

Infrared thermography in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal injuries

A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Xiaoyu Li, MM^{a,b}, Yajun Zhang, MM^b, Haiju Sun, MM^b, Yongliang Jiang, PhD^b, Jiali Lou, MM^b, Xiaofen He, MM^b, Jiangiao Fang, PhD^{b,*}

Abstract

Background: Musculoskeletal injuries (MSDs) have become a major public health problem worldwide. Current diagnosis techniques for MSDs are often associated with radiation exposure, expensive cost, or contraindication. Infrared thermography (IRT) is becoming a proposed tool to assist in diagnosing MSDs, but current evidence is inconclusive. Thus, herein we aimed to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of IRT for MSDs.

Methods: We will search EMBASE, MEDLINE, EBSCO, Cochrane Library, SCOPUS, Web of Science, CNKI, SinoMed, and Wangfang. Two researchers will independently screen eligible studies. Study quality will be evaluated based on the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) tool. Data synthesis will be completed using STATA 14.0 software. A bivariate random-effects analysis will be utilized to estimate the pooled estimation of the diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) and the summary receiver operating characteristics (SROC) curve. Subgroup analyses will be performed to determine heterogeneity sources.

Results: This systematic review and meta-analysis will provide reliable evidence about the diagnostic accuracy of IRT for MSDs.

Conclusion: The conclusion of this study will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Ethics and Communication: Given that this is a systematic review of published research, patient consent and ethical approval are not relevant. The findings of this study will be disseminated through conference presentations and publication in peer-reviewed journals.

Prospero registration number: CRD42020184867.

Abbreviations: AUC = the area under the curve, CT = computed tomography, FPs = false-positives, FNs = false-negatives, IRT = infrared thermography, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, MSDs = musculoskeletal injuries, SROC = summary receiver operating characteristic, TNs = true-negatives, TPs = true-positives.

Keywords: diagnostic accuracy, infrared thermography, meta-analysis, musculoskeletal injuries, protocol

1. Introduction

Musculoskeletal injuries (MSDs), such as fractures, dislocations, sprains, contusions, and compartment syndrome, are among the most disabling diseases affecting Americans.^[1] According to an

epidemiological survey, the proportion of MSDs increased from 28.0% in 1996 to 1998 to 33.2% in 2009 to 2011, the number increased from 76.0 million in 1996 to 1998 to 102.5 million in 2009 to 2011, and the number of prescriptions for MSDs rose

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

XL, YZ and HS contributed equally to this work.

The study is financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (NO. 2018YFC1704600) and "the Postgraduate Scientific Research Fund" of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University (NO. 2020YKJ04).

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data sharing statement: The results of our research will be disseminated in a peer-reviewed journal.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a The Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province, ^b Department of Neurobiology and Acupuncture Research, The Third Clinical Medical College, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Key Laboratory of Acupuncture and Neurology of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Jianqiao Fang, Department of Neurobiology and Acupuncture Research, The Third Clinical Medical College, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Key Laboratory of Acupuncture and Neurology of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310053, China (e-mail: fangjianqiao7532@163.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Li X, Zhang Y, Sun H, Jiang Y, Lou J, He X, Fang J. Infrared thermography in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal injuries: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:49(e23529).

Received: 29 October 2020 / Accepted: 6 November 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000023529

from approximately 996 million in 1996 to 1998 to about 2.1 billion in 2009 to 2011.^[2] MSDs are a leading cause of disease burden in the United States and are second only to cancer in Australasia.^[3,4] MSDs have become a major public health problem globally.^[5] Given the rising burden of MSDs, early accurate diagnosis is very important.

In patients with MSDs, an accurate differential diagnosis is key to selecting appropriate treatments. Current diagnostic imaging modalities involves radiography, computed tomography (CT) scans, ultrasound imaging, or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, current approaches for diagnosing MSDs often involve ionizing radiation, thus resulting in harmness to the test subjects. Moreover, classical approaches do not apply to all subjects and are even limited by working hours.^[6] In recent years, increasing studies have shown that infrared thermography (IRT) is useful in the diagnosis of MSDs.^[7–9] Apart from the advantages of non-contact, low cost, portability, and absence of radiation, IRT allows real-time scanning and precise skin surface measurement to examine temperature variations indicating disease.

The diagnostic accuracy of IRT in MSDs was previously studied, producing heterogeneous findings.^[10] This might be attributed to inadequate studies for analysis, the methodological differences, different random errors, and different diagnostic boundaries. These differences may be caused by various factors, mainly caused by the insufficient design of diagnostic accuracy studies.^[11] Simultaneously, the features of the infrared camera and the time of use of the equipment could explain the low value of diagnostic accuracy.

Given that current evidence is inconclusive on IRT's diagnostic accuracy, we performed this study to determine the diagnostic accuracy of IRT for MSDs.

2. Methods

Table 1

2.1. Design and registration

A meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy will be carried out as per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-P) and PRISMA of Diagnostic Test Medicine

Accuracy (PRISMA-DTA) guidelines.^[12,13] The study protocol has been registered on the prospective register of systematic review (PROSPERO); the registration number was CRD42020184867. The research question was developed using the PICO research framework.

2.2. Participants/Interventions

Participants will be adults (age: \geq 18 years) with a confirmed diagnosis of MSDs, comparing IRT versus other diagnostic strategies.

2.3. Comparator

This study will be non-comparative.

2.4. Sources of data

The databases searched from inception to November 1, 2020, included MEDLINE, EMBASE, EBSCO, Cochrane Library, SCOPUS, Web of Science, CNKI, SinoMed, Wangfang, and airiti library. There will be no language restrictions. Also, we will carefully check reference lists of articles to identify additional eligible articles.

The key text words used for the search included "thermography," "musculoskeletal injury," and "diagnosis." To widen the usage of these keywords, we will include their synonyms and form a set of terms in the form of a free text and terminology from each database; through this, the set intersection will be determined and will be the final result of the entry searches. We will tailor the format and search term combination to fit every electronic database. Search strategies in the MEDLINE (via PubMed) database are provided in Table 1.

2.5. Study selection

Two researchers (XYL and JLL) will conduct a literature search independently and select relevant articles in the databases mentioned above. Then, the results of literature searches will be transferred into EndNote, eliminating duplicated entries. Two researchers will

Search strategy for the MEDLINE electronic database using PubMed.	
Strategy number	Search items
1	Search ((((Temperature Mapping[Title/Abstract]) OR Mapping, Temperature[Title/Abstract]) OR Mappings, Temperature[Title/Abstract]) OR Temperature Mappings[Title/Abstract]) OR "Thermography"[Mesh]
2	Search ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((
3	Search ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((
4	#1 ANU #2 ANU #3



Figure 1. PRISMSA flow diagram of studies selection process. PRISMA=Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

peruse the articles independently with special attention to the titles, abstracts, and keywords and decide on their eligibility as per the agreed criteria. Contact will be made with the relevant studies' authors to access additional information, particularly in cases of missing data. Any disagreement will be settled by a third reviewer (RS). Reasons for article exclusion will be recorded. The flowchart of the selection process is presented on Fig. 1.

The following inclusion criteria will be applied: study design: studies in medical settings describing diagnostic accuracy with adequate information to enable the calculation of 2×2 tables without setting development time/monitoring limitations; population: adult subjects (aged 18 years and older) diagnosed with MSDs; Index test: IRT (using any apparatus or means); Reference standard: the clinical interpretation of image diagnostic exploratory tests (e.g., radiography, MRI, CT, or ultrasound scan).

The following exclusion criteria will be applied: do not analyze IRT value on MSD diagnosis; not fit into the modality of scientific production (for example, review studies, letters to the editor; case reports and series; laboratory studies); not a human study; not provide data necessary for calculating a 2×2 table for specificity and sensitivity; lack of full text or inability to obtain it.

2.6. Quality and risk of bias assessment

The Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) tool will be utilized for methodological evaluation.^[14] Concerns regarding applicability and risk of bias will be examined synchronously in QUADAS-2. Two researchers (XYL and YJZ) will conduct an independent quality assessment using the tool; a third independent researcher will be available to settle disagreements.

2.7. Data extraction

Two researchers (XYL and JLL) will independently extract the data as follows: study information: authors, country, year of

publication, and journal; study characteristics: research periods, fundings, research types, research methods; population characteristics: participants, ages, sexes, disqualified participants, study dropouts, and sampling techniques; a description of the diagnostic tests: index tests, reference, and comparator tests; timing and flow: time interval, interventions, and the training of researchers on the interpretation of the diagnostic tests; outcomes to estimate the test accuracy: a cross-tabulation of index test with a reference standard (2×2 table), sensitivity, positive likelihood ratio, specificity, negative likelihood ratio, diagnostic odds ratio (OR), and a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A third reviewer will settle discrepancies during data extraction.

2.8. Data synthesis and analysis

For the first step in data synthesis, the information will be collected from each selected study, and descriptive statistics calculated for each primary study. Kappa index (95% CI) was employed to calculate interrater reliability. Data analyses will be completed using STATA software (v14.0; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). A probability (*P*) value of <.05 will define statistical significance. Egger's linear regression test and funnel plot will be employed to estimate publication bias.

2.8.1. Diagnostic accuracy. We will extract the adequate data to build 2×2 tables for every study. Using these tables, we will calculate estimations of sensitivity (TP/[TP + FN]) and specificity (TN/[TN + FP]) (with 95% CI). Then the STATA 14.0 software (StataCorp LP) will be used to develop forest plot and summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curves. The randomeffect model will be utilized to combine the specificity, sensitivity, positive and negative likelihood ratio (PLR and NLR), and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) estimates due to heterogeneity, and analyze the SROC curves. The *y* axis represents sensitivity, whereas the *x* axis indicates specificity in the SROC curves. Each data point indicates one individual study. The area under the curve (AUC) will represent the final comparison. The AUC were categorized as follows: excellence, 0.90 to 1; good, 0.80 to 0.90; fair, 0.70 to 0.80; poor, 0.60 to 0.70 (poor); and failure, 0.50 to 0.60.

2.8.2. Heterogeneity analysis. Cochran Q-statistic will be utilized to evaluate the heterogeneities and variations within and between the studies. Subsequently, I^2 (range: 0% to 100%) was used to quantify the impact of heterogeneity among the trials in each analysis. I^2 values categorized heterogeneity follows: 0% to 40%, not important; 30% to 60%, moderate; 50% to 90%, substantial; 75% to 100%, considerable. In the case that we find a significant amount of unexplained heterogeneity, we will explore possible causes through subgroup analysis and perform stratified meta-analyses to exclude these sources.

2.8.3. Subgroup analysis. Subgroup analysis will be performed in cases where the studies included are not adequate. Additionally, we will conduct meta-regression. These analyses will consider factors such as age, country of publication, duration of index tests, and MSD risk factors and will be carried out to evaluate possible sources of heterogeneity in the present study. Covariates to be utilized include sample size, diagnostic criteria, and study design.

2.9. Patient and public participation

This study will not directly involve patients or the public.

3. Discussion

The recent impressive advancement of IRT in the quality of imaging and increasing computer processing power, the application of IRT in medicine is gaining popularity in disease diagnosis.^[15–19] In addition, the application of IRT to the diagnosis of MSDs can reduce the medical cost and radiation damage to humans. This may contribute to the development of health systems, especially in rural and remote areas, as well as bring new opportunities and challenges to the development of clinical guidelines.

Although studies are indicating that IRT enhances the precision of MSDs diagnosis, the current proof is inconclusive.^[20,21] Thus, suitable methods and tools for quality assessment will be employed to systematically examine the models used in MSD diagnosis to provide reliable proof for clinical practice. It is noteworthy that indepth scientific investigation is required to establish a diagnostic approach that can precisely reveal the meaning of the evidence provided. Therefore, this study is to assess the diagnostic accuracy of IRT for MSDs. The results are expected to provide an interdisciplinary field of current research and new directions for diagnosing MSDs injuries.

The main limitation of the present study is that its findings may be affected by the small sample size regarding the number of studies included and thus may not have a universal application.

3.1. Patient and public involvement

Patients and public will not be involved in this study.

Author contributions

Data curation: Xiaoyu Li, Jiali Lou. Formal analysis: Xiaoyu Li, Yajun Zhang. Funding acquisition: Jianqiao Fang. Methodology: Yajun Zhang, Haiju Sun, Xiaofen He. Project administration: Jianqiao Fang. Resources: Haiju Sun. Software: Xiaoyu Li, Yajun Zhang, Haiju Sun. Writing – original draft: Xiaoyu Li. Writing – review & editing: Yongliang Jiang, Jianqiao Fang.

References

- Weinstein SL. 2000–2010: the bone and joint decade. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2000;82:1–3.
- [2] Yelin E, Weinstein S, King T. The burden of musculoskeletal diseases in the United States. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2016;46:259–60.
- [3] Collaborators GBoDSGlobal, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015;386:743–800.
- [4] Bevan S. Economic impact of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) on work in Europe. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2015;29:356–73.
- [5] Mock C, Cherian MN. The global burden of musculoskeletal injuries: challenges and solutions. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008;466: 2306–16.
- [6] Sippel S, Muruganandan K, Levine A, et al. Review article: use of ultrasound in the developing world. Int J Emerg Med 2011;4:72.
- [7] Sanchis-Sánchez E, Salvador-Palmer R, Codoñer-Franch P, et al. Infrared thermography is useful for ruling out fractures in paediatric emergencies. Eur J Pediatr 2015;174:493–9.
- [8] Silva CT, Naveed N, Bokhari S, et al. Early assessment of the efficacy of digital infrared thermal imaging in pediatric extremity trauma. Emerg Radiol 2012;19:203–9.
- [9] Ring EF, Ammer K. Infrared thermal imaging in medicine. Physiol Meas 2012;33:R33–46.

- [10] Sanchis-Sánchez E, Vergara-Hernández C, Cibrián RM, et al. Infrared thermal imaging in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2014;203:875–82.
- [11] Knottnerus JA. Evidence Base of Clinical Diagnosis, The: Theory and Methods of Diagnostic Research. 2nd ed.Chichester, UK: Wiley; 2008.
- [12] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.
- [13] McGrath TA, Alabousi M, Skidmore B, et al. Recommendations for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy: a systematic review. Syst Rev 2017;6:194.
- [14] Whiting P, Rutjes AW, Reitsma JB, et al. The development of QUADAS: a tool for the quality assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy included in systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol 2003;3:25.
- [15] Wilkinson JD, Leggett SA, Marjanovic EJ, et al. A multicenter study of the validity and reliability of responses to hand cold challenge as measured by laser speckle contrast imaging and thermography: outcome measures for systemic sclerosis-related Raynaud's phenomenon. Arthritis Rheumatol 2018;70:903–11.

- [16] Sciascia S, Cecchi I, Massara C, et al. Thermography in systemic sclerosis patients and other rheumatic diseases: diagnosis, disease activity assessment, and therapeutic monitoring. Autoimmun Rev 2020;19:102449.
- [17] Singh D, Singh A. Role of image thermography in early breast cancer detection- past, present and future. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 2019;183:105074.
- [18] Saxena A, Ng EYK, Lim ST. Infrared (IR) thermography as a potential screening modality for carotid artery stenosis. Comput Biol Med 2019;113:103419.
- [19] Su TY, Ho WT, Chiang SC, et al. Infrared thermography in the evaluation of meibomian gland dysfunction. J Formos Med Assoc 2017;116:554–9.
- [20] Fane De Salis A, Saatchi R, Dimitri P. Evaluation of high resolution thermal imaging to determine the effect of vertebral fractures on associated skin surface temperature in children with osteogenesis imperfecta. Med Biol Eng Comput 2018;56:1633–43.
- [21] Bertmaring I, Babski-Reeves K, Nussbaum MA. Infrared imaging of the anterior deltoid during overhead static exertions. Ergonomics 2008; 51:1606–19.