# RESEARCH



**Open Access** 

# High frequency of *CRB1* mutations as cause of Early-Onset Retinal Dystrophies in the Spanish population

Marta Corton<sup>1,4†</sup>, Sorina D Tatu<sup>1,4†</sup>, Almudena Avila-Fernandez<sup>1,4</sup>, Elena Vallespín<sup>1,4</sup>, Ignacio Tapias<sup>2</sup>, Diego Cantalapiedra<sup>1,4</sup>, Fiona Blanco-Kelly<sup>1,4</sup>, Rosa Riveiro-Alvarez<sup>1,4</sup>, Sara Bernal<sup>3,4</sup>, Blanca García-Sandoval<sup>2,4</sup>, Montserrat Baiget<sup>3,4</sup> and Carmen Ayuso<sup>1,4\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** *CRB1* mutations are reported as cause of severe congenital and early-onset retinal dystrophies (EORD) with different phenotypic manifestations, including Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA), retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and cone-rod dystrophies. Comprehensive mutational scanning of the whole gene has been only performed in few cohorts, mainly in LCA patients. Here, we aimed investigating the real prevalence of *CRB1* mutations in the Spanish population by extensive screening of *CRB1* mutations in a large cohort of LCA and EORP cases.

**Methods:** This report integrates data from previous studies on *CRB1* defects in our Spanish cohort of LCA and early-onset RP (EORP) with new findings from a comprehensive mutational screening of the whole gene. The molecular tools used include mutation genotyping arrays, whole-genome homozygosity mapping, an optimized high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis and Sanger sequencing.

**Results:** A large clinically well-characterized cohort of 404 Spanish cases was studied, 114 of which suffered from LCA and 290 from EORP. This study reveals that 11% of Spanish patients carried mutations in *CRB1*, ranging from 9% of EORP to 14% of LCA cases. More than three quarters of the mutations identified herein have been first described in this Spanish cohort, 13 of them are unreported new variants and 13 had been previously reported in our previous studies.

**Conclusions:** This work provides a wide spectrum of *CRB1* mutations in the Spanish EORD patients and evidences the major role of *CRB1* as causal gene in the Spanish EORP patients. It is noteworthy that a high rate of private mutations only described in our cohort has been found so far. To our knowledge, this study represents the most complete mutational screening of *CRB1* in a Spanish LCA and EORP cohort, allowing us to establish gene-specific frequencies and to provide a wide spectrum of *CRB1* mutations in the Spanish population.

**Keywords:** Leber congenital amaurosis, Early-onset retinitis pigmentosa, *CRB1*, Homozygosity mapping, High resolution melting

\* Correspondence: cayuso@fjd.es

<sup>†</sup>Equal contributors

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, IIS - Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Centre for Biomedical Network Research on Rare Diseases (CIBERER), ISCIII, Valencia, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 Corton et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Background

Mutations in the human *Crumbs homolog 1* (*CRB1*, MIN #604210) gene lead to severe congenital and early-onset retinal dystrophies (EORD) with a wide range of clinical manifestations including Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA, MIN #613835), early-onset Retinitis Pigmentosa (EORP) with or without preserved para-arteriolar retinal pigment epithelium (PPRPE; MIN #600105), Coats-like exudative vasculopathy, and juvenile cone-rod dystrophies [1-5]. The prevalence of *CRB1* mutations varies widely depending on the clinical phenotype and the cohort studied, ranging between 7% in EORD patients [6], 10% in LCA patients [7], 31% in RP patients with Coats-like exudative vasculopathy and 66% in RP patients with PPRPE [8]. *CRB1* mutations are rare (2%) in RP without signs of PPRPE or Coats-like exudative vasculopathy [8].

The CRB1 gene, located in 1q31, contains 12 exons and an alternative splicing at the 3' end, encoding two different isoforms of 1,376 and 1,406 amino acids [9]. Both proteins have a signal peptide sequence, 19 epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like domains, 3 laminin A globular (AG)-like domains, and the longer isoform also contains additional transmembrane and cytoplasmatic domains [9]. In total, over 150 different mutations have been identified, mainly located in the extracellular domain [10], and so, suggesting to play an important role probably by interacting with unknown proteins [11]. CRB1 is expressed in retina and brain and is highly homologous to the Drosophila melanogaster Crumbs (crb) protein [1,12]. In the mouse retina, CRB1 is located in the apical region of retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells, rod and cone photoreceptor cells and Muller glial cells [13]. In this way, the Drosophila Crumbs lossof-function (LOF) mutant leads to similar photoreceptor defects to those observed in patients carrying CRB1 mutations [11]. Both human and fly proteins seem to play an essential role in photoreceptor morphogenesis, including maintenance of the polarity of RPE cells [13,14].

Preliminary studies in the Spanish population have shown CRB1 as the main mutated gene in LCA patients (17%) [15] but it seems to explain only about 2% of EORP [16]. In both studies, a commercial APEX (Arrayed Primer EXtension)-based microarray for LCA or arRP was used to genotype previously known CRB1 mutations. Therefore it is likely that the real impact of CRB1 mutations is underestimated. Some Spanish cases had previously studied for CRB1 mutations using several mutational scanning methods such as single-strand conformational polymorphism analysis (SSCP) or denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography (dHPLC) [5,15], which are expensive and technically time-consuming. By contrast, the recently developed high resolution melting (HRM) analysis allows a simple, semi-automated, and cost-effective detection of single-base substitutions and small insertions/deletions [17].

Here, with the aim of investigating the prevalence of *CRB1* mutations in the Spanish population, we performed a comprehensive screening of *CRB1* mutations in a large cohort of Spanish LCA/EORP patients using different indirect and direct molecular approaches in a sequential way. HRM scanning and Sanger sequencing were mainly used to find novel *CRB1* disease alleles in patients in whom no mutation was identified by a preliminary microarray genotyping.

# Methods

# Participants and clinical evaluation

Patients diagnosed with LCA or EORP were recruited from Fundación Jiménez Díaz Hospital (FJD, Madrid, Spain) from 1990 to 2011. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to their participation in this study. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the hospital and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical diagnosis of RD was based on measurements of visual acuity and visual field tests, fundus examination and electroretinogram (ERG) responses. Diagnostic criteria of LCA included: 1) severe visual loss from birth or before 1 year of age; 2) congenital nystagmus; 3) sluggish or absent pupillary responses and 4) non-recordable or significantly reduced ERG. Diagnostic criteria of EORP included poor night vision and/or peripheral visual loss in childhood, with poor visual acuity and visual field loss in advanced stages of the pathology. Patients with family pedigree compatible with autosomal dominant or X-linked inheritance or with any systemic sign underlying syndromic forms of retinal dystrophy were excluded.

A total of 404 unrelated Spanish families with autosomal recessive or isolated retinal dystrophy were selected: 114 families with LCA and 290 families with EORP. Genomic DNA was obtained from peripheral blood samples from FJD Biobank using an automated DNA extractor (BioRobot EZ1 Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer instructions.

Previously, 23 of these 404 patients were studied for *CRB1* mutations by SSCP as described by Bernal et al. [5]. Two of them were found to carry pathogenic variants in *CRB1* and were included in the summaries presented herein.

One hundred sixty-five healthy unrelated Spanish individuals without personal or familial history of retinal dystrophy were screened as controls to evaluate the frequency of the novel variants.

#### Mutation detection by APEX microarray

Index cases of the 404 families were screened for known mutations to cause LCA or autosomal recessive RP (arRP) using a commercial genotyping chip based on APEX technology (LCA or ARRP chip, Asper Ophthalmics, Tartu, Estonia). Both chips include 114 known mutations and rare variants in the *CRB1* gene. All variants identified by the previous methodology were further validated by Sanger sequencing and, whenever DNA was available, familial segregation was verified. In cases when only one allele was identified in the *CRB1* gene, microsatellite analysis and/or direct mutational screening by dHPLC, HRM or Sanger sequencing was used in order to detect the presence of a second pathogenic variant.

# Mutation scanning by dHPLC and HRM analysis

Exons and exon-intron boundaries of *CRB1* (RefSeq NM\_201253) were analysed using 25 oligonucleotide primer pairs designed by Hanein et al., 2004 or specifically designed using Primer3 software http://primer3.wi.mit. edu/, as detailed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

After standard PCR amplification, dHPLC analysis was performed with the WAVE DNA fragment analysis system (Transgenomic) as previously reported [5,15,18].

A HRM approach was specifically developed and optimised for mutational scanning of the CRB1 gene. Real-time PCR and HRM were consecutively done on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche) in one single run, and all reactions were performed in duplicate. PCR amplifications were done in the presence of 2.5 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub> according to the protocols provided by Roche. PCR conditions were: 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of pre-incubation at 95°C for 20 seconds, annealing for 20 seconds at the indicated temperature in Additional file 1: Table S1 and extension at 72°C for 20 seconds. After amplification, PCR products were denatured at 95°C for 1 minute and cooled down to 40°C to allow hetero-duplex formation. The final HRM step was performed from 40°C to 95°C with an increase of 1°C/s with 25 acquisitions/°C. The HRM curve analysis was performed using the LightCycler 480 Gene Scanning Software (Roche). Melting curves were normalized, temperature-adjusted and finally, a difference plot was generated.

For methodological optimization, HRM analysis was further applied on both control samples and mutated patients carrying previously identified *CRB1* genetic variants by Sanger sequencing. As the majority of known alleles in *CRB1* are located in exons 2, 7 and 9, we first analysed 5 different variants in these exons by HRM: c.498\_506del on amplicon 2b, c.2244-47delATC on amplicon 7a, c.2290C>T and c.2307C>T on amplicon 7b; c.2843 G>A on amplicon 9a. Melting curves generated from these amplicons allowed an easy discrimination of all these variants, except the change in exon 9a coding for the most prevalent mutation, p.Cys948Tyr that could not be detected (Additional file 2: Figure S1).

Two hundred twenty-five index cases were screened by HRM analysis. All amplicons were further sequenced to

discriminate not only disease-causing mutations and benign polymorphisms but also false positive and false negative HRM profiles. Sensitivity and specificity of HRM scanning to identify *CRB1* mutations were also calculated using Sanger sequencing as gold standard.

# Sanger sequencing

PCR products were enzymatically purified using ExoSAP-it (USB, Affymetrix) and sequenced on both strands using the Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit v3.1 Kit (Applied Biosystems). The sequence products were purified on a 96-well multiscreen filter plate (Montage SEQ96 Sequencing Reaction Cleanup Kit, Millipore, Bedford, MA) and resolved on an automated sequencer (ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems).

# **Indirect analysis**

Microsatellite analysis was performed in 80 families using flanking polymorphic markers (TEL-D1S408, D1S2757, D1S2816, D1S1660-CEN), as previously described [15]. After carrying out the PCR amplification, fluorescentlabelled products were analysed in an automatic sequencer (3130xl ABI Prism, Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). Whole genome homozygosity mapping was performed in 66 consanguineous and non-consanguineous families using high-resolution commercial SNP arrays from Affymetrix (Genome Wide Human SNP array 6.0 and Gene-Chip Human Mapping 500 K Array Set) or Illumina (HumanLinkage V Panel Set or Omni Whole Genome arrays HumanCytoSNP-12). Arrays were processed according to the manufacturer protocols. Affymetrix genotyping services were provided by the Spanish National Genotyping Center (CEGEN-ISCIII)". Homozygosity regions were calculated using using the Linkage Disequilibrium -Hidden Markov Model algorithm (LD-HMM) [19] through the dCHIP software [20].

# **MLPA** Analysis

Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) was performed to discard large deletions or duplications in patients carrying only one *CRB1* allele after the above molecular screening. The commercial P221 LCA mix-1 SALSA MLPA kit (MRC Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands) that contains specific probes for all exons of the *CRB1* gene, except for exon 11, was used according to the manufacturer recommendations. The amplified fragments were separated by capillary electrophoresis using an ABI 3130xl automatic analyser (Applied Biosystems).

# Assessment of the pathogenicity of new and unclassified variants

Pathogenicity of unreported variants was established by the following criteria: 1) co-segregation in the family, 2) absence in 165 Spanish healthy control individuals, 3)

Table 1 General des	scription of	patients and	molecular tools	included in this st	udy
---------------------	--------------	--------------	-----------------	---------------------	-----

Families in study		APEX microarray		Indirect	studies	SSCP &			HRM	Sanger sequencing	ies characterized	
	CRB1 2 alleles	Second allele by whole CRB1 analysis *	Total characterized	Haplotypes	IBD mapping	CRB1 2 alleles	CRB1 2 alleles	CRB1 1 allele	Second allele by Sanger sequencing *	2 alleles	CRB1 mutations	Mutations in another gene <sup>#</sup>
114 LCA	8 /114	4 / 8 <sup>a</sup>	<b>12</b> (10%)	0 / 20	<b>1</b> <sup><b>b</b></sup> /43	1 / 3	1 / 32	1 / 32	0 / 1	1/51	<b>16</b> (14%)	32 (28%)
290 EORP	6 /290	15 /25 <b>a</b>	<b>21</b> (7%)	0 / 60	0 / 23	1 / 20	0 / 193	1 / 193	<b>1</b> / 1 °	<b>4</b> <sup>d</sup> / 209	<b>27</b> (9%)	59 (20%)
404 Total	14 / 404	19 /33	<b>33</b> (8%)	0 / 80	<b>1</b> / 66	<b>2</b> / 23	<b>1</b> / 225	2 / 225	<b>1</b> / 2	<b>5</b> / 260	<b>43</b> (11%)	91 (22%)

Overview of the sequential steps performed during the mutational *CRB1* analysis in a cohort of LCA and EORP Spanish patients was reflected. The number of cases characterized and studied is outlined for each molecular tool. APEX: Arrayed primer extension; IBD: Inherited-by-descent; SSCP: single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis; HRM: High resolution melting analysis. \* A direct mutational scanning of *CRB1* was performed using dHPLC, HRM or Sanger sequencing in patients with a first allele identified by APEX microarray. <sup>&</sup> SSCP findings were reported by Bernal S. et als, 2003. <sup>#</sup> Mutations in another gene were found by APEX microarray, whole-genome homozygosity maping, whole exome sequencing or targeted NGS (data not shown).

<sup>a</sup> A second CRB1 allele was not found in 14 patients: 2 carried a known frameshift mutation and 12 carried a uncertain or very unlikely missense variant.

<sup>b</sup> A 5 Mb-homozygous region involving *CRB1* was identified in an endogamic family and a homozygous known mutation was further found by Sanger sequencing. This mutation represents a false negative of the previously LCA chip analysis.

<sup>c</sup> A second allele (c.1702C>T) was further found by Sanger sequencing, representing a false negative of the HRM analysis.

<sup>d</sup> Two heterozygous transitions (c.2291 G>A and c.4168C>T) were found in one patient that previously showed normal melting curves in the HRM analysis thus, representing false negatives.

amino acid conservation for missense mutations, 4) pathogenicity prediction with *in silico* tools, and 5) presence of a second pathogenic allele. These criteria were applied to the new variants found in this study as well as for previously unclassified missense variants.

Amino acid conservation was determined using 17 orthologs of the CRB1 protein belonging to different evolutionary branches (Chimpanzee, Marmoset, Rhesus Macaque, Orangutan, Gibbon, Cow, Rat, Mouse, Rabbit, Dog, Horse, Wild boar, Opossum, Turkey, Lizard, Frog and Zebrafish). BLINK tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ sutils/blink.cgi?pid=6014482) and Jalview Alignment Editor program (http://www.jalview.org/) were used to analyse the multiple sequence alignments. If a residue did not change throughout the species it was considered "highly conserved", if a residue was present in less than 3 species it was considered "moderately conserved", if it was present in 3-5 ortholog proteins, it was considered "weakly conserved", otherwise it was classified as "non conserved". Deleterious effects of amino acid substitutions on protein function were assessed using different bioinformatic programs, including Align-GVGD (http://agvgd.iarc.fr/agvgd\_input.php), PolyPhen-2 (Polymorphism Phenotyping v2) (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), SIFT (http:// sift.jcvi.org/) and Protein Variation Effect Analyzer (PROVEAN) (http://provean.jcvi.org/index.php). Splicesite mutations were analysed with the Human Splicing Finder (HSF) tool (http://www.umd.be/HSF/).

# Results

DNA samples from 404 Spanish index cases with LCA or EORP were first analysed using the LCA/ARRP chips in order to identify known mutations, followed by further screening of *CRB1* mutations using several indirect and direct strategies, such as homozygosity mapping, HRM analysis and Sanger sequencing, as summarized in Table 1.

Two causative *variants* were found in the 11% (43 / 404) of our Spanish patients (Table 2). Considering the two different phenotypes studied, 14% of LCA and 9% of early-onset RP patients carried *CRB1* mutations (Additional file 2: Figure S2, S3 and S4). A pathogenic *CRB1* allele was also identified in 2 additional patients but a second variant could not be identified by whole screening of this gene by Sanger sequencing and MLPA (Table 2). Heterozygous missense variants with very unlikely pathogenic implication (Additional file 1: Table S2 and Additional file 2: Figure S5) were found in other 13 patients.

The molecular analysis of *CRB1* revealed 34 different mutations in 45 Spanish LCA/EORP patients, as detailed in Additional file 1: Table S3. Most of the disease alleles were in exons 9 (43%), 7 (19%) and 2 (16%). Therefore, 76% (26 / 34) of the mutations, representing 55% of the total *CRB1* alleles, have been first described in this

cohort (Table 3). Compound heterozygous *CRB1* mutations were found in all cases except for 9 families with known inbreeding or endogamic history. The most frequent mutation representing the 22% of *CRB1* alleles was p.Cys948Tyr identified in 16 families. This mutation was in heterozygous state in all cases except for 3 homozygous patients. The variants p.Ile1100Thr and c.498\_506del that were first identified in our cohort, are also quite common with a frequency of 9 and 8%, respectively. Similarly, other mutations such as p.Asp564Tyr, p.Ile1001Asn and p.Glu1330\* were present in more than one family (Additional file 1: Table S3).

A total of 15 novel variants were identified during the current screening of CRB1: 4 missense variants, 4 nonsense mutations, 3 frameshift indels and 2 splicing-site mutations (Table 3, Additional file 1: Table S2). Pathogenicity of the novel variants was assessed by co-segregation with a second disease allele on the CRB1 gene whenever family members were available (Additional file 2: Figures S2, S3 and S4) and by absence in 330 healthy Spanish control chromosomes. None of the novel variants were described as polymorphic changes in the dbSNP database or the literature. All mutations described herein were submitted to the existing Locus Specific Databases (LSDB) on CRB1. The novel missense mutations affected conserved residues of the CRB1 protein (Additional file 2: Figure S5) and were classified as likely damaging by in silico prediction tools (Table 3).

# Discussion

Mutations in CRB1 are a common cause of congenital or severe childhood-onset RD accounting for up to 10.1% of LCA/EORD patients and 2.7% in RP cases, as described in a recent meta-analysis of CRB1 mutations [10]. The above frequencies may be underestimated, as sequencing of entire coding regions had not been systematic performed. In this sense, CRB1 analysis in large cohorts of patients has been mostly performed by us and other groups using SSCP or chip-based screening for known RD mutations [3-5,8,10,15,16,21]. Only Coppieters et als performed a subsequent an exhaustive screening of CRB1 and other LCA-related genes by Sanger sequencing in all patients with negative chip results obtaining a higher frequency (16%) of CRB1 mutations [22]. Similarly, we aimed to evaluate herein the real relevance of CRB1 mutations in the Spanish population using an additional comprehensive mutational screening by HRM and Sanger sequencing, identifying causative variants in 11% of LCA/EORP cases. It is noteworthy that we found a high number of private CRB1 mutations in our cohort confirming the usefulness of in-depth CRB1 genetic analysis to identified novel variants undetectable by genotyping microarrays.

Table 2 Patients	carrying C	<b>PR1</b> mutations	identified in	this study
Table 2 Patients	carrying C	<b><i>RBI</i></b> mutations	identified in	this study

Family			Allele 1			Allele 2	References	Methods	Phenotype
	Exon	Nucleotide Change	AA Change	Exon	Nucleotide Change	AA Change			
LCA-0010	2	c.481dupG	p.Ala161Glyfs*8	2	c.481dupG	p.Ala161Glyfs*8	[5]	chip	LCA
RP-0091	2	c.481dupG	p.Ala161Glyfs*8		?	?	[5]	chip + seq	EORP
RP-1426	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	[21]	seq	EORP
RP-1611	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	5	c.1147_1156del	p.Cys383Serfs*66	[21], Novel	chip + seq	EORP
RP-2004	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	7	c.2234C > T	p.Thr745Met	[21] [1]	chip + seq	EORP
RP-0745	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	7	c.2290C > T	p.Arg764Cys	[21] [1]	chip + HRM	EORP
RP-0243	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	8	c.2688 T > A	p.Cys896*	[21] [22]	chip + dHPLC	EORP
MD-0092b	2	c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[21] [1]	chip + HRM	EORP
LCA-0019	2	c.613_619del	p.lle205Aspfs*13	7	c.2227delG	p.Val743Serfs*11	[2] [23]	chip + dHPLC	EORP
LCA-0051	2	c.613_619del	p.lle205Aspfs*13	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[2] [1]	chip	EORP
LCA-0063	2	c.613_619del	p.Ile205Aspfs*13	11	c.4005 + 1G > A	Splicing	[2] [22]	chip	EORP
RP-1311	2	c.613_619del	p.lle205Aspfs*13		?	?	[2]	chip + seq	EORP
LCA-0032	6	c.1604 T > C	p.Leu535Pro	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[15] [1]	chip + seq	LCA
LCA-0099	6	c.1690G > T	p.Asp564Tyr	8	c.2688 T > A	p.Cys896*	[15] [22]	chip	LCA
LCA-0038b	6	c.1690G > T	p.Asp564Tyr	9	c.3002 T > A	p.lle1001Asn	[15]	seq	EORP
RP-1535	6	c.1690G > T	p.Asp564Tyr	9	c.3014A > T	p.Asp1005Val	[15], Novel	chip + seq	EORP
RP-1504	6	c.1702C > T	p.His568Tyr	12	c.4142C > T	p.Pro1381Leu	Novel [6]	HRM + seq	EORP
RP-1586	7	c.2234C > T	p.Thr745Met	7	c.2234C > T	p.Thr745Met	[1]	chip	EORP
RP-1017	7	c.2234C > T	p.Thr745Met	7	c.2416G > T	p.Glu806*	[1] Novel	chip + seq	EORP
MD-0643	7	c.2234C > T	p.Thr745Met	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[1]	chip	EORP
RP-0561	7	c.2234C > T	p.Thr745Met	11	c.3988G > T	p.Glu1330*	[1] [24]	chip + dHPLC	EORP
LCA-0011	7	c.2244_47delATC	p.Ser749del	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[5] [1]	chip	LCA
RP-1779	7	c.2290C > T	p.Arg764Cys	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	[1] [5]	chip	EORP
RP-0487	7	c.2290C > T	p.Arg764Cys	11	c.3988G > T	p.Glu1330*	[1] [24]	chip + dHPLC	EORP
RP-1689	7	c.2291G > A	p.Arg764His	12	c.4168C > T	p.Arg1390*	Novel	seq	EORP
LCA-0060	7	c.2309G > T	p.Gly770Val	8	c.2805dupA	p.His935Glnfs*13	Novel	HRM	LCA
LCA-0017	7	c.2401A > T	p.Lys801*	7	c.2401A > T	p.Lys801*	[4]	IBD mapping	LCA
RP-0280	7	c.2465G > A	p.Trp822*	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[15] [1]	chip + dHPLC	EORP
LCA-0004	8	c.2688 T > A	p.Cys896*	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[22] [1]	chip	LCA
LCA-0038a	8	c.2688 T > A	p.Cys896*	9	c.3002 T > A	p.lle1001Asn	[22] [15]	chip + dHPLC	LCA
LCA-0050	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[1]	chip	LCA

#### Table 2 Patients carrying CRB1 mutations identified in this study (Continued)

RP-0069a	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[1]	SSCP	LCA
MD-0092a	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[1]	chip	EORP
MD-0351	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.3157 A > G	p.Met1053Val	[1] Novel	chip + HRM	EORP
RP-1625	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.3157A > G	p.Met1053Val	[1] Novel	chip + HRM	EORP
LCA-0028	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	[1] [5]	chip	LCA
RP-1558	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	9	c.3607G > T	p.Glu1203*	[1] Novel	chip + seq	EORP
LCA-0027	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	11	c.3988G > T	p.Glu1330*	[1] [24]	chip + seq	LCA
LCA-0038c	9	c.3002 T > A	p.lle1001Asn	9	c.3482A > G	p.Tyr1161Cys	[15] [25]	seq	EORP
LCA-0101	9	c.3152G > A	p.Trp1051*	11	c.4000delG	p.Val1334Trpfs*7	Novel	seq	LCA
RP-0069b	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	9	c.2843G > A	p.Cys948Tyr	[5] [1]	SSCP	EORP
RP-0025	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	[5]	chip	EORP
RP-1212	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	[5]	chip	EORP
RP-1615	9	c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	9	c.3749 + 1_3749 + 2delGT	Splicing	[5] Novel	chip + seq	EORP
RP-1440	10	c.3878 + 2insT <sup>a</sup>	Splicing	8	c.2696G > C	p.Gly899Ala	Novel	chip + HRM	EORP

Mutations in bold correspond to variants first described in our cohort. Nucleotide numbering reflects cDNA in the reference sequence NM\_201253.1, according to journal guidelines (www.hgvs.org/mutnomen). The initiation codon is codon 1. Different molecular approaches were used to identify both pathogenic alleles. C: APEX microarray, S: Sanger sequencing, D: dHPLC, denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography analysis, H, HRM: high-resolution melting analysis, IBD: Inherited-by-descent mapping, performing using whole-genome SNP arrays; ?: Second allele not found after Sanger sequencing and MLPA analysis; <sup>a</sup> Unexpected signal on APEX array was detected at the interrogated nucleotide c.3878 + 2 further confirming as a novel heterozygous insertion by Sanger sequencing.

<sup>&</sup>T allele is paternally inherited and c.2805insA is a *de novo* mutation.

Nucleotide change	AA change	Protein	Conservation	SIFT	Polyphen	GD-GV	PROVEAN	Pathogenicity
		Domain		score	score	score	score	
Missense								
c.1604 T > C	p.Leu535Pro	Lam AG1	HC	0	1	Class C65	-6.5	Likely
c.1690G > T	p.Asp564Tyr	Lam AG1	HC	0	1	Class C65	-8.2	Likely
c.1702C > T	p.His568Tyr	Lam AG1	HC	0	0.999	Class C65	-5.0	Likely
c.2291G > A	p.Arg764His	Lam AG2	NC	0.06	0.012	Class C0	-2.3	Uncertain <sup>a</sup>
c.2309 G > T	p.Gly770Val	Lam AG2	HC	0	1	Class C65	-8.2	Likely
c.2696 G > C*	p.Gly899Ala	EGF13	HC	0.1	0.996	Class C0	-4.5	Likely
c.3002A > T	p.lle1001Asn	Lam AG3	MC	0.0	0.850	Class C45	-5.5	Likely
c.3014 A > T	p.Asp1005Val	Lam AG3	WC	0.02	0.996	Class C15	-5.0	Likely
c.3157 A > G	p.Met1053Val	Lam AG3	HC	0	0.994	Class C15	-2.2	Likely
c.3299 T > C	p.lle1100Thr	Lam AG3	С	0	0.977	Class C25	-3.6	Likely
c.3482A > G	p.Tyr1161Cys	EGF15	MC	0	0.999	Class C15	-6.1	Likely
Nonsense								
c.2416G > T	p.Glu806*	Lam AG2	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.2465G > A	p.Trp822*	Lam AG2	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.3152G > A	p.Trp1051*	Lam AG3	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.3607 G > T	p.Glu1203*	EGF16	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.3988G > T	p.Glu1330*	EGF19	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.4168C > T	p.Arg1390*	С	Protein trun	cation				
Frameshift indels								
c.481dupG	p.Ala161Glyfs*8	EGF4	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.1147_1156del	p.Cys383Serfs*66	EGF9	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.2227delG	p.Val743Serfs*11	Lam AG2	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.2805dupA	p.His935GInfs*13	EGF14	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
c.4000delG	p.Val1334Trpfs*7	EGF19	Protein truncat	ion, NMD				
Splicing								
c.3749 + 1_3749 + 2del	-	EGF17	Splicing defect, NMD					
c.3878 + 2insT	Splicing	EGF18	Splicing defect, NMD					

# Table 3 List of novel CRB1 likeky pathogenic variants identified in the studied cohort

#### Table 3 List of novel CRB1 likeky pathogenic variants identified in the studied cohort (Continued)

In-frame indel					
c.2244_47delATC	p.Ser749del	Lam AG2	WC	-11.453	Likely
c.498_506del	p.lle167_Gly169del	EGF4	Gly167: MC	-14.258	Likely

Nucleotide numbering is based on RefSeq DNA accession number NM\_201253.1. Lam AG: Laminin AG-like domain, EGF: EGF-like domain, C: cytoplasmatic domain. Conservation of the amino acid substituted or deleted in 17 species was detailed. HC: Highly conserved, MC: Moderately conserved, WC: Weakly conserved, and NC: non-conserved residue. The amino acid substitution is predicted damaging by if the SIFT score is < = 0.05 and PROVEAN scores is < -2.5. Polyphen predict a non-synonymous variant as benign, possibly damaging, or probably damaging, if score is < 0.2, between 0.2 and 0.85 or > 0.85. GV: Grantham Variation; GD: Grantham distribution. Class C65: most likely pathogenic, Class C0: less likely pathogenic.

<sup>a</sup> This variant has been considered as likely pathogenic despite of poor conservation and less likely pathogenicity with four predictive tools. This variant was found associated with a second allele. It was absent in healthy control alleles but reported in ESP project (1/4405). Moreover, a known mutation Arg764Cys was reported in the same residue.

Hitherto, few reports have focused on the implication of CRB1 mutations in RP patients [6] [8] [10]. CRB1 defects seemed to explain <3% of EORP cases as described in our previous reports in Spanish patients [15,16] and other population [8,10]. However, it is remarkable that herein up to 9% of our EORP patients carried two CRB1 mutations. We presumed that this improved detection rate of CRB1 variants could be due not only to the use of an updated arRP microarray containing some mutations first described in the Spanish population but also for carrying out a comprehensive analysis of the whole gene. Although the most frequent mutation in EORP patients is p.Cys948Tyr occurring worldwide [7], it is noteworthy that 25% of pathogenic CRB1 alleles correspond to 2 apparently populationspecific mutations, the c.498 506del and p.Ile1100Thr variants [10]. In fact, 19 of 25 different EORP-associated CRB1 mutations, representing 61% of CRB1 alleles, were first identified in our cohort, that evidences a high frequency of population-specific mutations in the Spanish EORP patients.

Focusing on the LCA patients, CRB1 defects accounted for 14% of Spanish cases. In view of the high detection rate obtained, APEX genotyping seems to be a quite effective and fast diagnostic approach to detect CRB1 mutations in our LCA cohort. However, 4 additional patients were identified carrying novel mutations by a further CRB1 complete screening. The frequency of CRB1 mutations varies among different studies, ranging from 1% in a cohort of LCA patients hailing from worldwide countries [23] to 16% in Belgium [22]. Although the prevalence of *CRB1* defects is apparently identical between the Belgian and Spanish cohorts, substantial differences are evidenced in the mutational CRB1 spectrum. The missense variant p.Cys948Tyr is also the most frequent disease allele in Spanish LCA patients, representing 31% of total alleles, but not in Belgium being less prevalent with a frequency of 23% [22]. In contrast, the most recurrent allele in Belgian patients, p.Lys801\*, was only detected in one Spanish LCA case. Interestingly, 12 out 17 different LCA-associated CRB1 variants, representing 44% of total alleles, seem to be specific to our cohort.

Mutations in *CRB1* were previously associated with a wide range of phenotypic manifestations [1-6,23]. Complete loss of function of the CRB1 protein seems to be more related with development of LCA phenotype, while some residual functionality may remain in childhood-onset patients [9]. Nevertheless, a clear genotype–phenotype correlation could not be established since 2 seeming LOF alleles were not only identified in LCA but also EORD in several cohorts [8]. Interestingly, we observed that a combination of 2 null mutations was only found in about 40% of LCA patients but in none of our EORP patients, as showed in Additional file 2: Figure S6. Null alleles were significantly more frequent in LCA that in EORP patients

 $(\chi^2 = 10.2, p<0.001)$ , as previously suggested [8]. However, a similar proportion of LCA and EORP cases carried a combination of null-allele with missense mutation and several LCA patients also presented 2 missense alleles, being p.Cys948Tyr always involved. In consequence, it is not easy to assign a specific allele combination to a particular phenotype, suggesting a strong influence of environmental factors or genetic modifiers on the severity of the disease. In fact, it is likely that the new next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology will help to identify potential genetic variants that modulate LCA and EORP phenotypes associated to *CRB1* defects.

In view of the high frequency of compound heterozygous variants in *CRB1*, we evaluated the HRM technology that is considered a powerful approach to efficiently discriminate heterozygous variants [17], as an alternative to Sanger sequencing. We accurately identified heterozygous variants in all abnormal melting curves (specificity of 100%); however, we also found 4 false negatives thus obtaining a lower sensitivity of 73% for *CRB1* screening by HRM. Several factors such as amplicon size or GC content could influence the sensitivity to detect melting variants and explain the unexpected high number of false negatives in this analysis as opposed to previously reports [24].

This work supports the importance of comprehensive genetic studies in order to ascertain the real prevalence of retinal gene defects in large cohorts of well-clinically phenotyped patients. Main limitations to in-depth genetic analysis of RD are related to the use of expensive and time-consuming techniques. However the recent advances in NGS technologies and their progressive implementation in the clinical diagnosis will help to improve the molecular diagnosis and also to shed light on genetics of retinal dystrophies.

# Conclusions

This study has allowed us to establish gene-specific frequencies in our population. It is worth to note that, to our knowledge, this study represents the most complete mutational screening of *CRB1* in an early-onset RP cohort. Recently, two large studies of the prevalence of *CRB1* mutations have been published; however the whole gene has not been analyzed in most of the cases [6,10]. By contrast, we performed an additional scanning of *CRB1* using an optimized HRM strategy and/or Sanger sequencing. In summary, *CRB1* defects represent a very common cause of LCA and early-onset RP in the Spanish population.

# **Additional files**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Primers and conditions used for conventional PCR and real-time PCR. \* Redesigned primer. Table S2. *In silico* Predictions of Unlikely Pathogenic Non-Synonymous *CRB1* Variants. Novel variants are in bold. Nucleotide numbering is based on RefSeq DNA accession number NM\_201253.1. Lam AG: Laminin AG-like domain, EGF: EGF-like domain, Conservation of the amino acid substituted or deleted in 17 species was detailed. HC: Highly conserved, MC: Moderately conserved, WC: Weakly conserved, and NC: non-conserved residue. The amino acid substitution is predicted damaging by if the SIFT score is <= 0.05 and PROVEAN scores is < -2.5. Polyphen predict a non-synonymous variant as benign, possibly damaging, or probably damaging, if score is < 0.2, between 0.2 and 0.85 or > 0.85. GV: Grantham Variation; GD: Grantham distribution. Class C65: most likely pathogenic, Class C0: less likely pathogenic. Table S3. Overview of Likely Pathogenic Mutations Identified in this Study.

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Normalized melt curves and difference plots of five CRB1 variants used for standardization. Blue curves represent wild-type HRM profiles, except for the p.Cys948Tyr variant. Figure S2. Segregation Analysis of CRB1 Mutations in Characterized Families with Leber Congenital Amaurosis. The CRB1 genotype of each available family member is represented below the individual symbol being "+" wild type allele, m, m1 and m2 mutated alleles. Haplotype analysis was performed with markers flanking the CRB1 gene (CEN-D1S408-D1S2757- D1S2816-D1S1660-TEL). Nucleotide numbering reflects cDNA numbering in the reference sequence NM 201253.1, according to journal guidelines (www. hgvs.org/mutnomen). The initiation codon is codon 1 &: Two distinct retinal dystrophies with mutations affecting 2 different genes cosegregated in this family as previously reported by Riveiro-Alvarez, R. 2008. Patient II:2 exhibited Stargardt disease and carried a homozygous mutation (c.5413A>G; p.Asn1805Asp) in ABCA4. However, individual IV:4 presented an early-onset RP that is explained by the presence of two CRB1 mutations. Figure S3. Segregation Analysis of CRB1 Mutations in Characterized Families with Early-Childhood-Onset RP. The CRB1 genotype of each available family member is represented below the individual symbol being "+" wild type allele, m, m1 and m2 mutated alleles. Haplotype analysis was performed with markers flanking the CRB1 gene (CEN-D1S408-D1S2757- D1S2816-D1S1660-TEL). Nucleotide numbering reflects cDNA in the reference sequence NM\_201253.1, according to journal guidelines (www.hgvs.org/mutnomen). The initiation codon is +1. Figure S4. Pedigrees and segregation analysis of families segregating both Leber Congenital Amaurosis and Early-Childhood-Onset RP phenotypes. LCA and early-onset RP phenotypes have been found in the same pedigree caused by different combination of distinct CRB1 alleles. The CRB1 genotype of each available family member is represented below the individual symbol being "+" wild type allele, m, m1, m2, m3 and m4 mutated alleles. Haplotype analysis was performed with markers flanking the CRB1 gene (CEN-D1S408-D1S2757- D1S2816-D1S1660-TEL). Nucleotide numbering reflects cDNA numbering in the reference sequence NM\_201253.1, according to journal guidelines (www. hqvs.org/mutnomen). The initiation codon is +1. Figure S5. Evolutionary conservation of the novel missense mutation in the CRB1 protein. We considered the following 18 species: Human, Chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes), Marmoset (Callithrix jacchus), Rhesus Macaque (Macaca mulatta), Orangutan (Pongo abelii), Gibbon (Nomascus leucogenys), Cow (Bos taurus), Rat (Rattus norvegicus), Mouse (Mus musculus), Rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus), Dog (Canis lupus familiaris), Horse (Equus caballus), Wild boar (Sus scrofa), Opossum (Monodelphis domestica), Turkey (Meleagris gallopavo), Lizard (Anolis carolinensis), Frog (Xenopus tropicalis), Zebrafish (Danio rerio). Residues on a dark blue background are fully conserved between different species. Residues coloured light blue are similar to amino acid residues in other orthologs. Figure S6. Genotypephenotype correlation of patients with CRB1 mutations. Frequency of different combination of null alleles, missense variants and in-frame deletions in LCA and EORP patients.

#### **Competing interests**

No conflicting relationship exists for any author.

# Authors' contributions

MC, SD, AAF and CA drafted the manuscript, MC and CA designed the study, CA and MB coordinated the study, MC and SD carried out the HRM and Sanger sequencing analysis, MC and AAF carried out the homozygosity mapping, AAF, EV and RRA supervised APEX analysis, SB and EV participated in the mutational screening by SSCP and dHPLC, DC carried out the

haplotype analysis, IT and BGS carried out ophthalmologic examinations, FBK, MC, AAF and CA contributed to clinical selection of patients. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank everyone at the Genetics and Ophthalmology Services of Fundación Jiménez Díaz, especially all patients who participated in the study. This work was supported by Centre for Biomedical Network Research on Rare Diseases (CIBERER, grant INTRA/10/704.1), FIS (grants PI09/90047 and PS09/00459) and Retics Bionbank (RD09-0076-00101) from the Spanish Ministry of Health, Merck-Serono and FUNDALUCE. MC is supported by CIBERER, SDT by Fundación Conchita Rábago de Jiménez Díaz and AAV by CIBERER and FIS. (PI09/90047 grant).

#### Received: 6 May 2012 Accepted: 26 January 2013 Published: 5 February 2013

#### References

- den Hollander AI, ten Brink JB, de Kok YJ, van Soest S, van den Born LI, van Driel MA, van de Pol DJ, Payne AM, Bhattacharya SS, Kellner U, *et al*: Mutations in a human homologue of Drosophila crumbs cause retinitis pigmentosa (RP12). Nat Genet 1999, 23:217–221.
- Lotery AJ, Malik A, Shami SA, Sindhi M, Chohan B, Maqbool C, Moore PA, Denton MJ, Stone EM: CRB1 mutations may result in retinitis pigmentosa without para-arteriolar RPE preservation. Ophthalmic Genet 2001, 22:163– 169.
- Lotery AJ, Jacobson SG, Fishman GA, Weleber RG, Fulton AB, Namperumalsamy P, Heon E, Levin AV, Grover S, Rosenow JR, et al: Mutations in the CRB1 gene cause Leber congenital amaurosis. Arch Ophthalmol 2001, 119:415–420.
- den Hollander AI, Heckenlively JR, van den Born LI, de Kok YJ, van der Velde-Visser SD, Kellner U, Jurklies B, van Schooneveld MJ, Blankenagel A, Rohrschneider K, *et al*: Leber congenital amaurosis and retinitis pigmentosa with Coats-like exudative vasculopathy are associated with mutations in the crumbs homologue 1 (CRB1) gene. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001, 69:198–203.
- Bernal S, Calaf M, Garcia-Hoyos M, Garcia-Sandoval B, Rosell J, Adan A, Ayuso C, Baiget M: Study of the involvement of the RGR, CRPB1, and CRB1 genes in the pathogenesis of autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. J Med Genet 2003, 40:e89.
- Henderson RH, Mackay DS, Li Z, Moradi P, Sergouniotis P, Russell-Eggitt I, Thompson DA, Robson AG, Holder GE, Webster AR, Moore AT: Phenotypic variability in patients with retinal dystrophies due to mutations in CRB1. Br J Ophthalmol 2010, 95:811–817.
- den Hollander AI, Roepman R, Koenekoop RK, Cremers FP: Leber congenital amaurosis: genes, proteins and disease mechanisms. Prog Retin Eye Res 2008, 27:391–419.
- den Hollander AI, Davis J, van der Velde-Visser SD, Zonneveld MN, Pierrottet CO, Koenekoop RK, Kellner U, van den Born LI, Heckenlively JR, Hoyng CB, *et al*: CRB1 mutation spectrum in inherited retinal dystrophies. *Hum Mutat* 2004, 24:355–369.
- den Hollander AI, Johnson K, de Kok YJ, Klebes A, Brunner HG, Knust E, Cremers FP: CRB1 has a cytoplasmic domain that is functionally conserved between human and Drosophila. *Hum Mol Genet* 2001, 10:2767–2773.
- Bujakowska K, Audo I, Mohand-Said S, Lancelot ME, Antonio A, Germain A, Leveillard T, Letexier M, Saraiva JP, Lonjou C, *et al*: CRB1 mutations in inherited retinal dystrophies. *Hum Mutat* 2012, 33:306–315.
- 11. Bulgakova NA, Knust E: The Crumbs complex: from epithelial-cell polarity to retinal degeneration. *J Cell Sci* 2009, **122**:2587–2596.
- den Hollander Al, Ghiani M, de Kok YJ, Wijnholds J, Ballabio A, Cremers FP, Broccoli V: Isolation of Crb1, a mouse homologue of Drosophila crumbs, and analysis of its expression pattern in eye and brain. *Mech Dev* 2002, 110:203–207.
- van de Pavert SA, Kantardzhieva A, Malysheva A, Meuleman J, Versteeg I, Levelt C, Klooster J, Geiger S, Seeliger MW, Rashbass P, *et al*: Crumbs homologue 1 is required for maintenance of photoreceptor cell polarization and adhesion during light exposure. *J Cell Sci* 2004, 117:4169–4177.

- Pellikka M, Tanentzapf G, Pinto M, Smith C, McGlade CJ, Ready DF, Tepass U: Crumbs, the Drosophila homologue of human CRB1/RP12, is essential for photoreceptor morphogenesis. *Nature* 2002, 416:143–149.
- Vallespin E, Cantalapiedra D, Riveiro-Alvarez R, Wilke R, Aguirre-Lamban J, Avila-Fernandez A, Lopez-Martinez MA, Gimenez A, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ramos C, Ayuso C: Mutation screening of 299 Spanish families with retinal dystrophies by Leber congenital amaurosis genotyping microarray. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007. 48:5653–5661
- Avila-Fernandez A, Cantalapiedra D, Aller E, Vallespin E, Aguirre-Lamban J, Blanco-Kelly F, Corton M, Riveiro-Alvarez R, Allikmets R, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, et al: Mutation analysis of 272 Spanish families affected by autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa using a genotyping microarray. Mol Vis 2010, 16:2550–2558.
- 17. Wittwer CT: High-resolution DNA melting analysis: advancements and limitations. *Hum Mutat* 2009, **30**:857–859.
- Aguirre-Lamban J, Riveiro-Alvarez R, Garcia-Hoyos M, Cantalapiedra D, Avila-Fernandez A, Villaverde-Montero C, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ramos C, Ayuso C: Comparison of high-resolution melting analysis with denaturing highperformance liquid chromatography for mutation scanning in the ABCA4 gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010, 51:2615–2619.
- Beroukhim R, Lin M, Park Y, Hao K, Zhao X, Garraway LA, Fox EA, Hochberg EP, Mellinghoff IK, Hofer MD, et al: Inferring loss-of-heterozygosity from unpaired tumors using high-density oligonucleotide SNP arrays. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2006, 2:e41.
- Lin M, Wei LJ, Sellers WR, Lieberfarb M, Wong WH, Li C: dChipSNP: significance curve and clustering of SNP-array-based loss-ofheterozygosity data. *Bioinformatics* 2004, 20:1233–1240.
- Vallespin E, Cantalapiedra D, Garcia-Hoyos M, Riveiro R, Villaverde C, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ayuso C: Gene symbol: CRB1. Disease: Leber congenital amaurosis. Accession #Hd0510. Hum Genet 2006, 118:774.
- Hanein S, Perrault I, Gerber S, Tanguy G, Barbet F, Ducroq D, Calvas P, Dollfus H, Hamel C, Lopponen T, *et al*: Leber congenital amaurosis: comprehensive survey of the genetic heterogeneity, refinement of the clinical definition, and genotype-phenotype correlations as a strategy for molecular diagnosis. *Hum Mutat* 2004, 23:306–317.
- 23. Vallespin E, Riveiro-Alvarez R, Cantalapiedra D, Aguirre-Lambam J, Avila-Fernandez A, Lopez-Martinez MA, Gallego-Merlo J, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ayuso C: **Gene symbol: CRB1.** *Hum Genet* 2007, **121:**287–288.
- Vallespin E, Cantalapiedra D, Garcia-Hoyos M, Riveiro R, Villaverde C, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ayuso C: Gene symbol: CRB1. Disease: Leber congenital amaurosis. Accession #Hm0534. Hum Genet 2006, 118:777.
- Vallespin E, Avila-Fernandez A, Velez-Monsalve C, Almoguera B, Martinez-Garcia M, Gomez-Dominguez B, Gonzalez-Roubaud C, Cantalapiedra D, Trujillo-Tiebas MJ, Ayuso C: Novel human pathological mutations. Gene symbol: CRB1. Disease: Leber congenital amaurosis. *Hum Genet* 2010, 127:119.
- Simonelli F, Ziviello C, Testa F, Rossi S, Fazzi E, Bianchi PE, Fossarello M, Signorini S, Bertone C, Galantuomo S, et al: Clinical and molecular genetics of Leber's congenital amaurosis: a multicenter study of Italian patients. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007, 48:4284–4290.
- Coppieters F, Casteels I, Meire F, De Jaegere S, Hooghe S, van Regemorter N, Van Esch H, Matuleviciene A, Nunes L, Meersschaut V, et al: Genetic screening of LCA in Belgium: predominance of CEP290 and identification of potential modifier alleles in AHI1 of CEP290-related phenotypes. Hum Mutat 2010, 31:E1709–1766.
- Vossen RH, Aten E, Roos A, den Dunnen JT: High-resolution melting analysis (HRMA): more than just sequence variant screening. *Hum Mutat* 2009, 30:860–866.

#### doi:10.1186/1750-1172-8-20

**Cite this article as:** Corton *et al.*: **High frequency of** *CRB1* **mutations as cause of Early-Onset Retinal Dystrophies in the Spanish population**. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases* 2013 **8**:20.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit