Case of type 2 diabetes possibly caused by excessive accumulation of visceral fat in a child born small-for-gestational age

Remi Kuwabara (D), Tatsuhiko Urakami* (D), Kei Yoshida (D), Ichiro Morioka

Department of Pediatrics, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

Keywords

Small-for-gestational age, Type 2 diabetes, Visceral fat

*Correspondence

Tatsuhiko Urakami Tel.: +81-3-3293-1711 Fax: +81-3-3292-1880 E-mail address: urakami.tatsuhiko@nihon-u.ac.jp

J Diabetes Investig 2020; 11: 1366– 1369

doi: 10.1111/jdi.13246

INTRODUCTION

Small-for-gestational age (SGA) is defined in Japan as both birthweight and height less than the 10th percentile, and one of them <2 standard deviations for gestational age. SGA is one of the risk factors for metabolic syndrome, including hypertension, hyperlipidemia and type 2 diabetes, in adulthood¹⁴. The developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis proposed by Barker¹ has shown that the risks of chronic disease in adulthood are associated with fetal growth restriction, which alter normal patterns of growth and development. Furthermore, it has been hypothesized that adverse prenatal environmental stimuli, such as overnutrition and high-protein and fat intakes, induce increased susceptibility to metabolic syndrome in adult life¹. We encountered a 12-year-old boy with type 2 diabetes who was born SGA through the urine glucose screening program carried out in 2014. In the present report, we found that dominant accumulation of visceral fat in a case of SGA, as with a previous report², resulted in developing type 2 diabetes. We aimed to describe his clinical characteristics during type 2 diabetes development and a possible etiological factor for the development of hyperglycemia in children born SGA.

ABSTRACT

We encountered a 12-year-old boy with type 2 diabetes who was born small-forgestational age. We described his clinical characteristics and a possible etiological factor for development of hyperglycemia. He developed well with sufficient nutrition and progressed to being overweight at 6 years-of-age as a result of a high-calorie, high-protein intake diet. He showed a diabetic pattern with a normal insulin response on an oral glucose tolerance test carried out with the urine glucose screening program at schools. He showed a large total fat area of 239.4 cm²; in particular, his visceral fat area was 103.0 cm² with a high ratio of visceral fat area to subcutaneous fat area (0.76). The present case might show that insulin resistance, possibly as a result of accumulation of a great amount of visceral fat, might be attributed to the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes in children born small-for-gestational age.

CASE REPORT

A 12-year-old boy showed a positive result for urine glucose during urine glucose screening at school in the Tokyo Metropolitan Area in 2014. He was finally diagnosed with diabetes based on a 2-h plasma glucose level during an oral glucose tolerance test of 204 mg/dL and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) level of 6.9%. He was born SGA; his birthweight was 2,226 g, and birth height was 46.5 cm, both of which were <2 standard deviations and below the 10th percentile for gestational age. He developed well with sufficient nutrition and did not receive growth hormone therapy. He progressed to being overweight at 6 years-of-age as a result of a high-calorie, high-protein intake diet and a preference for sweet beverages. He tended to have a sedentary lifestyle and played video games for long time periods. He had a family history of type 2 diabetes (grandmother).

At the time of visiting Nihon University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan, his height was 141.5 cm (-1.4 standard deviation), bodyweight was 46.1 kg (percentage overweight for sex and age 31.2%, body mass index 23.0), waist circumference was 81.6 cm (waist circumference/height 0.55) and blood pressure was 86/42 mmHg. He had mild acanthosis nigricans on the neck; in terms of sexual development, he was Tanner stage 2.

He showed a diabetic pattern with a normal insulin response on an oral glucose tolerance test. The homeostasis model

Received 31 July 2019; revised 24 February 2020; accepted 28 February 2020

1366 J Diabetes Investig Vol. 11 No. 5 September 2020 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Diabetes Investigation published by Asian Association for the Study of Diabetes (AASD) and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. assessment of insulin resistance and homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function were within the normal range. He showed a diabetic HbA1c level (6.7%), whereas serum lipid and liver enzyme levels were within the normal range. All autoantibodies against pancreatic β -cells were negative, and genetic tests for maturity-onset diabetes of the young were also negative. Accordingly, he was diagnosed with type 2 diabetes (Table 1).

Liver echography showed a fatty change, and he had a large total fat area of 239.4 cm²; in particular, his visceral fat area (VFA) was 103.0 cm²; computed tomography at the umbilical level showed a high ratio of VFA to subcutaneous fat area (0.76) (Aquilion CX; Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan; Figure 1). VFA >60 cm² and VFA/subcutaneous fat area ratio >0.3 were defined as excessive accumulation of VF based on the diagnostic criteria by the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity.

During the course of diabetes, after the diagnosis, the patient moderate-to-high fasting serum insulin levels between 8 and 23 μ U/mL. He was initially treated with metformin 500 mg daily and shortly achieved optimal glycemic control with HbA1c levels <7.5%. However, his glycemic control gradually worsened, with HbA1c levels >8.0%, despite an increase in metformin dosage from 500 to 1,500 mg. Therefore, we started

 Table 1 | Laboratory data and results of the oral glucose tolerance test in the present patient

HbA1c (%)	6.7
Glycated albumin (%)	15.7
TC (mg/dL)	154
HDL-C (mg/dL)	42
LDL-C (mg/dL)	102
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	35
AST (IU/L)	30
ALT (IU/L)	44
Plasma glucose (mg/dL)	
0 min	92
30 min	117
60 min	173
90 min	189
120 min	204
IRI (µU/mL)	
0 min	4.5
30 min	10.9
60 min	18.9
90 min	21
120 min	17.2
HOMA-IR	1.0
ΗΟΜΑ-β	55.9
∆IRI/∆PG	0.3

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA- β , the homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PG, plasma glucose; TC, total cholesterol.



Figure 1 | Computed tomography at the umbilical level for assessing total fat area, subcutaneous fat area and visceral fat area.

administering dapagliflozin, a sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor, 5 mg daily after obtaining informed consent from him and his mother. Subsequently, his glycemic control improved, with HbA1c levels <7.5%, continued serum insulin levels between 7 and 12 μ U/mL, and reduced bodyweight (Figure 2). No adverse events, such as ketoacidosis and urogenital infections, were associated with dapagliflozin use.

DISCUSSION

Some studies have shown an association between low birthweight including SGA and a subsequent increase in the risk of type 2 diabetes^{3,4}, which supported the developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis¹. Contrastingly, other studies have shown that both low birthweight and high birthweight were risk factors for type 2 diabetes in the future, which showed a U-shaped relationship between birthweight and subsequent risk of type 2 diabetes instead of a linear inverse relation^{5,6}. Hofmann et al.⁷ reported intrauterine malnutrition as a causal factor for SGA that leads to insulin resistance and subsequent type 2 diabetes later in life. Low birthweight infants are likely to receive excessive neonatal feeding, leading to rapid neonatal weight gain, followed by overweight in later life; this might be a key etiological factor in addition to the association between low birthweight and risk of subsequent type 2 diabetes⁸.

Visceral obesity is known to be a significant risk factor of type 2 diabetes associated with insulin resistance. Both VF and SF have been reported to be correlated with insulin resistance; furthermore, VF was more strongly correlated to insulin resistance than SF in adults⁹. Metabolically unhealthy individuals have impaired adipose tissue function, which might result in great amounts of visceral adipose tissue, small amounts of subcutaneous adipose tissue and inflammation in visceral adipose



Figure 2 | Clinical course and treatment in the present patient. HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; PG, plasma glucose.

tissue. Accordingly, accumulation of VF, rather than that of SF, can be more strongly attributed to the occurrence of type 2 diabetes⁹. The present patient did not show elevated serum insulin resistance markers, such as serum insulin level and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, but showed an expanded VFA and high VFA/SFA ratio at the time of diagnosis. During the course of diabetes after improving glycemic control without glucose toxicity, he showed moderate-to-high levels of serum insulin, suggesting insulin resistance. These results suggest that individuals born SGA might have impaired adipose tissue function and tend to accumulate a great amount of VF rather than accumulation of SF, which possibly causes insulin resistance leading to type 2 diabetes later in life. In contrast, a relative lower response of insulin observed on an oral glucose tolerance test could be attributed to later development of type 2 diabetes¹⁰.

In conclusion, we first described a novel case born SGA developing type 2 diabetes with significant accumulation of visceral fat. Insulin resistance, possibly as a result of an accumulation of a great amount of VF, might be attributed to the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes in children born SGA. Early diagnosis through the screening program carried out at schools, and early intervention by dietary management and physical exercise are necessary for controlling diabetes; if necessary, appropriate pharmacological treatment should be provided.

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Barker DJP, Hales CN, Fall CHD, *et al.* Type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidemia (syndrome X); relation to reduced fetal growth. *Diabetologia* 1993; 36: 62–67.
- 2. Ibáñez L, Lopez-Bermejo A, Suárez L, *et al.* Visceral adiposity without overweight in children born small for gestational age. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008; 93: 2079–2083.
- Lithell HO, McKeigue PM, Berglund L, *et al.* Relation of size at birth to non-insulin-dependent diabetes and insulin concentrations in men aged 50–60 years. *Br Med J* 1996; 312: 406–410.
- 4. Rich-Edwards JW, Colditz GA, Stampfer MI, *et al.* Birthweight and the risk for type 2 diabetes in adult women. *Ann Intern Med* 1999; 130: 278–284.
- 5. Wei JN, Sung FC, Li CY, *et al.* Low birth weight and high birth weight infants are both at an increased risk to have type 2 diabetes among school children in Taiwan. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26: 343–348.
- 6. Sugihara S, Sasaki N, Amemiya S, *et al.* Analysis of birth weight at birth and at diagnosis of childhood-onset type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japan. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2008; 9: 285–290.
- 7. Hoffman PI, Regan F, Jackson WE, *et al.* Premature birth and later insulin resistance. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 35: 2179–2180.
- 8. Harder T, Schellong K, Rodekamp E, *et al.* Birth weight and later risk of type 2 diabetes. In Keiss W, Chemausek SD, Hokken-koelega ACS (eds). Small for Gestational Age: Cause

and consequences. Basal, Hungary: Pediatr Adoles Med, Basal, Karger, 2009; 13: 60–72.

- 9. Preis SR, Massaro JM, Robins SJ, *et al.* Abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue and insulin resistance in the Framingham heart study. *Obesity* 2010; 18: 2191–2198.
- Cook JT, Levy JC, Page RC, *et al.* Association of low birth weight with beta cell function in the adult first degree relatives of non-insulin dependent diabetic subjects. *BMJ* 1993; 30: 302–306.