%)	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was th	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increa Yes 4 (29) If you answered yes to fraction changed? (N = Updated to current code	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was th	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increa Yes 4 (29) If you answered yes to fraction changed? (N = Updated to current code minimums	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%–24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur otentially reduce the risk of COV	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was th	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increation Yes 4 (29) If you answered yes to fraction changed? (N = Updated to current code minimums What percentage of your set of the set of	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort 1	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur otentially reduce the risk of COV	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was the VID-19 cross contamination V) rating? (N = 10), mean %	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increa Yes 4 (29) If you answered yes to fraction changed? (N = Updated to current code minimums	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort 1 pur operating rooms use HVAC fin	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100 mal filters with the fol	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera % outside air to po lowing Minimum Ef	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur ptentially reduce the risk of COV	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was the VID-19 cross contamination V) rating? (N = 10), mean %	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no.    <20%	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort 1 bur operating rooms use HVAC fir 13	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100 nal filters with the fol 14 0	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera % outside air to po lowing Minimum Ef 15-16 19	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur otentially reduce the risk of COV fficiency Reporting Value (MER) 17-20 (HEPA 79	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was th VID-19 cross contamination V) rating? (N = 10), mean % \ filters)	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no.    <20%	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort 1 bur operating rooms use HVAC fir 13 2	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100 nal filters with the fol 14 0	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera % outside air to po lowing Minimum Ef 15-16 19	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur otentially reduce the risk of COV fficiency Reporting Value (MER) 17-20 (HEPA 79	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was the VID-19 cross contamination V) rating? (N = 10), mean % h filters) 6)	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increation (es 4 (29) f you answered yes to fraction changed? (N = Updated to current code minimums What percentage of you <13 D	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%–24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort 1 pur operating rooms use HVAC fir 13 2 w often were operating room HV	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100 hal filters with the fol 14 0	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera % outside air to po lowing Minimum Ef 15-16 19 ged (on average with	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur otentially reduce the risk of COV fficiency Reporting Value (MER) 17-20 (HEPA 79 th typical use)? (N = 9), no. (%	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was the VID-19 cross contamination V) rating? (N = 10), mean % (N filters) 6)	
For a typical operating return)? (N = 12), no. <20% 3 (25) Did your facility increation (es 4 (29) f you answered yes to fraction changed? (N = Updated to current code minimums Nhat percentage of you <13 D Prior to COVID-19, how D-3 mo	g room at your institution, what (%) 20%-24% 3 (25) ase the fraction of outside air su o the previous question (ie, the = 1) Increased as high as the system could go while maintaining comfort 1 pur operating rooms use HVAC fir 13 2 w often were operating room HV 3-6 mo	25%-29% 3 (25) applied to operating fraction of outside ai Changed to 100 nal filters with the fol 14 0 /AC final filters chang 6-12 mo 3 (33)	30%-35% 0 rooms during COVI No 10 (71) r supplied to opera % outside air to po lowing Minimum Ef 15-16 19 ged (on average wite 1-2 y 2 (22)	35%-99% 2 (17) D-19? N = 14 (%) ating rooms was increased dur otentially reduce the risk of COV fficiency Reporting Value (MER) 17-20 (HEPA 79 th typical use)? (N = 9), no. (% >2 y 0	100% 1 (8) ring COVID-19), how was the VID-19 cross contamination V) rating? (N = 10), mean % h filters) 6)	

# Table 1. (Continued)

	,	tem to accommodat	e COVID-19 pai			
Yes			No			
2 (20)			8 (80)			
Which of the followin apply.) ( $N = 10$ ), no.	g (before COVID-19), if any, devi (%)	ces do you use in yo	ur operating ro	oom to try to prevent surgical	site infections? (Check	all that
UV light robot	Standalone HEPA filters	In duct UV or photocatalytic	Ceiling- based UV	Other (please list)	None	
5 (50)	0	2 (20)	1 (10)	0	4 (40)	
Which of the followin	g, if any, extra HVAC precaution	s did you take in you	Ir operating ro	oms during COVID-19? (N = 10	), no. (%)	
UV light robot	Standalone HEPA filters	In duct UV or photocatalytic	Ceiling- based UV	Anteroom retrofit and/or negative pressure peration room	Other (please list)	None
3 (30)	1 (10)	1 (10)	0	4 (40)	1 (10) – "1 negative pressure room)	3 (30)
n your opinion, in ge your choice. (N = 10)	eneral, national standards for air , no. (%)	exchange rates in o	perating rooms	s should Please use fre	ee text to state why yo	ou made
ncrease	Decrease	Stay the same		I don't know	N	
2 (20)	0	6 (60)		2 (20)		
Does your hospital ha	ave an environmental sustainabi	lity or green initiativ	e/committee/o	fficer? (N = 10), no. (%)		
Yes	No	I don't know				
5 (50)	5 (50)			0		
				6) Strongly Disagree		
	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strong		
4 (44) How much do you ag	Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no.	0 statement:	Disagree 0	Strong	ly Disagree 0	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo	5 (55) ree/disagree with the following s	0 statement:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no.	0 statement: (%)	0		0	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement:	0 Disagree		0 ly Disagree	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement:	0 Disagree	Strong	0 ly Disagree	
"I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s i is NOT important." (N = 10), no	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0. (%)	0 Disagree 0	Strong	0 ily Disagree 0	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag "There is no limit to	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following s is NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Neutral 0 statement:	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60)	Strong	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30)	= 10),
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag "There is no limit to no. (%)	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following solution is NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree 1 (10) gree/disagree with the following solution 1 (10) 1 (10	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Neutral 0 statement:	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60)	Strong Strong Strong	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30)	= 10),
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag "There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree	5 (55) ree/disagree with the following s ut climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) ree/disagree with the following s is NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree 1 (10) ree/disagree with the following s the amount of resources we show	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Neutral 0 statement: uld use to prevent en	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) ven one patien	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong	0 ily Disagree 0 ily Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N	= 10),
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag "There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree 1 (10) What was your stand	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following so ut climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following so h is NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree 1 (10) gree/disagree with the following so the amount of resources we show Agree	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 statement: uld use to prevent en Neutral 3 (30)	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) Ven one patien Disagree 5 (50)	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N ly Disagree 1 (10)	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag "There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree 1 (10) What was your stand surgical site infection	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following solution change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 1 (10) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 1 (10) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 0 ardized infection ratio for 2018 (	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 statement: uld use to prevent en Neutral 3 (30)	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) Ven one patien Disagree 5 (50)	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N ly Disagree 1 (10)	
4 (44) How much do you ag 'I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag 'Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag 'There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree 1 (10) What was your stand surgical site infection 1.05 (±0.7)	5 (55) gree/disagree with the following solution change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 1 (10) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 1 (10) gree/disagree with the following solution Agree 0 ardized infection ratio for 2018 (	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Statement: uld use to prevent en Neutral 3 (30) publically reported of	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) Ven one patien Disagree 5 (50) data, https://ww	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N ly Disagree 1 (10)	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag "There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree 1 (10) What was your stand surgical site infection 1.05 (±0.7) If you are at a Vetera	5 (55) ree/disagree with the following so at climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) ree/disagree with the following so is NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree 1 (10) ree/disagree with the following so the amount of resources we show Agree 0 ardized infection ratio for 2018 ( is? (N = 10), mean (SD)	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Statement: uld use to prevent en Neutral 3 (30) publically reported of	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) Ven one patien Disagree 5 (50) data, https://ww	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N ly Disagree 1 (10)	
4 (44) How much do you ag 'I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag 'Energy conservation Strongly agree D How much do you ag 'There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree 1 (10) What was your stand surgical site infection 1.05 (±0.7) If you are at a Vetera 1.00	5 (55) ree/disagree with the following so at climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) ree/disagree with the following so is NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree 1 (10) ree/disagree with the following so the amount of resources we show Agree 0 ardized infection ratio for 2018 ( is? (N = 10), mean (SD)	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Neutral 0 statement: uld use to prevent en Neutral 3 (30) publically reported of pur colon surgical sit	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) /en one patien Disagree 5 (50) data, https://ww e infection rate	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong ww.medicare.gov/hospitalcomp e for 2018? (N = 1)	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N ly Disagree 1 (10)	
4 (44) How much do you ag "I am concerned abo Strongly agree 4 (44) How much do you ag "Energy conservation Strongly agree 0 How much do you ag "There is no limit to no. (%) Strongly agree 1 (10) What was your stand surgical site infection 1.05 (±0.7) If you are at a Vetera 1.00	5 (55) ree/disagree with the following suit climate change." (N = 9), no. Agree 5 (55) ree/disagree with the following suits NOT important." (N = 10), no Agree 1 (10) ree/disagree with the following suits the amount of resources we show Agree 0 ardized infection ratio for 2018 ( is? (N = 10), mean (SD) ns' Affairs Hospital, what was yo	0 statement: (%) Neutral 0 statement: 0 Neutral 0 statement: uld use to prevent en Neutral 3 (30) publically reported of pur colon surgical sit	0 Disagree 0 Disagree 6 (60) /en one patien Disagree 5 (50) data, https://ww e infection rate	Strong Strong t from developing a hospital-a Strong ww.medicare.gov/hospitalcomp e for 2018? (N = 1)	0 ly Disagree 0 ly Disagree 3 (30) cquired infection." (N ly Disagree 1 (10)	

(Continued)

Table 1.	(Continued)
----------	-------------

0–25	26–250	261–500	501–750	751–1,000	>1,000	0/ ambulatory center
0	2 (20)	4 (40)	2 (20)	1 (10)	1 (10)	0
In which state is you	ur primary institution? (required	to understand weathe	er, climate, and	energy mixes only)		
CT, DC, GA, IN, MD, N	IH, PA, TX, WA					
My primary job desc	ription is (N = 10), no. (9	%)				
Infection preventionisty	Hospital epidemiologist	Engineer	Facilities management	Oth	er	
2 (20)	8 (80)	0	0	0		
I am years old	d. (N = 10), no. (%)					
18–29	30–39	40-49	50-59	60–69	>70	
0	2 (20)	1 (10)	5 (50)	1 (10)	1 (10)	
I have been in pract	ice for years (not including	training). (N $=$ 10), no	o. (%)			
<5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	26-30	>30
0	1 (10)	2 (20)	2 (20)	2 (20)	2 (20)	1 (10)
What is your sex? (N	l = 10), no. (%)					
Male	Female	Other		Prefer not to answer		
7 (70)	2 (20)	0		1 (10)		
What is your race? (	N = 10), no. (%)					
White	African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Other	Prefer not to answer
8 (80)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (20)

#### HVAC standards and set-back opportunity

Evidentiary gaps exist on optimal air-change settings for healthcare facilities.<sup>7</sup> The current standard (ASHRAE 170) recommends a minimum OR rate of 20 air changes per hour including  $\geq$ 4 outside air changes. Minimum air-change values are required only while the room is occupied. Shutting off OR ventilation systems off hours results in energy or cost savings without exceeding particle-count thresholds.<sup>9</sup> Yet, our survey response (85% respondents) suggests that facility operators do not decrease air changes during off hours. This finding may reflect unfamiliarity with the lack of evidence on the relationship between OR ventilation rates and SSI prevention.

Future studies should ascertain relationships between airchange rates and SSIs, using the extant natural experiment of institution-to-institution variability to elucidate opportunities for energy, pollution, and cost savings.

## Pandemic response

ASHRAE created an epidemic task force (https://www.ashrae.org/ technical-resources/resources) to collect emerging evidence and guidance. Recommendations ultimately followed current ventilation standards (ASHRAE 62.1 and 170) and encouraged additional air filtration when possible.

Numerous institutions tried reducing risks associated with operating on COVID-19 patients. Overalll, 79% of respondents reviewed air-change rates because of COVID-19, and 73% of these made no changes. This finding inplies that prescribed standard flow rates had already been achieved and/or that the system could not be updated. Moreover, 60% responded that mandated air-change rates should remain unchanged. Outside air fractions varied, and 71% made no changes.

Our survey had several limitations. We had low response rates (30% partial response rate, 15% complete), though this rate is similar to that of other surveys (response rates,  $\sim 20\%$ ).<sup>10</sup> Response rates raise these questions: What gaps in air-change rate understanding remain unfilled, and how can this improve?

In conclusion, high air-change rates in ORs are financially costly and have commensurate, possibly unjustified environmental impacts. With uncertain HVAC efficacy on one hand and certain high financial and environmental impacts on the other, we require evidence indicating whether current OR air-change rates influence SSIs. Even with current standards, opportunity exists for off-hour setbacks to reduce energy expenses, to prevent pollution, and to protect public health.

**Financial support.** J.D.S. reports support from the Yale Program on Healthcare Environmental Sustainability.

**Conflicts of interest.** R.A.M. reports that he is a member of the Board of Directors of the International Ultraviolet Association. All other authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

# References

- Watts N, Amann M, Ayeb-Karlsson S, *et al*. The *Lancet* countdown on health and climate change: from 25 years of inaction to a global transformation for public health. *Lancet* 2018;391:581–630.
- Eckelman MJ, Huang K, Lagasse R, Senay E, Dubrow R, Sherman JD. Healthcare pollution and public health damage in the United States: an update. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2020;39:2071–2079.

- Thiel CL, Eckelman M, Guido R, *et al.* Environmental impacts of surgical procedures: life cycle assessment of hysterectomy in the United States. *Environ Sci Technol* 2015;49:1779–86.
- MacNeill AJ, Lillywhite R, Brown CJ. The impact of surgery on global climate: a carbon footprinting study of operating theatres in three health systems. *Lancet Planet Health* 2017;1:e381–e388.
- Sherman JD, Thiel C, MacNeill A, et al. The green print: advancement of environmental sustainability in healthcare. Resources Conserv Recycl 2020;161:104882.
- 6. *Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals.* Facility Guidelines Institute; 2018.
- 7. Mousavi E, Lautz R, Betz F, Grosskopf, K. Academic Research to Support Facility Guidelines Institute & ANSI/ASHRAE/ASHE Standard 170.

American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) website. https://www.ashrae.org/file%20library/ technical%20resources/covid-19/ashrae-d-co-rp3.pdf. Published 2018. Accessed February 14, 2022.

- Sheppy MP, Shanti; Kung, Feitau. Healthcare energy end-use monitoring. National Renewable Energy Laboratory website. https://www.nrel.gov/ docs/fy14osti/61064.pdf. Published 2014. Accessed February 14, 2022.
- Dettenkofer M, Scherrer M, Hoch V, *et al.* Shutting down operating theater ventilation when the theater is not in use: infection control and environmental aspects. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2003;24:596–600.
- Sarfaty M, Bloodhart B, Ewart G, et al. American Thoracic Society member survey on climate change and health. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2015;12:274–278.