DR MARIO CAGGIANO (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3163-7170)

Article type : Letter to the Editor

Title page

ARTICLE CATEGORY Letter to the Editors

ARTICLE TITLE mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine and Oral Lichen Planus: A case report

RUNNING TITLE mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine and Oral Lichen Planus

**KEY WORDS** COVID-19; Sars-Cov-2; m-RNA vaccine; oral lichen planus; oral lesions

## AUTHORS

<sup>a</sup>Mario Caggiano, macaggiano@unisa.it
<sup>a</sup>Massimo Amato, mamato@unisa.it
<sup>a</sup>Federica Di Spirito, fdispirito@unisa.it
<sup>a</sup>Marzio Galdi, marzio.galdi@gmail.com
<sup>a</sup> Laura Sisalli, dott.l.sisalli@tiscali.it

## **DEPARTMENTS AND INSTITUTIONS**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry "Scuola Medica Salernitana", University of Salerno, Via S. Allende, 84081, Baronissi (Salerno) and Complex Operating Unit of

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/ODI.14184

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Odontostomatology, Head and Neck Clinical Department, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona, Salerno, Italy.

## **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

Dr. Mario Caggiano DDS, PhD

<sup>a</sup> Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry "*Scuola Medica Salernitana*", University of Salerno, Via S. Allende, 84081, Baronissi (Salerno) and Complex Operating Unit of Odontostomatology, Head and Neck Clinical Department, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona, Salerno, Italy.

E-mail: mcaggiano@unisa.it

(E-mail can be published)

DATE OF SUBMISSION 25/02/2022

Accepted

Dear Editor,

BNT162b2 (Comirnaty) is a vaccine against COVID-19, based on messenger RiboNucleic Acid (m-RNA) technology, capable of encoding for SARS-CoV 2 spike protein, which is responsible of viral binding to target human cells (Polack et al., 2020).

On December 10, 2020 a clinical trial, published in the New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM), reported that two-dose regimen of Comirnaty vaccine was 95% effective in preventing COVID-19 (Polack et al., 2020). However, vaccine efficacy estimate has changed in relation to the time interval from the last administration and as a result of the circulation of new viral variants (Bian et al., 2021; Fiolet et al., 2021).

Serious adverse reactions have only been reported in the 0.7% of cases; mild to moderate pain at the injection site was the most frequently self-reported local reaction, while fever and fatigue were the main systemic ones (Thomas et al., 2021).

In June 2021, a 40-year-old male complained the appearance of bilateral lesions in buccal mucosa, one month after the administration of the second dose of Comirnaty vaccine.

Oral cavity examination revealed good oral hygiene, two dental amalgam fillings on the upper first molars and confirmed the presence of keratotic reticular patches as well as of erythematous and erosive lesions on the buccal mucosa of both cheeks.

Macroscopic appearance of the oral lesions was compatible with the diagnosis of Oral Lichen Planus (OLP). In order to assess the presence of systemic alterations as potential causes of the immunological reaction, appropriate hematochemical tests were prescribed; to confirm the clinical diagnosis an incisional biopsy was performed (Alrashdan et al., 2016) (Figure 1 d). Laboratory tests, shown in Figure 1 a,b,c, resulted negative, while histopathological findings (Figure 1 e) were suggestive of OLP (Ismail et al., 2007).

In proximity to the oral mucosa, mercury or other amalgam components, may trigger a type IV/delayed hypersensitivity immune section (Alrashdan et al., 2016). For this reason, the amalgam fillings were replaced and a follow-up was scheduled.

No clinical improvement of the oral lesions was observed after 6 months, excluding the possibility that amalgam could be considered the causative antigen.

OLP is a chronic cell-mediated inflammatory reaction, where CD8 cytotoxic lymphocytes play the main role against an unknown keratinocyte antigen, which is no longer recognized as self (Edwards & Kelsch, 2002), therefore, related lesions can be considered as the expression of different diseases and several local conditions, secondary to the loss of the immunological tolerance (Ismail et al., 2007). A drug-related lichenoid reaction tends to develop from 1 month to 2 years after the first intake of the drug (Woo et al., 2009). Specific scientific evidence on post-vaccine lichenoid reactions showed that the reaction occurred with a mean time of 14 days (Lai & Yew, 2017). Given these considerations, it could be hypothesized that the inoculation of the second dose of vaccine could have triggered a cell-mediated reaction responsible for the genesis of the lesions described.

To our knowledge, although OLP has been recently related to a vector-based COVID-19 vaccination (Ad26. COV2.S) (Troeltzsch et al., 2021), no OLP lesions have ever been described in association with m-RNA vaccines and the presented case may be considered as the first report appearing in the literature. Further clinical observations and wider studies with higher scientific evidence are evidently needed to validate such a finding and the putative role of m-RNA vaccines in OLP pathogenesis.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

**Data availability:** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article **Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflict of interest:** All the authors declare that no conflict of interest or financial relationship regarding any of the products involved in this study.

Ethics approval: Not applicable

Patients consent: Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor of this journal
Permission to reproduce material from other sources: Not applicable
Clinical trial registration: Not applicable

## REFERENCES

- Alrashdan, M.S., Cirillo, N., McCullough, M. (2016). Oral lichen planus: a literature review and update. *Arch Dermatol Res*, (308) 539–551. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-016-1667-2
- Bian, L., Gao, Q., Gao, F., Wang, Q., He, Q., Wu, X., Mao, Q., Xu, M., & Liang, Z. (2021).
   Impact of the Delta variant on vaccine efficacy and response strategies. *Expert Review of Vaccines*, 20(10), 1201–1209. https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2021.1976153
- Edwards, P. C., &Kelsch, R. (2002). Oral lichen planus: Clinical presentation and management. *Journal (Canadian Dental Association)*, 68(8), 494–499.

Fiolet, T., Kherabi, Y., MacDonald, C.-J., Ghosn, J., &Peiffer-Smadja, N. (2021). Comparing COVID-19 vaccines for their characteristics, efficacy and effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 and variants of concern: A narrative review. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection: The Official Publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, S1198-743X(21)00604-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2021.10.005

Ismail, S. B., Kumar, S. K. S., & Zain, R. B. (2007). Oral lichen planus and lichenoid reactions:
 Etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, management and malignant transformation. *Journal of Oral Science*, 49(2), 89–106. https://doi.org/10.2334/josnusd.49.89

Lai, Y.C, Yew, Y.W. (2017). Lichen planus and lichenoid drug eruption after vaccination. *Cutis*, (6):E6-E20. PMID: 29360907.

- Polack, F. P., Thomas, S. J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J. L., Pérez Marc, G., Moreira, E. D., Zerbini, C., Bailey, R., Swanson, K. A., Roychoudhury, S., Koury, K., Li, P., Kalina, W. V., Cooper, D., Frenck, R. W., Hammitt, L. L., ... C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. (2020). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *383*(27), 2603–2615. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
- Thomas, S. J., Moreira, E. D., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J. L.,
  Pérez Marc, G., Polack, F. P., Zerbini, C., Bailey, R., Swanson, K. A., Xu, X.,
  Roychoudhury, S., Koury, K., Bouguermouh, S., Kalina, W. V., Cooper, D., Frenck, R.
  W., ... C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. (2021). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2
  mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 385(19), 1761–1773. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2110345
- Troeltzsch, M., Gogl, M., Berndt, R., &Troeltzsch, M. (2021). Oral lichen planus following the administration of vector-based COVID-19 vaccine (Ad26.COV2.S). *OralDiseases*. https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.14025
- Woo, V., Bonks, J., Borukhova, L., Zegarelli, D. (2009). Oral Lichenoid Drug Eruption: A Report of a Pediatric Case and Review of the Literature. *Pediatric Dermatology*, 26 (458–464). https://doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1470.2009.00953.x.

Figure 1: (a) routine blood tests, (b) inflammatory index, (c) immunological records for connective tissue disease, thyroiditis and autoimmune gastritis, d) intraoral view of the lesion,e)hyperkeratosis without dysplasia and presence of lymphocytes infiltration at basement membrane zone

TESTS REQUIRED Blood Count	RESULT	NORMAL VALUE	TESTS REQUIRED	RESULT	NORMAL VALUE
Red blood cell	5.050.000 /mmc	4.4 to 5.6 millions/mmc	C3 complement	141 mg/dl	85 to 185
White blood cell	6.650 /mmc	4.000 to 9.000 /mmc	C4 complement	18 mg/dl	15 to 53
Hemoglobin	14,3 g/dl	13 to 17	C-Reactive Protein	0,57 mg/dl	0 to 0,50
Hemoglobin %	91 %		ESR (1 hour)	11 mm	0 to 20
Ht	45,1 %	42 to 50	Fibrinogen	306 mg/dl	200 to 400
MCV	89,3 fl	82 to 98	Anti-Helic. Pylori antibodies	13,2 U/ml	< 20 = negative
MCH	28,2 pg	27 to 32	IgG		8
MCHC	31,6 g/dl	33 to 37	Treponema: VDRL	Negative	Negative
RDW	12,1 %	11 to 15	Treponenia. VDRE	Regative	Negative
Differential white blood cell			TESTS REQUIRED	RESULT	NORMAL VALUE
count (%)			Rheumatoid factor	10.3 U/ml	< 25,0
Neutrophils	50 %	40 to 75 1 to 5	TSH	$2,097 \mu IU/ml$	0,350 to 4,940
Eosinophiles	5 % 0 %	1 to 5 0 to 1			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Basophils Lymphocytes	0 % 40 %	20 to 45	FT3	3,16 pg/ml	1,58 to 3,91
Monocytes	40 % 5 %	20 to 45 3 to 7	FT4	1,42 ng/dl	0,70 to 1,48
Differential white blood cell		3 10 7	Antimitochondrial antibodies	< 1:10	< 1:10
count (#)			Anti-DNA ds antibodies	1,4 U/ml	< 20
Neutrophils	3.325 /mmc	2.500 to 7.500	Anti-nuclear antibodies		
Eosinophiles	333 /mmc	50 to 400	Anti – SS-A	Absent	Absent
Basophils	0 /mmc	0 to 100	Anti – Ro-52	Absent	Absent
Lymphocytes	2.660 /mmc	1.500 to 3.500	Anti – SS-B	Absent	Absent
Monocytes	333 /mmc	200 to 600	Anti $-$ nRNP/Sm	Absent	Absent
Platelet	253.000 /mmc	130.000 to 400.000 /mmc	Anti – Sm	Absent	Absent
Blood type and Rh factor			Anti – Jo-1		
Blood type	Α	2		Absent	Absent
Rh factor	Positive	a	Anti – SCL-70	Absent	Absent

