

# Is variant pathogenicity in the eye of the beholder? A case of unexplained sudden cardiac arrest highlights the potentially dangerous role of historical rare variant compendia in *SCN5A* rare variant adjudication

Marissa J. Stutzman,<sup>\*1</sup> Dan Ye, MD,<sup>\*†‡1</sup> David J. Tester, BS,<sup>\*†‡</sup> John R. Giudicessi, MD, PhD,<sup>§</sup> Michael J. Ackerman, MD, PhD<sup>\*†‡</sup>

From the \*Windland Smith Rice Sudden Death Genomics Laboratory, Department of Molecular Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, <sup>†</sup>Division of Heart Rhythm Services, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, <sup>‡</sup>Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Department of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, and <sup>§</sup>Clinician-Investigator Training Program, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota.

## Introduction

Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) is a leading cause of death globally.<sup>1,2</sup> Although most SCAs occur in the setting of structural or ischemic heart disease, many seemingly unexplainable cases may stem from primary electrical disorders such as Brugada syndrome (BrS).<sup>2</sup> However, 5%–10% of SCA survivors have no evidence of a structural or primary electrical disorder and are diagnosed with idiopathic ventricular fibrillation (VF).<sup>3</sup>

BrS, affecting an estimated 1–5 per 10,000 individuals globally,<sup>4</sup> is characterized by an abnormal electrocardiogram (ECG) with coved-shape ST elevation involving the right precordial leads  $V_1$ – $V_3$ .<sup>4</sup> The Brugada ECG pattern is often concealed in patients, but can be provoked by sodium channel blockers such as procainamide, flecainide, and ajmaline.<sup>4</sup> The diagnostic type 1 Brugada ECG pattern is defined as coved-type ST segment, J-point elevation of  $\geq$ 2 mm, and a negative T wave.<sup>4,5</sup> *SCN5A*-encoded voltage-gated sodium

**KEYWORDS** Brugada syndrome; Cardiac arrest; Genetic testing; Rare variant interpretation; *SCN5A* 

(Heart Rhythm Case Reports 2019;5:163-168)

This work was supported by the Mayo Clinic Windland Smith Rice Comprehensive Sudden Cardiac Death Program. Dr Ackerman is a consultant for Audentes Therapeutics, Boston Scientific, Gilead Sciences, Invitae, Medtronic, MyoKardia, and St. Jude Medical. Dr Ackerman, Mr Tester, and Mayo Clinic have received sales-based royalties in the past from Transgenomic for their FAMILION-LQTS and FAMILION-CPVT genetic tests. Dr Ackerman and Mayo Clinic have a royalty/equity relationship with Alive-Cor, Blue Ox Health, and StemoniX, but without remuneration thus far. However, none of these entities have contributed to this study in any manner. <sup>1</sup>Ms Stutzman and Dr Ye are co-first authors and contributed equally to this work. Address reprint requests and correspondence: Dr Michael J. Ackerman, Mayo Clinic Windland Smith Rice Sudden Death Genomics Laboratory, Guggenheim 501, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905. E-mail address: ackerman.michael@mayo.edu. channel (Nav1.5) loss-of-function mutations have been implicated in 18%–30% of BrS.  $^{5-7}$ 

Here, we present the case of a survivor of an unexplained SCA diagnosed elsewhere as having BrS following a genetic testing laboratory's overzealous interpretation of an identified *SCN5A* variant as "likely pathogenic." Subsequent electrophysiological functional studies determined the variant to be benign and the diagnosis of BrS was removed.

## **Case report**

An 18-year-old white woman presented initially for the evaluation of sudden onset of palpitations and syncope. Following the appointment, the patient suffered an SCA and was resuscitated by paramedics with 2 shocks. A dualchamber implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) was implanted and she was started on nadolol. The patient was challenged with procainamide during the ICD implantation procedure, but no Brugada ECG pattern was observed. Following an unremarkable coronary angiogram and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, pan-arrhythmia genetic testing was pursued, which identified an ultra-rare p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A missense variant. Based on a 2002 publication,<sup>8</sup> where the variant was identified in a single patient diagnosed with supposed BrS, the p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A variant was reported to the ordering healthcare providers as "likely pathogenic," despite no functional or phenotype co-segregation data to support this variant interpretation.

Based on the aforementioned variant interpretation, cascade screening of potentially at-risk first- and second-degree relatives was initiated and the patient's asymptomatic father (II2), sister (III3), brother (III4), paternal uncle (II3), and cousins (III5 and III8, Figure 1A) were also p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A positive. Importantly, all p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A-positive family members remain

## **KEY TEACHING POINTS**

- This study shows another example of the negative effects associated with using the alleged genotype to determine the presumed phenotype. In this case, the patient and her family were led down a treatment path designed for a channelopathy with which they should not have been diagnosed.
- Genetic testing should never be used to make a primary diagnosis of Brugada syndrome (BrS) in isolation, especially when the clinical phenotype of BrS is sorely missing.
- While guidelines have provided a major effort towards the standardization of variant interpretation, inter-laboratory differences in the use and implementation of the American College of Medical Genetics criteria exist.
- Unfortunately, some genetic testing companies still conflate the mere presence of a specific variant in an early manuscript as evidence for pathogenicity, despite these reports lacking (1) adequate numbers of healthy controls, (2) functional characterization of the variant, or (3) illustration of proper cosegregation with the disease phenotype within a multigenerational pedigree; each of which individually, and more so concomitantly, constitutes more definitive evidence in the interrogation of a potentially pathogenic variant.

asymptomatic and have normal ECGs with no manifest Brugada ECG pattern at rest or with high-lead positioning. Figure 1B shows a representative ECG of the patient's asymptomatic father.

Ultimately, despite the absence of a spontaneous or provoked type I Brugada ECG pattern in the p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A-positive proband or her variant-positive family members, a diagnosis of BrS was rendered, largely based on her "positive" genetic test. After she received 1 VF-terminating ICD shock 2 months later, the nadolol was discontinued and the patient was placed on metoprolol. Throughout the next year, the patient was trialed on verapamil, quinidine, propranolol, sotalol, atenolol, and amiodarone. Significant ventricular tachycardia (VT) and VF episodes were observed on every drug except amiodarone.

Nine months post SCA, the patient underwent a BrSspecific epicardial ablation. Both procainamide and electrical stimulation were utilized to induce arrhythmias; however, no VT or VF was inducible at baseline. Two abnormal substrates were identified at the inferobasal epicardium and anterior RVOT epicardium, and were ablated subsequently. All drug therapy was discontinued following the ablation. Unfortunately, the patient's symptoms returned shortly after, and both quinidine and propranolol were reintroduced. Despite continued drug therapy, cardiac events requiring ICD treatment persisted. The patient underwent a flecainide drug challenge test, which also failed to uncover a Brugada ECG pattern.

Lastly, the proband and her siblings were referred to the Mayo Clinic for a second opinion evaluation. Serial ECGs were conducted at rest with QTc values of 454, 462, and 473 ms. Figure 1C shows a representative ECG tracing. The patient's PR interval was normal, with a value of 150 ms. Additionally, the patient's exercise stress test was normal, with no Brugada ECG pattern or ectopy. Similarly, the patient's sister had normal ECGs before, during, and after exercise with QTc values of 440, 440, and 470 ms. Her PR interval was also normal at 150 ms. The patient's brother had normal QTc values both at rest and during exercise, a normal PR interval, and no visible Brugada ECG pattern.

Given the lack of clinical evidence supporting a BrS phenotype in the proband and her variant-positive family members, we questioned whether p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A was truly pathogenic. Therefore, we performed a molecular and functional characterization of p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A.

### Methods and results

Three in silico tools (polymorphism phenotyping v2 [PolyPhen-2], sorting intolerant from tolerant [SIFT], and combined annotation dependent depletion [CADD]) predicted p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A as damaging. The p.Glu1240Gln variant localizes to the small extracellular loop between transmembrane spanning regions, segments DIII-S1 and DIII-S2. The p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A has a reported allele frequency of 7.923e-05 in the European population (9/56,800 individuals) and 3.984e-05 in all represented populations (10/125,513 individuals) in the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD).<sup>9</sup>

The standard whole-cell patch clamp technique was used to measure SCN5A wild-type (WT) and mutant sodium currents at room temperature  $(22^{\circ}\text{C} - 24^{\circ}\text{C})^{10}$  with the use of an Axopatch 200B amplifier, Digidata 1440A, and pclamp 10 software (Axon Instruments, Sunnyvale, CA). All data points are shown as the mean values. Bars represent the standard error of the mean. A Student *t* test was performed to determine statistical significance between 2 groups. A P < .05 was considered to be significant.

Typical I<sub>Na</sub> SCN5A tracings of voltage-dependent activation from WT and Glu1240Gln mutants are shown in Figure 2A with holding potential at -100 mV to various depolarization potentials. Current–voltage relationship shows that SCN5A-WT and SCN5A-Glu1240Gln reached peak at -20 mV. At peak current density, SCN5A-WT was -356.19  $\pm$ 59.95 (n = 15) and SCN5A-Glu1240Gln was -420.07  $\pm$ 52.01 (n = 16, *P* = .426). No significant differences in current density across the voltage were observed from -60 to +60 mV between SCN5A-WT and SCN5A-Glu1240Gln (Figure 2B). Analysis of inactivation curve (Figure 3A) and activation curve (Figure 3B) showed no significant shifts in V<sub>1/2</sub> between WT and mutant. V<sub>1/2</sub> of inactivation in WT cells



**Figure 1** Family pedigree and representative electrocardiogram (ECG) tracings. A: Pedigree. The *black symbol* represents an affected individual. The *white symbols* represent unaffected family members. The *arrow* points to the family index case. B: Representative ECG tracing from the proband. C: Representative ECG tracing from the proband's father. VF = ventricular fibrillation; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

was -88.0  $\pm$  1.8 mV (n = 15) and was -87.1  $\pm$  0.8 mV (n = 16, P = .655) in Glu1240Gln mutant cells. Additionally, V<sub>1/2</sub> of activation was -36.5  $\pm$  1.5 mV in WT cells (n = 15) and -33.2  $\pm$  1.2 mV in Glu1240Gln mutants (n = 16, P = .099). The WT and Glu1240Gln constructs did not show a significant difference in recovery from fast component of inactivation (P = .358). The Glu1240Gln variant shows a slower recovery from slow component of inactivation (P = .024), from 65.3  $\pm$  5.4 ms (WT, n = 7) to 87.1  $\pm$  6.4 ms (Glu1240Gln, n = 7, Figure 3C). There was no significant difference in the sodium late current between WT (0.263%  $\pm$  0.05%, n = 11) and Glu1240Gln (0.327%  $\pm$  0.07%, n = 9, P = .441) (Figure 3D).

## Discussion

Genetic testing for channelopathies has become widely used.<sup>11</sup> There are many benefits to using genetic testing in clinical settings, including individualized treatment options, conclusive diagnoses, and identification of at-risk family members.<sup>11</sup> However, along with these benefits comes the potential for misdiagnoses and inaccurate treatment.

Here, we describe a family misdiagnosed with BrS after the identification of a rare p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A variant in the patient who presented with an unexplained SCA and suffered recurrent episodes of idiopathic VT/VF. Although p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A was reported previously in a patient with clinically diagnosed BrS,<sup>8</sup> the variant was never shown to co-segregate with disease, functionally characterized, or reassessed in the context of large public exome/genome databases such as gnomAD. Instead, based on this 2002 report by a highly reputable source<sup>8</sup> and the predictions derived from in silico variant assessment tools, the genetic testing company interpreted/graded this variant as "likely pathogenic" for BrS. Despite the discordance between the variant interpretation and the patient's clinical phenotype, the local physicians diagnosed this patient with BrS. This declared diagnosis changed the patient's treatment plan and led to the misdiagnosis of her 6 family members also hosting the variant.

Though the patient has a clear history of ventricular arrhythmias, no Brugada ECG pattern was observed at rest, with high lead positioning, or with provocative drug challenges using 2 different sodium channel blockers. Additionally, none of the 6 family members that host the p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A variant shows a Brugada ECG pattern, and all remain asymptomatic.

Unfortunately, 2% of otherwise healthy whites and 4%–6% of nonwhites host rare missense variants in *SCN5A*.<sup>12</sup> Accordingly, the best signal-to-noise ratio associated with *SCN5A* in the setting of BrS genetic testing is 9–12:1.<sup>13,14</sup> As such, any given *SCN5A* rare variant identified even in a patient with a bona fide diagnosis of BrS still has a 8%-to-11% chance of being a rare, and likely innocuous, background genetic variant. Therefore, 2–3 of



**Figure 2** The p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A variant did not significantly change current density in TSA201 cells. **A:** The whole-cell SCN5A current representative tracings showing wild-type (WT) and Glu1240Gln from a holding potential of -100 mV to testing potential of +90 mV in 10-mV increments with 24 ms duration. **B:** Current–voltage relationship for SCN5A-WT (n = 15) and Glu1240Gln variant (n = 16).

the 28 SCN5A variants reported in the 2002 BrS study,<sup>8</sup> which was used to elevate p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A to "likely pathogenic" status, potentially represent background genetic noise rather than true "pathogenic" mutations. In fact, including p.Glu1240Gln, 11 of the 28 (39%) "mutations" reported in the 2002 manuscript have now been seen in gnomAD, with 3 variants (p.Arg27His, p.Pro1293Ser, p.Val1951Leu) having a minor allele frequency far greater than the entire prevalence of BrS1 in the general population ( $\sim 1:25,000$ ). Furthermore, in patients without a discernible BrS phenotype (ie, unexplained SCA/idiopathic VF cases), the signal-tonoise ratio associated with SCN5A genetic testing is anticipated to be substantially lower than 9-12:1.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, even though the recent HRS/EHRA/APHRS guidelines equate the presence of an unequivocal disease-causative mutation in SCN5A with the diagnosis of BrS,<sup>15</sup> genetic testing should never be used to make a primary diagnosis of BrS in isolation, especially when the clinical phenotype of BrS is sorely missing.

Predictably, the p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A variant identified and characterized in this study exhibited a WT in vitro electrophysiological phenotype. First, this variant was identified in an unexplained SCA survivor without evidence of a discernible spontaneous or procainamide/flecainide-induced type 1 Brugada ECG pattern, QT prolongation, or evidence of nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. Second, the variant was present in multiple asymptomatic family members. Lastly, the variant was observed in pubic exomes/genomes at a frequency (10/125,513 individuals overall, or 1:12,551) that far exceeds the estimated prevalence of SCN5A-mediated BrS ( $\sim$ 1:10,000). Furthermore, no single *SCN5A* variant has been demonstrated to account for more than 1% of patients with BrS. In fact, based on Whiffin and colleagues'<sup>16</sup> statistical framework for frequency-based filtering of candidate disease-causing variants, accounting for disease prevalence (1/2000 for BrS), genetic/allelic heterogeneity (0.01; ie, no variant accounts for more than 1% of cases), inheritance mode (dominant), and penetrance (assuming 0.5 for BrS), the predicted maximum allele account of an *SCN5A* BrS-causing variant in gnomAD (n = 141,456 individuals) would be only 3. The p.Glu1240Gln variant was seen 10 times, thus exceeding this predicted maximum allele account threshold.

In order to assist in the interpretation of identified variants, the 2015 American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) guidelines provide a framework for variant classification by incorporating a variety of weighted factors that lead to a final delineation of pathogenic, likely pathogenic, variant of uncertain significance, likely benign, or benign variant.<sup>17</sup> Using strict criteria, variants are assessed for "very strong," "strong," "moderate," or "supporting" evidence for pathogenicity. Points earned in each category are combined in a variety of ways to reach a final variant classification. While these guidelines have provided a major effort towards the standardization of variant interpretation, inter-laboratory differences in the use and implementation of the ACMG criteria exist.

In fact, p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A has been submitted to ClinVar (variant ID: 67818) by 3 independent testing labs, with varying interpretations of pathogenicity (Invitae, "uncertain significance"; Clinical Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins All Children's Hospital, "likely



**Figure 3** The p.Glu1240Gln-SCN5A variant did not significantly shift  $V_{1/2}$  of inactivation or activation, fast component of recovery from inactivation, or the sodium late current. **A:** Inactivation curves of SCN5A-WT (n = 15) and Glu1240Gln variant (n = 16). I/Imax represents normalized sodium current. **B:** Activation curves of SCN5A-WT (n = 15) and Glu1240Gln variant (n = 16). G/Gmax represents normalized conductance. **C:** Recovery from inactivation for SCN5A-WT (n = 7) and Glu1240Gln variant (n = 7) from a holding potential of -120 mV to prepulse of -20 mV with 700 ms duration, with increased recovery interval, followed by a test pulse of -20 mV with 20 ms duration. **D:** Summary data of SCN5A-WT (n = 11) and Glu1240Gln variant (n = 9) with respect to the late/persistent sodium current.

pathogenic"; and Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, Royal Brompton & Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, interpretation "not provided").

Additionally, while it is possible to use genetics to define a phenotype, the level of evidence needed to classify such variants is far higher than when assessing patients with a definitive diagnosis. ACMG-type guidelines are generally more effective for patients with definitive phenotypes, rather than for secondary findings or inconclusive phenotypes such as described herein.

Denham and colleagues<sup>18</sup> have recently performed a systematic reevaluation of 425 *SCN5A* variants associated with BrS using ACMG guidelines specifically adapted to BrS and have concluded that p.Glu1240Gln would at best be considered a variant of uncertain significance. However, with the addition of our functional data demonstrating no damaging effect on the sodium channel and the absence of segregation of the variant with a definitive phenotype in the pedigree, we can demote p.Glu1240Gln further to a benign variant in accordance with the ACMG guidelines.

In the early 2000s, hundreds of case-derived *SCN5A* missense variants were published with the inclusion of missense variants contingent upon their absence in 50–400 purportedly healthy controls and some without the consideration of functional effect.<sup>8,19</sup> During this era, it was believed that disease-causing genes such as *SCN5A* would be functionally intolerant to mutation.<sup>13</sup> Unfortunately, some genetic testing companies still conflate the mere presence of a specific variant in an early manuscript as evidence for pathogenicity, despite these reports lacking (1) adequate numbers of healthy controls, (2) functional characterization of the variant, or (3) illustration of proper co-segregation with the disease phenotype within a multigenerational pedigree; each of

which individually, and more so concomitantly, constitutes more definitive evidence in the interrogation of a potentially pathogenic variant.

Though the potential benefits for the genetic testing of channelopathies are extensive, the responsibility for accurate interpretation of these results is great. This study shows another example of the negative effects associated with using the alleged genotype to determine the presumed phenotype. In this case, the patient and her family were led down a treatment path designed for a channelopathy (BrS) that they should not have been diagnosed with. The importance of maintaining strict guidelines for variant interpretation is increasingly evident and poses a large problem for medical professionals.

#### References

- Milano A, Blom MT, Lodder EM, et al. Sudden cardiac arrest and rare genetic variants in the community. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2016;9:147–153.
- Postema PG. The quest for the identification of genetic variants in unexplained cardiac arrest and idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. PLoS Genet 2013; 9:e1003480.
- Tilz RR, Fedele L, Satomi K, Kuck KH, Antz M. Idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. Herz 2007;32:233–239.
- Antzelevitch C. Brugada syndrome. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2006; 29:1130–1159.
- Wilde AA, Behr ER. Genetic testing for inherited cardiac disease. Nat Rev Cardiol 2013;10:571–583.
- Kapplinger JD, Tester DJ, Alders M, Benito B, Berthet M, Brugada J. An international compendium of mutations in the SCN5A-encoded cardiac sodium channel in patients referred for Brugada syndrome genetic testing. Heart Rhythm 2010;7:33–46.
- Hosseini SM, Kim R, Udupa S, et al. Reappraisal of reported genes for audden arrhythmic death. Circulation 2018;138:1195–1205.

- Priori SG, Napolitano C, Gasparini M, et al. Natural history of Brugada syndrome: Insights for risk stratification and management. Circulation 2002; 105:1342–1347.
- Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 2016;536:285–291.
- Van Norstrand DW, Valdivia CR, Tester DJ, et al. Molecular and functional characterization of novel glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1 like gene (GPD1-L) mutations in sudden infant death syndrome. Circulation 2007; 116:2253–2259.
- Tester DJ, Ackerman MJ. Genetic testing for potentially lethal, highly treatable inherited cardiomyopathies/channelopathies in clinical practice. Circulation 2011;123:1021–1037.
- Ackerman MJ, Splawski I, Makielski JC, et al. Spectrum and prevalence of cardiac sodium channel variants among black, white, Asian, and Hispanic individuals: implications for arrhythmogenic susceptibility and Brugada/long QT syndrome genetic testing. Heart Rhythm 2004;1:600–607.
- Ackerman MJ. Genetic purgatory and the cardiac channelopathies: exposing the variants of uncertain/unknown significance issue. Heart Rhythm 2015; 12:2325–2331.
- Kapa S, Tester DJ, Salisbury BA, et al. Genetic testing for long-QT syndrome: distinguishing pathogenic mutations from benign variants. Circulation 2009; 120:1752–1760.
- Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, et al. Executive summary: HRS/EHRA/ APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes. EP Europace 2013; 15:1389–1406.
- Whiffin N, Minikel E, Walsh R, et al. Using high-resolution variant frequencies to empower clinical genome interpretation. Genet Med 2017; 19:1151–1158.
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med 2015;17:405–424.
- Denham NC, Pearman CM, Ding WY, et al. Systematic re-evaluation of SCN5A variants associated with Brugada syndrome. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2019; 30:118–127.
- Rook MB, Alshinawi CB, Groenewegen WA, et al. Human SCN5A gene mutations alter cardiac sodium channel kinetics and are associated with the Brugada syndrome. Cardiovasc Res 1999;44:507–517.