

Review

Effects of Fat Supplementation in Dairy Goats on Lipid Metabolism and Health Status

Giovanni Savoini, Fabio Omodei Zorini, Greta Farina, Alessandro Agazzi , Donata Cattaneo and Guido Invernizzi * 

Department of Health, Animal Science and Food Safety “Carlo Cantoni”, University of Milan, 20133 Milan, Italy; giovanni.savoini@unimi.it (G.S.); fabio.omodei@unimi.it (F.O.Z.); greta.farina85@gmail.com (G.F.); alessandro.agazzi@unimi.it (A.A.); donata.cattaneo@unimi.it (D.C.)

* Correspondence: guido.invernizzi@unimi.it; Tel.: +39-02-503-17901

Received: 15 September 2019; Accepted: 27 October 2019; Published: 4 November 2019



Simple Summary: There is an increasing demand for information on the nutraceutical properties of food. Due to its bioactive components and high digestibility, goat milk is an excellent functional food. Dietary fat supplementation can further enrich the value of goat milk by modifying its acidic profile. Nevertheless, animal health can also benefit from lipids supplied with rations. In this review, the relationships between dietary fats and goat health status are summarized. Particular attention is paid to describing the effects of specific fatty acids on lipid metabolism and immune functionality.

Abstract: Fat supplementation has long been used in dairy ruminant nutrition to increase the fat content of milk and supply energy during particularly challenging production phases. Throughout the years, advances have been made in the knowledge of metabolic pathways and technological treatments of dietary fatty acids (FAs), resulting in safer and more widely available lipid supplements. There is an awareness of the positive nutraceutical effects of the addition of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) to fat supplementation, which provides consumers with healthier animal products through manipulation of their characteristics. If it is true that benefits to human health can be derived from the consumption of animal products rich in bioactive fatty acids (FAs), then it is reasonable to think that the same effect can occur in the animals to which the supplements are administered. Therefore, recent advances in fat supplementation of dairy goats with reference to the effect on health status have been summarized. In vivo trials and in vitro analysis on cultured cells, as well as histological and transcriptomic analyses of hepatic and adipose tissue, have been reviewed in order to assess documented relationships between specific FAs, lipid metabolism, and immunity.

Keywords: transition dairy goat; polyunsaturated fatty acids; lipid metabolism; immune response

1. Introduction

In recent years, the identification of various biologically active components in ruminant milk and dairy products, coupled with the growing attention of general consumers with respect to the nutraceutical and health properties of food, has generated interest on their potential beneficial effects on human health [1]. Goat milk has a high nutritional value and a large number of bioactive components [2]. Goat milk is also more easily digestible than bovine milk, mostly due to the higher β -casein fraction, smaller diameter of fat globules, and the absence of agglutinins [3,4]. All these features make goat milk a particularly appealing ‘functional food’.

Dietary manipulation of milk fatty acid (FA) composition represents an interesting approach to further improve the biological and nutraceutical properties of dairy goats, with beneficial effects on

both human and animal health. Generally, feedstuffs in the ruminant diet have a low content in lipids, most of which are unsaturated in nature (unsaturated fatty acids, UFAs). In particular, forages are rich in α -linolenic (C18:3n-3) and linoleic (C18:2n-2) acids [5], precursors of the n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) lines, respectively. Conversely, cereals and oilseeds—mainly used to increase the ration energy and lipid content—are richer in linoleic acid and oleic acid (C18:1n-9), a monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) widely represented in dairy milk fat [6]. In contrast to what could be expected, the FA profile of milk fat shows large differences from the dietary one. In fact, at least 60%–70% of dairy milk fat is composed of saturated fatty acids (SFAs), with a remaining 20%–35% MUFAs and only up to a 5% content in PUFAs [7]. These differences can be largely attributed to the rumen biohydrogenation process. During the biohydrogenation process, a large fraction of dietary UFAs—ranging from 60% to 90% [8,9]—undergo hydrolysis and several consecutive isomerization reactions that lead to their saturation. By consequence, the main FAs that reach the duodenum are stearic acid (C18:0) and various intermediates of reaction, mostly trans-isomers of oleic and linoleic acids [10,11]. PUFAs found in milk fat derive from the small amount of rumen-escaped PUFAs and—in a minor part—by the enzymatic activity of mammary $\Delta 9$ desaturase [12].

Nevertheless, it is undoubted how nutritional factors (forage type, forage/concentrate ratio, fat sources) and feed processing can still easily affect the FA profile of milk. It has been proved that dairy animals fed fresh forages and grazing grass produce milk higher in PUFAs compared to fodder-fed animals [13,14], and that the type of pasture can affect FA profile [15]. Variations of milk FA content were also reported as a consequence of different forage to concentrate ratios [16,17] as well as management and farming systems [18,19]. Likewise, lipid supplements have proved to be effective in modulating the acidic composition of milk [20–23]. In recent years several efforts have been made to increase the n-3 PUFA content of milk in both cows and goats. While n-3 PUFAs have the potential to improve long-term human health [24], unfortunately mammals are unable to synthesize them, a reason for which they necessarily have to be integrated through the diet [25]. Long chain derivatives of C18:3 n-3, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), are the two main essential n-3 PUFAs. Due to their poor content in traditional ruminant diets, EPA and DHA are very scarcely represented in dairy milk fat (<0.1% of total FAs) [26]. A possibility to enrich dairy ruminant milk with EPA and DHA is to provide feedstuffs high in them (fish oil, marine algae) or high in their precursor C18:3 n-3 (linseed). Common to dietary long-chain PUFAs, regardless of their source, is their low milk transfer rate [21], ascribed mainly to their rumen biohydrogenation and to their poor intestinal digestibility [27,28]. The effectiveness of dietary modulation of the milk FA profile can be increased through technological treatments aimed at protecting dietary lipids from ruminal biohydrogenation, such as emulsification [29], encapsulation [30], or supplementation with calcium salts [31]. Some authors hypothesize that goats and ewes, due to their higher rumen transit compared to cows, would be subjected to a lower biohydrogenation rate [32,33]. Data comparing FA transfer efficiency of goats and cows are inconsistent among different studies [6,34,35].

The effects of dietary lipid supplementation on animal production [21,36,37] and reproductive performance [38–40] are widely documented. On the other hand, there are fewer references to the effects of dietary lipids on the health status of dairy ruminants. This review will attempt to summarize current knowledge about lipid supplementation in dairy goats, with reference to the effect on lipidic metabolism and immune response.

2. Fat Supplementation and Lipid Metabolism

In the scientific literature it is possible to find a conspicuous number of references about the effects of dietary lipids on ruminant metabolism. Most of the studies, however, are more focused on lipid metabolism of the mammary gland, in order to identify the consequences in terms of production. Long-chain PUFAs (EPA and DHA) at the ruminal level are able to disrupt the biohydrogenation process, inhibiting ruminal conversion to stearic acid [41,42]. The effect of EPA and DHA on rumen lipid metabolism is of considerable interest, as it can be exploited to modify the acidic profile of the

milk. In an experimental trial conducted by Póti et al. [22], the administration of marine algae for a period of 16 days markedly modified the acidic profile of goat milk, with an increased concentration of PUFAs, MUFAs, and n-3 FAs, while reducing levels of SFAs. The shift in the rumen microbial population induced by PUFA supplementation, and the consequent increase in trans-intermediates of the linolenic acid biohydrogenation, also affects milk conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content [43–45]. Beneficial effects on human health are attributed to CLAs, such as protection from cancer and obesity, as well as anti-atherogenic and anti-diabetic properties [46]. Despite all these positive effects on the acidic composition of milk, the activity of PUFAs at the ruminal level is also considered to be the main cause of the reduction in the fat content of milk, a phenomenon often detected following fat supplementation [20,21]. Several trans-CLA reaction intermediates resulting from the incomplete ruminal biohydrogenation of FA are supposed to inhibit the mammary synthesis of FAs. These trans-CLA intermediates exhibit anti-lipogenic effects of variable magnitudes. In particular, trans10-cis12-CLA has been demonstrated to be partly responsible for milk fat depression (MFD) in dairy cows [47,48]. In a study by Zhang et al. [49], trans10-cis12-CLA downregulated the expression of various genes involved in de novo FA synthesis (*FASN*, *SCD1*, *ACACA*) in caprine mammary gland epithelium in vitro. The reason behind such an effect are still to be clarified. In a recent study by Vargas-Bello-Perez et al. [50] on goat mammary cells, saturated long-chain fatty acids, in particular stearic acid, were able to modulate milk fat synthesis partly via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ , while PPAR γ had an indirect role on PUFA regulation of milk fat-related genes: in particular it had a permitting effect for trans10-cis12-CLA and a blocking effect for DHA. Of note, other studies seem to indicate that trans10-cis12-CLA plays a less important role in dairy goats supplemented with fish oil than in dairy cows [35]. Whether this finding depends on differences in the responsiveness of the two species or species-specific lipogenic genes pathways is still unclear. Bernard et al. [51] found that goats were susceptible to MFD when supplemented fish oil but not sunflower oil, unlike cows in which milk fat content dropped in response to both treatments. Since various reports pointed out the relatively low sensitivity of the caprine species to the anti-lipogenic effects of ruminal biohydrogenation intermediates [35,52], fish oil-induced MFD experienced by goats in the latter study could be attributed to mechanisms other than direct trans-intermediate activity. An hypothesis in this regard takes into account the Δ 9-desaturase-mediated conversion of milk C18:0 (positively related to milk fat content in goats) to cis-9 C18:1, in order to reduce the milk fat fluidity to adequate levels for milk secretion [53–55]. This theory seems to find confirmation in the study conducted by Toral et al. [35], who recorded a significant decrease in milk C18:0 and cis9 C18:1 content and an increase in cis-9 C18:1/C18:0 ratio in both cows and goats when supplemented with fish oil. In spite of this, dietary C18:0 supplementation proved ineffective in mitigating fish oil-induced MFD in dairy ewes [56]. Moreover, Bernard et al. [51] underlined that lipogenic genetic pathway in mammary gland differed between the bovine and caprine species. In line with the latter finding are those of Suárez-Vega et al. [57], who evidenced how MFD induced by CLA supplementation was characterized by a wider downregulation of adipogenic genes in dairy ewe mammary glands than fish oil-induced ones; moreover, CLA-induced MFD but not fish oil-induced MFD affected genes involved not only in FA synthesis, but also in immune response, as evidenced by the milk somatic cell transcriptome analysis. In this regard, Zhang et al. [49] found that trans10-cis12-CLA increased the concentration of lipid droplets in caprine mammary gland cells. As discussed later on, an increase in lipid droplet content in the goat leukocyte cytoplasm is associated with the activation of immune and inflammatory mechanisms [58].

A more limited number of studies are aimed at evaluating the effects of fat supplementation on lipid metabolism in other organs and tissues. Fat supplementation seems indeed to influence lipid metabolism not only in the mammary gland but also in other organs of primary importance for animal health, such as liver and adipose tissue.

In an experimental study conducted on 18 dairy goats, dietary supplementation with saturated FA (hydrogenated palm oil) during the transition period proved effective in altering hepatic gene

expression [43]. In particular, palm oil treatment caused an increase in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (*PPAR α*) mRNA levels compared to a fish oil and basal diet. *PPAR α* is a ligand-regulated nuclear receptor that, upon activation by binding with specific ligands, increases the transcription of genes involved in various lipid metabolism processes such as peroxisomal FA uptake and oxidation, FA trafficking, and lipolysis [59]. Since it has been proved that *PPAR α* can modulate its own gene expression [60], it could be inferred that SFA supplementation can trigger *PPAR* biological activity. In vitro studies on bovine kidney cells were also effective in proving stearate and palmitate are strong activators of *PPAR α* target genes [61]. The greater responsiveness of ruminant *PPAR α* to SFAs is in contrast to data obtained from non-ruminant studies [62] and seems to be related to differences in spatial structure and electrostatic charge of their ligand binding domains [63]; this could reflect a species-specific teleological adaptive mechanism in response to the composition of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs: the major natural ligands and activators of *PPAR α*), which in ruminant species are mainly composed of SFAs [63]. This speculation seems to be in agreement with the results obtained by Agazzi et al. [43], who recorded an increase in the mRNA levels of various *PPAR α* target genes involved in FA synthesis (*ACACA*) and intramitochondrial transportation (*ACADVL*, *CPT 1A*) in dairy goats supplemented with palm oil. Nonetheless, other studies pointed out how UFA supplementation—compared to untreated basal diet—is still able to induce heighten *PPAR α* gene expression in goat liver [64]. In this regard, attention should also be paid to the dietary n-6 to n-3 ratio, since higher proportions of n-6 were found to downregulate *PPAR α* and *PPAR γ* gene expression in goat muscle tissue [65]. In any case, mixed results were obtained by evaluating the expression response of *PPAR α* in to n-3 PUFA supplement in other goat tissues, being able to upregulate it in muscle tissue [58] but proving to be ineffective in adipose tissue [66,67].

In a recent study conducted by Invernizzi et al. [68], liver and adipose tissue histological samples from goats fed different FA sources were collected and evaluated. The trial comprised 23 goats in their transition period—from -21 to 21 days in milk (DIM)—divided into three groups fed a basal diet, a diet supplemented with strongly saturated FA (stearate), or a diet supplemented with unsaturated FA (fish oil). Adipose tissue histology revealed that the reduction of adipocyte diameter (index of lipomobilization) was confined to a more limited time frame in the fish oil-fed group (from -7 to 7 DIM) compared to the other two groups (from -7 to 21 DIM) (Table 1). On the other hand, hepatocytes of goats fed fish oil on day 21 had higher levels of vacuolated cells (a marker of mild to severe cell suffering derived from lipomobilization) compared to the control and stearate group. Absence of necrosis foci and pyknotic nuclei implies a higher degree of chronic adaptation to lipomobilization compared to bovine species. The adaptation mechanism seemed to reach its highest in the fish oil group, as supported by the more gradual increase in suffering hepatocytes. In addition to histology, biochemical analysis was also performed and evidenced higher glycemic levels in both stearate- and fish oil-fed groups than on control group, validating the effectiveness of the widespread practice of supplementing fats during the peripartum to support the energy balance [69,70].

Table 1. Mean adipocyte area (μm^2) of subcutaneous adipose tissue of dairy goats fed with a basal diet (C), a diet supplemented with fish oil (FO), or stearic acid (ST) [68].

Time	Treatment ¹						<i>p</i> -Value ²		
	C	SD	FO	SD	ST	SD	Trt	Time	Trt \times Time
-7	3200.00 ^d	1002	2877.69 ^d	876	3088.80 ^d	1010	0.60	<0.01	<0.01
7	1970.44 ^e	523	1801.80 ^e	548	2156.33 ^e	718			
21	1157.74 ^{b,f}	216	1851.85 ^{a,e}	638	1066.66 ^{b,f}	269			

^{a,b} Values within each row with different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$). ^{d-f} Values within each column with different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$). ¹SD, standard deviation ²Trt, treatment effect.

In subsequent studies, the same tissue samples were subjected to molecular analysis to investigate the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism [71,72]. In hepatic parenchyma, stearate-fed animals exhibited a marked upregulation of the stearoyl-CoA desaturase (*SCD*) gene in the post-partum, a trend opposite to that showed by the other two groups. *SCD* encodes for stearoyl-CoA desaturase, a $\Delta 9$ desaturase analogous to that found in the mammary gland, thanks to which a small part of FAs exposed to ruminal biohydrogenation are dehydrogenated. Moreover, two genes encoding for enzymes involved in peroxisomal FA β -oxidation—*ACOX1* and *ACAA1*—were upregulated pre-partum in both stearate- and fish oil-fed groups, with the effect lasting longer in the fish oil group [72]. In adipose tissue, the mRNA levels of the *LPIN1* gene were heightened in both the experimental groups during the post-partum, in accordance with the findings of other studies [73]. This effect was more pronounced in the group supplemented with PUFAs. *LPIN1* is a gene encoding for lipin-1, a protein found in various anatomic district and with different functions closely related to lipid metabolism. Lipin-1 can modulate lipomobilization through its activity: in response to high intracellular lipid content, lipin-1 acts as a phosphatidate phosphatase (PAP) enzyme, converting phosphatidic acid into diacylglycerol and providing substrate for the synthesis of all classes of lipids while reducing free FA levels [74]. In addition to its PAP activity, lipin-1 also act as a co-binder of PPAR α [75], promoting hepatic FA β -oxidation, and exhibits pro-inflammatory activity [76]. By this view, the overexpression of *LPIN1* in adipose tissue of goats fed fish oil during the two weeks around parturition is consistent with what was found during hepatic histological analysis by Invernizzi et al. [68], who reported a more restrained level of lipid infiltration in these animals. Finally, *FASN* expression was downregulated in both dietary treatments from -7 days before kidding to 7 days in milk. *FASN* is the gene encoding for fatty acid synthase, and its reduction could be suggestive of decreased lipogenesis.

There is convincing evidence in caprine species also that maternal dietary fat supplementation during gestation and lactation can influence the metabolism of the offspring in different ways, such as altering their ruminal microbiome and adipose tissue proteome [77,78]. Some findings seem also to imply that the modifications induced by FAs on lipid metabolism could have a certain degree of heritability thanks to epigenetic mechanisms [79,80].

3. Fat Supplementation and Immune Response

The ability of specific FAs to modulate immune function and associated inflammatory processes has long been known and reported in scientific literature [81,82]. In general, it is currently accepted that dietary SFAs are related to increased risk of cardiovascular and coronary heart disease (CVD/CHD). The etiopathogenetic mechanism behind the association between SFAs and CVD/CHD is likely to be found in their tendency to raise blood low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels [83]. Despite this, dairy SFAs seem to have a smaller to neutral association with CVD/CHD risk compared to other dietary sources [84,85], and there is evidence that at least some of them—including several CLAs—do not lead to increased serum cholesterol values [86,87].

On the other hand, PUFA consumption—and in particular n-3 PUFAs—is considered beneficial to human health [88]. Observational studies support this thesis, underlining the beneficial effects associated with a lower SFA and a proportionally higher PUFA dietary regime [89,90].

n-3 PUFAs exert an anti-inflammatory action through different molecular mechanisms. Until a few years ago it was widely believed that, thanks to their double bonds, PUFAs were able to fluidify and stabilize cell membranes, avoiding activation of the arachidonic acid cascade and improving cellular functionality [91]. To date, this hypothesis is considered controversial due to contradictory results [92]. On the other hand, n-3 PUFA integration into the phospholipidic double layer is able to modify the composition of lipid raft, affecting the activity of the protein receptors associated [93]. Among these proteins potentially modulated by n-3 PUFAs, some are involved in the activation of both innate and acquired immune response, such as Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) [94] and Toll-Like-Receptor 4 [95]. The effect of n-3 PUFAs on TLR-4, in particular, would also affect inflammation on a secondary level, given the role played by TLR-4 in the activation of inflammatory

gene pathways; in this way, n-3 PUFAs are able to indirectly silence genes involved in the activation of the inflammatory cascade [96]. In addition, n-3 PUFAs also directly mitigate pro-inflammatory signaling of some transcription factors, including nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFκB) [97,98]. In recent years, growing interest has surrounded a series of bioactive oxygenated metabolites of PUFAs, namely oxylipins. The most well-known class of oxylipins is of pro-inflammatory eicosanoids derived from arachidonic acid. Oxylipins act as signaling molecules, with a pro-or anti-inflammatory action based on the PUFA line from which they originate. Simplifying, oxylipins derived from n-6 PUFAs (arachidonic acid, linoleic acid) exhibit pro-inflammatory capacity, while n-3-derived oxylipins are mainly anti-inflammatory [99]. Anti-inflammatory n-3-derived oxylipins (resolvins, protectins, maresins) are called specialized pro-resolving mediators (SPM) by means of their ability to resolve acute inflammatory states, protecting the body from various inflammatory and metabolic insults and contributing to tissue regeneration [100]. Despite the PUFA precursor line being a factor of primary importance in defining the pro-or anti-inflammatory oxylipin activity, recent acquisitions on the topic have clarified how the biological activity of oxylipins depends on a more than previously thought complex biosynthesis network modulated by multiple factors, comprising the amount of PUFA precursors available and the tissue-specific enzymatic activity [101]. Moreover, emerging evidence shows how some of the anti-inflammatory effects of PUFAs could be mediated by epigenetic mechanism [102], opening up fascinating scenarios about their putative inter-generational effects.

The anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory activity of n-3 is exploited in the field of animal science to obtain products of animal origin characterized by specific nutraceutical properties, able to provide a substantial contribution to protecting human health from pathological states. Nevertheless, supplementing n-3 in the diet has also proved to be able to directly and positively influence the health status of animals in their production phase.

Studies have been conducted in the past to assess the immunomodulatory effect of dietary n-3 PUFAs in goat, both in vivo and in vitro.

Goats fed a fish oil-supplemented diet during the transition period manifested a greater than control group skin reaction to a phytohaemagglutinin (PHA)-challenge skin test after kidding [103,104], demonstrating a higher level of cell-mediated immunity. Consistently, higher levels of circulating lymphocytes were found in supplemented animals, indicating that fish oil was effective in contrasting the drop of lymphocytes concentration and functionality occurring in the peripartum [105,106]. Supplementing n-3 PUFA to dairy goats altered the CD4+/CD8+ lymphocyte ratio during peripartum, favoring the CD4+ subset (T-helper lymphocytes) [107]. By contrast, the administration of corn oil rich in n-6 PUFAs reduced the surface expression of CD49d (α4 integrin)—a subunit of lymphocytes homing receptors that modulate tissue-specific cell trafficking—in lymphocytes of male castrated goats [108].

In vitro studies evaluated leukocyte functionality in fat-supplemented goats. Pisani et al. [109] found that neutrophils isolated from dairy goats produced less reactive oxygen species (ROS) (oxidative burst) when exposed to various doses (25, 100, 200 μM) of DHA, but not of EPA. Results also pointed out how even low doses (25 μM) were strongly effective in stimulating neutrophil phagocytic activity. This data implies a positive effect of n-3 PUFAs on neutrophilic activity, favoring phagocytosis while reducing extracellular release of ROS, that in situations of chronic and dysregulated inflammation can be potentially harmful for the host tissues. Similar results were also found by Lecchi et al. [110] in goat monocyte-isolated cells (Figure 1). A dose/effect dependence was identified, since the highest levels of phagocytic activity were stimulated by low-to-mid doses of EPA (25–100 μM; >47%) and only mid doses of DHA (100 μM; 25%). Higher doses of both EPA and DHA are not only shown to be ineffective on cultured monocyte cells, but also detrimental, as evidenced by the increase in caspase-7 and caspase-3 pro-apoptotic activity [58]. Through the same study, treatment of caprine monocytes with EPA and DHA caused a dose-dependent increase in intracytoplasmic lipid droplets. High-dose treatment (>200 μM) with EPA also significantly upregulated mRNA of *PLIN3*, a gene encoding for a protein

of the perilipin family (perilipin-3) which acts as a lipid droplet-associated protein contributing to lipid droplets formation [111]. Recent developments in research have expanded knowledge about lipid droplets, which are now recognized as structures actively involved in inflammatory and immune processes [112].




Figure 1. Effects of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA (A) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (B) on the phagocytic activity of caprine monocytes ($p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$; [110], modified).

In a study of Farina et al. [113] on adipose tissue, samples collected by goats subjected to different types of fat supplementation (stearate vs. fish oil vs. control) underwent molecular analysis aimed at identifying variations in the expression of genes involved in adipose inflammation. The study did not evidence any effect of FA supplementation on the inflammatory gene pathway, except for a significant decrease of interleukin 6 (*IL6*) expression in the stearate group compared to the control. Inflammation-related gene expression was instead affected by time around parturition, with a firm overexpression after kidding followed by a gradual decline at 21 days after parturition. This effect can be interpreted as a further confirmation of the differences in the bovine and caprine metabolic processes, the latter of which would seem to be characterized by a shorter duration and lower intensity of the modifications of the metabolic and immune functionality typical of the peripartum. In the study, quantitative PCR was also applied to evaluate the expression of selected target microRNA(miRNA). As for mRNA, none of the selected miRNAs showed diet-dependent variations in expression levels, but one miRNA associated with immune cell infiltration (miR-155) was overexpressed in the two weeks around kidding. Despite none of miRNAs directly associated with inflammation being overexpressed, adipose tissue immune cell infiltration is strongly associated with lipolysis and adipose inflammation phenomena commonly displayed throughout the transition period [114]. Since to our knowledge this is the first report of miRNA analysis performed on transition dairy goats' adipose tissue, whether these findings are attributable to a real lack of effect or to the choice of target miRNA still needs to be clarified.

4. Conclusions

Fat supplementation of the dairy goat diet is not considered a mere strategy to increase the energetic level of the rations. Continuous advances in the knowledge of ruminant lipid metabolism, coupled with the awareness of the multiple actions that specific FAs have on it, have led to their application in order to modulate the specific characteristics of animal production, such as increasing healthy UFA and CLA content. When opting to integrate fats into the diet in order to enrich the acidic profile of the milk, particular attention should be paid to the choice of lipid sources. However, PUFA supplementation has also shown its inhibitory effects on ruminal metabolism, with the consequent risk of a drop in milk fat content (MFD). Even if in goats this effect is less pronounced than in cows and sheep, to increase PUFA's transfer efficiency in milk, a good strategy could be to use rumen-protected or rumen inert fat supplements. To date, it is well known how adipose tissue does not only represent a simple reserve of body fat, but it is also an endocrinally and paracrinally active tissue capable of producing and secreting numerous lipid mediators. Similarly, dietary FAs exhibit modulatory

capacities with respect to metabolic, inflammatory, and immune processes, through direct action on receptors and transcription factors as well as through their bioactive oxygenated metabolites. Dietary FAs exert a modulating action on the expression of several genes involved in lipid metabolism. These effects are ubiquitous, occurring in various body tissues. As already mentioned, at the mammary gland level the major implications of dietary FAs action are of a productive nature, with the potential to modify the concentration and the acidic profile of milk fat. At the hepatic level the supplementation of fat with the diet is correlated to variations in the expression of a pool of genes involved in lipid metabolism. These effects seem to be more pronounced in the postpartum period, probably due to the challenge represented by the metabolic changes that affect the first days in milk. Attention should be paid also in this case to the class of FAs supplied, since SFAs and n-3 and n-6 PUFAs showed different affinity and effects on target genes. In general, SFAs and n-3 PUFAs are both PPAR α ligands, with SFAs proven to be more effective ligands while n-6 PUFAs act as PPAR α inhibitor. In addition, n-3 PUFA supplementation was associated with higher expression of various genes involved in β -oxidation and lipid mobilization in both hepatic and adipose tissue. Results from histological analysis of liver tissue consistently showed lower and more gradual fatty infiltration in fat-supplemented goats, particularly in those which received n-3 PUFAs. Regarding the immune modulatory activity of dietary fats, signs of improved immune functionality in n-3 PUFA-supplemented goats were clear. It can be assumed a beneficial effect of long-chain PUFAs on the host defense from non-specific immune stimuli, an aspect that—coupled with the energy supply provided by lipids—can be particularly useful during metabolic and immune stress conditions such as those that characterize the peripartum period. Further studies should be carried out to investigate the relationship between dietary fats and lipid droplets, intracellular organelles that are provoking a growing interest in relation to their newfound active role in immune and inflammatory processes. Molecular analysis studies seem to be more elusive, proving to be ineffective in identifying any correlations between dietary supplement and inflammation- and immunity-related genes. Nonetheless, comparison with the most numerous analogous studies on dairy cow allowed the identification of discrepancies between the two species in the time trend and intensity of inflammation, lipomobilization, and immune modifications that accompany parturition. In general, investigations on genetic pathway modifications can still prove extremely useful to increase our knowledge on the complex ensemble of homeorhetic mechanism put into action by ruminants in response to the metabolic and immune challenge they go through during the peripartum. In this regard, particular mention should be given to the studies aimed at evaluating goat miRNAs. Given the recent advances in understanding the epigenetic mechanisms underlying the gene silencing functions of miRNAs, these studies can pave the way for new insights on the nutritional modulation of transcriptomics (i.e., nutrigenomics).

Author Contributions: All the authors (G.S., F.O.Z., G.F., A.A., D.C., G.I.) contributed to conceptualization, methodology, analysis, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, project administration, and funding acquisition.

Funding: This research received no external funding and the APC was funded by the University of Milan.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bhat, Z.; Bhat, H. Milk and dairy products as functional foods: A review. *Int. J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *6*, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Park, Y.W. Bioactive Components in Goat Milk. In *Bioactive Components in Milk and Dairy Products*; Park, Y.W., Ed.; Wiley-Blackwell: Ames, IA, USA, 2009; pp. 43–81. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Park, Y.W.; Juárez, M.; Ramos, M.; Haenlein, G.F.W. Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2007**, *68*, 88–113. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Yadav, A.K.; Singh, J.; Yadav, S.K. Composition, nutritional and therapeutic values of goat milk: A review. *Asian J. Dairy Food Res.* **2016**, *35*, 7. [[CrossRef](#)]

5. Clapham, W.M.; Foster, J.G.; Neel, J.P.; Fedders, J.M. Fatty acid composition of traditional and novel forages. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 10068–10073. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Chilliard, Y.; Glasser, F.; Ferlay, A.; Bernard, L.; Rouel, J.; Doreau, M. Diet, rumen biohydrogenation and nutritional quality of cow and goat milk fat. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *109*, 828–855. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Markiewicz-Keszycka, M.; Czyżak-Runowska, G.; Lipińska, P.; Wójtowski, J. Fatty Acid Profile of Milk—A Review. *Bull. Vet. Inst. Pulawy* **2013**, *57*, 135–139. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Bickerstaffe, R.; Noakes, D.; Annison, E. Quantitative aspects of fatty acid biohydrogenation, absorption and transfer into milk fat in the lactating goat, with special reference to the cis-and trans-isomers of octadecenoate and linoleate. *Biochem. J.* **1972**, *130*, 607–617. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Mattos, W.; Palmquist, D.L. Biohydrogenation and availability of linoleic acid in lactating cows. *J. Nutr.* **1977**, *107*, 1755–1761. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Bauman, D.E.; Lock, A.L. Concepts in lipid digestion and metabolism in dairy cows. In Proceedings of the Tri-State Dairy Nutrition Conference, Ft. Wayne, IN, USA, 25–26 April 2006.
11. Buccioni, A.; Decandia, M.; Minieri, S.; Molle, G.; Cabiddu, A. Lipid metabolism in the rumen: New insights on lipolysis and biohydrogenation with an emphasis on the role of endogenous plant factors. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *174*, 1–25. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Conte, G.; Mele, M.; Chessa, S.; Castiglioni, B.; Serra, A.; Pagnacco, G.; Secchiari, P. Diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1, stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1, and sterol regulatory element binding protein 1 gene polymorphisms and milk fatty acid composition in Italian Brown cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2010**, *93*, 753–763. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Morand-Fehr, P.; Fedele, V.; Decandia, M.; Le Frileux, Y. Influence of farming and feeding systems on composition and quality of goat and sheep milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2007**, *68*, 20–34. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. O’Callaghan, T.F.; Hennessy, D.; McAuliffe, S.; Kilcawley, K.N.; O’Donovan, M.; Dillon, P.; Ross, R.P.; Stanton, C. Effect of pasture versus indoor feeding systems on raw milk composition and quality over an entire lactation. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2016**, *99*, 9424–9440. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Corazzin, M.; Romanzin, A.; Sepulcri, A.; Pinosa, M.; Piasentier, E.; Bovolenta, S. Fatty Acid Profiles of Cow’s Milk and Cheese as Affected by Mountain Pasture Type and Concentrate Supplementation. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 68. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Serment, A.; Schmidely, P.; Giger-Reverdin, S.; Chapoutot, P.; Sauvant, D. Effects of the percentage of concentrate on rumen fermentation, nutrient digestibility, plasma metabolites, and milk composition in mid-lactation goats. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 3960–3972. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Sterk, A.; Johansson, B.E.; Taweel, H.Z.; Murphy, M.; van Vuuren, A.M.; Hendriks, W.H.; Dijkstra, J. Effects of forage type, forage to concentrate ratio, and crushed linseed supplementation on milk fatty acid profile in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 6078–6091. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Butler, G.; Stergiadis, S.; Seal, C.; Eyre, M.; Leifert, C. Fat composition of organic and conventional retail milk in northeast England. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 24–36. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Lopez, A.; Vasconi, M.; Moretti, V.M.; Bellagamba, F. Fatty Acid Profile in Goat Milk from High- and Low-Input Conventional and Organic Systems. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 452. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Boeckaert, C.; Vlaeminck, B.; Dijkstra, J.; Issa-Zacharia, A.; Van Nespen, T.; Van Straalen, W.; Fievez, V. Effect of dietary starch or micro algae supplementation on rumen fermentation and milk fatty acid composition of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2008**, *91*, 4714–4727. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Cattaneo, D.; Dell’Orto, V.; Varisco, G.; Agazzi, A.; Savoini, G. Enrichment in n-3 fatty acids of goat’s colostrum and milk by maternal fish oil supplementation. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2006**, *64*, 22–29. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Póti, P.; Pajor, F.; Bodnár, Á.; Penksza, K.; Köles, P. Effect of micro-alga supplementation on goat and cow milk fatty acid composition. *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* **2015**, *75*, 259–263. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Toral, P.G.; Frutos, P.; Hervás, G.; Gomez-Cortes, P.; Juarez, M.; de la Fuente, M.A. Changes in milk fatty acid profile and animal performance in response to fish oil supplementation, alone or in combination with sunflower oil, in dairy ewes. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2010**, *93*, 1604–1615. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Shingfield, K.J.; Chilliard, Y.; Toivonen, V.; Kairenius, P.; Givens, D.I. Trans fatty acids and bioactive lipids in ruminant milk. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2008**, *606*, 3–65. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Cook, H.W.; McMaster, C.R. Chapter 7 Fatty acid desaturation and chain elongation in eukaryotes. In *New Comprehensive Biochemistry*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2002; Volume 36, pp. 181–204.

26. Lock, A.L.; Bauman, D.E. Modifying milk fat composition of dairy cows to enhance fatty acids beneficial to human health. *Lipids* **2004**, *39*, 1197–1206. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Lanier, J.S.; Corl, B.A. Challenges in enriching milk fat with polyunsaturated fatty acids. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *6*, 26. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Zymon, M.; Strzetelski, J.; Skrzyński, G. Aspects of appropriate feeding of cows for production of milk enriched in the fatty acids, EPA and DHA. A review. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* **2014**, *23*, 109–116. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Kitessa, S.M.; Gulati, S.K.; Ashes, J.R.; Fleck, E.; Scott, T.W.; Nichols, P.D. Utilisation of fish oil in ruminants: II. Transfer of fish oil fatty acids into goats' milk. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *89*, 201–208. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Olloqui, E.J.; Castañeda-Ovando, A.; Contreras-López, E.; Hernandez-Sanchez, D.; Tapia-Maruri, D.; Piloni-Martini, J.; Añorve-Morga, J. Encapsulation of Fish Oil Into Low-Cost Alginate Beads and EPA-DHA Release in a Rumino-Intestinal In Vitro Digestion Model. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *120*, 1800036. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Castaneda-Gutierrez, E.; de Veth, M.J.; Lock, A.L.; Dwyer, D.A.; Murphy, K.D.; Bauman, D.E. Effect of supplementation with calcium salts of fish oil on n-3 fatty acids in milk fat. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2007**, *90*, 4149–4156. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Chilliard, Y.; Ferlay, A.; Rouel, J.; Lamberet, G. A review of nutritional and physiological factors affecting goat milk lipid synthesis and lipolysis. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2003**, *86*, 1751–1770. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Sanz Sampelayo, M.R.; Chilliard, Y.; Schmidely, P.; Boza, J. Influence of type of diet on the fat constituents of goat and sheep milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2007**, *68*, 42–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Fougere, H.; Delavaud, C.; Bernard, L. Diets supplemented with starch and corn oil, marine algae, or hydrogenated palm oil differentially modulate milk fat secretion and composition in cows and goats: A comparative study. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2018**, *101*, 8429–8445. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Toral, P.G.; Chilliard, Y.; Rouel, J.; Leskinen, H.; Shingfield, K.J.; Bernard, L. Comparison of the nutritional regulation of milk fat secretion and composition in cows and goats. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2015**, *98*, 7277–7297. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Luna, P.; Bach, A.; Juárez, M.; de la Fuente, M.A. Effect of a diet enriched in whole linseed and sunflower oil on goat milk fatty acid composition and conjugated linoleic acid isomer profile. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2008**, *91*, 20–28. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Martínez Marín, A.L.; Gómez-Cortés, P.; Gómez Castro, A.G.; Juárez, M.; Pérez Alba, L.M.; Pérez Hernández, M.; de la Fuente, M.A. Animal performance and milk fatty acid profile of dairy goats fed diets with different unsaturated plant oils. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 5359–5368. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Gulliver, C.E.; Friend, M.A.; King, B.J.; Clayton, E.H. The role of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in reproduction of sheep and cattle. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **2012**, *131*, 9–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Mahla, A.S.; Chaudhari, R.K.; Verma, A.K.; Singh, A.K.; Singh, S.K.; Singh, G.; Sarkar, M.; Dutta, N.; Kumar, H.; Krishnaswamy, N. Effect of dietary supplementation of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) rich fish oil on reproductive performance of the goat (*Capra hircus*). *Theriogenology* **2017**, *99*, 79–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Silvestre, F.T.; Carvalho, T.S.; Francisco, N.; Santos, J.E.; Staples, C.R.; Jenkins, T.C.; Thatcher, W.W. Effects of differential supplementation of fatty acids during the peripartum and breeding periods of Holstein cows: I. Uterine and metabolic responses, reproduction, and lactation. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 189–204. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Boeckeaert, C.; Fievez, V.; Van Hecke, D.; Verstraete, W.; Boon, N. Changes in rumen biohydrogenation intermediates and ciliate protozoa diversity after algae supplementation to dairy cattle. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *109*, 767–777. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Zhao, T.; Ma, Y.; Qu, Y.; Luo, H.; Liu, K.; Zuo, Z.; Lu, X. Effect of dietary oil sources on fatty acid composition of ruminal digesta and populations of specific bacteria involved in hydrogenation of 18-carbon unsaturated fatty acid in finishing lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2016**, *144*, 126–134. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Agazzi, A.; Invernizzi, G.; Campagnoli, A.; Ferroni, M.; Fanelli, A.; Cattaneo, D.; Galmozzi, A.; Crestani, M.; Dell'Orto, V.; Savoini, G. Effect of different dietary fats on hepatic gene expression in transition dairy goats. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2010**, *93*, 31–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Buccioni, A.; Pauselli, M.; Viti, C.; Minieri, S.; Pallara, G.; Roscini, V.; Rapaccini, S.; Marinucci, M.T.; Lupi, P.; Conte, G.; et al. Milk fatty acid composition, rumen microbial population, and animal performances in response to diets rich in linoleic acid supplemented with chestnut or quebracho tannins in dairy ewes. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2015**, *98*, 1145–1156. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

45. Tsiplakou, E.; Zervas, G. Changes in milk and plasma fatty acid profile in response to fish and soybean oil supplementation in dairy sheep. *J. Dairy Res.* **2013**, *80*, 205–213. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Benjamin, S.; Spener, F. Conjugated linoleic acids as functional food: An insight into their health benefits. *Nutr. Metab.* **2009**, *6*, 36. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Baumgard, L.H.; Matitashvili, E.; Corl, B.A.; Dwyer, D.A.; Bauman, D.E. trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid decreases lipogenic rates and expression of genes involved in milk lipid synthesis in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2002**, *85*, 2155–2163. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Chilliard, Y.; Ferlay, A. Dietary lipids and forages interactions on cow and goat milk fatty acid composition and sensory properties. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* **2004**, *44*, 467–492. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Zhang, T.; Ma, Y.; Wang, H.; Loor, J.J.; Xu, H.; Shi, H.; Luo, J. Trans10, cis12 conjugated linoleic acid increases triacylglycerol accumulation in goat mammary epithelial cells in vitro. *Anim. Sci. J.* **2018**, *89*, 432–440. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Vargas-Bello-Perez, E.; Zhao, W.; Bionaz, M.; Luo, J.; Loor, J.J. Nutrigenomic Effect of Saturated and Unsaturated Long Chain Fatty Acids on Lipid-Related Genes in Goat Mammary Epithelial Cells: What Is the Role of PPARgamma? *Vet. Sci.* **2019**, *6*, 54. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Bernard, L.; Toral, P.G.; Chilliard, Y. Comparison of mammary lipid metabolism in dairy cows and goats fed diets supplemented with starch, plant oil, or fish oil. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2017**, *100*, 9338–9351. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Shingfield, K.J.; Bernard, L.; Leroux, C.; Chilliard, Y. Role of trans fatty acids in the nutritional regulation of mammary lipogenesis in ruminants. *Animal* **2010**, *4*, 1140–1166. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Bernard, L.; Bonnet, M.; Delavaud, C.; Delosière, M.; Ferlay, A.; Fougère, H.; Graulet, B. Milk Fat Globule in Ruminant: Major and Minor Compounds, Nutritional Regulation and Differences Among Species. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *120*, 1700039. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Loor, J.J.; Doreau, M.; Chardigny, J.M.; Ollier, A.; Sebedio, J.L.; Chilliard, Y. Effects of ruminal or duodenal supply of fish oil on milk fat secretion and profiles of trans-fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid isomers in dairy cows fed maize silage. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *119*, 227–246. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Toral, P.G.; Rouel, J.; Bernard, L.; Chilliard, Y. Interaction between fish oil and plant oils or starchy concentrates in the diet: Effects on dairy performance and milk fatty acid composition in goats. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *198*, 67–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Toral, P.G.; Hervás, G.; Carreño, D.; Frutos, P. Does supplemental 18:0 alleviate fish oil-induced milk fat depression in dairy ewes? *J. Dairy Sci.* **2016**, *99*, 1133–1144. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Suárez-Vega, A.; Toral, P.G.; Gutiérrez-Gil, B.; Hervás, G.; Arranz, J.J.; Frutos, P. Elucidating fish oil-induced milk fat depression in dairy sheep: Milk somatic cell transcriptome analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 45905. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Lecchi, C.; Invernizzi, G.; Agazzi, A.; Modina, S.; Sartorelli, P.; Savoini, G.; Cecilian, F. Effects of EPA and DHA on lipid droplet accumulation and mRNA abundance of PAT proteins in caprine monocytes. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **2013**, *94*, 246–251. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Rakhshandehroo, M.; Knoch, B.; Müller, M.; Kersten, S. Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Alpha Target Genes. *PPAR Res.* **2010**, *2010*, 612089. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Mandard, S.; Muller, M.; Kersten, S. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha target genes. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2004**, *61*, 393–416. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Bionaz, M.; Chen, S.; Khan, M.J.; Loor, J.J. Functional Role of PPARs in Ruminants: Potential Targets for Fine-Tuning Metabolism during Growth and Lactation. *PPAR Res.* **2013**, *2013*, 684159. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Grygiel-Gorniak, B. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and their ligands: Nutritional and clinical implications—A review. *Nutr. J.* **2014**, *13*, 17. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Bionaz, M.; Thering, B.J.; Loor, J.J. Fine metabolic regulation in ruminants via nutrient-gene interactions: Saturated long-chain fatty acids increase expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism and immune response partly through PPAR-alpha activation. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2012**, *107*, 179–191. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Ebrahimi, M.; Rajion, M.A.; Meng, G.Y.; Farjam, A.S.; Oskoueian, E.; Jafari, S. Diet high in α -linolenic acid up-regulate PPAR- α gene expression in the liver of goats. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *18*, 210–214. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Ebrahimi, M.; Rajion, M.A.; Jafari, S.; Faseleh Jahromi, M.; Oskoueian, E.; Qurni Sazili, A.; Goh, Y.M.; Ghaffari, M.H. Effects of dietary n-6: N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid ratios on meat quality, carcass characteristics, tissue fatty acid profiles, and expression of lipogenic genes in growing goats. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0188369. [[CrossRef](#)]

66. Ebrahimi, M.; Rajion, M.A.; Goh, Y.M. Effects of oils rich in linoleic and alpha-linolenic acids on fatty acid profile and gene expression in goat meat. *Nutrients* **2014**, *6*, 3913–3928. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Ebrahimi, M.; Rajion, M.A.; Goh, Y.M.; Sazili, A.Q.; Schonewille, J.T. Effect of linseed oil dietary supplementation on fatty acid composition and gene expression in adipose tissue of growing goats. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 194625. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Invernizzi, G.; Modena, S.; Corbani, D.; Bronzo, V.; Pisani, L.F.; Caputo, J.M.; Agazzi, A.; Dell'Orto, V.; Savoini, G. Hepatic and subcutaneous adipose tissue variations in transition dairy goats fed saturated or unsaturated fat supplemented diets. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2016**, *144*, 211–219. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Ballou, M.A.; Gomes, R.C.; Juchem, S.O.; DePeters, E.J. Effects of dietary supplemental fish oil during the peripartum period on blood metabolites and hepatic fatty acid compositions and total triacylglycerol concentrations of multiparous Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2009**, *92*, 657–669. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Weiss, W.P.; Pinos-Rodríguez, J.M.; Wyatt, D.J. The value of different fat supplements as sources of digestible energy for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2011**, *94*, 931–939. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Caputo, J.M.; Invernizzi, G.; Campagnoli, A.; Farina, G.; Ferroni, M.; Agazzi, A.; Savoini, G. Dietary fish oil and stearate action on adipose lipid metabolism transcriptomics in periparturient dairy goats. In Proceedings of the 21st ASPA Congress, Milan, Italy, 9–12 June 2015; p. 72.
72. Caputo, J.M.; Invernizzi, G.; Ferroni, M.; Agazzi, A.; Loor, J.J.; Dell'Orto, V.; Savoini, G. Liver gene expression in periparturient dairy goats fed diets enriched with stearate or PUFA. In Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science, Copenhagen, Denmark, 25–29 August 2014; p. 402.
73. Thering, B.J.; Graugnard, D.E.; Piantoni, P.; Loor, J.J. Adipose tissue lipogenic gene networks due to lipid feeding and milk fat depression in lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2009**, *92*, 4290–4300. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Reue, K.; Brindley, D.N. Thematic Review Series: Glycerolipids. Multiple roles for lipins/phosphatidate phosphatase enzymes in lipid metabolism. *J. Lipid Res.* **2008**, *49*, 2493–2503. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Finck, B.N.; Gropler, M.C.; Chen, Z.; Leone, T.C.; Croce, M.A.; Harris, T.E.; Lawrence, J.C.; Kelly, D.P. Lipin 1 is an inducible amplifier of the hepatic PGC-1alpha/PPARalpha regulatory pathway. *Cell Metab.* **2006**, *4*, 199–210. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Meana, C.; Pena, L.; Lorden, G.; Esquinas, E.; Guijas, C.; Valdearcos, M.; Balsinde, J.; Balboa, M.A. Lipin-1 integrates lipid synthesis with proinflammatory responses during TLR activation in macrophages. *J. Immunol.* **2014**, *193*, 4614–4622. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Dewanckele, L.; Vlaeminck, B.; Hernandez-Sanabria, E.; Ruiz-González, A.; Debruyne, S.; Jeyanathan, J.; Fievez, V. Rumen Biohydrogenation and Microbial Community Changes Upon Early Life Supplementation of 22:6. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 573. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. Restelli, L.; Marques, A.T.; Savoini, G.; Invernizzi, G.; Carisetti, M.; Lecchi, C.; Bendixen, E.; Cecilian, F. Saturated or unsaturated fat supplemented maternal diets influence omental adipose tissue proteome of suckling goat-kids. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **2019**, *125*, 451–458. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
79. Heberden, C.; Maximin, E. Epigenetic Effects of N-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids. In *Handbook of Nutrition, Diet, and Epigenetics*; Patel, V., Preedy, V., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; pp. 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Jacometo, C.B.; Schmitt, E.; Pfeifer, L.F.; Schneider, A.; Bado, F.; da Rosa, F.T.; Halfen, S.; Del Pino, F.A.; Loor, J.J.; Correa, M.N.; et al. Linoleic and alpha-linolenic fatty acid consumption over three generations exert cumulative regulation of hepatic expression of genes related to lipid metabolism. *Genes Nutr.* **2014**, *9*, 405. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
81. DeWille, J.W.; Fraker, P.J.; Romsos, D.R. Effects of Essential Fatty Acid Deficiency, and Various Levels of Dietary Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids, on Humoral Immunity in Mice. *Nutr. J.* **1979**, *109*, 1018–1027. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
82. Hwang, D. Essential fatty acids and immune response. *FASEB J.* **1989**, *3*, 2052–2061. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
83. Mensink, R.P. *Effects of Saturated Fatty Acids on Serum Lipids and Lipoproteins: A Systematic Review and Regression Analysis*; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
84. Lordan, R.; Tsoupras, A.; Mitra, B.; Zabetakis, I. Dairy Fats and Cardiovascular Disease: Do We Really Need to be Concerned? *Foods* **2018**, *7*, 29. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Pimpin, L.; Wu, J.H.; Haskelberg, H.; Del Gobbo, L.; Mozaffarian, D. Is Butter Back? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Butter Consumption and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, and Total Mortality. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0158118. [[CrossRef](#)]

86. Bauman, D.E.; Mather, I.H.; Wall, R.J.; Lock, A.L. Major advances associated with the biosynthesis of milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2006**, *89*, 1235–1243. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Lordan, R.; Zabetakis, I. Invited review: The anti-inflammatory properties of dairy lipids. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2017**, *100*, 4197–4212. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Haenlein, G.F.W. Goat milk in human nutrition. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2004**, *51*, 155–163. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Holl ander, P.L.; Ross, A.B.; Kristensen, M. Whole-grain and blood lipid changes in apparently healthy adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2015**, *102*, 556–572. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
90. Sacks Frank, M.; Lichtenstein Alice, H.; Wu Jason, H.Y.; Appel Lawrence, J.; Creager Mark, A.; Kris-Etherton Penny, M.; Miller, M.; Rimm Eric, B.; Rudel Lawrence, L.; Robinson Jennifer, G.; et al. Dietary Fats and Cardiovascular Disease: A Presidential Advisory From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **2017**, *136*, e1–e23. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Calder, P.C. N-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids, Inflammation, and Inflammatory Diseases. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *83*, 1505S–1519S. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
92. De Santis, A.; Varela, Y.; Sot, J.; D’Errico, G.; Goni, F.M.; Alonso, A. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids do not fluidify bilayers in the liquid-crystalline state. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 16240. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
93. Fan, Y.Y.; Fuentes, N.R.; Hou, T.Y.; Barhoumi, R.; Li, X.C.; Deutz, N.E.P.; Engelen, M.P.K.J.; McMurray, D.N.; Chapkin, R.S. Remodelling of primary human CD4+ T cell plasma membrane order by n-3 PUFA. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2018**, *119*, 163–175. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Schweitzer, S.C.; Reding, A.M.; Patton, H.M.; Sullivan, T.P.; Stubbs, C.E.; Villalobos-Menuey, E.; Huber, S.A.; Newell, M.K. Endogenous versus exogenous fatty acid availability affects lysosomal acidity and MHC class II expression. *J. Lipid Res.* **2006**, *47*, 2525–2537. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Wong, S.W.; Kwon, M.J.; Choi, A.M.; Kim, H.P.; Nakahira, K.; Hwang, D.H. Fatty acids modulate Toll-like receptor 4 activation through regulation of receptor dimerization and recruitment into lipid rafts in a reactive oxygen species-dependent manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 27384–27392. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Hwang, D.H.; Kim, J.A.; Lee, J.Y. Mechanisms for the activation of Toll-like receptor 2/4 by saturated fatty acids and inhibition by docosahexaenoic acid. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *785*, 24–35. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. De Pascale, C.; Graham, V.; Fowkes, R.C.; Wheeler-Jones, C.P.; Botham, K.M. Suppression of nuclear factor-kappaB activity in macrophages by chylomicron remnants: Modulation by the fatty acid composition of the particles. *FEBS J.* **2009**, *276*, 5689–5702. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Zhao, Y.; Joshi-Barve, S.; Barve, S.; Chen, L.H. Eicosapentaenoic acid prevents LPS-induced TNF-alpha expression by preventing NF-kappaB activation. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **2004**, *23*, 71–78. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
99. Kang, J.X.; Weylandt, K.H. Modulation of inflammatory cytokines by omega-3 fatty acids. *Subcell. Biochem.* **2008**, *49*, 133–143. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
100. Serhan, C.N.; Chiang, N.; Dalli, J. New pro-resolving n-3 mediators bridge resolution of infectious inflammation to tissue regeneration. *Mol. Asp. Med.* **2018**, *64*, 1–17. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
101. Sordillo, L.M. Symposium review: Oxylipids and the regulation of bovine mammary inflammatory responses. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2018**, *101*, 5629–5641. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Maktoobian Baharanchi, E.; Moradi Sarabi, M.; Naghibalhossaini, F. Effects of Dietary Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids on DNA Methylation and the Expression of DNMT3b and PPARalpha Genes in Rats. *Avicenna J. Med. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *10*, 214–219.
103. Agazzi, A.; Cattaneo, D.; Dell’Orto, V.; Moroni, P.; Bonizzi, L.; Pasotto, D.; Bronzo, V.; Savoini, G. Effect of administration of fish oil on aspects of cell-mediated immune response in periparturient dairy goats. *Small Rumin. Res.* **2004**, *55*, 77–83. [[CrossRef](#)]
104. Bragaglio, A.; Braghieri, A.; Napolitano, F.; De Rosa, G.; Riviezzi, A.M.; Surianello, F.; Pacelli, C. Omega-3 supplementation, milk quality and cow immune-competence. *Ital. J. Agron.* **2015**, *10*, 9–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Kashiwazaki, Y.; Maede, Y.; Namioka, S. Transformation of bovine peripheral blood lymphocytes in the perinatal period. *Nihon Juigaku Zasshi* **1985**, *47*, 337–339. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Lacetera, N.; Scalia, D.; Bernabucci, U.; Ronchi, B.; Pirazzi, D.; Nardone, A. Lymphocyte functions in overconditioned cows around parturition. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2005**, *88*, 2010–2016. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Bronzo, V.; Puricelli, M.; Agazzi, A.; Invernizzi, G.; Ferroni, M.; Moroni, P.; Savoini, G. Effects of protected fish oil in the diet of periparturient dairy goats on phenotypic variation in blood and milk leukocytes. *Animal* **2010**, *4*, 1510–1517. [[CrossRef](#)]

108. Thanasak, J.; Rutten, V.P.; Schonewille, J.T.; Hoek, A.; Beynen, A.C.; Noordhuizen, J.P.; Müller, K.E. Effect of a dietary n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplement on distinct immune functions of goats. *J. Vet. Med. A Physiol. Pathol. Clin. Med.* **2004**, *51*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
109. Pisani, L.F.; Lecchi, C.; Invernizzi, G.; Sartorelli, P.; Savoini, G.; Ceciliani, F. In vitro modulatory effect of ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (EPA and DHA) on phagocytosis and ROS production of goat neutrophils. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **2009**, *131*, 79–85. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Lecchi, C.; Invernizzi, G.; Agazzi, A.; Ferroni, M.; Pisani, L.F.; Savoini, G.; Ceciliani, F. In vitro modulation of caprine monocyte immune functions by ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Vet. J.* **2011**, *189*, 353–355. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
111. Wolins, N.E.; Brasaemle, D.L.; Bickel, P.E. A proposed model of fat packaging by exchangeable lipid droplet proteins. *FEBS Lett.* **2006**, *580*, 5484–5491. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Monson, E.A.; Crosse, K.M.; Das, M.; Helbig, K.J. Lipid droplet density alters the early innate immune response to viral infection. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0190597. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Farina, G.; Invernizzi, G.; Perricone, V.; Agazzi, A.; Cattaneo, D.; Loor, J.J.; Savoini, G. Fatty acid supplementation in transition goats: A transcriptional study related to inflammation. In Proceedings of the 68th EAAP Annual Meeting, Tallin, Estonia, 28 August–1 September 2017.
114. Contreras, G.A.; Strieder-Barboza, C.; Raphael, W. Adipose tissue lipolysis and remodeling during the transition period of dairy cows. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *8*, 41. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).