

Article BZINB model-based pathway analysis and module identification facilitates integration of microbiome and metabolome data

Bridget Lin¹, Hunyong Cho¹, Chuwen Liu¹, Jeff Roach², Apoena Aguiar Ribeiro³, Kimon Divaris^{4,5} and Di Wu 1,6,7, *

- ¹ Department of Biostatistics, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States; bmlin@live.unc.edu (B.L.); hunycho@live.unc.edu (H.C.); chuwen@email.unc.edu (C.L); did@email.unc.edu (D.W.)
- ² Research Computing, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States; Jeff_Roach@unc.edu (J.R.)
- ³ Division of Diagnostic Sciences, Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States; apoena@email.unc.edu (A.A.R.)
- ⁴ Division of Pediatric and Public Health, Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States; Kimon_Divaris@unc.edu (K.D.)
- ⁵ Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States
- ⁶ Division of Oral and Craniofacial Health Sciences, Adams School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27599
- ⁷ Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States
- * Correspondence: did@email.unc.edu; Tel: +1-919-537-3277

Abstract: Integration of multi-omics data is a challenging but necessary step to advance our understanding of the biology underlying human health and disease processes. To date, investigations 2 seeking to integrate multi-omics (e.g., microbiome and metabolome) employ simple correlation-based 3 network analyses; however, these methods are not always well-suited for microbiome analyses because they do not accommodate the excess zeros typically present in these data. In this paper, we 5 introduce a bivariate zero-inflated negative binomial (BZINB) model-based network and module analysis method that addresses this limitation and improves microbiome-metabolome correlation-based model fitting by accommodating excess zeros. We use real and simulated data based on a multi-omics 8 study of childhood oral health (ZOE 2.0; investigating early childhood dental disease, ECC) and find 9 that the accuracy of the BZINB model-based correlation method is superior compared to Spearman's 10 rank and Pearson correlations in terms of approximating the underlying relationships between 11 microbial taxa and metabolites. The new method, BZINB-iMMPath facilitates the construction of 12 metabolite-species and species-species correlation networks using BZINB and identifies modules of 13 (i.e., correlated) species by combining BZINB and similarity-based clustering. Perturbations in correla-14 tion networks and modules can be efficiently tested between groups (i.e., healthy and diseased study 15 participants). Upon application of the new method in the ZOE 2.0 study microbiome-metabolome 16 data, we identify that several biologically-relevant correlations of ECC-associated microbial taxa with 17 carbohydrate metabolites differ between healthy and dental caries-affected participants. In sum, we 18 find that the BZINB model is a useful alternative to Spearman or Pearson correlations for estimating 19 the underlying correlation of zero-inflated bivariate count data and thus is suitable for integrative 20 analyses of multi-omics data such as those encountered in microbiome and metabolome studies. 21

Keywords: correlation; microbiome; metabolomics; multi-omics; zero-inflation; counts; caries; clustering; pathways; network

22 23

24

1. Introduction

Microbiome data are essential for advancing our understanding of the biological basis of many human diseases and are becoming increasingly available. While descriptions of 26

Citation: Lin, B., Cho, H., Liu, C., Roach, J., Ribeiro, A., Divaris, K., Wu, D. BZINB model-based pathway analysis and module identification facilitates integration of microbiome and metabolome data. *Microorganisms* **2022**, *1*, 0. https://doi.org/

Received: Accepted: Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted to *Microorganisms* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

2 of 27

taxonomic aspects of the human microbiome are valuable, functional insights are arguably 27 more informative. Accordingly, characterizations of the ways that bacteria interact with 28 the host and the environment via metabolic byproducts and other biochemicals can offer 29 important biological insights in disease pathogenesis, and offer targets for prevention and 30 treatment. However, the complexity of these interactions cannot be underestimated. For 31 example, relevant metabolites can be microbial products, whereas host- or environment-32 derived metabolites may serve as nutrients or environmental stressors for microbial com-33 munities. While the availability of microbiome-metabolome and health-disease associated 34 phenotype data is increasing, suitable analysis methods development has not kept pace. 35 Leveraging data on microbiome-metabolome interactions could help illuminate important 36 biological pathways at play and identify bacterial species that influence each other via inter-37 species activities [1,2]. Importantly, these biological networks and microbial correlations 38 may be influenced by the environment and differ between states of health and disease, as 39 in the case of the oral biofilm microbiome-metabolome and dental caries [3,4]. Therefore, defining and measuring networks among microbial taxa, pathways in which taxa and 41 metabolites are involved, and clusters of inter-correlated taxa are critical for understanding 42 the function of microbial communities in health and diseases. Curated pathway datasets 43 such as KEGG can provide known metabolic pathways involving metabolite networks but are not context-specific. The newly available Whole Genome Sequencing shotgun (WGS) 45 DNAseq for metagenomics and RNAseq for metatranscriptomics (providing information 46 at the at the taxon or gene level), or the earlier 16S sequencing for bacterial taxonomic 47 abundance, paired with metabolome data from the same biofilm samples can provide unique new opportunities for context-specific integrative microbial pathway analyses. 49

Although joint network analyses of microbiome and metabolome data are critical for 50 understanding host-microbiome interactions, the existing computational methods have not 51 been designed for the specific characteristics of microbiome data. Until recently, Pearson 52 or Spearman correlation-based pathway analyses [10] have been popular and robust for 53 gene-gene network analysis for gene expression data; however, these approaches do not 54 consider the excess zeros in microbiome data. Kendall's Tau and Mutual Information 55 (MI) have been suggested as possible replacements of Pearson or Spearman correlations 56 for non-normal distributions, for example in single-cell RNAseq data [6–8]; however, MI 57 is sensitive to threshold grids in data with excessive zeros, whereas Kendall's Tau loses 58 information on the continuous scale. More recently, copula-based pathway analysis [9] 59 has been developed to model interactions between genes in single-cell RNAseq data while 60 accommodating their non-normal distribution. Moreover, most existing approaches do 61 not allow for between-group pathway change tests. Therefore, it is challenging to infer, 62 for example, disease-specific microbiome-metabolome pathways and the essential hubs of 63 microbial taxa and metabolites. 64

We propose a de novo pathway analysis that is independent of prior pathway knowl-65 edge and learns from the observed microbiome and metabolome data generated from 66 matched samples (or at least from the same body sites or subjects, as long as a biological 67 interaction hypothesis is valid). Our proposed method, BZINB-based integration of micro-68 biome and metabolome for pathway analysis (BZINB-iMMPath), uses the newly developed 69 bivariate zero inflated negative binomial (BZINB) model to directly model the joint distri-70 bution of a pair of count vectors, where one vector represents microbial species and the 71 other vector represents metabolites, to estimate model-based correlations. The advantage 72 of our method, which uses BZINB, is that we can rigorously handle the excess zeros in the 73 distribution of microbiome counts [14]. Similar to single cell RNAseq data, microbiome 74 data typically exhibit large numbers of zeros for several possible reasons, including the 75 fact that some species may not be present in some samples, or structural zeros (e.g., due to 76 technical artifacts, frequently referred to as "dropout events") represented by excess zeros 77 in sequencing count data. Specifically, two advantages of using BZINB include the realistic 78 assumptions of dropouts [15] in the zero inflated negative binomial (ZINB) distribution that 79 allow the flexible modeling of both biological zeros (in the negative binomial component) 80

and structural zeros (in logistic regression) to improve model fitting, and the feasibility of 81 estimating correlations in the bivariate negative binomial (BNB) component conditional on 82 the zero inflation component to reflect the underlying correlations. 83

We additionally propose, as another component of BZINB-iMMPath, the use of BZINB 84 correlation measurements to represent the similarities [16] between species in species-wise 85 clustering analysis to identify species modules (i.e., clusters) wherein species are highly 86 correlated. Because the BZINB model accounts for zero inflation in a pair of species, 87 or in individual species when investigating microbiome-metabolome correlations, most 88 species and metabolites can be retained in the analysis rather than excluded because of 89 zero-inflation, a feature that may be of biological importance. 90

To compare the accuracy of BZINB-based correlation with other popular correlation 91 measures, we simulated pairs of correlated microbiome species and metabolite count vec-92 tors using the bivariate lognormal distribution and the BZINB distribution. We carried 93 out simulations and applications using matched microbiome-metabolome data from a community-based study of childhood oral health/disease (ZOE 2.0 study, investigating 95 early childhood caries or ECC) that sampled 3-5-year-old children's supragingival dental biofilm. We also evaluated the accuracy of module identification using BZINB as a 97 measure of similarity for cut-based clustering by crafting co-varying clusters of count vectors to represent species in semi-parametric simulations. We show that, in real data 99 applications, the new method can identify the crafted clusters with high accuracy. More-100 over, the integrated pathway analysis identified biologically significant and disease-specific 101 microbial-metabolite pathways and meaningful inter-species interactions. 102

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of BZINB Model

2.1.1. ZINB model

Similar to single-cell data analysis, the probability of dropout per species per sample 106 can be modeled using logistic regression in a framework of a zero-inflated model. The 107 ZINB model has been previously proposed for the analysis of single-cell RNAseq data as a superior and more flexible model fitting compared to Poisson-based methods [13] 109 for individual gene analyses in scRNAseq data, by allowing for both excess zeros and 110 overdispersion.

2.1.2. BNB model

Cho et al. 2021 began by introducing a bivariate negative binomial (BNB) model based 113 on the Poisson-Gamma mixture model. First, let $R_j \sim Gamma(\alpha_j, \beta)$ for j = 0, 1, 2. Consider 114 a pair of random variables (X_1, X_2) , where X_1 and X_2 are each Poisson-distributed with 115 means of $R_0 + R_1$ and $\delta(R_0 + R_2)$, respectively, where $\delta \in R^+$. These two mean variables 116 are related through a common Gamma-distributed component, R₀. Therefore, marginally, 117 X_1 and X_2 each follow the negative binomial distribution such that $X_i \sim NB\left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_i, \frac{1}{\beta_i + 1}\right)$ 118 for i = 1, 2, where $\beta_1 = \beta, \beta_2 = \delta\beta$. Thus, mean $(X_i) = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_i}{\beta_i}$, var $(X_i) = \frac{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_i)(\beta_i + 1)}{\beta_i^2}$, and 119 $\rho_{BNB} = \operatorname{Cor}(X_1, X_2) = \frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)}} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_1 \beta_2}{(\beta_1 + 1)(\beta_2 + 1)}}.$ We henceforth denote $(X_1, X_2) \sim \operatorname{BNB}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \operatorname{Cor}(X_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ 120 $BNB(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Therefore, the parameters in ρ_{BNB} are estimated by fitting all the 121 data to the BNB model. 122

2.1.3. BZINB model

For correlation between a pair of genes in scRNaseq data, a bivariate zero-inflated 124 (BZINB) model was proposed by Cho et al. 2021 that has the ZINB marginals, more param-125 eters to flexibly accommodate the complexity of the single cell biology, and the estimated 126 correlation conditional on the non-dropout events. With similar assumptions of dropouts 127 observed as excess zeros and the overdispersion problem accentuated in microbiome data, 128 here we extend the BZINB framework for microbial data modeling to compute a unique 129

104 105

103

111

112

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

4 of 27

157

171

correlation measured between species or between species and metabolites. This new unique correlation analysis approach (i.e., BZINB-iMMPath) is model-based and uses the parameters estimated for the BNB component that is conditional on the probability of being non-dropouts in the BZINB model, defined as described below.

A pair of Bivariate Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial (BZINB) variables $(Y_1, Y_2) \sim$ 134 $BZINB(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4)$ follows a zero-inflated extension of the Bivariate 135 Negative Binomial (BNB) distribution, where π_1, π_2, π_3 and π_4 respectively represent the 136 probabilities of observing nonzero Y_1 and Y_2 , nonzero Y_1 only, nonzero Y_2 only, and zero 137 Y_1 and Y_2 . Therefore, there is an underlying BNB component of the BZINB model, which 138 is partially unobserved. Marginally, $Y_j \sim ZINB\left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_j, \frac{1}{\beta_j+1}, \pi_{4-j} + \pi_4\right)$ for j = 1, 2. In 139 other words, without zeros, Y_j follows $NB\left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_j, \frac{1}{\beta_j + 1}\right)$, and each element of Y_j is zero with probability $\pi_{4-i} + \pi_4$. Based on our understanding of excess zeros in the microbiome, 141 the BNB components --which can include zeros from the negative binomial distribution--142 in the BZINB model reflect the underlying correlation between species after accounting 143 for the dropouts (whether structural or technical) in BZINB. It follows that we use the 144 same formula as ρ_{BNB} as in the model-based correlation. Therefore, we have $\rho_{BZINB} =$ 145 $\operatorname{Cor}(Y_1, Y_2) = \frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)}} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_1 \beta_2}{(\beta_1 + 1)(\beta_2 + 1)}}$ where all the parameters here are from the 146 BNB component and are estimated by fitting all the data to the BZINB model. Although 147 seemingly with the same format, the difference between our defined ρ_{BNB} and ρ_{BZINB} is 148 whether we assume the presence of zero inflation in the data. Whether all of the data are 149 used or not makes the two correlations different-this is due to the different assumptions in 150 the models (BNB and BZINB) and the different meanings of ($\alpha_0, ..., \beta_2$) parameters between 151 the two models. 152

There are variations of correlation in BZINB, such as the full-model BZINB correlation. That, besides the BNB component, also includes the zero component in the correlation. Simulation results (not shown) suggest this full BZINB model-based correlation introduces noise in the estimation and decreases the estimation accuracy of the underlying correlations.

2.2. Existing correlation calculation methods for network/pathway analysis

In correlation-based analysis such as network estimation for multi-omics count data, Pearson's correlations are often used with the assumption of linearity. Previously, weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) has been used [10] to identify co-expressed clusters (modules) of highly correlated genes or other features. However, both microbiome and metabolome data contain excessive zeros, and therefore, there may be excessive ties in the data. In this case, Spearman's rank correlation, even with less stringent assumptions compared to Pearson's correlation, may still not be an appropriate measure.

In this study, we compare ρ_{BZINB} used in BZINB-iMMPath to not only ρ_{BNB} but also Spearman and Pearson correlation in terms of networks and module identification. The formula for Spearman correlation between vectors $X_1 = (X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,n})$ and $X_2 = (X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, \dots, X_{2,n})$ is $\rho_{Spearman} = 1 - \frac{6\sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}$, where $d_i = \operatorname{rank}(X_{1i}) - \operatorname{rank}(X_{2,i})$. In the case of ties, the average of the ranks is used. The formula for Pearson correlation is $\rho_{Pearson} = \frac{\sum (X_{1,i} - \overline{X_1}) (X_{2,i} - \overline{X_2})}{\sqrt{\sum (X_{1,i} - \overline{X_1})^2 (X_{2,i} - \overline{X_2})^2}}$.

2.3. Description of microbiome and metabolome data from the ZOE2.0 study

The ZOE2.0 study includes 6,404 3-5-year-old children enrolled in public preschools in North Carolina, United States, who underwent clinical dental examinations and biospecimen collection [23]. Of those, a subset of 300 participants' supragingival biofilm samples were analyzed and made available for multi-omics (including metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, and metabolomics) analyses. Accordingly, 300 children have metagenomics data (WGS DNAseq, called DNA in this paper), 297 have metatranscriptomics (RNASeq) data, and 289 have metabolite data. Microbiome data have been made avail-

able via https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/671299 and metabolome data via 179 https://www.ebi.ac.uk/metabolights/MTBLS2215. As in a previous investigation (cite 33423574), ten participants with greater than 30% missing metabolite data and one ineligible participant were excluded. Among those 289 with metabolite data, 109 met the clinical criteria for ECC (i.e., cases) and 180 did not (i.e., non-cases). (cite: 30838597 and 30838598).

To allow for comparisons of goodness-of-fit and variations in data sparsity (i.e., per-184 centage of zeros) we used microbiome data generated by two different popular procedures 185 for mapping and preprocessing metagenomics. Primarily, microbiome DNA data were 186 classified into species-level profiles using a pipeline based on Kraken2 [17] and Bracken 2.5 187 [18] referred to as Kracken2/Bracken in this paper. The pipeline was built using a custom 188 database including human, fungal, bacterial, and the expanded Human Oral Microbiome 189 Database (eHOMD) [19] for microbial reference genomes. There were 417 microbial species 190 identified as "core species" after excluding rare and low-prevalence taxa that were kept in 191 the analysis [5]. In a secondary procedure, the same DNA sequence reads were processed 192 using MetaPhlAn2.2 through the HUMAnN 2.0 pipeline [11,12] with the default micro-193 bial reference genome in HUMAnN 2.0. Viruses, biosatellites, and unidentified species 194 were filtered out, resulting in 205 species-level taxa remaining available for analysis. The 195 advantage of Kraken2/Bracken for our application is due to the fact that it allowed the use of a custom and contemporary oral microbiome reference database and thus mapped 197 oral/dental species more accurately than HUMAnN 2.0. On the other hand, HUMAnN 2.0 allowed not only the identification of species, but also the generation of gene-family 199 and pathway-level data that can be of interest and value in some applications. The real data application of BZINB-iMMPath was done only using Kraken2/Bracken species-level 201 data. Of note, all presented results rely on Kraken2/Bracken data unless HUMAnN 2.0 is 202 explicitly mentioned, such as in goodness-of-fit and percentage of zeros comparisons that 203 are presented in the Appendix.

The focus of the work reported in this paper is metagenomics data at the species level, but our new method can be applied to metatranscriptomics (i.e., RNAseq), as well as other levels of data, including gene-family or genes, because all data types are similarly characterized by excess zeros and overdispersion [20].

To obtain metabolomics data, samples were processed using Metabolon's Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography-tandem Mass Spectrometry pipeline [21,22]. A total of 503 named metabolites were identified through peak identification, QC, and correction for day-dependent technical variations [23]. Procedures and descriptions of the obtained metabolite data have been previously reported in detail (cite 33423574 and maybe even 34760716).

2.4. Simulation study

2.4.1. lognormal based simulation

We simulated vectors representing pairs of metabolites and species, with theoretical correlations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5, represent weak to strong correlations, based on the empirical distribution of correlations between the observed counts of pairs of species and metabolites (Figure 1). Each vector consisted of 300 elements drawn from a lognormal distribution, representing natural log-transformed counts. For simplicity, the marginal variance of the log-counts in each vector was set to 1, which was well in the range of the sample variances of the metabolite- and species-wise log-counts in ZOE2.0. 221 222 223 224 225 226 225 226 225

Assuming that most missing values in metabolite data are due to low concentration, 224 the counts in each metabolite vector were ranked and assigned a probability based on 225 their rank. These probabilities spanned an interval of 0.3, centered at the pre-determined 226 proportion missing. Let *rank_i* represent the rank of the *i*th element in the metabolite 227 vector, and let p_{zero} be the proportion of zeros in the vector. Then, the *i*th element of the 228 vector is set to zero with a probability of $p_i = (0.5 - (rank_i)/300) * 0.3 + p_{zero}$. Under the 229 assumption that zeros in microbiome species are typically structural zeros, the elements in 230

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

each vector representing a species were randomly chosen to be set to zero after the counts were simulated. 231

Figure 4 and Figure A3, illustrate the of number of zero counts against the mean of nonzero counts of each metabolite and species. These data revealed a decreasing trend in mean counts as the number of zeros increases and informed the selection of simulation parameters. Therefore, vector pairs representing metabolites and species were simulated under the scenarios outlined the first four rows in Table 1.

In addition, the four correlation types were compared in simulated vector pairs that represent the relationships between two microbial species. These vectors were simulated based on the scenarios in the last three rows in Table 2. Zero counts were assigned randomly. 240



Figure 1. Empirical Spearman and Pearson correlations between pairs of (Kraken2/Bracken) species (417); and between pairs of (Kraken2/Bracken) species (417) and metabolites (503); in ZOE 2.0 (n=289). Correlations among complete data exclude subjects with one or more zeros in the pair, and correlations among data with zeros include all subjects.

Table 1. Marginal log-scale means (before introducing zeros) and number of zeros for simulation of bivariate lognormal vectors with excess zeros that represent metabolite-species pairs (where X_1 represents a metabolite, X_2 represents a species) and species-species pairs. Levels of zero inflation include balanced (similar number of zeros in each vector) with either low or high zero inflation, and unbalanced (one vector has substantially more zeros than the other).

Relationship		Zero Inflation	Number of zeros	Means
Metabolite-Species	a	Balanced, low	30, 60	14, 11
	b	Balanced, high	150, 200	12, 9
	c	$N_{zero,X_1} < N_{zero,X_2}$	30, 200	14, 9
	d	$N_{zero,X_1} > N_{zero,X_2}$	150, 60	12, 11
Species-Species	a	Balanced, low	60, 60	11, 11
	b	Balanced, high	200, 200	9, 9
	c	$N_{zero,X_1} < N_{zero,X_2}$	60, 200	11, 9

Table 2. Zero inflation parameters and resulting expected zeros for simulation of bivariate zeroinflated negative binomial vectors to represent pairs of metabolites and species (where X_1 represents a metabolite, X_2 represents a species) and pairs of species. Similar to the scenarios outlined in Table 1, there are balanced and unbalanced levels of zero inflation. The other BZINB model parameters are outlined in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

	Zero Inflation	Expected zeros	π_1	π_2	π_3	π_4
а	Balanced, low	30, 60	0.75	0.15	0.05	0.05
b	Balanced, high	210, 240	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.6
С	$N_{zero,X1} < N_{zero,X2}$	60, 225	0.2	0.6	0.05	0.15
d	$N_{zero,X1} > N_{zero,X2}$	225, 60	0.2	0.05	0.6	0.15

2.4.2. BZINB based simulation

To represent typical pairs as in the real data with various amounts of pairwise and non-242 pairwise zeros, vector pairs, we carried out a simulation using several combinations of pa-243 rameters, as summarized in Table A1. For computational efficiency, these vector pairs repre-244 sent rescaled pairs of count vectors obtained from the real data $(X_i / \frac{\operatorname{sd}(X_i)}{30}, i = 1, 2)$, not al-245 tering the correlations. We considered underlying correlations of $\rho_{BNB} = 0.05, 0.1, 0.30, \text{ and } 0.56$ by using different combinations of shape and scale parameters in the BZINB distribution 247 (Table A1). For each combination of shape and scale parameters (and accordingly, level 248 of correlation of the nonzero counts), we conducted simulations using four combinations 249 of zero inflation parameters $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4)$, representing balanced low, unbalanced, and 250 balanced high zero inflations (Table 2). 251

We also simulated vector pairs under the BZINB distribution to represent typical pairs of microbial species. These vectors had the same zero inflation parameters as the microbiome-metabolome simulated vector pairs (Table 2), but different means and slightly different correlations. The corresponding shape and scale parameters are presented in Table A2.

2.5. Spectral clustering for module identification

2.5.1. Approach for BZINB application in spectral clustering

Spectral clustering is a flexible method for partitioning networks using the eigenvectors 259 of nodes' similarity matrices [16], and has been used in many applications, including 260 bioinformatics. Although similarity is typically quantified through the Gaussian kernel, 261 other measures such as cosine similarity [24] have been used to better represent certain data 262 types. In correlation networks, the positive correlation between a pair of nodes (or, in our 263 data, species or metabolites) is scale-invariant and is often used as a measure of similarity 264 when the co-varying dynamics of the nodes is of interest. Therefore, one can reasonably use 265 the estimated correlations in constructing affinity matrices in applications such as spectral 266 clustering to discover novel pathways that differ between study groups or potentially 267 associated with health or disease states. In this paper, we compare the Spearman, BNB, and 268 BZINB correlations in spectral clustering for microbiome count data. 269

For vectors x_i and x_j , the affinity a_{ij} is a measure of similarity such that a_{ij} is bounded 270 by 0 and 1, a_{ij} is closer to 1 as x_i and x_j are more similar, and $a_i j = 0$ when i = j. To obtain 271 each affinity matrix from a correlation matrix, we set the diagonal entries to zero. Since 272 the BZINB model-based correlation can only be positive, we force any negative values 273 obtained from Spearman correlations to be zero. This allows us to only predict clusters with 274 and based on positive inter-dependencies. Next, we cluster the nodes using SpectraLib_A 275 [25]. While the affinity matrices are all symmetric, this method can account for directed 276 networks, for example, to incorporate known interactions between species or metabolites, 277 by using asymmetric affinity matrices.

241

257

2.5.2. Evaluation of cut-based spectral clustering using crafted semi-parametric simulation

We simulated correlated clusters to compare the accuracy of the three types of affinity 280 matrices as follows. We permuted the first 400 species in the caries-free (i.e., healthy-group) 281 ZOE 2.0 participants and split them into 10 clusters of 40 species each. For each cluster k, 282 we generated a random vector $R_k \sim Pois(17,968)$ (since 17,968 was the mean count of the 283 400 species). For the nonzero counts of each species j in cluster k, we computed a weighted 284 sum, $Z_i = 0.9 * Y_i + 0.1 * R_k$, of each original species' counts (Y_i) and the random vector, 285 to introduce additional correlation within each cluster. We then estimated the Spearman, 286 BNB, and BZINB correlations between all 400 species to construct three types of affinity 287 matrices. Then, we clustered the species, for each affinity matrix, using SpectraLib_A with 288 10 clusters. In cases where biological knowledge exists regarding the direction of effects in 289 relationships between different 'omics layers, the affinity matrix can be altered to reflect it. 290

To evaluate the accuracy of each correlation type in spectral clustering, we contrasted predicted and assigned clusters to optimize the prediction accuracy as follows:

- 1. If the most common predicted cluster for an assigned cluster is the same as the most 293 common assigned cluster for that predicted cluster, those clusters are matched. 294
- 2. Then, the overall proportion of accurate predicted cluster assignments is calculated 295 for each possible combination of the remaining clusters.
- 3. The remaining clusters are matched with the combination that maximizes the propor-297 tion of accurate predicted cluster assignments. 298

2.6. Network visualization

To create visual representations of networks, we represented each metabolite and each 300 species as a node, and each correlation as an edge. For easier interpretation of the network diagrams, we included only a subset of metabolites and species. Heimisdottir et al. 2021 302 identified 16 metabolites and Cho et al. 2022 identified 15 species in ZOE 2.0 that were significantly associated with the childhood dental disease outcome of interest (i.e., ECC). 304 In this work, we are focus on the patterns of co-occurrence between these species and 305 metabolites, and examine whether these patterns differ between health and disease states. 306 In network visualizations, we include only the strongest correlations, which are of interest. 307 We visually assessed histograms of all correlations for each correlation type and disease 308 group to determine optimal correlation cutoff points. We applied Cytoscape's Organic 309 layout and removed node overlaps. To accomplish this, we first obtained the BZINB-310 based and Spearman correlations between each pair of 16 metabolites and 15 species of 311 interest, as well as between each pair of the 15 species in from ZOE2.0, in each of the 312 two heath/disease (non-ECC/ECC) participant groups. Next, we sought to determine 313 optimal cutoff correlation values to prevent the network visualization from being too large, 314 even for 16 metabolites and 15 species. Therefore, we created network visualizations only 315 for the most correlated species and the most correlated species-metabolites for the ECC 316 and the non-ECC groups. To maintain comparability of the network diagrams, we used 317 the same percentage of strongest correlations for each. After comparing several cut-off 318 values, we determined that using the top 30% of metabolite-species correlations resulted in 319 approximately 100 edges when the two disease groups were plotted on the same diagram, 320 so that the edges and nodes were mostly visible while the network was large enough to 321 illustrate high-degree nodes. 322

Network visualizations were generated with Cytoscape 3.9.1 [28]. Metabolite su-323 perpathways were highlighted by node color, and edge stroke color was used to denote health/disease (non-ECC/ECC) when correlations from both participant groups were 325 plotted together. 326

3. Results

3.1. The BZINB model is a good fit for the ZOE2.0 microbiome and metabolome data

First, we sought to identify suitable distributions to model the paired metabolome and 329 species-level microbiome count data. We assumed that proper normalization in microbiome 330

299

291

292

327

and metabolome data have been carried out. Zeros present in the original counts will remain as zeros after normalization (RPK, RPKM or CPM). 332

Specifically, we evaluated model fits of three distributions with multiple randomly 333 selected pairs of species and metabolites from ZOE2.0. Count data naturally correspond to 334 a Poisson distribution, while the negative binomial distribution is an extension of Poisson 335 that allows for overdispersion. Non-zero data can be transformed to lognormal to improve 336 fit, particularly due to the long right-tailed distribution. It is important to consider that 337 many species and metabolites exhibit large proportions of zeros. Therefore, candidate 338 distributions included (1) zero-inflated Poisson, (2) zero-inflated negative binomial, and 330 (3) zero-inflated lognormal. For each vector, model parameters were estimated using the 340 nonzero counts from the real data. Numbers of zeros were simulated following a binomial 341 distribution with probability p equal to the proportion of zeros in the real-data vector, and 342 the remaining counts were simulated based on the estimated model parameters. 343

The simulated vectors from the zero-inflated Poisson distribution did not capture the overdispersion in most of the real-data vectors (Figure A1). The zero-inflated negative binomial distribution was found to adequately capture the data distribution of metabolite and microbiome (Figure 2). Because the negative binomial distribution takes on discrete values, we did not evaluate goodness-of-fit using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in this case.

Further, using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, we assessed the goodness-of-fit of the 349 lognormal distribution to metabolite and species data in ZOE2.0 (Figure 3). Because the 350 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is only applicable to continuous distributions, only the nonzero 351 counts were included. 11.5% of metabolites had p-values less than 0.05, suggesting that 352 the zero-inflated lognormal distribution was a good fit for most metabolite data. On the 353 other hand, the zero-inflated lognormal distribution was not a good fit for over 20% of 354 the Kraken2/Bracken species while it was a good fit for almost all HUMAnN 2.0-derived 355 species in ZOE2.0 (Figure A2). Also, based on a visual comparison of Kraken2/Bracken 356 real data and simulated zero-inflated lognormal count vectors (Figure 2), the zero-inflated 357 lognormal distribution appeared to represent species data well. 358

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms



Comparison of Simulated and Real Metabolite and Species Counts

Figure 2. Evaluation of Goodness of Fit for the ZINB/NB and log-normal models by comparing the histogram of frequency between the simulated data and real data processed in Kraken2/Bracken. The 2nd and 4th columns are the real data with one species per figure. The 1st and 3 columns are the ZINB simulated data using the parameters estimated from the corresponding species. 1st and 2nd columns illustrate metabolites and 3rd and 4th columns present microbial species. a denotes ZINB/NB-based simulation and b denotes log-normal based simulation. (a) For two randomly selected metabolites and two randomly selected species (Kraken2/Bracken), comparison of simulated counts drawn from ZINB distribution (with parameters obtained from models fitted on the real data) and the real data. If the real data have less than 50 out of 289 zeros, the simulated counts are drawn from the negative binomial distribution with no zero inflation. Red vertical lines represent model-based means for each metabolite and species. (b) For two randomly selected metabolites and two randomly selected species (Kraken2/Bracken), comparison of simulated counts drawn from (ZI-)lognormal distribution (with parameters obtained from the real data) and the real data. If the real data have less than 50 out of simulated counts drawn from (ZI-)lognormal distribution (with parameters obtained from models fitted on the real data. If the real data have no zeros, the simulated counts are drawn from the lognormal distribution with no zero inflation. Red vertical lines represent the log-scale means of the counts for each metabolite and species.



Figure 3. P-values obtained from lognormal (parameters from models fitted on nonzero counts for each metabolite and species) Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for ZOE 2.0 metabolites and Kraken2/Bracken microbiome species.

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

359

360



Figure 4. Number of zeros plotted against mean log nonzero count for each metabolite, and number of zeros plotted against mean log nonzero count for each Kraken2/Bracken species.

3.2. Estimation accuracy of underlying correlation in simulated correlated pairs of count data vectors

We evaluate the estimation accuracy of underlying correlations across our measures of correlation for each simulated pair of vectors. The four methods are (1) correlation based on the BZINB model (fitted with at most 1,000 E-M iterations); (2) correlation based on the BNB model (fitted with at most 1,000 E-M iterations); and (3) Pearson and (4) Spearman correlation on the vectors after elements were set to zero. For each of these simulations, the mean and median correlation approximations were based on 1,000 replicates. 301

In nearly all cases, BZINB and BNB-based correlations were closer to the true and 367 theoretical correlation compared to the Spearman correlation (Figure 5 and Figure 6). As 368 the number of zeros in either vector increased, the Spearman and model-based correlations 369 tended to be lower than the true value. Similarly, as the theoretical correlation increased, the Spearman and model-based correlations also tended to be lower than the true value. These 371 patterns were more noticeable with the Spearman correlation compared to the model-based 372 correlations. BZINB-based correlations were more accurate than Spearman and BNB-based 373 correlations in cases of high simulated underlying correlation or with more zeros, more 374 noticeably when the simulated correlation was approximately 0.3 or higher. 375



Figure 5. Mean approximated correlation for simulation of lognormal vectors representing pairs of metabolites and species corresponding to the (**a**) balanced, low, (**b**) balanced, high, (**c**) unbalanced, case 1, (**d**) unbalanced, case 2 expected numbers of zeros (parameters in Table 1; mean approximated correlation for simulation of lognormal vectors representing pairs of species corresponding to the (**e**) balanced, low, (**f**) balanced, high, (**g**) unbalanced expected numbers of zeros (parameters in Table 2). Each figure compares Spearman, Pearson, BNB-based, and BZINB-based correlations for five values of underlying correlation from the distributions where the simulated vectors are drawn from.



Figure 6. Mean approximated correlation for simulation of BZINB vectors representing pairs of metabolites and species corresponding to the (**a**) balanced, low, (**b**) balanced, high, (**c**) unbalanced, case 1, (**d**) unbalanced, case 2 expected numbers of zeros (parameters in Table 3 and Table A1); mean approximated correlation for simulation of BZINB vectors representing pairs of species corresponding to the (**e**) balanced, low, (**f**) balanced, high, (**g**) unbalanced, case 1, (**h**) unbalanced, case 2 expected numbers of zeros (parameters in Table 3 and Table A2). Each figure compares Spearman, Pearson, BNB-based, and BZINB-based correlations for five values of underlying correlation from the distributions where the simulated vectors are drawn from.

	Theoretical	Spearman	Pearson	BNB	BZINB
1a		0.273 (0.056)	0.374 (0.115)	0.383 (0.047)	0.524 (0.058)
1b	0 5	-0.011 (0.06)	0.075 (0.122)	0.112 (0.054)	0.153 (0.21)
1c	0.5	0.058 (0.058)	0.213 (0.118)	0.188 (0.049)	0.339 (0.18)
1d		-0.064 (0.06)	0.131 (0.123)	0.181 (0.07)	0.435 (0.205)
2a		0.181 (0.058)	0.223 (0.096)	0.241 (0.058)	0.313 (0.08)
2b	0.2	-0.007 (0.058)	0.046 (0.099)	0.081 (0.048)	0.064 (0.127)
2c	0.5	0.038 (0.06)	0.13 (0.101)	0.124 (0.06)	0.146 (0.144)
2d		-0.043 (0.062)	0.08 (0.093)	0.106 (0.064)	0.215 (0.171)
3a		0.071 (0.058)	0.076 (0.071)	0.08 (0.061)	0.077 (0.068)
3b	0.1	-0.002 (0.058)	0.019 (0.071)	0.053 (0.041)	0.019 (0.05)
3c	0.1	0.015 (0.059)	0.042 (0.071)	0.052 (0.053)	0.027 (0.053)
3d		-0.019 (0.059)	0.029 (0.07)	0.049 (0.046)	0.045 (0.07)
4a		0.039 (0.059)	0.042 (0.065)	0.05 (0.052)	0.042 (0.048)
4b	0.05	0 (0.058)	0.007 (0.063)	0.045 (0.036)	0.014 (0.042)
4c	0.05	0.009 (0.056)	0.023 (0.061)	0.036 (0.042)	0.016 (0.034)
4d		-0.01 (0.059)	0.012 (0.065)	0.04 (0.042)	0.025 (0.045)

Table 3. lognormal simulations (metabolome-microbiome)

Table 4. lognormal simulations (within microbiome)

	Theoretical	Spearman	Pearson	BNB	BZINB
1a 1b	0.5	0.25 (0.058) 0.011 (0.061)	0.367 (0.11) 0.121 (0.13)	0.351 (0.047) 0.102 (0.046)	0.52 (0.065) 0.228 (0.242)
1c		0.052 (0.06)	0.203 (0.123)	0.173 (0.051)	0.356 (0.191)
2a		0.167 (0.06)	0.223 (0.102)	0.226 (0.056)	0.319 (0.083)
2b	0.3	0.01 (0.057)	0.077 (0.107)	0.073 (0.041)	0.089 (0.151)
2c		0.032 (0.059)	0.124 (0.099)	0.114 (0.054)	0.156 (0.154)
3a		0.064 (0.059)	0.075 (0.072)	0.082 (0.061)	0.078 (0.073)
3b	0.1	0.006 (0.06)	0.027 (0.077)	0.048 (0.037)	0.022 (0.055)
3c		0.014 (0.057)	0.042 (0.073)	0.055 (0.046)	0.028 (0.055)
4a		0.034 (0.059)	0.04 (0.064)	0.052 (0.051)	0.041 (0.05)
4b	0.05	0.002 (0.061)	0.013 (0.063)	0.043 (0.035)	0.014 (0.041)
4c		0.006 (0.059)	0.022 (0.067)	0.039 (0.04)	0.016 (0.034)

13 of 27

376

	Theoretical	Spearman	Pearson	BNB	BZINB
1a		0.329 (0.056)	0.416 (0.113)	0.409 (0.047)	0.48 (0.07)
1b	0 4078	0.177 (0.069)	0.192 (0.146)	0.184 (0.067)	0.274 (0.224)
1c	0.4976	0.064 (0.063)	0.18 (0.128)	0.135 (0.056)	0.321 (0.2)
1d		0.09 (0.058)	0.185 (0.118)	0.162 (0.056)	0.318 (0.203)
2a		0.228 (0.061)	0.257 (0.083)	0.279 (0.055)	0.275 (0.074)
2b	0.200	0.195 (0.065)	0.156 (0.103)	0.166 (0.056)	0.132 (0.164)
2c	0.500	0.016 (0.057)	0.086 (0.082)	0.071 (0.047)	0.118 (0.151)
2d		0.026 (0.058)	0.09 (0.085)	0.086 (0.053)	0.131 (0.164)
3a		0.135 (0.059)	0.114 (0.067)	0.202 (0.068)	0.094 (0.061)
3b	0.100	0.209 (0.063)	0.129 (0.076)	0.151 (0.047)	0.046 (0.082)
3c	0.100	0.005 (0.057)	0.026 (0.066)	0.053 (0.04)	0.019 (0.046)
3d		0.008 (0.058)	0.028 (0.065)	0.066 (0.047)	0.021 (0.052)
4a		0.11 (0.06)	0.065 (0.065)	0.125 (0.067)	0.054 (0.047)
4b	0.050	0.205 (0.064)	0.105 (0.076)	0.122 (0.049)	0.032 (0.062)
4c	0.050	0.002 (0.057)	0.013 (0.063)	0.039 (0.034)	0.013 (0.027)
4d		0.001 (0.058)	0.014 (0.063)	0.04 (0.036)	0.015 (0.038)

Table 5. BZINB-based	l simulations	(metabolome-microbiome)
----------------------	---------------	-------------------------

Table 6. BZINB-based simulations (within microbiome)

	Theoretical	Spearman	Pearson	BNB	BZINB
1a		0.334 (0.057)	0.412 (0.094)	0.407 (0.044)	0.461 (0.061)
1b	0.496	0.2 (0.066)	0.198 (0.13)	0.187 (0.059)	0.27 (0.22)
1c	0.400	0.046 (0.058)	0.17 (0.108)	0.131 (0.047)	0.287 (0.191)
1d		0.051 (0.06)	0.163 (0.105)	0.131 (0.047)	0.332 (0.194)
2a		0.239 (0.058)	0.264 (0.081)	0.303 (0.05)	0.282 (0.071)
2b	0.206	0.207 (0.061)	0.161 (0.093)	0.167 (0.051)	0.123 (0.157)
2c	0.300	0.017 (0.059)	0.088 (0.083)	0.079 (0.047)	0.109 (0.139)
2d		0.019 (0.061)	0.09 (0.082)	0.089 (0.047)	0.126 (0.151)
3a		0.132 (0.059)	0.104 (0.069)	0.156 (0.061)	0.088 (0.058)
3b	0.100	0.204 (0.064)	0.113 (0.077)	0.132 (0.05)	0.045 (0.081)
3c	0.100	0.006 (0.058)	0.03 (0.068)	0.045 (0.038)	0.025 (0.056)
3d		0.005 (0.058)	0.028 (0.069)	0.044 (0.039)	0.026 (0.058)
4a		0.109 (0.06)	0.066 (0.066)	0.135 (0.066)	0.055 (0.047)
4b	0.040	0.208 (0.062)	0.107 (0.07)	0.12 (0.046)	0.03 (0.058)
4c	0.049	0.004 (0.056)	0.014 (0.06)	0.043 (0.034)	0.011 (0.017)
4d		0.005 (0.056)	0.014 (0.06)	0.04 (0.035)	0.012 (0.022)

3.3. Accuracy evaluation of identified species modules using semi-parametric simulation

We sought to evaluate the accuracy of species modules identification using BZINB-377 based correlations compared to other correlations for spectral clustering. The ground truth 378 was simulated using semi-parametric simulations as described in the Methods section. In 379 the crafted semi-parametric simulated dataset representing counts for species belonging to 380 10 clusters (Figure 7a-b), we constructed affinity (distance) matrices using correlations from 381 three methods (BZINB, BNB and Spearman correlations) in spectral clustering of species. 382 To evaluate which method produces the most accurate and robust predicted 10 clusters, 383 when different distance matrices were used, we compared (1) proportions of correctly 384 predicted clusters, (2) the Adjusted Rand Index (ARI), and (3) the distance between the 385 correlation matrices of the count matrices before and after adding cluster signals. For all 386 resulting predicted clusters, there were instances when two or more separately assigned 387 clusters were predicted to be essentially the same cluster (Figure 7c-e). This is likely due to 388 the underlying similarities between species of different clusters in the original count data. 389

Firs, while several approaches exist to quantify clustering accuracy, we considered the proportions of species in each assigned cluster that were predicted to be in the same cluster.³⁹¹

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

14 of 27

We found that in the data with simulated clusters (simulated as in Methods Section 2.4.2), using the BZINB-based correlation resulted in the highest overall proportion of accurate cluster assignments, while the BNB-based correlation resulted in the lowest accuracy (Figure 7g). Clusters that were generated using BZINB correlations had up to 85% accuracy, and most had at least 65% accuracy. On the other hand, most of the Spearman correlationbased clusters had between 55% to 75% accuracy. There was a moderate percentage (40-55%) of inaccurately predicted BNB correlation-based clusters.

Second, we evaluated the accuracy of the predicted clusters for each correlation type using the ARI. Higher ARI indicates higher consistency between the observed and the simulated cluster membership. In concordance with the proportion of accurate cluster assignments, the affinity matrix based on the BZINB-based correlation resulted in an ARI of 0.43, which was the highest among the three. The ARI for the BNB-based and Spearman correlations were 0.38 and 0.34 respectively. Therefore, BZINB model-based clustering provides the best clustering results.

Third, we compared the three methods according to the distance between correlation matrices. The distance between two correlation matrices (where BZINB correlations were calculated for each pair of species) with partitions representing clusters is one way to compare networks of microbial species or other multi-omics between two health/disease groups. Further, distances between correlation matrices of two health/disease states within each species-cluster allows the determination of clusters that are differentially inter-correlated between these conditions.

Different types of correlation measurements vary in terms of power for detecting 413 between-network differences. Therefore, to compare the correlation types in quantifying the 414 difference between a network with clusters of highly correlated species and a network with 415 clusters of weakly correlated species, we computed distances between the two networks 416 for nested sets of clusters. The first set was the cluster with the greatest distance, and we 417 proceeded by sequentially adding clusters in order of decreasing distances. We used the 418 Frobenius norm of the absolute difference between the correlation (sub-)matrices as the 419 distance measure because it accounts for all matrix entries and is easily understood as 420 an extension of the Euclidean distance between vectors. This was done using the BNB-421 based, BZINB-based, and Spearman correlation matrices and their corresponding cluster 422 predictions. Distances between two correlation networks were consistently maximized 423 using BZINB correlations, while they were the lowest using Spearman correlations for all 424 but one of the cluster sets (Figure 7f). 425

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

426

427



Figure 7. (a) Heatmap of BZINB-based correlation between Kraken2/Bracken counts of 400 of the species in ZOE2.0 in a random order; (b) Heatmap of BZINB-based correlation of the Kraken2/Bracken count data (in the same order as in (a)) after introducing simulated clusters; (c-e) Each column of cells represents a true cluster based on the simulation (b), and the colors represent the predicted clustering using affinity matrix made from (c) BNB, (d) BZINB, and (e) Spearman correlations; (f) Distance (Frobenius norm) between the correlation matrices of nested predicted clusters between data with (as in Figure 7b) and without (as in Figure 7a) increased correlations that represented the clusters: the first set (number of clusters = 1) is the predicted cluster with the greatest distance between correlation matrices. For each increase in the number of clusters, we included an additional cluster in the order of decreasing distances. This was done using the BNB-based, BZINB-based, and Spearman correlation matrices and their corresponding cluster predictions; (g)Violin plot of cluster-wise percent accuracy for each of the 10 clusters, comparing BNB, BZINB, and Spearman correlation-based affinity matrices.

3.4. Application in in the ZOE2.0 study

3.4.1. Interactions among commensal species and among ECC-associated species

The most abundant species in a microbial community are of natural interest when 428 examining microbial community dynamics in dysbiotic conditions such as those leading 429 to the development of dental caries development. They represent a group of commensal 430 species that may be perturbed in the presence of dental disease. Between the top 10 most 431 abundant species in ZOE2.0, there are stronger correlations in the context of disease (ECC 432 group) compared to the caries-free (non-ECC) group (Figure 8). The Spearman, BNB, 433 and BZINB-based correlations between the 10 most abundant species are very similar 434 because these species have no missing counts. In contrast, when one or more species have 435 higher proportions of zeros, there may be a larger difference between the BNB and BZINB 436 correlations. This is in accordance with simulation results, where all the correlation types 437 were similar under few zeros in both vectors, while the different correlation types were less 438 similar when there were excess zeros in one or more of the vectors 439

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

16 of 27



Figure 8. Heatmaps of BZINB-based and Spearman correlations between the top 10 species with the highest overall abundance for each health/dental disease group (non-ECC versus ECC) in the ZOE2.0 Kraken2/Bracken data.

We also examined interactions between metabolites and species that have been pre-440 viously shown to be strongly associated with the presence of ECC. Therefore, next, we 441 focused on the set of 15 metabolites and 16 species that have been previously identified to 442 be associated with ECC in differential abundance analyses [5,27]. To understand these ECC-443 associated interaction networks/pathways, we compared correlations of between-species 444 networks and species-metabolite networks as follows. First, we compared BZINB-based 445 (Figure 10) and Spearman-based correlation between-species networks (Figure 11). We found that Veillonella atypica is highly correlated with several ECC-associated Prevotella 447 species among children affected with ECC using both of these correlations (Figure 10 and Figure 11). On the other hand, many of these *Prevotella* species tend to be strongly correlated 449 with Leptotrichia, Lachnospiraceae, and Lachnoanaerobaculum species in children unaffacted by 450 ECC. This points to two possible co-abundance patterns: one where Prevotella, Leptotrichia, 451 Lachnospiraceae, and Lachnoanaerobaculum taxa may coexist in biofilms without disease, and 452 another pattern of mutual benefit among V. atypica and Prevotella species when disease is 453 present. In this case, the co-abundance pattern between these two species can be explained 454 by their beneficial interrelation in metabolic activities: carbohydrates and sugar alcohols 455 from the diet are subjected to glycolysis, which creates anaerobic conditions by consuming 456 oxygen, and produces pyruvate that can be converted into lactate by *Prevotella* species. 457 On the other hand, Veillonella atypica is an anaerobic bacteria that uses lactate as their sole 458 carbon source, converting into weaker acids, such as acetate and propionate [32]. Between 459 the 15 species of interest, the BZINB correlation network included only one strong corre-460 lation involving Streptococcus mutans and Veillonella atypica in healthy subjects, whereas 461 the Spearman correlation network did not include Streptococcus mutans at all. Streptococcus 462 and Veillonella species are very common in the supragingival oral biofilm, and Mashima 463 et al. 2015 showed a Streptococcus-Veillonella link in early dental plaque formation-in fact, 464 Streptococcus mutans is well-known as a major lactic acid producer from the fermentation of 465 dietary carbohydrates, which benefits Veillonella species since it utilize lactate produced 466 by Streptococcus mutans and converts it into weaker acids, such as acetate and propionate 467 contributing to acid neutralization. Therefore, the identified strong correlation between 468 the two species is reasonable. However, when acid production occurs at a greater rate 469 and frequency than that of acid neutralization, dental caries will develop. So, in subjects 470

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

with caries, *Veillonella atypica* was more abundant compared to those without caries (Figure 9). Therefore, the *Streptococcus mutans-Veillonella atypica* dynamic may be somewhat overpowered by *Streptococcus mutans* once ECC develops.



Figure 9. Scatterplot illustrating the comparison of relationships between S. mutans and V. atypica abundances between health (non-ECC) and disease (ECC) groups.



Figure 10. BZINB correlations between species. The strongest 30% of correlations are included in the diagrams, and the color of the lines represent whether the correlation was strong in one or both of the health/disease groups.

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms



Figure 11. Spearman correlations between species. The strongest 30% of correlations are included in the diagrams, and the color of the lines represent whether the correlation was strong in one or both of the health/disease groups.

Additionally, we compared BZINB-based (Figure 12) and Spearman correlations-474 based species-metabolite networks (Figure 13). In the oral biofilm, when diet-associated carbohydrates are present, carbohydrate-degrading species tend to increase in abundance 476 and the local environment pH may decrease [29]. To observe the differences in species that 477 are highly correlated with carbohydrates of interest in healthy subjects and subjects with 478 ECC, we focused on interpretation of four carbohydrates that were previously shown to be significantly and positive associated with ECC in Heimisdottir et al. 2021. We used the 480 BZINB-based correlations because some of the species had excessive zeros. For each of 481 the five carbohydrates, we compared the strongest 5% of metabolite-species correlations 482 between health/disease groups. In caries-affected participants, the amount of three of the 483 carbohydrates (fucose, sedoheptulose-7-phosphate, and N-acetylneuraminate) is strongly 484 correlated with many Prevotella species. According to Takahashi et al. 2005, Prevotella 485 neutralizes pH but may also favor the presence of other pathogenic species. In healthy 486 subjects, we found the carbohydrates to be correlated with Streptococcus, Fusobacterium, and 487 Selenomonas species, many of which have been described as carbohydrate-degrading or 488 pH-neutralizing in the oral biofilm [30,31], or are a core part of the normal flora. In the 489 BZINB network, 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)lactate (HPLA) had many strong correlations with 490 various species in participants with ECC but much less among unaffected ones. HPLA 491 is a metabolite in the tyrosine metabolism pathway that functions similarly to lactate, 492 which has been previously shown to be an important metabolic regulator in multiple 493 pathways (including glucose metabolism) in various parts of the human body [34,35]. The 494 differing strengths of correlations in the two health/disease groups could indicate that 495 HPLA is metabolized differently by ECC-associated species in the context of a dental caries-496 promoting environment, and may be a candidate for further investigation in its role in ECC 497 development. Furthermore, HPLA is strongly associated with many *Streptococcus* species 498 in healthy subjects and with many Prevotella species among those with ECC, similarly to 499 what was found for ECC-associated carbohydrates. 500

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

508



Figure 12. BZINB network between species and metabolites including a node degree table. The strongest 30% of correlations are presented in the diagrams and line colors represent whether the correlation was strong in one or both of the health/disease groups.



Figure 13. Spearman network between species and metabolites, presenting positive correlations only and including a node degree table. The strongest 30% of positive correlations are presented in the diagrams, and line colors represent whether the absolute correlation was strong in one or both of the health/disease groups.

Overall, Spearman and Pearson correlations are not suitable for data with excess zeros because Spearman is influenced by ties and Pearson requires a linear association. The negative binomial distribution accounts for the presence of zeros, which makes the BNB distribution a better choice for modeling the relationship between a typical pair of species or metabolites. When there are excess zeros in either or both species or metabolites in a pair, the BZINB model can account for the zero-inflation while approximating the correlation of the nonzero components.

3.5. Species modules identified using BZINB-based correlation and spectral clustering

We applied cut-based spectral clustering to the ZOE 2.0 data separately for each health/disease group. We compared results between BZINB-based and Spearman correlations when constructing the affinity matrix. To determine the optimal number of clusters, 510

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

20 of 27

we plotted the eigenvalues of the graph Laplacian for each affinity matrix (Appendix Fig-512 ure 5). According to the eigengap method [26], the optimal number of clusters was 2 513 for each affinity matrix; for more interpretable results, we set the number of clusters to 514 be 6 in each case. To visualize the results of cut-based clustering, we created heatmaps 515 of standardized counts for all species, where the species are grouped and annotated by 516 predicted cluster and the study participants are annotated according to health/disease 517 and batch group. There were visible within-cluster similarities and differences between 518 the clusters for count patterns (Figure 14). Many species that were predicted to be in the 519 second and fifth (shown in blue and orange, respectively, in the top bars of Figure 14) in 520 the healthy group had been classified in third cluster (shown in green) in the disease group. 521 In other words, some species that were more similar to the first and fifth clusters in the 522 healthy group were instead more similar to the third cluster in subjects with ECC. The 523 different co-varying patterns in these species that may be a reflection of differences in the 524 microbial community structure and function in ECC.



Figure 14. Heatmap of species abundance illustrating species module identification results (species are columns, modules are presented with different colors) using BZINB-based species spectral clustering. Each column represents a single species. Columns are ordered by the clusters predicted from the affinity matrix based on the BZINB correlations between species in the healthy (non-ECC) group. The columns are annotated to show and compare the estimated clusters within health (non-ECC) and disease (ECC) groups. Each row represents a participant, and the rows are ordered based on hierarchical clustering. Rows (n=289) are annotated to denote health/disease and batch groups. Standardized counts were used.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we introduce a new method BZINB-iMMPath entailing a bivariate 527 zero-inflated negative binomial (BZINB) model-based correlation for network analysis 528 of pairs of vectors of omics count data and module identification. The model makes **F**20 reasonable assumptions regarding dropouts and excess zeros as structural zeros in the 530 observed microbiome data compared to other types of zeros. Therefore, the microbial 531 correlation distribution is assumed to be that of the latent bivariate negative binomial 532 model. Our approach improves the estimation of correlations compared to the traditional 533 Pearson correlation and the more robust Spearman's rank correlation coefficients. In contrast to Pearson and Spearman correlations, the BZINB model accommodates zeros 535 in a flexible manner (in either or both vectors of each pair) and estimates the correlation under the bivariate negative binomial model. For each pair of omics features, the BZINB 537 model is fitted and a model-based correlation is computed from the estimated parameters. Using the model, we can calculate the correlations between pairs of omics features in the 539 same layer (i.e., between pairs of microbial species) or between two different layers (i.e., 540

21 of 27

between pairs of metabolites and species). These correlations may then be used in other applications such as networks' visual representations and identification of clusters of omics 542 features. Accordingly, we applied the new method to microbial species and metabolite 6/3 data obtained in an oral microbiome study of early childhood dental disease. Using visual 544 comparisons and goodness-of-fit tests, we determined that the negative binomial and 545 lognormal distributions were appropriate for modeling most metabolites and species. In 546 addition to accounting for zero inflation, marginally, the negative binomial distribution is a 547 natural choice to model count data. Therefore, our model-based correlation approach has 548 several advantages over conventional measures of correlation when applied to bivariate 549 count data with excess zeros. In addition, correlations estimated from BZINB can be 550 used as the affinity matrix in the cut-based spectral clustering method for species module 551 identification in zero-inflated microbiome data. Modules can be compared between groups 552 of interest (e.g., health versus disease) and help identify species that demonstrate important 553 between-group pattern differences.

To evaluate the performance of BZINB-iMMPath, we used real data-inspired simula-555 tions to estimate the accuracy of underlying correlations in microbiome data; real data-based semi-parametric simulations to access the accuracy of module identification; and finally, 557 we applied it in a sizeable oral microbiome study to identify ECC-associated microbial networks and modules. Specifically, we simulated pairs of count vectors representing 559 typical metabolite and microbial species vectors from ZOE 2.0 to compare the accuracy 560 of Spearman, BNB, and BZINB model-based correlations. We fitted the BZINB model to 561 each metabolite-species and species-species pair to construct visualizations of ECC disease group-specific filtered networks and build affinity matrices for cut-based spectral clustering. 563 Using the simulated vector pairs, the BZINB model-based correlation was on average closer 564 to the underlying correlation when there were more zeros in one or both vectors compared 565 to the Spearman correlation coefficient. Notably, the average BZINB-based correlation was higher than the other correlation types when the underlying correlation was high (>0.3) and 567 when there was zero inflation in at least one of the vectors. Therefore, we recommend using 568 the BZINB-based correlation for the identification of strongly correlated pairs when zero 569 inflation is present. The application in ZOE 2.0 not only highlighted previously known net-570 works involving carbohydrate metabolites but also revealed novel regulation relationships 571 between species and metabolites, and ECC-associated species modules. 572

The most noticeable limitation of the new approach is that the BZINB model allows for 573 only positive model-based correlations. Ideally, the off-diagonal entries in the covariance 574 matrix in BZINB should allow both positive and negative values. However, in most omics contexts, positively correlated features are arguably of most of interest. For example, in 576 gene expression data, the vast majority of genes do have positive or near-zero correlation [36,37]. Positive correlations among bacterial species are also more common compared to 578 negative correlations (Figure 1). Of course, there are cases where negative correlations are of interest, for example in the context of species competition, other correlation measures 580 could be used. However, incorporating negative correlations can introduce another layer 581 of complexity to network analysis applications for multi-omics and cluster identification. 582 For example, negative correlations may be considered with different importance compared 683 to positive correlations. Further, negative correlations within one layer of omics (such as 584 microbiome), which could represent competition, may be more of interest compared to 585 negative correlations between layers (e.g., microbiome and metabolome), which could be 586 more complex in terms of direction of influence. This leaves room for future methods de-587 velopment, for example, wherein other bivariate (or multivariate) models can be evaluated in terms of goodness-of-fit for certain types of omics data that could accommodate negative 589 correlations. Meanwhile, identifying positive correlations between bacteria and metabolites is a logical priority, because of biological interest regarding 1) which bacteria generate or 591 up-regulate which metabolites, and 2) which biochemicals are associated with bacterial abundance (e.g., possibly growth). Meanwhile, negative correlation (like inhibition or 593

22 of 27

competition) is harder to interpret as detailed above, and in our BZINB model, positive correlations are presented as such and negative correlations are estimated as near-zero.

In our application to the ZOE 2.0 study microbiome data, we determined that (1) there 506 were relatively fewer zero counts when taxa were identified through the ora health-specific 597 Kraken2/Bracken pipeline, compared to the data from the still widely used HUMAnN 2.0 598 pipeline; (2) zero inflation does not appear to be a significant issue for many of the named 599 metabolites; and (3) in the absence of excess zeros, other measures of correlation appear 600 to be just as adequate as the BZINB-based correlation. Because HUMAnN 2.0 generated 601 data are very sparse, our method is even more powerful in those data, as well as similarly 602 sparse gene-level metagenomics or metatranscriptomics data. 603

In sum, in this paper we demonstrate that the new method based on the BZINB 604 model is a useful alternative to Spearman or Pearson correlation in estimating underlying 605 correlations for bivariate count data that are zero-inflated in one or both dimensions. 606 Because the model accommodates both technical and true zeros, it is suitable for multiomics data types including microbiome and metabolome. To identify differences between 608 health/disease groups, we prioritized and illustrated the strongest correlations within each 609 group, allowing the visualization of important dynamic relationships and their between-610 group comparison. Finally, these correlations can also be used in identifying modules, i.e., clusters of correlated metabolites and microbial species, which could be of biological 612 interest both in terms of disease pathogenesis and intervention targeting. 613

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.L. and D.W.; methodology, B.L, H.C. and D.W.; software,B.L, H.C. and C.L.; validation, B.L. and A.A.R.; formal analysis, B.L.; resources, K.D., J.R. and C.L.;data curation, K.D.; writing—original draft preparation, B.L and D.W.; writing—review and editing,B.L., H.C, K.D., A.A.R. and D.W.; visualization, B.L. and D.W.; supervision, D.W. All authors readand agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by grants from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, R03-DE028983 and U01-DE025046.

 Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration
 621

 of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of University of
 622

 North Carolina-Chapel Hill (14-1992, latest approved on 21 February 2022).
 623

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from legal guardians of all children who participated in the ZOE 2.0 study. 625

 Data Availability Statement: ZOE 2.0 microbiome data are publicly available in the dbGaP repository at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap under the umbrella study name Trans-Omics for Precision
 628

 Dentistry and Early Childhood Caries or TOPDECC (accession: phs002232.v1.p1) via the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) Bioproject PRJNA671299 at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/671299.
 628

 Metabolomics raw spectral data have been made publicly available via the MetaboLights repository project MTBLS2215 at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/metabolights/MTBLS2215. The code used for analysis is available at https://github.com/blin24/BZINB-iMMPath.
 630

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank ZOE 2.0 study participants for their contributions. 634

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

Abbreviations 638 The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript: 639 ZINB Zero inflated negative binomial BNB Bivariate negative binomial BZINB Bivariate zero inflated negative binomial MI Mutual Information ECC Early childhood caries

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

23 of 27

Appendix A

	α ₀	α1	α2	β_1	β_2	$ ho_{BZINB}$
1	0.2	0.1	0.3	20	40	0.498
2	0.3	0.5	0.8	12	21	0.300
3	0.15	1.1	1.5	20	30	0.100
4	0.05	0.85	1	30	50	0.050

Table A1. Shape and scale parameters used to obtain various values of correlation for BZINB simulation for vectors pairs that represent pairs of metabolites and species

Table A2. Shape and scale parameters used to obtain various values of correlation for BZINB simulation for vectors pairs that represent pairs of species

	α ₀	α1	α2	β_1	β_2	$ ho_{BZINB}$
1	0.3	0.3	0.3	30	40	0.486
2	0.35	0.7	0.8	20	30	0.306
3	0.1	0.75	1	30	30	0.100
4	0.05	0.9	1	50	50	0.049



Figure A1. Comparison of simulated counts drawn from (ZI-)Poisson distribution (with parameters from model fitted on the real data) and real data of 4 randomly selected metabolites and species. Red vertical lines represent the model-based means for each metabolite and species.

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms





Figure A2. P-values obtained from lognormal (parameters from models fitted on nonzero counts for each metabolite and species) Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for ZOE 2.0 metabolites and HUMAnN 2.0 microbiome species.



Figure A3. Species-wise (HUMAnN 2.0) numbers of zeros plotted against mean log nonzero counts.

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms



Figure A4. Comparison of BNB and BZINB correlations between all pairs of microbial species in ZOE2.0 with respect to the total number of zeros in each pair.



Figure A5. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian graph based on each affinity matrix for health and disease (ECC) groups in ZOE 2.0 Kraken2/Bracken microbiome data, which is used to determine an appropriate number of clusters.



Figure A6: Heatmap of species abundance illustrating species modules identified by spectral clustering (shown as the two top bars). Columns represent individual species and are ordered by the clusters predicted from the affinity matrix based on the BZINB correlations between species in the diseased (ECC) group. Columns are annotated to show and compare the predicted clusters between health (no ECC) and disease (ECC) groups. Each row represents a participant (n=289). The rows are ordered based on hierarchical clustering and are annotated to illustrate health and disease groups and the sequencing batch. Standardized counts were used.

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms



Figure A7. Spearman microbiome-metabolome correlation network including a node degree table. The strongest 30% absolute correlations are illustrated. Line colors represent correlations' strength in health, disease (ECC), or both.

References

1.	Bauer, M. A.; Kainz, K.; Carmona-Gutierrez, D.; Madeo, F. Microbial Wars: Competition in Ecological Niches and within the	644
	Microbiome. <i>Microbial Cell</i> 2018 , <i>5</i> , 215–219, DOI:10.15698/mic2018.05.628.	645

- Tong, H.; Chen, W.; Merritt, J.; Qi, F.; Shi, W.; Dong, X. Streptococcus Oligofermentans Inhibits Streptococcus Mutans through Conversion of Lactic Acid into Inhibitory H2O2: A Possible Counteroffensive Strategy for Interspecies Competition. *Molecular Microbiology* 2007, 63, DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05546.x.
- Nyvad, B.; Crielaard, W.; Mira, A.; Takahashi, N.; Beighton, D. Dental Caries from a Molecular Microbiological Perspective. Caries Research 2012, 47, 89–102, DOI:10.1159/000345367.
- Mira, A.; Simon-Soro, A.; Curtis, M. A. Role of Microbial Communities in the Pathogenesis of Periodontal Diseases and Caries. Journal of Clinical Periodontology 2017, 44, S23–S38, DOI:10.1111/jcpe.12671.
- Cho, H.; Ren, Z.; Divaris, K.; Roach, J.; Lin, B.; Lin, C.; Azcarate-Peril, A.; Simancas-Pallares, M.; Shrestha, P.; Orlenko, A.; Ginnis, J.; North, K.; Zandona, A. F.; Ribeiro, A.; Wu, D.; Koo, H. Pathobiont-Mediated Spatial Structuring Enhances Biofilm Virulence in Childhood Oral Disease. *bioRxiv* 2022, DOI:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1748651/v1.
- Wu, N.; Yin, F.; Ou-Yang, L.; Zhu, Z.; Xie, W. Joint Learning of Multiple Gene Networks from Single-Cell Gene Expression Data. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal* 2020, 18, 2583–2595, DOI:10.1016/j.csbj.2020.09.004.
- Zhang, Z.; Zhang, X. Inference of High-Resolution Trajectories in Single-Cell RNA-Seq Data by Using RNA Velocity. Cell Reports Methods 2021, 1, 100095, DOI:10.1016/j.crmeth.2021.100095.
- Gan, Y.; Liang, S.; Wei, Q.; Zou, G. Identification of Differential Gene Groups From Single-Cell Transcriptomes Using Network Entropy. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 2020, 8, DOI:10.3389/fcell.2020.588041.
- Ray, S.; Lall, S.; Bandyopadhyay, S. CODC: A Copula-Based Model to Identify Differential Coexpression. *npj Systems Biology and Applications* 2020, 6, DOI:10.1038/s41540-020-0137-9.
- Langfelder, P.; Horvath, S. WGCNA: An R Package for Weighted Correlation Network Analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 2008, 9, 0664 DOI:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559.
- Franzosa, E. A.; McIver, L. J.; Rahnavard, G.; Thompson, L. R.; Schirmer, M.; Weingart, G.; Lipson, K. S.; Knight, R.; Caporaso, J. G.; Segata, N.; Huttenhower, C. Species-Level Functional Profiling of Metagenomes and Metatranscriptomes. *Nature Methods* 2018, 15, 962–968, DOI:10.1038/s41592-018-0176-y.
- Franzosa, E. A.; Sirota-Madi, A.; Avila-Pacheco, J.; Fornelos, N.; Haiser, H. J.; Reinker, S.; Vatanen, T.; Hall, A. B.; Mallick, H.; McIver, L. J.; Sauk, J. S.; Wilson, R. G.; Stevens, B. W.; Scott, J. M.; Pierce, K.; Deik, A. A.; Bullock, K.; Imhann, F.; Porter, J. A.; Zhernakova, A.; Fu, J.; Weersma, R. K.; Wijmenga, C.; Clish, C. B.; Vlamakis, H.; Huttenhower, C.; Xavier, R. J. Gut Microbiome Structure and Metabolic Activity in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Nature Microbiology* 2018, *4*, 293–305, DOI:10.1038/s41564-018-0306-4.
- Van Buren, E.; Hu, M.; Weng, C.; Jin, F.; Li, Y.; Wu, D.; Li, Y. TWO-SIGMA: A Novel Two-component Single Cell Model-based Association Method for Single-cell RNA-seq Data. *Genetic Epidemiology* 2020, 45, 142–153, DOI:10.1002/gepi.22361.
- Cho, H.; Liu, C.; Preisser, J. S.; Wu, D. A bivariate zero-inflated negative binomial model and its applications to biomedical settings. *bioRxiv* 2020, DOI:10.1101/2020.03.06.977728.

Version January 30, 2023 submitted to Microorganisms

- Qiu, P. Embracing the Dropouts in Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis. Nature Communications, 2020, 11, DOI:10.1038/s41467-020-14976-9.
- Shi, J.; Malik, J. Normalized Cuts and Image Segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 2000, 22, 888–905, DOI:10.1109/34.868688.
- Wood, D. E.; Lu, J.; Langmead, B. Improved Metagenomic Analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biology* 2019, 20, DOI:10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0.
- Lu, J.; Breitwieser, F. P.; Thielen, P.; Salzberg, S. L. Bracken: Estimating Species Abundance in Metagenomics Data. *PeerJ Computer Science* 2017, 3, e104, DOI:10.7717/peerj-cs.104.
- Dewhirst, F. E.; Chen, T.; Izard, J.; Paster, B. J.; Tanner, A. C. R.; Yu, W.-H.; Lakshmanan, A.; Wade, W. G. The Human Oral Microbiome. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2010, *192*, 5002–5017, DOI:10.1128/jb.00542-10.
- Cho, H.; Qu, Y.; Liu, C.; Tang, B.; Lyu, R.; Lin, B. M.; Roach, J.; Azcarate-Peril, M. A.; de Aguiar Ribeiro, A.; Love, M. I.; Divaris, K.;
 Wu, D. Comprehensive Evaluation of Methods for Differential Expression Analysis of Metatranscriptomics Data. *bioRxiv* 2021, DOI:10.1101/2021.07.14.452374.
- Evans, A. M.; DeHaven, C. D.; Barrett, T.; Mitchell, M.; Milgram, E. Integrated, Nontargeted Ultrahigh Performance Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry Platform for the Identification and Relative Quantification of the Small-Molecule Complement of Biological Systems. *Analytical Chemistry* 2009, *81*, 6656–6667, DOI:10.1021/ac901536h.
- Evans, A. M.; Bridgewater, B. R.; Liu, Q.; Mitchell, M. W.; Robinson, R. J.; Dai, H.; Stewart, S. J.; DeHaven, C. D.; Miller,
 L. A. D. High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Improves Data Quantity and Quality as Compared to Unit Mass Resolution
 Mass Spectrometry in High-Throughput Profiling Metabolomics. *Journal of Postgenomics Drug Biomarker Development* 2014, 4,
 DOI:10.4172/2153-0769.1000132.
- Divaris, K.; Slade, G. D.; Ferreira Zandona, A. G.; Preisser, J. S.; Ginnis, J.; Simancas-Pallares, M. A.; Agler, C. S.; Shrestha, P.; Karhade, D. S.; Ribeiro, A. de A.; Cho, H.; Gu, Y.; Meyer, B. D.; Joshi, A. R.; Azcarate-Peril, M. A.; Basta, P. V.; Wu, D.; North, K.
 E. Cohort Profile: ZOE 2.0—A Community-Based Genetic Epidemiologic Study of Early Childhood Oral Health. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2020, 17, 8056, DOI:10.3390/ijerph17218056.
- Berahmand, K.; Nasiri, E.; Pir mohammadiani, R.; Li, Y. Spectral Clustering on Protein-Protein Interaction Networks via Constructing Affinity Matrix Using Attributed Graph Embedding. *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 2021, 138, 104933 DOI:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104933.
- Meilă, M.; Pentney, W. Clustering by weighted cuts in directed graphs. In Proceedings of the 2007 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 26-28 April 2007.
- John, C. R.; Watson, D.; Barnes, M. R.; Pitzalis, C.; Lewis, M. J. Spectrum: Fast Density-Aware Spectral Clustering for Single and Multi-Omic Data. *Bioinformatics* 2019, DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz704.
- Heimisdottir, L. H.; Lin, B. M.; Cho, H.; Orlenko, A.; Ribeiro, A. A.; Simon-Soro, A.; Roach, J.; Shungin, D.; Ginnis, J.; Simancas-Pallares, M. A.; Spangler, H. D.; Zandoná, A. G. F.; Wright, J. T.; Ramamoorthy, P.; Moore, J. H.; Koo, H.; Wu, D.; Divaris, K. Metabolomics Insights in Early Childhood Caries. *Journal of Dental Research* 2021, 100, 615–622, DOI:10.1177/0022034520982963.
- Shannon, P.; Markiel, A.; Ozier, O.; Baliga, N. S.; Wang, J. T.; Ramage, D.; Amin, N.; Schwikowski, B.; Ideker, T. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Research* 2003, 13, 2498–2504, 713 DOI:10.1101/gr.1239303.
- 29. Takahashi, N.; Washio, J.; Mayanagi, G. Metabolomic Approach to Oral Microbiota. *Interface Oral Health Science* 2011 **2012**, 334–340, 715 DOI:10.1007/978-4-431-54070-0_98. 716
- Takahashi, N. Microbial Ecosystem in the Oral Cavity: Metabolic Diversity in an Ecological Niche and Its Relationship with Oral Diseases. International Congress Series 2005, 1284, 103–112, DOI:10.1016/j.ics.2005.06.071.
- Takahashi, N.; Washio, J.; Mayanagi, G. Metabolomic Approach to Oral Biofilm Characterization—A Future Direction of Biofilm
 Research. Journal of Oral Biosciences 2012, 54, 138–143, DOI:10.1016/j.job.2012.02.005.
- Takahashi N. Oral Microbiome Metabolism: From "Who Are They?" to "What Are They Doing?". Journal of Dental Research, 2015, 721 94, 1628–1637, DOI:10.1177/0022034515606045.
- Mashima, I.; Nakazawa, F. Interaction between Streptococcus Spp. and Veillonella Tobetsuensis in the Early Stages of Oral Biofilm Formation. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2015, 197, 2104–2111, DOI:10.1128/JB.02512-14.
- 34. Sola-Penna, M. Metabolic Regulation by Lactate. *IUBMB Life* 2008, 60, 605–608, DOI:10.1002/iub.97.
- Larrabee, M. G. Lactate Metabolism and Its Effects on Glucose Metabolism in an Excised Neural Tissue. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 2002, 64, 1734–1741, DOI:10.1046/j.1471-4159.1995.64041734.x.
- Wu, D.; Smyth, G. K. Camera: A Competitive Gene Set Test Accounting for Inter-Gene Correlation. Nucleic Acids Research 2012, 40, r28 e133–e133, DOI:10.1093/nar/gks461.
- Van Buren, E.; Hu, M.; Cheng, L.; Wrobel, J.; Wilhelmsen, K.; Su, L.; Li, Y.; Wu, D. TWO-SIGMA-G: A New Competitive Gene Set Testing Framework for scRNA-Seq Data Accounting for Inter-Gene and Cell–Cell Correlation. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 2022, 23, DOI:10.1093/bib/bbac084.