

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



**Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease** 



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/diagmicrobio

# Specific elevation of DcR3 in sera of sepsis patients and its potential role as a clinically important biomarker of sepsis $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sim}$

Sunghee Kim<sup>a,\*</sup>, Lijun Mi<sup>b,1</sup>, Lurong Zhang<sup>c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> BioPowerTech, Tuscaloosa, AL 35406, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY 14642, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY 14642, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 February 2012 Accepted 26 April 2012 Available online 29 May 2012

Keywords: DcR3 Sepsis Biomarker Apoptosis Inflammation Immunomodulation

# ABSTRACT

Because of its potentially important role in the pathogenesis of sepsis, the expression of soluble decoy receptor 3 (DcR3) was investigated in sera of sepsis patients. The serum levels of DcR3 and its tumor necrosis factor–like ligand TL1A and homologous decoy receptor OPG were quantified by ELISA. The values of DcR3 to diagnose sepsis were analyzed by receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The results showed that DcR3 was significantly elevated in sepsis compared to systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), a condition similar to sepsis but resulting from noninfectious insults. DcR3 showed superior area under the ROC curve (AUC, 0.958) compared to poor AUCs of TL1A and OPG. At a cut-off of 3.24 ng/mL, DcR3 predicted sepsis from SIRS with 96% sensitivity and 82.6% specificity. DcR3 also predicted sepsis from cancer and inflammatory bowel disease with equally excellent values. Therefore, DcR3 serum level has the potential to serve as a reliable biomarker of sepsis.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Sepsis is a life-threatening disease resulting from the failure of our immune system to mount proper defense against invading pathogens. It is initiated by the binding of pathogen antigens to Toll-like receptors (TLRs) expressed in immune cells that, in turn, results in the induction of various cytokines (Decker, 2004). These cytokines then mediate numerous molecular and cellular activities that can lead to dysregulated immune responses. The clinical symptoms begin with systemic inflammatory responses and rapidly progress to multiple organ failure and ultimately death. Despite the availability of a broad spectrum of antibiotics and intensive supportive care, hospital mortality ranges from 30% to 50% for severe sepsis and septic shock (Angus et al., 2001). The problem of effectively treating patients with sepsis is in part attributed to the difficulty of accurately diagnosing sepsis especially in its early stages. Also, incomplete understanding of the complexity of sepsis pathogenesis has hampered the invention of highly effective therapeutic strategies. Therefore, the discovery of a novel protein that possesses the potential to serve as a reliable biomarker of sepsis and to provide further insights into the mechanisms of sepsis development and progression is of significance.

Decoy receptor 3 (DcR3) belongs to the decoy receptor family of the tumor necrosis factor receptor super family (TNFRSF) that also includes DcR1, DcR2, and osteoprotegerin (OPG). DcR3 has the closest protein sequence identity with OPG and the 2 proteins are the only receptors exclusively secreted as soluble receptors among the TNFRSF members. So far, 3 TNF family cytokines (ligands), namely, FasL (Pitti et al., 1998), LIGHT (Yu et al., 1999), and TL1A (Migone et al., 2002), were identified to interact with DcR3. Once bound to DcR3, these ligands cannot bind to their cell surface-bound receptors. FasL and TL1A bind to Fas and DR3 (death receptor 3), respectively (Pitti et al., 1998; Migone et al., 2002). LIGHT binds to both lymphotoxin-beta receptor and herpesvirus entry mediator (Zhai et al., 1998). Like TNF, these 3 ligands belong to the type II transmembrane protein family and are first expressed as membrane-bound proteins and then cleaved off from the membrane. Both membrane-bound and soluble forms of these ligands are functional. As such, DcR3 was thought to modulate multifaceted immune responses that are mediated by the interaction between these 3 ligands and their cognate receptors.

Because of the role of DcR3 to protect cancer cells in culture against Fas/FasL-mediated apoptosis (programmed cell death), DcR3 has been extensively studied in cancer. The role of DcR3 in tumorigenesis is largely unknown, but the presence of DcR3 expression was demonstrated in various cancers. Especially, DcR3 elevation in sera of cancer patients was significantly associated with metastasis and poor prognosis (Macher-Goeppinger et al., 2008; Takahama et al., 2002; Tu et al., 2011).

 $<sup>^{\,\,\</sup>mathrm{fr}}$  Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R43 GM079070 and R43 GM081964 to S. K.).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-205-345-2512; fax: +1-205-345-2512.

E-mail address: sungheekim@ymail.com (S. Kim).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Current affiliation: Department of Medicine, New York Downtown Hospital, New York, NY 11510, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Current affiliation: Department of Radiation Oncology, Shands Cancer Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL32610, USA.

The expression of DcR3 has been demonstrated also in various acute and chronic inflammatory conditions including acute appendicitis (Kim et al., 2005); acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Chen et al., 2009); and inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) such as Crohn's disease (CD) (Funke et al., 2009), hepatitis (Kim et al., 2009), and rheumatoid arthritis (Hayashi et al., 2010). The role of DcR3 expression in inflammatory diseases is of interest. In CD, it was suggested that DcR3 might promote inflammation by inhibiting FasL-mediated apoptosis of cells in the diseased area (Funke et al., 2009). Increased DcR3 plasma level was correlated with the development of multiple organ dysfunction, and high DcR3 level predicted high mortality in patients with ARDS (Chen et al., 2009).

We have also reported DcR3 increases in sera of patients with various bacterial infections (Kim et al., 2004). Furthermore, human primary antigen-presenting cells (APCs) such as macrophages and dendritic cells selectively responded to components of both Gramnegative bacteria (lipopolysaccharide [LPS]) and Gram-positive bacteria (lipoteichoic acid [LTA]) to induce DcR3. The selective DcR3 expression was primarily due to the preferential expression of TLR receptors 2 and 4 (TLR2/4) in APCs. Increased DcR3 levels were also detected in various human intestinal epithelial cell lines after LPS stimulation, and the increase was via TLR4 activation that led to the activation of transcriptional factor NF- $\kappa$ B (Kim et al., 2005). DcR3 release in human APCs and epithelial cells following LPS challenge involved the activation of intracellular mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) ERK1/2 (p44/ p42) but not p38 (Kim et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2005).

Because of its specific regulation in human cells in response to bacterial antigens and its potentially important function as an immunomodulator in sepsis pathogenesis, we have investigated the specific expression of DcR3 in sera of patients with sepsis.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Clinical sera samples

Sera of patients with sepsis and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) were obtained from Strong Memorial Hospital of the University of Rochester Medical Center. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board committee of the university (RSRB#13997). This study utilized clinical samples that were archived after the completion of routine laboratory tests. From the list of coded patients' medical records, sera samples clinically diagnosed as sepsis or SIRS resulting from noninfectious causes were identified for collection. Then the medical case numbers traceable to the patients were masked. The sera samples collected within 48 h after patients were admitted to medical and trauma intensive care units (ICUs) were employed in this study. Sterile sera were kept constantly at -80 °C in a freezer. As described in the American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine (ACCP/SCCM) consensus classification (ACCP/SCCM, 1992), each patient had at least 2 of the 4 SIRS criteria: i) body temperature of >38 °C or <36 °C, ii) heart rate (>90 beats per min), iii) respiratory rate (>20 breaths per minute or an arterial CO<sub>2</sub> pressure of <32 mm Hg), iv) white blood cell (WBC) counts of >12,000 cells/µL or <4000 cells/µL or >10% immature forms. In cases of sepsis patients, blood culture confirmed the presence of various pathogens in addition to clinically confirmed primary infection, whereas patients with SIRS of noninfectious origin were negative for pathogen culture at the time of sample collection.

# 2.2. Quantitative ELISA

The level of DcR3 in human sera was measured by quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). We had reported the development and characterization of the ELISA (Chen et al., 2004). The ELISA employs 2 monoclonal antibodies (mAb), MD3E2 and biotinylated MD3B1, as capture and detection antibody pairs in a sandwichstyle ELISA. In this study, undiluted 100  $\mu$ L of sera samples and 100  $\mu$ L of recombinant DcR3 as the standard protein (a 2-fold serially diluted from 50 ng/mL to 50 pg/mL) were added in duplicate to wells of 96-well plates. A peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) and 3,3',5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine peroxidase substrate solution (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) were followed for color reaction. Plates were read at absorbance of 450 nm using SOFTmax PRO 4.3.1 LS software accompanying an Emax microtiter plate reader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). DcR3 concentrations in sera were calculated using the DcR3 standard curve (range 0–50 ng/mL) fitted with a 4-parameter logistic regression.

The level of soluble TL1A in human sera was also measured by quantitative ELISA. To improve the specificity of the assay to measure low levels of soluble TL1A in clinical sera samples, we have modified our published ELISA (Kim and Zhang, 2005). Instead of the previous mAb and biotinylated polyclonal-Ab pairs, 2 mAbs, MTLD2 and biotinylated MTLG6, were employed as capture and detection antibody pairs, respectively. The detection limit was calculated at 1.2 pg/mL which was lower than the detection limit of 32 pg/mL in our published ELISA (Kim and Zhang, 2005). The assay was also verified to be specific to measure soluble TL1A in the presence of excess DcR3 in a solution. Except for the mAb pairs, ELISA was performed essentially in the same way as described above. TL1A concentrations in sera were calculated using the TL1A standard curve (range 0–2 ng/mL) fitted with a 4-parameter logistic regression.

To measure OPG, we have developed ELISA by generating the hybridomas that produced mAbs specific for human OPG. Two mAbs, MOPC8 and MOPA2, were selected for ELISA. A 96-well plate was coated with 2  $\mu$ g/mL MOPC8 (100 mL/well) and blocked with 200  $\mu$ L 3% bovine serum albumin in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). One hundred microliters each of a 2-fold diluted OPG standard protein (20 ng/mL to 20 pg/mL) and undiluted sera were incubated for 2 h at room temperature (RT). After washing with PBS plus 0.05% Tween 20, biotinylated MOPA2 (0.2  $\mu$ g/mL) was reacted for 90 min at RT. The following steps are essentially the same as the above ELISAs. The detection limit was 14 pg/mL. OPG concentrations in sera were calculated using the OPG standard curve (range 0–20 ng/mL) fitted with a 4-parameter logistic regression.

## 2.3. Statistics

The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 3.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Comparisons among more than 3 variables were performed by 1-way ANOVA (nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test). Comparisons between 2 variables were analyzed by nonparametric Mann–Whitney test. Nonparametric Spearman test was used to assess the correlation between 2 variables. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard errors (SE) and 2-tailed *P* values less than 0.05 were considered significant. To evaluate the predictive value of DcR3 to diagnose sepsis, a receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was performed by MedCalc for Windows version 12.1.4.0 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Characteristics of clinical sera

The characteristics of sera samples employed in this study are presented in Table. 1. There were 25 cases of sepsis (case nos. 1–25) and 23 cases of SIRS (case nos. 26–48). The SIRS group was purposely included as the negative control of sepsis. As the clinical signs between sepsis and SIRS of noninfectious causes are similar and the diagnosis of sepsis has to be confirmed by pathogen detection, it will be most useful for DcR3 to rapidly differentiate sepsis from noninfectious SIRS in ICUs. The majority of pathogens detected in the sepsis group were Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria and

Table 1
Characteristics of clinical sera samples and DcR3 levels

Case no.	Age	Gender	WBC	Culture	DcR3
			(/µL)		(ng/mL)
1	82	F	13,900	Acinetobacter lwoffii	6.29
2	55	F	14,100	Escherichia coli	3.55
3	83	Μ	37,800	Escherichia coli	10.96
4	68	Μ	20,200	Escherichia coli	4.40
5	49	Μ	9700	Pseudomonas	3.37
6	76	F	14,500	Pseudomonas	24.93
7	58	М	14,700	Proteus mirabilis	4.86
8	81	F	11,800	Proteus	8.12
9	60	F	18,100	Gram-negative bacilli	8.51
10	57	F	4400	Gram-variable bacilli	5.49
11	42	Μ	16,400	Gram-variable coccobacilli	12.78
12	71	F	22,600	Pneumococcus/Gram-negative bacilli	5.70
13	56	Μ	17,900	Clostridium difficile/Escherichia coli	4.88
14	41	М	12,100	Clostridium difficile	2.29
15	68	М	24,000	Enterococcus	20.24
16	45	М	21,100	Staphylococcus aureus	7.64
17	96	М	10.400	Staphylococcus aureus	4.67
18	52	М	21.200	Staphylococcus aureus	16.32
19	78	М	13.000	coagulase negative Stanhylococcus	32.16
20	78	F	17.200	coagulase negative Staphylococcus	11.68
21	54	F	11.300	Group A Streptococcus	10.38
22	77	F	11.900	Group A Streptococcus	7.90
23	38	M	13.000	Group A Streptococcus	18.88
24	48	F	31,100	Candida	12.49
25	97	M	17.400	Yeast	12.99
26	28	M	15 700		3.01
27	23	F	15.600		0.47
28	34	М	16.600		4.75
29	68	М	1700		2.25
30	49	M	20.600		4.08
31	22	F	18.000		3.24
32	56	F	21 800		5 39
33	49	F	17 800		0.50
34	57	M	16.800		0.50
35	22	M	10.600		0.65
36	35	M	1700		0.63
37	31	M	17 900		2.04
38	47	M	12,200		0.86
39	75	M	13 700		4 53
40	21	M	29 200		1 47
41	84	M	19,000		0.59
42	67	F	17 300		3.04
43	80	M	24,300		2.55
44	35	M	10 700		0.78
45	32	M	18 100		2.00
46	36	M	18 100		1 16
47	73	M	15 100		0.14
48	18	F	35 200		2 73
10	10		55,200		2.15

M = Male; F = female.

there were 2 cases of fungi. The average ages of sepsis and SIRS patients were 65 (range 41–97) and 45 (range 18–84) years, respectively. The 20-year age difference between the 2 groups was significant (P = 0.0016), and this difference seemed to accurately reflect the widely known fact that sepsis is more common in the elderly and immunocompromised people. The average WBC counts of sepsis (16,792 cells/µL) and SIRS (16,857 cells/µL) were higher than the reference range of 4000–12,000 cells/µL, but there was no difference in the cell counts between the 2 groups. There was a significant correlation between DcR3 level and age (P = 0.0036), while no significance was found between DcR3 level and WBC (P = 0.4336). As scores for the severity of disease such as Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) were not obtained for this study, no correlation between DcR3 and the severity of disease was performed.

# 3.2. Evaluation of specific DcR3 increase in sepsis

As DcR3 serum level was elevated in various diseases including cancer and IBD, DcR3 level in sepsis was compared with those of renal

cell cancer (RCC) and CD. We had utilized the results of DcR3 levels in RCC and CD (active disease stage) from previous studies, and the details of these samples were described (Macher-Goeppinger et al., 2008; Funke et al., 2009). The results of DcR3 serum levels in different groups are shown in Fig. 1. The average DcR3 level in a group of healthy blood donors (designated as the normal group) was 0.43  $\pm$ 0.08 ng/mL. There were significant increases of DcR3 in all groups compared to the normal group. DcR3 concentrations between sepsis  $(10.46 \pm 1.46 \text{ ng/mL})$  and SIRS  $(2.06 \pm 0.33 \text{ ng/mL})$  showed a 5.1-fold difference, which was highly significant (P < 0.0001). Also, DcR3 increases in sepsis were 9.6-fold and 3.2-fold higher than those of RCC  $(1.09 \pm 0.24 \text{ ng/mL})$  and CD  $(3.23 \pm 1.75 \text{ ng/mL})$ , respectively (both P < 0.0001). DcR3 level in the SIRS group was 2-fold higher than that of RCC (P = 0.0008), while it was 64% lower than that of CD (P =0.0117). There was no significant difference in DcR3 increases between CD and RCC (P = 0.2925). Therefore, DcR3 increase was the most prominent in the sepsis group.

To further evaluate the specific increase of DcR3 in sepsis but not SIRS, we measured the concentrations of TL1A and OPG in the same sera samples (Fig. 2). The results showed that, unlike DcR3, TL1A levels had no significant difference (P = 0.5755) among the normal ( $0.050 \pm 0.009 \text{ ng/mL}$ ), sepsis ( $0.097 \pm 0.056 \text{ ng/mL}$ ), and SIRS group ( $0.051 \pm 0.007 \text{ ng/mL}$ ). Also, TLA had no significant difference between sepsis and SIRS (P = 0.5214). OPG was significantly increased by 4-fold in sepsis ( $1.0 \pm 0.41 \text{ ng/mL}$ ) and 2-fold in SIRS ( $0.5 \pm 0.09 \text{ ng/mL}$ ). However, the difference in OPG increases between sepsis and SIRS was insignificant (P = 0.5095). Taken together, DcR3 increases in sepsis but not SIRS appeared to be specific and independent of its ligand, TL1A, and homologous soluble decoy receptor OPG.

## 3.3. Analysis of the value of DcR3 to predict sepsis

To determine the sensitivity (true-positive rate) and specificity (true-negative rate) of DcR3 to predict sepsis against SIRS, an ROC curve was performed (Fig. 3). TL1A and OPG were also included for comparison. The areas under the ROC curve (AUC) for DcR3, OPG, and TL1A were 0.958 (95% CI [confidence interval]: 0.857–0.995), 0.578 (95% CI: 0.425–0.720), and 0.528 (95% CI: 0.377–0.675), respectively. Therefore, DcR3 appeared to show an excellent AUC value compared to the essentially worthless AUC values of OPG and TL1A. The analysis also provided the superior sensitivity (96%) and specificity (82.6%) values of DcR3 to discriminate sepsis from SIRS at a cut-off value of 3.24 ng/mL.



**Fig. 1.** Prominent DcR3 increases in sera of sepsis patients. DcR3 concentration was quantified by ELISA. The mean values of normal, SIRS, sepsis, RCC, and CD were  $0.43 \pm 0.081$  (n = 46),  $2.06 \pm 0.33$  (n = 23),  $10.46 \pm 1.46$  (n = 25),  $1.09 \pm 0.24$  (n = 48), and  $3.23 \pm 1.75$  (n = 30), respectively. *P* value (ANOVA test) for comparison of all 5 groups was <0.0001. Protein concentrations are shown in logarithmic scale. The bars indicate median. SIRS = Systemic inflammatory response syndrome; RCC = renal cell cancer; CD = Crohn's disease.



**Fig. 2.** Specific elevation of DcR3 serum level in sepsis. The concentrations of DcR3, TL1A, and OPG were quantified in sera of patients with sepsis or SIRS. Box and whiskers plots are presented to show median and interquartile (25th–75th) ranges. Protein concentrations are shown in logarithmic scale. *P* values (ANOVA test) for comparison among normal, sepsis, and SIRS were <0.0001, 0.0017, and 0.5755 for DcR3, OPG, and TL1A, respectively. *P* values (Mann–Whitney test) between sepsis and SIRS were <0.0001, 0.5096, 0.5214 for DcR3, OPG, and TL1A, respectively.

The values of DcR3 to predict sepsis from RCC, CD, and normal were also analyzed by ROC curves, and the results are shown in Table 2. The AUC values were 0.929 (95% CI: 0.827–0.981), 0.980 (95% CI: 0.916–0.999), and 0.999 (95% CI: 0.948–1.000) when sepsis was compared with CD, RCC, or normal, respectively. In spite of DcR3 increases in patients with RCC and CD, AUC values showed that DcR3 was a reliable indicator of sepsis for these patient groups.

# 4. Discussion

The identification of causative pathogens through blood cultures is still the gold standard of sepsis diagnosis. However, confirmation of



**Fig. 3.** Comparison of receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves for DcR3, OPG, and TL1A in predicting sepsis from SIRS. Areas under the ROC curve (AUC) for DcR3, OPG, and TL1A were 0.958 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.857–0.995), 0.578 (95% CI: 0.425–0.720), and 0.528 (95% CI: 0.377–0.675), respectively. *P* values of DcR3 versus OPG and DcR3 versus TL1A were <0.0001, while the *P* value between OPG and TL1A was 0.7280.

pathogens by cultures is slow and it often yields false-negative results (Klouche and Schröder, 2008). Although biomarkers cannot determine a particular pathogen like blood cultures, they can serve as rapid and inexpensive tools to discriminate sepsis from SIRS resulting from noninfectious insults in clinics. SIRS is nonspecific and can be caused by trauma, ischemia, inflammation, infection, or a combination of various insults. As antibiotics are ineffective for patients with SIRS, the differentiation between sepsis and SIRS of noninfectious causes especially in early stages of sepsis will help to reduce current practices of cautionary use of antibiotics on suspected patients. With the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, this has also become a pressing issue lately. Furthermore, sepsis progresses rapidly from systemic inflammatory responses to multiple organ dysfunction and septic shock and, in these stages, antibiotics alone are ineffective to treat patients with severely dysregulated immune system resulting from the induction of numerous molecules upon the activation of TLRs by pathogens.

Currently, procalcitonin (PCT) is the most researched biomarker in sepsis diagnosis. PCT serum level is known to significantly increase in response to bacterial stimuli. However, the function of PCT, which is a precursor of calcitonin, and the regulation of PCT synthesis in sepsis are not well understood. Nevertheless, PCT was shown to be more reliable to predict sepsis from SIRS compared to other molecules expressed in sepsis including various TNF family cytokines (Pierrakos and Vincent, 2010). PCT test is currently marketed for use in clinics

Table 2	
Values obtained from ROC curve analysis on DcR3 to predict sepsis.	

Diagnosis	AUC	SE	95% CI	Sensitivity	Specificity	Cut-off (ng/mL)
Sepsis versus SIRS	0.958	0.0241	0.857-0.995	96%	82.6%	3.24
Sepsis versus CD	0.929	0.0447	0.827-0.981	96%	90.0%	2.55
Sepsis versus RCC	0.980	0.0135	0.916-0.999	100%	89.6%	1.99
Sepsis versus normal	0.999	0.0012	0.948-0.981	100%	97.8%	1.65

ROC = Receiver-operating characteristic; SIRS = systemic inflammatory response syndrome; CD = Crohn's disease; RCC = renal cell cancer; AUC = area under the ROC curve; SE = standard errors; CI = confidence interval. *P* values for all 4 groups were <0.0001.

(bioMérieux, France). A recent finding showed that PCT serum level had no direct impact on prognosis, and PCT concentrations did not differ between hospital survivors and nonsurvivors (Karlsson et al., 2010). Hence, there is still a need for having clinically relevant biomarkers that can provide better assessment of patient outcomes so that more effective therapy can be developed.

DcR3 is a potent immunomodulator that can mediate numerous cellular effects in the immune system. Moreover, the regulation of DcR3 expression in response to bacterial antigens is under the control of TLRs that are known to play a key role in the innate immune system. As we reported, monocytes/macrophages and myeloid-derived dendritic cells (mDCs) selectively responded to bacterial antigens such as LPS and LTA to induce DcR3 (Kim et al., 2004). LTA and LPS mediate signaling preferentially via TLR2 and TLR4, respectively. Fungal antigens are also recognized by TLR2/4 (Calich et al., 2008). In contrast to mDC, plasmacytoid-derived dendritic cells (pDCs) did not induce DcR3 release after LPS or LTA stimulation primarily due to the absence of TLR2/4 expression in pDC. Besides, pDC, which expresses TLR7/9, did not induce DcR3 release even in response to the specific ligands of TLR7/9, while it released large amounts of IFN- $\alpha$  in culture supernatant. The TLR7/9 ligands were single-stranded RNA, a common feature of viral genome and CpG-DNA motif, respectively. This preferential regulation of DcR3 expression via TLR2/4 but not TLR7 might be one of the reasons that systemic acute viral inflammatory symptoms showed lack of DcR3 elevations.

After the SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) outbreak in China in 2003, we measured DcR3 levels in sera of SARS patients. Most SARS patients had DcR3 level similar to that of healthy controls except for 1 patient among 32 patients who showed over 5 ng/mL of DcR3 (Kim, unpublished data). It was reported that 1 of 31 SARS patients had recovered on antibacterial treatment alone (So et al., 2003). Antibiotics rarely work for SARS, which is caused by a virulent coronavirus. The high DcR3 serum level in 1 SARS case in our study might have been also due to secondary bacterial infection in the lung. This indicated that DcR3 serum level might be useful to distinguish between viral and bacterial acute systemic infection in emergency situations when causative agents are unknown. Besides, it has been shown already that DcR3 plasma level was significantly higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) from the first day of admission and that high DcR3 level predicted the 28-day mortality independently of APACHE II scores (Chen et al., 2009). This study only included ARDS of bacterial origin (mostly bacterial pneumonia) and thus the authors indicated that DcR3 may be a prognosticator for patients with ARDS with an infectious etiology. Therefore, DcR3 appeared to possess the potential to serve as both a diagnostic and a prognostic marker of acute systemic inflammatory symptoms of bacterial etiology.

DcR3 expression was detected in a wide array of human cells, and certain stimuli could increase DcR3 release in primary human cell cultures including endothelial cells of various tissue origins, lung bronchial epithelial cells, and dermal keratinocytes (Kim, unpublished data). Both endothelial and epithelial cells responded to LPS, while keratinocytes responded to both LPS and LTA. Besides bacterial antigens, DcR3 elevations in these cells were also detected following TNF and IL-1 $\beta$  treatment. This might be partly the reason why DcR3 elevations can be found in a wide array of diseases including RCC and CD that we presented as examples in this study. Nevertheless, there was no disease group that showed such high DcR3 elevation as sepsis when we screened about 1000 sera from patients with numerous diseases (Chen et al., 2004). Even bacterial infections such as cellulitis, osteomyelitis, and bacteremia without systemic inflammatory response syndromes showed a moderate level of DcR3 increases (Kim et al., 2004). Therefore, the drastically high DcR3 increase was very specific for sepsis.

DcR3 immunoreactivity could be also detected in tissue sections of inflamed intestine of acute appendicitis (Kim et al., 2005). Practically,

DcR3 was localized in all inflamed tissue components and infiltrating inflammatory cells with 1 exception. The germinal centers of reactive follicles (T-cell rich area) remained negative. Similarly, human primary T cells did not express any detectable DcR3 even in the presence of potent T cell activators (phytohemagglutinin and anti-CD3/CD28) (Kim et al., 2004).

In contrast, all 3 ligands of DcR3 (FasL, LIGHT, and TL1A) are expressed in activated T cells and have stimulatory activity toward T cells. Especially, a soluble recombinant TL1A acted as a costimulator in T cells that increases IL-2 responsiveness and secretion of IFN- $\gamma$  and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (Migone et al., 2002). TL1A has the highest protein identity (30%) with TNF. It is widely known that TNF plays a key role as a potent proinflammatory mediator during the development of sepsis. Unlike TNF, there was no significant TL1A increase in the sera of sepsis patients. As the ligands of DcR3 exist as both membrane-bound and soluble form in the immune system, it is not straightforward to determine the net DcR3 increase compared to the increases of its 3 ligands in various pathophysiologic conditions. At least, DcR3 increase in sepsis does not appear to be compensatory to soluble TL1A increase.

The role of abnormally high DcR3 level in the sera of sepsis patients is of particular interest. Recent studies have shown that dominant immunosuppressive features following sepsis were associated with mortality in sepsis patients and, consequently, it was postulated that targeted therapy aimed at reversing immunosuppression may provide new treatment modalities in sepsis (Lyn-Kew and Standiford, 2008; Shubin et al., 2011; Boomer et al., 2011). Interestingly, DcR3 was shown to mediate all immunosuppressive features observed in sepsis. DcR3 induces apoptosis of dendritic cells (You et al., 2008). It suppresses human leukocyte antigen-DR surface expression on dendritic cells and macrophages as well as phagocytic activity of macrophages (Chang et al., 2004). DcR3 skews the Th1/Th2 (CD4 + helper T [Th] cell type 1 and 2) balance toward Th2 (immunosuppressive phenotype) responses (Hsu et al., 2002). More significantly, human DcR3-expressing transgenic mice showed attenuated expression of IFN- $\gamma$  and increased mortality after the mice were infected with intracellular Gram-positive bacteria Listeria monocytogenes (Hsu et al., 2005).

Moreover, DcR3 could be directly utilized by invading pathogens to escape the immune surveillance by inhibiting Fas/FasL-dependent apoptosis of infected cells and dampening T-cell responses. This mechanism was shown to be particularly important for intracellular pathogens to survive and replicate inside host cells protected from the host apoptosis immune attack (Oddo et al., 1998; Faherty and Maurelli, 2008). Clearance of apoptotic cells by phagocytic cells plays a significant role in the resolution of inflammation (Devitt and Marshall, 2011). Therefore, DcR3 might turn out to be a novel target of sepsis therapy.

Because of its soluble nature and abundance compared to other cytokines expressed in sera of sepsis patients, the measurement of DcR3 in the serum provides convenience and higher accuracy. With its potent immunomodulatory function in the immune system, DcR3 level may prove to be a clinically important indicator of sepsis development and progression. The results thus warrant further validation of the clinical value of DcR3 as a biomarker of sepsis.

#### References

- American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. Crit Care Med 1992;20:864–74.
- Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT, Lidicker J, Clermont G, Carcillo J, Pinsky MR. Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the United States: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care. Crit Care Med 2001;29:1303–10.
- Boomer JS, To K, Chang KC, Takasu O, Osborne DF, Walton AH, et al. Immunosuppression in patients who die of sepsis and multiple organ failure. JAMA 2011;306: 2594–605.
- Calich VL, Pina A, Felonato M, Bernardino S, Costa TA, Loures FV. Toll-like receptors and fungal infections: the role of TLR2, TLR4 and MyD88 in paracoccidioidomycosis. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2008;53:1–7.

- Chang YC, Hsu TL, Lin HH, Chio CC, Chiu AW, Chen NJ, et al. Modulation of macrophage
- differentiation and activation by decoy receptor 3. J Leukoc Biol 2004;75:486–94. Chen J, Zhang L, Kim S. Quantification and detection of DcR3, a decoy receptor in TNFR family. J Immunol Methods 2004;285:63–70.
- Chen CY, Yang KY, Chen MY, Chen HY, Lin MT, Lee YC, et al. Decoy receptor 3 levels in peripheral blood predict outcomes of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180:751–60.

Decker T. Sepsis: avoiding its deadly toll. J Clin Invest 2004;113:1387-9.

- Devitt A, Marshall LJ. The innate immune system and the clearance of apoptotic cells. J Leukoc Biol 2011;90:447–57.
- Faherty CS, Maurelli AT. Staying alive: bacterial inhibition of apoptosis during infection. Trends Microbiol 2008;16:173–80.
- Funke B, Autschbach F, Kim S, Lasitschka F, Strauch U, Rogler G, et al. Functional characterisation of decoy receptor 3 in Crohn's disease. Gut 2009;58:483–91.
- Hayashi S, Miura Y, Tateishi K, Takahashi M, Kurosaka M. Decoy receptor 3 is highly expressed in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol 2010;20:63–8.
- Hsu TL, Chang YC, Chen SJ, Liu YJ, Chiu AW, Chio CC, et al. Modulation of dendritic cell differentiation and maturation by decoy receptor 3. J Immunol 2002;168:4846–53.
- Hsu TL, Wu YY, Chang YC, Yang CY, Lai MZ, Su WB, et al. Attenuation of Th1 response in decoy receptor 3 transgenic mice. J Immunol 2005;175:5135–45.
- Karlsson S, Heikkinen M, Pettilä V, Alila S, Väisänen S, Pulkki K, et al. Predictive value of procalcitonin decrease in patients with severe sepsis: a prospective observational study. Crit Care 2010;14:R205.
- Kim S, Zhang L. Identification of naturally secreted soluble form of TL1A, a TNF-like cytokine. J Immunol Methods 2005;298:1–8.
- Kim S, McAuliffe WJ, Zaritskaya LS, Moore PA, Zhang L, Nardelli B. Selective induction of tumor necrosis receptor factor 6/decoy receptor 3 release by bacterial antigens in human monocytes and myeloid dendritic cells. Infect Immun 2004;72:89–93.
- Kim S, Fotiadu A, Kotoula V. Increased expression of soluble decoy receptor 3 in acutely inflamed intestinal epithelia. Clin Immunol 2005;115:286–94.
- Kim S, Kotoula V, Hytiroglou P, Zardavas D, Zhang L. Significance of increased expression of decoy receptor 3 in chronic liver disease. Dig Liver Dis 2009;41:591–8.
- Klouche M, Schröder U. Rapid methods for diagnosis of bloodstream infections. Clin Chem Lab Med 2008;46:888–908.

- Lyn-Kew K, Standiford TJ. Immunosuppression in sepsis. Curr Pharm Des 2008;14: 1870–81.
- Macher-Goeppinger S, Aulmann S, Wagener N, Funke B, Tagscherer KE, Haferkamp A, et al. Decoy receptor 3 is a prognostic factor in renal cell cancer. Neoplasia 2008;10: 1049–56.
- Migone TS, Zhang J, Luo X, Zhuang L, Chen C, Hu B, et al. TL1A is a TNF-like ligand for DR3 and TR6/DcR3 and functions as a T cell costimulator. Immunity 2002;16: 479–92.
- Oddo M, Renno T, Attinger A, Bakker T, MacDonald HR, Meylan PR. Fas ligand-induced apoptosis of infected human macrophages reduces the viability of intracellular *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Immunol 1998;160:5448–54.
- Pierrakos C, Vincent JL. Sepsis biomarkers: a review. Crit Care 2010;14:R15.
- Pitti RM, Marsters SA, Lawrence DA, Roy M, Kischkel FC, Dowd P, et al. Genomic amplification of a decoy receptor for Fas ligand in lung and colon cancer. Nature 1998;396:699–703.
- Shubin NJ, Monaghan SF, Ayala A. Anti-inflammatory mechanisms of sepsis. Contrib Microbiol 2011;17:108–24.
- So LK, Lau AC, Yam LY, Cheung TM, Poon E, Yung RW, et al. Development of a standard treatment protocol for severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;361: 1615–7.
- Takahama Y, Yamada Y, Emoto K, Fujimoto H, Takayama T, Ueno M, et al. The prognostic significance of overexpression of the decoy receptor for Fas ligand (DcR3) in patients with gastric carcinomas. Gastric Cancer 2002;5:61–8.
- Tu HF, Liu CJ, Liu SY, Chen YP, Yu EH, Lin SC, et al. Serum decoy receptor 3 level: a predictive marker for nodal metastasis and survival among oral cavity cancer patients. Head Neck 2011;33:396–402.
- You RI, Chang YC, Chen PM, Wang WS, Hsu TL, Yang CY, et al. Apoptosis of dendritic cells induced by decoy receptor 3 (DcR3). Blood 2008;111:1480–8.
- Yu KY, Kwon B, Ni J, Zhai Y, Ebner R, Kwon BS. A newly identified member of tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily (TR6) suppresses LIGHT-mediated apoptosis. J Biol Chem 1999;274:13733–6.
- Zhai Y, Guo R, Hsu TL, Yu GL, Ni J, Kwon BS, et al. LIGHT, a novel ligand for lymphotoxin beta receptor and TR2/HVEM induces apoptosis and suppresses in vivo tumor formation via gene transfer. J Clin Invest 1998;102:1142–51.