





## Genome Sequences of Six Prophages Isolated from Staphylococcus pseudintermedius Strains Recovered from **Human and Animal Clinical Specimens**

Juliette R. K. Wipf, a Douglas R. Deutsch, a Lars F. Westblade, b,c Vincent A. Fischettia

 ${}^{a}\text{Laboratory of Bacterial Pathogenesis and Immunology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA}\\$ Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, USA <sup>c</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, USA

ABSTRACT Staphylococcus pseudintermedius is a common bacterial pathogen in companion animal medicine and has demonstrated zoonotic potential. Here, we report six new Staphylococcus pseudintermedius prophage genomes of the Siphoviridae family, identified in isolates recovered from human and canine clinical specimens.

\*taphylococcus pseudintermedius is a frequently isolated opportunistic pathogen of dogs and other animals, mainly causing pyoderma, wound infections, and otitis media (1-3); it also causes infections in humans, mainly affecting skin and soft tissues, with canines as a presumed source of infection (4-10). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius infections have emerged in both companion animal and human medicine over the last decade and have emphasized the clinical importance of this opportunistic pathogen (3-5, 8, 10, 11).

The mobile elements involved in antibiotic resistance development and host adaptation of S. pseudintermedius are under investigation (3, 12-16). In Staphylococcus aureus, intra- and extrachromosomally located prophages play a significant role in virulence and adaptation processes (17-20). The impact of prophages on other staphylococcal species is expected to occur to a comparable extent (14, 17, 21). In S. pseudintermedius, it has recently been shown that potent leukocidin toxins are encoded on a degenerate prophage (22). Here, we report six novel prophage genomes of S. pseudintermedius, isolated from two human and two canine clinical strains (Table 1). Strains were isolated using standard of clinical care bacteriology cultures (23). Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from strains grown overnight at 37°C in Bacto brain heart infusion (Becton, Dickinson, and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) using the Genomic-tip 100/G with 1.5  $\mu$ g/ml lysostaphin added to buffer B1 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). To detect any plasmidial or episomal prophages, the extrachromosomal DNA (exDNA) of the strains was isolated as previously described (18, 20). Libraries of gDNA and exDNA were prepared using the TruSeq DNA library preparation kit version 2 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed using a high-output kit on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform, creating  $1 \times 75$ -bp reads. Reads were quality controlled with the ShortRead Bioconductor package version 1.28.0 (http://bioconductor.org/packages/ ShortRead/) and de novo assembled using the Geneious assembler on Geneious version 10.0 set to medium to low sensitivity (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand) (24). The PHAge Search Tool Enhanced Release (PHASTER) Web service was used to identify contigs containing prophage sequences (25). The genomes of phiSP15-1, phiSP44-1, and phiSP119-2 were found to be complete on single contigs. For the remaining prophages, preliminary PHASTER Web service alignment was used to assign contigs to prophage structural regions and create prophage genome scaffolds (25). The phiSP119-1 genome was distributed over two contigs, while the phiSP38-1 and

Citation Wipf JRK, Deutsch DR, Westblade LF, Fischetti VA. 2019. Genome sequences of six prophages isolated from Staphylococcus pseudintermedius strains recovered from human and animal clinical specimens. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00387-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00387-19.

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University

Copyright © 2019 Wipf et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Vincent A. Fischetti, vaf@rockefeller.com.

Received 15 April 2019 Accepted 4 June 2019 Published 11 July 2019



TABLE 1 Data for the six prophages isolated from four Staphylococcus pseudintermedius strains

	Data for prophage:					
Parameter	phiSP15-1	phiSP38-1	phiSP44-1	phiSP119-1	phiSP119-2	phiSP119-3
Strain	14-29-15	14-29-38	14-29-44	14-29-119	14-29-119	14-29-119
Isolation source	Human blood	Canine surgical implant	Canine skin	Human sinus	Human sinus	Human sinus
MLST <sup>a</sup>	1045 <sup>e</sup>	84	892	568	568	568
mecA <sup>b</sup>	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive
No. of reads for gDNA/exDNA	8,523,888/6,783,702	8,019,433/5,077,526	5,031,963/6,912,836	9,385,394/5,906,351	9,385,394/5,906,351	9,385,394/5,906,351
No. of contigs of ≥1,000 bp for gDNA/exDNA	56/52	53/58	59/53	65/101	65/101	65/101
$N_{50}$ for gDNA/exDNA (bp)	94,254/87,646	93,334/98,185	90,846/102,595	89,522/105,092	89,522/105,092	89,522/105,092
GenBank accession no.	MK075001	MK075002	MK075003	MK075004	MK075005	MK075006
Coverage $^c$ for gDNA/exDNA ( $\times$ )	254/194	305/196	181/510	344/37	273/20	471/38
Prophage size (bp)	43,756	40,765	39,156	44,497	40,011	41,416
Phage type	Sfi11-like	Sfi21-like	Sfi11-like	Sfi21-like	Sfi21-like	Sfi11-like
GC content (%)	35.4	36.0	35.8	36.6	34.1	35.6
Complete prophage genome <sup>f</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	$No^g$	Yes
Putative attL/attR <sup>d</sup>	CTTGCTCTCCGT ATTTT	GTCCCTAATGGGTCC CTAAAAATT	TGATACCGTTTT	GCCTGCAATAG GTGGGGT	Unknown <sup>g</sup>	GGGTCCCTA AAAATT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> MLST, multilocus sequence type. Sequence types were determined as described previously (29). Genomic DNA was obtained by lysostaphin lysis (30).

phiSP119-3 genomes were distributed over three contigs. Scaffolds were closed by PCR and Sanger sequencing (Genewiz, South Plainfield, NJ, USA). phiSP38-1 contained a 1,783-bp gap between contigs located in the prophage DNA replication region, which was closed by primer walking (Genewiz). Open reading frames (ORFs) of closed prophage genomes were identified using the Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST) v. 2.0 server service (26). Hypothetical functions of the predicted ORFs were subsequently determined by alignment to protein sequences and conserved domains in the BLASTp program (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics Prosite database (http://prosite.expasy.org/), with priority given to Prosite predictions. Over 150 hypothetical proteins were identified in the novel prophages.

In *S. aureus*, it has been shown that sequencing of exDNA can identify plasmidial or episomal prophages that would otherwise remain undetected (18, 20). The prophages of this study were identified in both the genomic as well as the extrachromosomal compartment and therefore may exist as episomal ("active") prophages (20). In contrast to *S. aureus*, where prophages harboring virulence factors are commonly associated with clinical strains, no known virulence factors were detected in the identified *S. pseudintermedius* prophages (27, 28). Further experimental approaches are necessary to determine the functions of the hypothetical proteins identified in these novel prophages.

**Data availability.** The prophage sequences of this study have been deposited in NCBI GenBank under the accession numbers MK075001, MK075002, MK075003, MK075004, MK075005, and MK075006 (Table 1). The raw reads are available under the NCBI Sequence Read Archive accession numbers SRR8957054, SRR8957055, SRR8957056, SRR8957057, SRR8957058, SRR8957059, SRR8957060, and SRR8957061.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This project was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant P2BEP3\_ 165367 and Rockefeller University.

The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Volume 8 Issue 28 e00387-19 mra.asm.org **2** 

b mecA, gene encoding penicillin binding protein 2a. The mecA status of the isolates was determined by Wu and colleagues (23).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Coverage of prophages in gDNA and exDNA sequencing was determined by the Bowtie 2 assembler version 2.3.2 on medium to low sensitivity on Geneious version 11.1.5 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand) (31).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> attL/attR, chromosomal integration site or left and right flanking direct repeat. Direct repeats were identified using the Geneious Repeat Finder plugin on Geneious version 10.0 (https://www.geneious.com/plugins/repeat-finder/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Sequence type 1045 is a never-before-sequenced type of *S. pseudintermedius* (https://pubmlst.org/spseudintermedius/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>The prophage contains all the structural modules of a Siphoviridae required for entering both the lytic and lysogenic states (17).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Integrase and attL/attR not identified. phiSP119-2 is a possible remnant or incomplete phage.



We thank Sara Lawhon (Clinical Microbiology Laboratory of the Texas A&M University Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital) and Romney Humphries (UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine) for the *S. pseudintermedius* isolates.

## **REFERENCES**

- Bond R, Loeffler A. 2012. What's happened to Staphylococcus intermedius? Taxonomic revision and emergence of multi-drug resistance. J Small Anim Pract 53:147–154. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-5827.2011 .01165.x.
- Bannoehr J, Guardabassi L. 2012. Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in the dog: taxonomy, diagnostics, ecology, epidemiology and pathogenicity. Vet Dermatol 23:253–256, e51–e52. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-3164.2012.01046.x.
- Fitzgerald JR. 2009. The Staphylococcus intermedius group of bacterial pathogens: species reclassification, pathogenesis and the emergence of methicillin resistance. Vet Dermatol 20:490 – 495. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1365-3164.2009.00828.x.
- Weese JS, van Duijkeren E. 2010. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in veterinary medicine. Vet Microbiol 140:418 – 429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.01.039.
- Somayaji R, Priyantha MAR, Rubin JE, Church D. 2016. Human infections due to Staphylococcus pseudintermedius, an emerging zoonosis of canine origin: report of 24 cases. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 85:471–476. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.05.008.
- Yarbrough ML, Lainhart W, Burnham CA. 2018. Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of human clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus intermedius* group. J Clin Microbiol 56: e01788-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01788-17.
- Börjesson S, Gómez-Sanz E, Ekström K, Torres C, Grönlund U. 2015. Staphylococcus pseudintermedius can be misdiagnosed as Staphylococcus aureus in humans with dog bite wounds. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 34:839 – 844. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-014-2300-y.
- Somayaji R, Rubin JE, Priyantha MAR, Church D. 2016. Exploring Staphylococcus pseudintermedius: an emerging zoonotic pathogen? Future Microbiol 11:1371–1374. https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2016-0137.
- Starlander G, Börjesson S, Grönlund-Andersson U, Tellgren-Roth C, Melhus A. 2014. Cluster of infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in humans in a tertiary hospital. J Clin Microbiol 52:3118–3120. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00703-14.
- van Duijkeren E, Kamphuis M, van der Mije IC, Laarhoven LM, Duim B, Wagenaar JA, Houwers DJ. 2011. Transmission of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius between infected dogs and cats and contact pets, humans and the environment in households and veterinary clinics. Vet Microbiol 150:338–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic .2011.02.012.
- van Duijkeren E, Catry B, Greko C, Moreno MA, Pomba MC, Pyörälä S, Ruzauskas M, Sanders P, Threlfall EJ, Torren-Edo J, Törneke K, Scientific Advisory Group on Antimicrobials (SAGAM). 2011. Review on methicillinresistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. J Antimicrob Chemother 66: 2705–2714. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr367.
- Kmieciak W, Szewczyk EM. 2018. Are zoonotic Staphylococcus pseudintermedius strains a growing threat for humans? Folia Microbiol (Praha) 63:743–747. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-018-0615-2.
- 13. Grandolfo E. 2018. Looking through *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* infections: could *SpA* be considered a possible vaccine target? Virulence 9:703–706. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2018.1426964.
- McCarthy AJ, Harrison EM, Stanczak-Mrozek K, Leggett B, Waller A, Holmes MA, Lloyd DH, Lindsay JA, Loeffler A. 2015. Genomic insights into the rapid emergence and evolution of MDR in *Staphylococcus* pseudintermedius. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:997–1007. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jac/dku496.
- Kadlec K, Schwarz S. 2012. Antimicrobial resistance of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. Vet Dermatol 23:276–282. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-3164.2012.01056.x.
- Zakour NLB, Beatson SA, van den Broek AH, Thoday KL, Fitzgerald JR.
  2012. Comparative genomics of the Staphylococcus intermedius group of

- animal pathogens. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2:44. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00044.
- 17. Deghorain M, Van Melderen L. 2012. The staphylococci phages family: an overview. Viruses 4:3316–3335. https://doi.org/10.3390/v4123316.
- Utter B, Deutsch DR, Schuch R, Winer BY, Verratti K, Bishop-Lilly K, Sozhamannan S, Fischetti VA. 2014. Beyond the chromosome: the prevalence of unique extra-chromosomal bacteriophages with integrated virulence genes in pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus*. PLoS One 9:e100502. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100502.
- Deutsch DR, Utter B, Fischetti VA. 2016. Uncovering novel mobile genetic elements and their dynamics through an extra-chromosomal sequencing approach. Mob Genet Elements 6:e1189987. https://doi.org/10.1080/2159256X.2016.1189987.
- Deutsch DR, Utter B, Verratti KJ, Sichtig H, Tallon LJ, Fischetti VA. 2018. Extra-chromosomal DNA sequencing reveals episomal prophages capable of impacting virulence factor expression in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Front Microbiol 9:1406. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01406.
- Couto N, Belas A, Oliveira M, Almeida P, Clemente C, Pomba C. 2016. Comparative RNA-seq-based transcriptome analysis of the virulence characteristics of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible Staphylococcus pseudintermedius strains isolated from small animals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:962–967. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01907-15.
- Abouelkhair MA, Bemis DA, Giannone RJ, Frank LA, Kania SA. 2018. Characterization of a leukocidin identified in *Staphylococcus pseudinter-medius*. PLoS One 13:e0204450. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone 0204450
- Wu MT, Burnham C-AD, Westblade LF, Bard JD, Lawhon SD, Wallace MA, Stanley T, Burd E, Hindler J, Humphries RM. 2016. Evaluation of oxacillin and cefoxitin disk and MIC breakpoints for prediction of methicillin resistance in human and veterinary isolates of *Staphylococcus interme*dius group. J Clin Microbiol 54:535–542. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM .02864-15.
- Morgan M, Anders S, Lawrence M, Aboyoun P, Pagès H, Gentleman R. 2009. ShortRead: a Bioconductor package for input, quality assessment and exploration of high-throughput sequence data. Bioinformatics 25: 2607–2608. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp450.
- Arndt D, Grant J, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016.
  PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool.
  Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16–W21. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387.
- Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206–D214. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.
- Xia G, Wolz C. 2013. Phages of Staphylococcus aureus and their impact on host evolution. Infect Genet Evol 21:593–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .meegid.2013.04.022.
- McCarthy AJ, Witney AA, Lindsay JA. 2012. Staphylococcus aureus temperate bacteriophage: carriage and horizontal gene transfer is lineage associated. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2:6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00006.
- Solyman SM, Black CC, Duim B, Perreten V, van Duijkeren E, Wagenaar JA, Eberlein LC, Sadeghi LN, Videla R, Bemis DA, Kania SA. 2013. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of *Staphylococcus pseud-intermedius*. J Clin Microbiol 51:306–310. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM .02421-12.
- Frey Y, Rodriguez JP, Thomann A, Schwendener S, Perreten V. 2013. Genetic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in coagulase-negative staphylococci from bovine mastitis milk. J Dairy Sci 96:2247–2257. https://doi.org/ 10.3168/jds.2012-6091.
- 31. Langmead B, Salzberg S. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. 2012. Nat Methods 9:357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.

Volume 8 Issue 28 e00387-19