# *Research Article*

# **Tumor Protective Activity of CD4+ but Not of CD8+ T Cells in DNA-Vaccinated Mice Challenged with bcr-abl-Transformed Cells**

#### **Martina Petrá**I**ková, Vincent Lu**I**anský, and Vladimír Vonka**

*Institute of Hematology and Blood Transfusion, Department of Experimental Virology, U Nemocnice 1, 12820 Prague 2, Czech Republic*

Correspondence should be addressed to Martina Petráčková; martina.petrackova@uhkt.cz

Received 21 August 2013; Revised 31 October 2013; Accepted 2 November 2013

Academic Editor: Shigeo Koido

Copyright © 2013 Martina Petráčková et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the recent past, it has repeatedly been reported that CD4 cells play an important role in the immunology of chronic myeloid leukaemia. It was therefore of interest to test their activity in an animal model using bcr-abl-transformed cells. BALB/c mice were four times immunized with a DNA vaccine carrying the bcr-abl fusion gene. Two weeks after the last vaccine dose, the animals were challenged with syngeneic bcr-abl-transformed 12B1 cells which form solid tumors after subcutaneous administration. At the time of challenge, animals were treated with antibodies against the CD8+ T cells or CD4+ T cells. The efficacy of the depletion was monitored and found highly effective. All nonimmunized animals developed tumors. All animals untreated with the antibodies as well as those in which CD8+ T cells had been depleted, were fully protected against the challenge. On the other hand, almost all mice treated with anti-CD4+ antibody developed tumors. These results strongly suggested that the CD4+ T cells acted as effectors in the present system.

# **1. Introduction**

It is generally accepted that specifically activated CD8+ T cells play the most important role in immunological tumor rejection and a great majority of immunotherapeutic studies have been focusing on them. In the recent past, it has repeatedly been demonstrated that the CD4+ T cell response is polyfunctional and there has been growing evidence that a subpopulation of CD4+ T cells can mediate an efficient antitumor activity in some systems (for review see [\[1,](#page-3-1) [2\]](#page-3-2)). Activated CD4+ T cells may partner with many different types of host cells to clear the tumor indirectly by secretion of vast array of cytokines. These cytokines react with and activate distinct classes of cells including macrophages, eosinophils, NK cells, and B cells and can induce antitumor effect independent of CD8+ T cells [\[3](#page-3-3)].

Evidence of direct cytotoxic role for CD4+ T cells has also been presented [\[4](#page-3-4), [5](#page-3-5)]. Cytotoxic CD4+ T cells have been detected in peripheral blood of subjects suffering from various diseases such as those caused by viruses (HIV, CMV, and EBV) (for review see [\[6](#page-4-0)]) and chronic inflammatory diseases as rheumatoid arthritis [\[7\]](#page-4-1) and B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia [\[8](#page-4-2)]. It has been shown that their cytotoxic effect has been based on perforin-dependent pathway [\[9](#page-4-3)] and/or on the Fas-FasL-mediated apoptosis [\[10\]](#page-4-4), and it has been suggested that the lytic activity of CD4+ T cells is likely HLA class II restricted, at least in some instances.

In our previous study, we have shown that it is possible to induce solid immunity against the bcr-abl-transformed mouse cells by immunization with a DNA vaccine carrying the bcr-abl fusion gene [\[11\]](#page-4-5). It was the purpose of the present study to identify effector cells responsible for the protection.

# **2. Methods**

*2.1. Cells.* The mouse (BALB/c) 12B1 cells transformed by human bcr-abl fusion gene [\[12\]](#page-4-6) were used in the present experiments. They were obtained through the courtesy of E. Katsanis (University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA) and cultivated as described previously [\[13\]](#page-4-7). In brief, they induce leukaemia-like disease after intravenous administration and



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1: Flow cytometry analysis of splenocytes from animals (a) untreated and (b) treated with either rabbit polyclonal anti-CD4 or anti-CD8 antibody. Animals received two doses of depletion antibodies in three-day interval and were sacrificed two days after the second dose. The splenocytes were stained with rat monoclonal anti-CD4-FITC or anti-CD8-PE antibody.

solid tumors after subcutaneous administration. They express MHC class I molecules and are of pre-B origin.

*2.2. Animal Experiments.* Seven-week old female BALB/c mice were obtained from Charles Rivers, Germany. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation valid in the Czech Republic. The human pBSC/bcr-abl [\[11\]](#page-4-5) or the empty pBSC [\[14](#page-4-8)] expression plasmids were used as DNA vaccines. DNA cartridges were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions (Helios Gene Gun System, Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA). Using the gene gun, we applied  $1 \mu$ g of DNA on gold particles intradermally into the shaven abdominal area four times at two-week intervals. For the challenge,  $5 \times$  $10<sup>3</sup>$  12B1 cells (approximately 10 TID<sub>50</sub>) in 0.2 mL PBS was administered s.c. into the flank area two weeks after the last vaccination. Mice were monitored three times a week for up to 90 days. When tumors reached the size of  $400\,\mathrm{mm}^2$ , the mice were humanely sacrificed.

*2.3. In Vivo Depletion of CD4+ and CD8+ T Cell Populations.* Mice were depleted of CD4+ or CD8+ T cell subsets, via intraperitoneal administration of anti-CD4+ and anti-CD8+ antibodies (both rabbit polyclonal, purified IgG fraction, Exbio, Prague, Czech Republic), respectively,  $100 \mu L/d$ ose (as optimized in previous experiments). The antibodies were administered 3 days before the challenge, at the time of challenge (day 0) and then on days 7, 10, 17, and 24 after the challenge. The efficacy of depletion was monitored by flow cytometry two days after the second antibody dose and challenge.

*2.4. Flow Cytometric Analysis.* After blocking nonspecific binding with rat anti-mouse CD16/32 (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), splenocytes from antibody-treated and untreated mice were labelled with monoclonal antibodies FITC Rat Anti-Mouse CD4 (BD Pharmingen) or CD8a (mouse) PE (Exbio). The reduction of the respective cell subsets was determined via comparison of antibody-treated

and control animals. To reveal other cell surface molecules, 12B1 cells were stained with monoclonal antibodies Anti-Mouse CD95 (APO-1/Fas) PE (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), Anti-Mouse CD178 (Fas Ligand) PE (eBioscience), FITC Anti-Mouse I- $A<sup>d</sup>$  (subclass of MHC II) (Cedarlane Laboratories, Hornby, ON, Canada), and with corresponding isotype controls (eBioscience and Cedarlane). In addition, MHC class II expression by 12B1 cells was measured after 48 hrs incubation of cells in media supplemented with mouse recombinant IFN- $\gamma$  (200 U/mL, Peprotech, London, UK). Cells were examined by a LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). The results were analysed using FlowJo 7.6 software.

*2.5. Statistical Analysis.* For the analysis of survival, the Logrank test was used. Calculations were done using Prism Software Version 5.0 (Graph-Pad Software, San Diego, CA).

#### **3. Results**

*3.1. Monitoring In Vivo Depletion.* The results of the depletion, obtained with splenocytes of animals sacrificed two days after the second dose of the respective antibody, are shown in [Figure 1.](#page-1-0) Splenocytes from untreated animals served as controls. It can be seen that both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells were nearly completely depleted, indicating that the procedure used was highly effective.

*3.2. Immunization Experiments.* The results of the immunization experiment are shown in [Figure 2](#page-2-0)*.* The mice inoculated with the empty plasmid and subsequently treated or untreated with depletion antibodies developed tumors and died in all groups before day 25. Mice immunized with pBSC/bcr-abl plasmid and untreated with antibodies were fully protected against the challenge. Treatment with anti-CD8 antibody did not render the animals susceptible to tumor formation. On the other hand, 5 out of 6 immunized mice treated with anti-CD4+ antibody developed tumors and died before day 25. The difference between mice depleted



Figure 2: Survival of mice inoculated with empty pBSC or pBSC/bcr-abl plasmid and challenged with 12B1 cells. Six animals were in each group. (a) Nondepleted, (b) depleted of CD8+ T cells, and (c) depleted of CD4+ T cells.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Figure 3: Flow cytometry analysis of 12B1 cells for the expression of MHC class II, Fas (CD95) and FasL (CD178), (a) cells treated with anti-MHC class II antibody, (b) cells cultivated in the presence of INF- $\gamma$  and treated with MHC class II antibody, (c) cells treated with anti-Fas (CD 95) antibody, and (d) cells treated with anti-FasL (CD 178) antibody. Grey histograms indicate cells treated with the respective antibody. Empty histograms represent cells treated with the appropriate isotype controls.

of CD8+ T cells and those depleted of CD4+ T cells was statistically highly significant ( $P = 0.0054$ ). The results indicated that CD4+ T cells and not CD8+ T cells acted as effectors in the present system. Similar data were obtained in the repeated experiment.

*3.3. Expression of MHC Class II, Fas, and FasL Molecules on 12B1 Cells.* In order to get information on the immune recognition molecules which might be involved in the anticancer effect, we used flow cytometry to measure levels of expression of MHC class II, Fas, and Fas L on the surface of 12B1 cells. The results are shown in [Figure 3.](#page-2-1) It can be seen that these cells do not express the MHC class II molecules and that their expression was not induced by cultivation in the presence of INF- $\gamma$ . On the other hand, they do express Fas and very low amounts of FasL.

#### **4. Discussion**

Quite recently it has been reported that SV40-induced tumors could be eliminated by specifically activated CD4+ T cells [\[15\]](#page-4-9). In those experiments, in which DNA vaccine was used for immunization, depletion of CD8+ T cells did not abolish the antitumor effect while depletion of CD4+ T cells rendered mice susceptible to tumor formation. Because of the timing of the immunization and subsequent administration of antibodies used for depletion, it was evident that CD4+ T cells played a critical role both in the activation and the effector phases. This was a rather surprising observation because in the previous experiments carried out by the same group of authors, in which they had immunized mice with recombinant SV40 T antigen, the antitumor effect was mediated through CD8+ T cells, with the possible contribution of antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC) [\[16](#page-4-10), [17](#page-4-11)]. In addition, in those earlier experiments they had demonstrated that the CD4+ T cells were dispensable in the effector phase.

The present experiments revealed that the immunity against mouse bcr-abl-transformed 12B1 cells was mediated through the activity of CD4+ T cells. Their removal resulted in nearly complete abolishing of the anti-tumor immunity, while the depletion of CD8+ T cells did not impair it. Because of the timing of the depleting antibody administration, it seems clear that the CD4+ T cells were acting in the immune effector phase. The mechanisms involved are not clear at this writing. It is highly unlikely that the effect was directly mediated through MHC class II molecules. The presence of Fas on the 12B1 cells suggests but does not prove at this moment that Fas-FasL interaction was involved. However, it is also possible that the effects we observed were within the category of indirect effects induced by activated CD4+ T cells reported in other systems [\[1](#page-3-1)[–3](#page-3-3), [18](#page-4-12), [19\]](#page-4-13). We also tried to establish the possible role of NK cells in the present undertaking. Using the same batch of antibody for depletion of NK cells, the results of repeated test were inconsistent, contributing—in a way—to the controversy about the role of these cells in tumor rejection as recently discussed [\[20,](#page-4-14) [21\]](#page-4-15). The equivocation of our results concerning NK cells does not seem to erode the conclusion that CD4+ T cells and not CD8+ T cells played the decisive role in tumor rejection in the present system.

The present results are strongly reminiscent of the previously mentioned data obtained in the SV40 system [\[15\]](#page-4-9). It may be of interest that in both their and our studies, DNA vaccines and BALB/c mice were employed. It will be the purpose of the future experiments to find out whether the vaccination constructs employed played any role in the differentiation of CD4+ T cells into effector cells, whether the mouse strain mattered, and whether the effects we observed were associated with the presence of human p210<sup>bcr-abl</sup> in the mouse system or with the nature of the tumor cells expressing this protein, the key element in the pathogenesis of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML). There are some other indications that CD4+ T cells may play the role of effectors in the immune reactions in CML. For example, it has been reported that CD4+ T cell clones isolated from several leukemic patients after bone marrow transplantation (BMT) were cytotoxic for leukemic myeloid cells but not for the other cells or cell lines [\[22\]](#page-4-16). It has also been demonstrated that HLA-DR restricted CD4+ T cell clones were cytotoxic for CML cells which processed and presented exogenous antigen (PPD); this led the respective authors to the conclusion that allogeneic donor CD4+ T cells might be sufficient for the induction of graft-versusleukaemia (GvL) effect [\[23](#page-4-17)]. This seems to be in line with the results of another study in which infusion of donor CD4+ T lymphocytes depleted of CD8+ T lymphocytes resulted in GvL effect in a large proportion of patients [\[24\]](#page-4-18). In a more recent study, all four T cell clones isolated from CML patients after BMT, which had exhibited cytotoxicity for autologous tumor cells, were immunophenotyped as CD4+CD8− cells [\[25\]](#page-4-19). Also immune responses against autologous tumor cells in imatinib-treated CML patients were dominated by CD4+ T cells [\[26\]](#page-4-20). On the other hand, there are observations militating against the role of activated CD4+ T cells in eliminating leukemic cells. Thus, it has been shown that the CD4+ T cells responding to exposure to p210<sup>bcr-abl</sup>-derived peptides in a HLA-restricted manner did not exhibit cytotoxic activity [\[27\]](#page-4-21) and that CD4+ T cell clones isolated from healthy HLA-DRB1 individuals and reactive with  $p210^{bcr-abl}$ -derived peptides paradoxically enhanced the number of CML cell colonies when cocultivated with CML cells obtained from HLA-DRB1 positive patients [\[28](#page-4-22)]. In brief, there is now no really convincing evidence on an important or even decisive role for CD4+ T cells as effectors in anti-CML immunity. At this moment, speculations on the role of CD4+ cells have a character of a daring but testable hypothesis.

# **5. Conclusions**

Without respect to the underlying mechanisms, the present observation provides some more ammunition for the present interest in the role for CD4+ T cells in effective phase of antitumor immune responses. The results of this study support the concept that CD8+ T cells do not command the antitumor immunity in all instances. Definitely, the role for CD4+ T cells as effectors in antitumor immunity should be further intensively investigated, because—due to the complex biology of these cells—many issues still remain unexplained. The identification and characterization of their subclass acting as cytotoxic effectors, if it really exists as suggested [\[5](#page-3-5), [29](#page-4-23)], may provide a boost for the future research aimed at a better understanding of the determinants of tumor immunogenicity and novel immunotherapeutic options.

# **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank T. Novakova and K. Kernova for technical assistance. This work was supported by Grants nos. NS-10634-3/2009 and NT-12363-4/2011 of the Internal Granting Agency of the Ministry of Health, Czech Republic, Grant IHBT 37676 of the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic, and ERDF OPPK CZ.2.16/3.1.00/24001.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[1] R. Kennedy and E. Celis, "Multiple roles for CD4+ T cells in anti-tumor immune responses," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 222, pp. 129–144, 2008.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[2] J. Thibodeau, M. C. Bourgeois-Daigneault, and R. Lapointe, "Targeting the MHC Class II antigen presentation pathway in cancer immunotherapy," *Oncoimmunology*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 908–916, 2012.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[3] A. Perez-Diez, N. T. Joncker, K. Choi et al., "CD4 cells can be more efficient at tumor rejection than CD8 cells," *Blood*, vol. 109, no. 12, pp. 5346–5354, 2007.
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[4] P. J. van de Berg, E. M. van Leeuwen, I. J. ten Berge, and R. van Lier, "Cytotoxic human CD4+ T cells," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 339–343, 2008.
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[5] V. Appay, "The physiological role of cytotoxic CD4+ T-cells: the holy grail?" *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 10–13, 2004.
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[6] N. B. Marshall and S. L. Swain, "Cytotoxic CD4 T cells in antiviral immunity," *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology*, vol. 2011, Article ID 954602, 2011.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[7] T. Namekawa, U. G. Wagner, J. J. Goronzy, and C. M. Weyand, "Functional subsets of CD4 T cells in rheumatoid synovitis," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2108–2116, 1998.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[8] N. Porakishvili, L. Kardava, A. P. Jewell et al., "Cytotoxic CD4+ T cells in patients with B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia kill via a perforin-mediated pathway," *Haematologica*, vol. 89, no. 4, pp. 435–443, 2004.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[9] M. Yasukawa, H. Ohminami, J. Arai, Y. Kasahara, Y. Ishida, and S. Fujita, "Granule exocytosis, and not the Fas/Fas ligand system, is the main pathway of cytotoxicity mediated by alloantigen-specific CD4+ as well as CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes in humans," *Blood*, vol. 95, no. 7, pp. 2352–2355, 2000.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[10] H. Echchakir, M. Bagot, G. Dorothée et al., "Cutaneous T cell lymphoma reactive CD4+ cytotoxic T lymphocyte clones display a Th1 cytokine profile and use a Fas-independent pathway for specific tumor cell lysis," *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, vol. 115, no. 1, pp. 74–80, 2000.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[11] V. Lucansky, E. Sobotkova, R. Tachezy, M. Duskova, and V. Vonka, "DNA vaccination against bcr-abl-positive cells in mice," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 941– 951, 2009.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[12] J. McLaughlin, E. Chianese, and O. N. Witte, "In vitro transformation of immature hematopoietic cells by the P210 BCR/ABL oncogene product of the Philadelphia chromosome," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 84, no. 18, pp. 6558–6562, 1987.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[13] M. Petráčková, R. Tachezy, and V. Vonka, "Properties of bcr-abl-transformed mouse 12B1 cells secreting interleukin-2 and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. I: derivation, genetic stability, oncogenicity and immunogenicity," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1668–1676, 2012.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[14] M. Šmahel, P. Šíma, V. Ludvíková, and V. Vonka, "Modified HPV16 E7 genes as DNA vaccine against E7-containing oncogenic cells," *Virology*, vol. 281, no. 2, pp. 231–238, 2001.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[15] J. F. Aldrich, D. B. Lowe, M. H. Shearer et al., "CD4+ T lymphocytes are critical mediators of tumor immunity to simian virus 40 large tumor antigen induced by vaccination with plasmid DNA," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 85, no. 14, pp. 7216– 7224, 2011.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[16] R. K. Bright, M. H. Shearer, and R. C. Kennedy, "Immunization of BALB/c mice with recombinant simian virus 40 large tumor antigen induces antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity against simian virus 40-transformed cells: an antibody-based mechanism for tumor immunity," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 153, no. 5, pp. 2064–2071, 1994.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[17] D. B. Lowe, M. H. Shearer, C. A. Jumper, R. K. Bright, and R. C. Kennedy, "Tumor immunity against a simian virus 40 oncoprotein requires CD8 + T lymphocytes in the effector immune phase," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 883–893, 2010.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[18] D. Mumberg, P. A. Monach, S. Wanderling et al., "CD4+ T cells eliminate MHC class II-negative cancer cells in vivo by indirect effects of IFN-y," Proceedings of the National Academy *of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 15, pp. 8633–8638, 1999.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[19] M. Hagn, K. Sontheimer, K. Dahlke et al., "Human B cells differentiate into granzyme B-secreting cytotoxic B lymphocytes

upon incomplete T-cell help," *Immunology and Cell Biology*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 457–467, 2012.

- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[20] M. Balsamo, G. Pietra, W. Vermi, L. Moretta, M. C. Mingari, and M. Vitale, "Melanoma immunoediting by NK cells," *Oncoimmunology*, vol. 1, no. 9, pp. 1607–1609, 2012.
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[21] D. Fruci, M. E. Lo, L. Cifaldi et al., "T and NK cells: two sides of tumor immunoevasion," *Journal of Translational Medicine*, vol. 11, article 30, 2013.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[22] J. S. Serody, M. E. Brecher, G. Dent, S. A. Bentley, J. A. Frelinger, and T. C. Shea, "A method for the production of CD4+ chronic myelogenous leukemia-specific allogeneic T lymphocytes,"*Cancer Research*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 1547–1553, 1997.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[23] Y.-Z. Jiang, D. Mavroudis, S. Dermime et al., "Alloreactive CD4+ T lymphocytes can exert cytotoxicity to chronic myeloid leukaemia cells processing and presenting exogenous antigen," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 606–612, 1996.
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[24] E. P. Alyea, R. J. Soiffer, C. Canning et al., "Toxicity and efficacy of defined doses of CD4+ donor lymphocytes for treatment of relapse after allogeneic bone marrow transplant," *Blood*, vol. 91, no. 10, pp. 3671–3680, 1998.
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[25] I. Dodi, F. Van Rhee, H. Forde et al., "CD4+ bias in T cells cloned from a CML patient with active graft versus leukemia effect," *Cytotherapy*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 353–363, 2002.
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[26] C. L.-U. Chen, H. T. Maecker, and P. P. Lee, "Development and dynamics of robust T-cell responses to CML under imatinib treatment," *Blood*, vol. 111, no. 11, pp. 5342–5349, 2008.
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[27] G. J. A. Ten Bosch, A. C. Toornvliet, T. Friede, C. J. M. Melief, and O. C. Leeksma, "Recognition of peptides corresponding to the joining region of p210(BCR-ABL) protein by human T cells," *Leukemia*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1344–1348, 1995.
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[28] M. Yasukawa, H. Ohminami, S. Kaneko et al., "CD4+ cytotoxic T-cell clones specific for bcr-abl b3a2 fusion peptide augment colony formation by chronic myelogenous leukemia cells in a b3a2-specific and HLA-DR-restricted manner," *Blood*, vol. 92, no. 9, pp. 3355–3361, 1998.
- <span id="page-4-23"></span>[29] D. Hirschhorn-Cymerman, S. Budhu, S. Kitano et al., "Induction of tumoricidal function in CD4+ T cells is associated with concomitant memory and terminally differentiated phenotype," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 209, no. 11, pp. 2113–2126, 2012.