ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Cell Proliferation

WILEY

LncRNAs are regulated by chromatin states and affect the skeletal muscle cell differentiation

Xiaolong Qi¹ (D) | Mingyang Hu¹ | Yue Xiang¹ | Daoyuan Wang¹ | Yueyuan Xu¹ | Ye Hou¹ | Huanhuan Zhou¹ | Yu Luan¹ | Zhangxu Wang¹ | Weiya Zhang¹ (D) | Xinyun Li^{1,2} | Shuhong Zhao^{1,2} | Yunxia Zhao¹ (D)

¹Key Lab of Agricultural Animal Genetics, Breeding, and Reproduction of Ministry of Education, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

²The Cooperative Innovation Center for Sustainable Pig Production, Wuhan, China

Correspondence

Yunxia Zhao, Key Lab of Agricultural Animal Genetics, Breeding, and Reproduction of Ministry of Education, Huazhong Agricultural University, No. 1 Shizishan Street, Hongshan District, Wuhan, Hubei Province 430070, China. Email: yxzhao@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 31790414 and 31902136; National Swine Industry Technology System, Grant/Award Number: CARS-35; Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities; China Postdoctoral Science Foundation

Abstract

Objective: This study aims to clarify the mechanisms underlying transcriptional regulation and regulatory roles of lncRNAs in skeletal muscle cell differentiation.

Methods: We analysed the expression patterns of IncRNAs via time-course RNAseq. Then, we further combined the ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq to investigate the governing mechanisms of transcriptional regulation of differentially expressed (DE) IncRNAs. Weighted correlation network analysis and GO analysis were conducted to identify the transcription factor (TF)-IncRNA pairs related to skeletal muscle cell differentiation.

Results: We identified 385 DE IncRNAs during C2C12 differentiation, the transcription of which is determined by chromatin states around their transcriptional start sites. The TF-IncRNA correlation network showed substantially concordant changes in DE IncRNAs between C2C12 differentiation and satellite cell rapid growth stages. Moreover, the up-regulated IncRNAs showed a significant decrease following the differentiation capacity of satellite cells, which gradually declines during skeletal muscle development. Notably, inhibition of the IncRNA *tcayos* and *Trp53cor1* led to the delayed differentiation of satellite cells. Those IncRNAs were significantly up-regulated during the rapid growth stage of satellite cells (4-6 weeks) and down-regulated with reduced differentiation capacity (8-12 weeks). It confirms that these IncRNAs are positively associated with myogenic differentiation of satellite cells during skeletal muscle development.

Conclusions: This study extends the understanding of mechanisms governing transcriptional regulation of IncRNAs and provides a foundation for exploring their functions in skeletal muscle cell differentiation.

Xiaolong Qi and Mingyang Hu are contributed equally to this work and should be regarded as joint first authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Cell Proliferation published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

1 | INTRODUCTION

VILEY-

The formation of myotubes is a necessary step in the complex and multi-stage process of muscle development, which is affected by many cytokines and self-proteins.¹ The vitality of satellite cells is essential for maintaining the stability of skeletal muscle tissue.² Moreover, both the capacity for differentiation and the number of satellite cells decrease with age during skeletal muscle development in mice.³ However, the current understanding of gene regulation during the processes of skeletal muscle cell differentiation and functional decline in satellite cells remains incomplete, especially regarding the role of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs).

Transcription factors (TFs), such as the myogenic regulatory factors MyoD family, the MEF2 family (MEF2A-D) and others, have been shown to determine the characteristics of skeletal muscle cells.⁴⁻⁶ The MyoD, MyoG and Mef2c are specifically involved in the regulation of myogenic differentiation, and their expression is significantly reduced and delayed in satellite cell senescence.^{7,8} Although *Heyl* and *Hey1* are considered potential effectors of the Notch pathway to inhibit myogenesis.⁹ Another study found that the weight and size of *Hey1/1* double-knockout mice decreased, which indicates that *Heyl* has a positive effect on muscle development.¹⁰ These previous findings demonstrate that the expression of TFs can be used as a molecular marker of cell differentiation state and function.

A growing body of evidence supports the finding of a close relationship between lncRNA function and skeletal myogenesis, and muscle diseases.^{11,12} The *Linc-MD1* RNA was reported to be involved in skeletal muscle differentiation through regulation of myogenic TFs.^{13,14} Similarly, *Linc-YY1* promotes myogenic differentiation via the interactions with YY1 and regulates satellite cell activation/proliferation by regulating *Pax7* expression.⁶ *LncMyoD* has been found to induce myogenic differentiation through disrupting the cell cycle.¹⁵ The lncRNA *Trp53cor1*, also known as *LincRNA-p21*, has been shown to participate in repressing cell proliferation and smooth muscle cell apoptosis.^{16,17} Collectively, these discoveries provide strong evidence of a contribution by lncRNAs to skeletal muscle development, although their transcriptional regulation and regulatory roles have not been well-studied at the whole genome level.

In this work, we systematically analysed expression patterns of IncRNAs using RNA-seq over a time course spanning from proliferation to differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts and mouse satellite cells across a range of ages to understand their regulatory functions. Moreover, the transcriptional regulation of IncRNAs was examined by combining ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data. Our study provides new insights into the transcriptional regulation of IncRNAs and the mechanisms underlying their regulatory roles in skeletal muscle cell differentiation.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sample collection and cell culture

The C2C12 mouse myogenic cell line was acquired from the Cell Bank of Wuhan University and cultured in 1 \times DMEM basic (Gibco-BRL)

with 20% foetal bovine serum (Gibco 10099133) in 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Myogenic differentiation was initiated upon reaching 90% confluence by switching the cells to DMEM containing 3% horse serum (Hyclone SH30074.03) and then cultured for 12, 24, 48, 60 and 96 hours. Skeletal muscle satellite cells were isolated from the hindlimb muscle of C57BL/6 mice at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12, which were represented as W2, W4, W6, W8, W10 and W12, respectively. The detailed isolation process for satellite cells is described in our previous report.³

2.2 | Analysis of differentially expressed IncRNAs

The mouse reference genome and GTF files of lncRNAs and protein-coding genes were downloaded from the GENCODE database version M11 (https://www.gencodegenes.org/mouse/). The expression levels of protein-coding genes and lncRNAs were quantified by TOPHAT v2.1.1¹⁸ and the htseq-count script of HTSEQ v0.6.0.¹⁹ Differential expression analysis of C2C12 was performed on transcriptomic data collected between proliferation (cells cultured in growth medium, GM) and differentiation (cells cultured in differentiation medium, DM 12, 24, 48, 60 and 96 hours) by EDGER.²⁰ Differentially expressed (DE) genes were identified based on a fold change threshold value of ($|log_2FC| \ge 1$) and P value (P < .05).

2.3 | ATAC-seq experiments and data quality control

ATAC-seq was conducted primarily following previous studies.^{21,22} In our study, about 50 000 C2C12 in the proliferation and differentiation (60 hours) were lysed in 50 µL ATAC-seq lysis buffer (10 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mmol/L NaCl, 3 mmol/L MgCl₂, 0.1% NP40, 0.1% Tween-20 and 0.01% digitonin) and incubated on ice for 5 minutes. Add 1 mL cold ATAC-buffer (10 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mmol/L NaCl, 3 mmol/L MgCl₂ and 0.1% Tween-20) was added to stop the lysis. The cells were further centrifuged at 500 RCF for 10 minutes at 4°C to collect the cell pellet after removing the supernatant. The following transposition reaction mix (25 µL Illumina Tagment DNA buffer Cat#: 15027866, 16.5 μ L 1 \times PBS, 0.5 μ L 1% digitonin, 0.5 μ L 10% Tween-20, 2.5 μ L ddH₂O and 5 μ L Illumina Tagment DNA enzyme (Cat#: 15027916) was added to the cell pellet and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour on thermocycler. ZYMO RESEARCH DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 Kit (Cat#: D4013) was used to purify the transposed DNA. Library preparation was following the instruction of previous study.²¹ Final library was electrophoresed in a 2% high-resolution agarose gel and 100-1000 bp fragments were cut out and sequenced by using Illumina HiSeq X Ten PE150 platform. The information of ATAC-seq data is shown in Table S1 and Figure S1.

2.4 | ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq data processing

Transcription factor (MyoD, MyoG, Cebpb, Usf1 and Max²³) and histone modification (H3K4me3²³ and H3K4me1²⁴) ChIP-seq data were

downloaded from the previous studies. These data were obtained from C2C12 cells at proliferation and differentiation stages.

The ATAC-seq reads were mapped to the mouse M11 reference genome from GENCODE database using BOWTIE2 v2.3.4.1.²⁵ Peaks for each replicate were called individually with MACS2 v2.1.0²⁶ and merged with BEDTOOLS v2.26.0.²⁷ After merging, peak positions were defined as open chromatin regions. DEEPTOOLS v2.5.2²⁸ was used for statistical analysis of the ATAC-seq peak signals. The Normalize. quantiles function of PREPROCESSCORE package v1.40.0²⁹ in R v3.5.1 was used to normalize the ATAC-seq signal. ChIP-seq data were subjected to a similar process as ATAC-seq reads.

2.5 | Integrated analysis of DE IncRNAs, ATACseq and ChIP-seq

Differentially expressed (DE) lncRNAs with a counts per million (CPM) less than 1 in both GM and DM60 hours time points were filtered. The DE lncRNAs were classified as up- ($\log_2FC > 0$) or down-regulated ($\log_2FC < 0$) depending on the \log_2FC in expression for each lncRNA between GM and DM60 hours time points in C2C12 cells. The same number of lncRNAs was randomly selected from non-DE lncRNAs as a control. The lncRNAs with transcription start sites (TSS-, upstream 2.5 kb and downstream 1.5 kb) that overlapped with ATAC peaks were identified as open chromatin-associated DE lncRNAs using BEDTOOLS v2.26.0.²⁷ The \log_2FC of ATAC-seq signals between GM and DM60 hours around the TSS of the above DE lncRNAs and non-DE lncRNAs was calculated based on ATAC-seq signals described in Section 2.4.

Histone ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq usually have corresponding but not precisely co-localized peaks around the TSS of IncRNAs. In this step, the upstream and downstream regions flanking ATAC-seq peaks were both extended 1 kb and the peaks were again merged using BEDTOOLS with '-d 0' to obtain an accurate ChIP-seq and ATACseq co-localized peak region and histone modification signal. Then, the DE IncRNAs associated with open chromatin were divided into three categories: IncRNAs associated with ATAC and H3K4me1, IncRNAs associated with ATAC and H3K4me3, and IncRNAs associated only with ATAC.

Subsequently, the ChIP-seq signal for H3K4me3/H3K4me1 and the ATAC-seq signal in the extended peak regions were calculated using DEEPTOOLS v2.5.1 and normalized with the Normalize.quantiles function of PREPROCESSCORE package v1.40.0²⁹ in R v3.5.1. In each category, the log₂FC of the ATAC-seq or ChIP-seq signals located within 2 kb of ATAC-seq peaks of DE lncRNA TSS was calculated using the same method as log₂FC expression analysis.

The motif analysis in this study was performed using the find Motifs.pl function of ${\rm HOMER}$ software. 30

2.6 | Correlation analysis

Weighted correlation network analysis $(WGCNA)^{31}$ was used to identify patterns of co-expression between significant DE genes and

Proliferation

e

IncRNAs of C2C12 cell line RNA-seq data. The WGCNA package in the R v3.5.1 environment was applied to develop a weighted correlation network based on the normalized count matrix produced during differential expression analysis of IncRNAs using EDGER.²⁰ The Pearson correlation analysis was also used to identify significantly correlated DE IncRNA-gene pairs in C2C12 (P < .05). DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8 (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/summa ry.jsp) was used for GO term functional annotation of significantly enriched genes.

2.7 | Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

GSEA³² software v3.0 was used to interpret the gene expression data derived from satellite cell RNA-seq data. Phenotype labels included W4 vs W2, W6 vs W2, W8 vs W2, W10 vs W2, and W12 vs W2. The DE IncRNAs identified in C2C12 cells that were correlated with *MyoD*, *MyoG*, *Mef2c* and *Heyl* were divided into up-regulated and down-regulated IncRNA groups based on changes in their expression during different stages of differentiation in C2C12 cells. Gene sets were mapped to the pre-ranked gene list to calculate the enrichment score.

2.8 | qPCR

Total RNA from C2C12 and satellite cells was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen 15596026) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was obtained via reverse transcription of 1 μ g of RNA using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher 4374967). THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo) was used with a CFX384 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad). All primer sequences in this study are listed in Table S2.

2.9 | Cell transfection

For RNAi assays, siRNA constructs targeting *Atcayos* and *Trp53cor1* were transfected into satellite cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The siRNA and negative control were provided by RiboBio IncRNA Smart Silencer (RiboBio). The target sequences in this study were listed in Table S3.

2.10 | Immunofluorescence of satellite cells

Cultured cells were washed with $1 \times PBS$ and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature. After 10 minutes of penetration in ice-cold 0.3% Triton X-100, cells were incubated in blocking buffer (3% BSA, 0.25% Triton X-100 and 10% FBS in PBS) for 1 hour to block non-specific binding. Then, cells were incubated with anti-myosin primary antibody (Monoclonal Anti-Myosin, Sigma M4726, 1:200) at



FIGURE 1 Identification of differentially expressed (DE) IncRNAs during C2C12 myoblast differentiation. A, Statistical results of DE protein-coding genes (PCGs) and IncRNAs. B, Heat map of expression profiles of DE IncRNAs from the proliferation stage (GM) to the differentiation stage at 96 h (DM) (n = 3, $|\log_2 FC| > 1$, P < .01). C, qPCR validation of RNA-seq differential expression analysis using four randomly selected DE IncRNAs in differentiating C2C12 myoblasts (n = 3). *Tubulin* was used as the internal control. ^(*) and ^(**) indicate P < .05 and P < .01, respectively. Fold change in relative expression was based on expression levels during the proliferation stage. D, Scatter plot of the $\log_2 FC$ correlation between RNA-seq (y-axis)

4°C overnight with gentle shaking. Cells were further washed four times with 1 × PBS and then incubated with anti-mouse IgG (H+L), F (ab') 2 Fragment (Alexa Fluor[™] 555 Conjugate; CST, 4409). A Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S microscope (Nikon) was used to observe the fluorescence.

2.11 | Western blot

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Sigma R0278) with phosphatase and protease inhibitors on ice for 30 minutes. Total protein was electrophoresed in 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore). The membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk for 2 hours at room temperature and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody against Myosin (Sigma M4276, 1:800), MyoG (Abcam ab1835, 1:500) or β -tubulin (Sungene KM9003, 1:1000). After washing four times in TBST buffer (0.1% Tween-20 in TBS), the PVDF membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Beyotime A0216) for 1 hour at room temperature. The PVDF membranes were then washed five times with TBST and treated with Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate. HRP signals were captured with an ImageQuant LAS4000 mini system (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Dynamic expression of IncRNAs during C2C12 myoblast differentiation

LncRNAs are involved in multiple biological processes in skeletal muscles.¹¹ Through the GENCODE project initiative, 9989 IncRNAs have been identified in the mouse genome. Among them, expression of 994 IncRNAs was detected in C2C12 proliferation and differentiation cells via the rRNA depletion strand-specific RNA-seq method used in our study. Here, 385 (38%) of DE IncRNAs and 3042 (25%) of DE protein-coding genes (PCGs) were identified via RNAseq over a time course spanning the C2C12 myoblast differentiation process (Figure 1A). Visualization by heat map revealed dynamic changes in the expression of these DE IncRNAs during C2C12 myoblast differentiation (Figure 1B). To verify the accuracy of our expression pattern analysis, we randomly selected four DE IncRNAs for qPCR validation, namely Gm10125, GM28653 and Malat1, which were up-regulated, and RP23-115O21.3, which was down-regulated (Figure 1C). We found a high correlation between RNA-seq and qPCR (R = .89, P < .01; Figure 1D), confirming the reliability of our differential expression analysis.

3.2 | Chromatin states control IncRNA expression during C2C12 myoblast differentiation

Following identification of DE IncRNAs and analysis of their differential expression, we conducted ATAC-seq to observe

Cell Proliferation

WILF

changes in open chromatin states in regions adjacent to the TSS of the above DE lncRNAs (Figure 2A). We found that 247 (63.90%) DE lncRNAs, with CPM (counts per million) \geq 1 in either GM or DM60 hours, had associated ATAC-seq peaks around their TSSs (2.5 kb upstream, 1.5 kb downstream), and were therefore designated as open chromatin-associated DE lncR-NAs. Moreover, the log₂FC in DM60 hours vs GM comparison of the open chromatin ATAC-seq signal for up-regulated DE lncRNAs was significantly higher than those of randomly selected non-DE lncRNAs (P < .05) and down-regulated DE lncR-NAs (P < .01; Figure 2B). Thus, these results suggested that the change in open chromatin states was the primary reason for the differential expression of lncRNAs during C2C12 myoblast differentiation.

The expression of IncRNAs may be governed by transcription factor (TF) binding and promoter-associated epigenetic mark H3K4me3, or may be mediated by the enhancer-associated histone modification marker H3K4me1.³³ Indeed, we observed that changes in DE IncRNA expression were associated with changes in histone modification and TF binding (Figure 2C) through analysis of published ChIP-seq for TFs (MyoD, MyoG, Cebpb, Usf1 and Max²³) and histone modifications (H3K4me3²³ and H3K4me1²⁴).

To explore the different mechanisms by which IncRNA expression is regulated, we divided the DE IncRNAs associated with open chromatin into three categories based on the histone ChIP-seq signal in the open chromatin regions around their TSSs: associated with ATAC and H3K4me1; associated with ATAC and H3K4me3; or associated only with ATAC (Figure 2C). The sum of the first two categories accounted for 82.93% of all open chromatin-associated DE IncRNAs. The log₂FC of H3K4me1- and H3K4me3-associated up-regulated DE IncRNAs on open chromatin were significantly higher than that of down-regulated ones (Figure 2D). Moreover, the majority of these two categories of DE IncRNAs were correlated with TF binding (MyoD, MyoG, Cebpb, Usf1 or Max), among which over 75% were MyoD/MyoG (Figure 2E). The majority (over 80%) of the MyoD and MyoG binding sites around these two categories of DE IncRNAs were co-localized in myotubes (Figure 2A,F, top), and their binding motifs were significantly enriched (Figure 2F, bottom). In light of these findings, we concluded that MyoD binding and MyoG binding were critical for the differential expression of IncRNAs.

For the third category of DE IncRNAs, that is, associated with ATAC only, the difference between up- and down-regulated DE IncRNAs in the ATAC-seq signal was non-significant, although the distribution was similar to that of the H3K4me1- and H3K4me3associated categories (Figure 2C). However, the TF binding results for this category were completely different in that the proportion of DE IncRNAs associated with MyoD or MyoG binding was substantially lower than the other two categories. In addition, recognition motifs of other TFs were significantly enriched in these ATAC-seq peak regions (Figure 2G), and the expression levels of these TFs changed over the course of C2C12 myoblast differentiation (Figure 2H).



Proliferation FIGURE 2 Differential expression of lncRNAs altered by changes in chromatin state during C2C12 myoblast differentiation. A, Changes in chromatin state around the transcription start site of DE lncRNAs between proliferation (GM) and 60 h of differentiation (DM60 h). B, Box plot showing the changes in ATAC-seq intensity around the transcriptional start site (TSS) of open chromatin-associated DE lncRNAs between GM and DM60 h in C2C12 myoblasts. Blue, red and grey boxes represent the log₂FC of open chromatin around the TSS of down-and up-regulated open chromatin-associated DE lncRNAs and randomly selected non-DE lncRNAs, respectively. ^(*) and ^(**) indicate P < .05 and P < .01, respectively. C, Bar plot showing the DE lncRNAs associated with open chromatin. The lncRNAs were classified by the type of histone modifications identified by ChIP-seq in the open chromatin between C2C12 myoblast GM and DM60 h stages. E, TF binding states around the TSS regions for each category of IncRNA associated with open chromatin in (C). F, Venn diagram and motif enrichment showing the co-localization of MyoD and MyoG binding sites. Motif enrichment analysis was performed using the open chromatin regions around DE lncRNAs. G, Motif enrichment of the open chromatin regions of DE lncRNAs associated only with the ATAC-seq signal in (C). H, Heat map showing the relative expression profile of associated TFs from (G)

e



FIGURE 3 Correlation analysis of differentially expressed (DE) IncRNAs and protein-coding genes identified over a time course spanning myogenic differentiation in C2C12 myoblasts. A, WGCNA analysis showing the correlation between DE IncRNAs and protein-coding genes. High correlation is indicated in red. B, Pearson's correlation between DE IncRNAs and protein-coding genes. Rows and columns represent the DE IncRNAs and protein-coding genes, respectively. Red and blue indicate positive and negative correlations, respectively. C, Venn diagram showing the overlap between WGCNA and Pearson's analysis. D, Plot of positive and negative correlations of DE IncRNA and gene pairs from both WGCNA and Pearson's analyses. Blue and red bar plots indicate negatively and positively correlated pairs, respectively

3.3 | The correlations between DE IncRNAs and genes were revealed by WGCNA and Pearson's analysis

To explore the relationship between the expression of lncRNAs and protein-coding genes during myogenic differentiation, we conducted weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) and Pearson's correlation analysis on 385 DE lncRNAs and 3042 DE protein-coding genes. The WGCNA divided the relevant lncR-NAs and protein-coding genes into five modules consisting of 369 541 highly correlated DE lncRNA-gene pairs (Figure 3A). The Pearson correlation analysis revealed a significant correlation for 693 188 DE lncRNA-gene pairs at the transcriptional level (P < .05; Figure 3B). Moreover, nearly 84% of the correlated DE

IncRNA-gene pairs identified by WGCNA were also identified by the Pearson correlation analysis (Figure 3C), including both positively and negatively correlated DE IncRNA-gene pairs from the Pearson analysis (Figure 3D). These results indicated that WGCNA could be validated by Pearson's correlation analysis. Moreover, WGCNA can explore both direct and indirect correlation between elements, whereas Pearson's correlation tests for direct correlation in transcriptional expression.

7 of 15

WILEY

3.4 | Four critical TFs and 149 correlated lncRNAs were identified during C2C12 myoblast differentiation

Transcription factors play a crucial role in cell proliferation and differentiation, and the activity of specific TFs can serve as

EY-Proliferation

informative markers of cell identity. We calculated the number of DE lncRNAs and TFs in each WGCNA module and found that large numbers of them both appeared in the yellow module (Figure 4A). Moreover, the 10 most significantly enriched GO terms for yellow module involved DE genes that were primarily related to muscle development processes (P < .05), including muscle contraction, skeletal muscle contraction and others (Figure 4B). The same analysis of DE genes for other modules revealed radically different results, including no muscle development-related GO terms among the most significantly enriched terms (Figure 4A, Figure S2). These results strongly suggested that the yellow module was most informative for DE lncRNAs and TFs involved in C2C12 myoblast differentiation.

MyoD, MyoG, Mef2c and Heyl were the four TFs involved in skeletal muscle development-related GO terms identified in the yellow module. These TFs are previously established, significantly up-regulated markers of myogenic differentiation.³⁴⁻³⁶ In the current study, expression of these four TFs was significantly up-regulated during myoblast cell differentiation, in agreement with previous studies (Figure 4C). Moreover, we found that changes in MyoD and MyoG binding contributed to changes in transcription of *Mef2c*, *Heyl* and themselves (Figure 4D). Change in MyoG expression was also associated with changes in ATAC-seq and H3K4me3 intensities from the proliferation (GM) to the differentiation (60 hours) stage (Figure 4D).

We found that MyoD and MyoG are crucial TFs for myogenic differentiation, especially through regulation of *Mef2c* and *Heyl* (Figure 4D), which were also previously shown to provide key contributions to myogenic differentiation.³⁶⁻³⁸ We thus developed a correlation network between these four TFs and 149 of their correlated DE lncRNAs to improve our understanding of the function of lncRNAs (Figure 4E, Figure S3). Based on the changes in expression between proliferation and differentiation observed in this network, we divided the lncRNAs into an up-regulated group and a down-regulated group (Figure 4F).

3.5 | LncRNAs are involved in the regulation of differentiation of satellite cell during skeletal muscle development

Satellite cells are essential components of skeletal muscle development and regeneration. To investigate the functional differences of satellite cells at different ages in mice, we followed the instruction from a previous study to isolate quiescent satellite cells from mouse skeletal muscle and adherent culture to obtain activated satellite cells.³ Through expression analysis, we found that *MyoG*, *MyoD* and *MyHC* were all up-regulated in the satellite cells of 4- and 6-week-old mice compared with those in 2-week-old mice, indicating the rapid growth of skeletal muscle tissues (Figure 5A). Thereafter, they were down-regulated, commensurate with age-related decreases in proliferation and the capacity for satellite cell differentiation. These results were consistent with our previous results generated from satellite cells of the same developmental stage which showed the capability of mouse muscle satellite cells to self-renew, as well as a significant decrease in their ability to differentiate after a rapid period of growth (4-6 weeks).³

We further investigated whether or not the IncRNAs involved in our TF-IncRNA correlation network constructed with C2C12 differentiation data were involved in the regulation of the mouse satellite cell differentiation capacity. Our results showed that the up-regulation and down-regulation of the IncRNAs in the TF-IncRNA network were significantly concordant (P < .05) between the satellite cells in different rapid growth stages (4-6 weeks) and differentiated C2C12 via gene set enrichment analysis (Figure 5B,C, Figure S4A). Moreover, these IncRNA-correlated TFs exhibited the same changes as the IncRNAs (Figure 5C,D, Figure S4). This finding thus indicated that these TFs had a regulatory function in the proliferation and differentiation of myogenic cells.

In the satellite cells from 8 to 12 week mice, the up- and down-regulation of TFs and lncRNAs identified in this correlation network were opposite to those in the satellite cells from 2-week-old mice (Figure 5B,D, Figure S4B,C), and especially so for lncRNAs which were up-regulated in the rapid growth stages of satellite cells. These results further suggested that these TFs and lncRNAs were also involved in the regulation of differentiation capacity decrease of satellite cells with age.

3.6 | Correlation of TF-IncRNA expression was validated by qPCR in C2C12 and satellite cells

To validate the expression analysis of the four TFs and their correlated C2C12 differentiation-associated DE lncRNAs, we performed qPCR to measure the expression of *Mef2c* and *Heyl* and the lncRNAs *Atcayos*, *Trp53cor1* and *GM10561* in C2C12 and

FIGURE 4 Identification of transcription factors related to myoblast cell differentiation and their correlated DE lncRNAs. A, Scatter plot showing the number of TFs and lncRNAs in each module of WGCNA; the colour of the dots corresponds to the module in WGGCNA. B, Top 10 significant (P < .01) GO terms identified among the differentially expressed genes in the yellow module from WGCNA analysis. C, Heat map showing the relative expression profiles of four TFs during myogenic differentiation. The values in parentheses are the log₂FC of expression levels between proliferation (GM) and differentiation at 60 h (DM60 h). D, Genomic snapshot of the changes in chromatin states around the transcriptional start site (TSS) of MyoD, MyoG, Mef2c and Heyl between GM and DM60 h. E, Correlation network showing the changes in expression profiles of TFs and lncRNAs between proliferation (GM) and differentiation (GM) and differentiation 60 h (DM60 h). In C2C12 cells (weight > 0.05, P < .01). The red and blue nodes represent the up-regulated and down-regulated TF/lncRNAs, respectively. F, Expression patterns of TFs and their correlated DE lncRNAs in (E). The blue line represents the average expression level of DE lncRNAs, while the orange, purple, red and yellow lines represent the relative expression levels of Mef2c, Heyl, MyoD and MyoG, respectively





mouse satellite cells. The expression of those TFs and IncRNAs all changed significantly (P < .01) over the course of C2C12 differentiation and across different ages of the mouse satellite cells

(Figure 6A,B). Moreover, the expressions of these TFs and IncR-NAs, which peaked at week 6, were all positively correlated, in agreement with our data analysis, which also showed a significant



FIGURE 5 Changes in expression of transcription factors (TFs) and IncRNAs from the TF-IncRNAs network in satellite cells. A, Expression of *MyoG*, *MyoD* and *MyHC* determined via qPCR in satellite cells during different stages. All comparisons were made with expression levels at 2 wk. Three replicates were used. *Tubulin* was used as the internal control. ^(***) indicate *P* < .01. B, Gene set enrichment analysis of up- and down-regulated IncRNA groups identified during C2C12 cell differentiation for different stages of satellite cells, including 4-, 6-, 8-, 10-, and 12 wk compared to expression at 2 wk. The *y*-axis indicates the enrichment score and the x-axis indicates rank order of IncRNA. C, D, Network of correlated pairs of TFs and IncRNAs in satellite cells at 6 (C) and 12 wk (D) compared with those at 2 wk

correlation between the expression of these TFs and IncRNAs (Figure 6A,B). Together, these results indicated that our expression analysis was reliable.

3.7 | Inhibition of *Atcayos* and *Trp53cor* reduced the differentiation of satellite cells

To further explore the contribution of IncRNAs in the capacity for differentiation among satellite cells, we chose the IncRNAs *Atcayos* and *Trp53cor*, which were up-regulated in rapid growth stages, for further loss of function assays. The expression of *Atcayos* and *Trp53cor1* was successfully knocked down using RNAi (Figure 7A,B). The Western blot results showed that myosin decreased when *Atcayos* or *Trp53cor1* were inhibited (Figure 7C,D), which was confirmed using immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 7E-H). These results showed that inhibition of the TFassociated lncRNAs *Atcayos* and *Trp53cor1* led to the delayed differentiation of satellite cells, which also indicated that these two lncRNAs participated in positive regulation of the differentiation of satellite cells.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the current study, we examined the differential expression patterns of IncRNAs during C2C12 myoblast differentiation to better understand how their transcription is regulated during this developmental stage in mammalian cells. To this end, we combined RNA-seq to identify DE IncRNAs and TFs during differentiation, with ATAC-seq to identify open, actively transcribed regions of chromatin, and with ChIP-seq to determine the histone methylation states in chromatin regions associated with TF binding, which are necessary for differential expression of IncRNAs. We found that the differential expression of IncRNAs during myoblast differentiation was controlled by changes in chromatin states, including the binding of TFs such as MyoD and MyoG. Our analysis further revealed key TFs and their correlated DE IncRNAs associated with myogenic differentiation, which were involved in the regulation of transcription of satellite cells during skeletal muscle development (Figure 8).

Previous studies reported that the expression activation of IncRNA was associated with H3K4me3,³⁹ H3K4me1,⁴⁰ etc, histone modifications. In our study, a small fraction of DE IncRNAs (17.07%) related to myoblast differentiation did not associate with H3K4me3 and H3K4me1 but only associated with ATAC signals at their TSS regions. Previous study also reported that IncRNA expression is regulated by TFs in a similar way of protein-coding genes.^{39,41} Thus, the regulation of TFs becomes particularly important for above only ATAC-associated DE IncRNAs. Moreover, the motifs of TFs Runx family (Runx1 and Runx2), ATF3, AP-1 family (Jund and Fosl1) and CTCF were significantly enriched in the ATAC-seq peak regions at Proliferation

TSS regions of the above DE IncRNAs. Previous studies showed that the TFs Runx1,⁴² ATF3⁴³ and CTCF were related to muscle development. CTCF is essential in mediated chromatin loops,⁴⁴ delimiting enhancer-promoter interaction,⁴⁵ and is implicated in gene activation.⁴⁶ Thus, we speculated that these TFs play critical regulation roles in expression regulation of IncRNAs which were differentially expressed during myoblasts differentiation but only associated with ATAC-seq signals.

Many TFs can serve as distinct markers of cell states, and their regulatory function is one of considerable long-term interests among researchers. We constructed a DE TF-IncRNA correlation network using WGCNA and Pearson correlation's analysis and observed that the TFs *MyoD*, *MyoG*, *Mef2c* and *Heyl* were all significantly correlated with DE IncRNA expression during myoblast differentiation. The TFs MyoD, MyoG and Mef2c were all reported to function in promoting myoblast differentiation.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ *Heyl* also has a positive effect on muscle development.^{9,10} Our TF-IncRNA network indeed contained previously studied IncRNAs including *H19*,⁵⁰ *Malat1*,⁵¹ *Mrln*⁵² and *Snhg8*,⁵³ which are reportedly involved in skeletal muscle differentiation. These results indicated that correlation analysis and construction of a TF-IncRNA network could help us to understand the function of IncRNAs.

Analysis of expression patterns conducted in this study suggested that the DE IncRNAs identified during myoblast differentiation and their correlated TFs participate in the process of decreased differentiation capacity of mouse satellite cells with age. Expression of the IncRNAs, which were up-regulated during C2C12 differentiation, was also active in mouse satellite cells isolated during the rapid growth stages. Furthermore, the capacity for differentiation among the ageing satellite cells decreased over time compared with the earlier, rapid growth stages.³ These IncRNAs associated with rapid growth were down-regulated with age, strongly suggesting that their decrease in expression with age is related to the concurrently decreasing capacity for differentiation in satellite cells.

Previous research has shown that *Trp53cor1* functions in the regulation of vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and apoptosis,¹⁷ and also promotes migration of mesenchymal stem cells.⁵⁴ However, the IncRNA *Atcayos* remains functionally uncharacterized at present. We found, using siRNA knockdowns, that *Trp53cor1* and *Atcayos* were both positively associated with the differentiation of satellite cells during rapid growth, thereby improving our current understanding of the regulatory function of IncRNAs in myogenic differentiation.

In conclusion, by combining ATAC-seq, ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data, we found that dynamic changes in the expression of IncRNAs between the developmental stages of myoblast proliferation and differentiation were closely related to chromatin states. In addition, the MyoD, MyoG, Mef2c and Heyl TFs and their correlated IncRNAs, especially Atcayos and Trp53cor1, were involved in the regulation of myogenic differentiation of satellite cells during skeletal muscle development.



FIGURE 6 qPCR relative expression analysis of transcription factors (TFs) and lncRNAs in C2C12 myoblasts and satellite cells. Three biological replicates were used for each analysis. *Tubulin* was used as the internal control. The ^(**) and ^(**') indicate *P* < .05 and *P* < .01, respectively. Scatter plots show correlations in expression between *Heyl* or *Mef2c* and lncRNAs in C2C12 myoblasts and satellite cells. A, Expression of TFs (*Mef2c* and *Heyl*) and lncRNAs (*Atcayos, Trp53cor1*, and *GM10561*) determined via qPCR in the C2C12 cell line. All comparisons were made with expression levels at the proliferation stage (GM). B, The results of qPCR and correlation of relative expression between TFs (*Mef2c* and *Heyl*) and lncRNAs (*Atcayos, Trp53cor1*, and *GM10561*) in satellite cells. Fold change is based on comparisons with expression in satellite cells from 2-week-old mice

Proliferation



FIGURE 7 Inhibition of Atcayos and Trp53cor1 in the differentiated satellite cells. A, B, qPCR results of Atcayos and Trp53cor1 expression in differentiated satellite cells at 24 h when Atcayos or Trp53cor1 were inhibited using siRNA, ^(**) indicate P < .01. C, D, Western blots of Atcayos and Trp53cor1 in siRNA knockdown differentiated satellite cells at 24 h. E, F, Immunofluorescence staining of myosin (red) in the differentiated satellite cells at 24 h when Atcayos or Trp53cor1 were inhibited using siRNA. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) Scale bars: $100 \mu m$. Magnification: $100 \times . G$, H, Differentiation index (no. of nuclei in myosin⁺ cell/total nuclei) for satellite cells in (E) and (F)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31902136, 31790414), the National Swine Industry Technology System Fund (No. CARS-35), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2018M640716).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XQ and MH conceived and performed the experiments and explained the data. They also drafted, wrote and revised the manuscript and approved the version to be published. YX, YYX and YL analysed and helped explain the data. They also drafted and revised the manuscript and approved the version to be published. DW, YH, HZ, ZW and WZ assisted in the experiments and helped explain the data. They also drafted and revised the manuscript and approved the version to be published. SZ, XL and YZ designed the study and

WILEY



FIGURE 8 Schematic of TF (MyoD, MyoG, Mef2c, and Heyl) and IncRNA (Atcayos and Trp53cor1) regulatory interactions in differentiating C2C12 and ageing satellite cells

explained the results. They also revised it critically for important intellectual content and approved the version to be published.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are openly available in a public repository that issues data sets with DOIs: ChIP-seq data of H3K4me3, MyoD, MyoG, Usf1, Max and Cebpb that support the results of this study are openly available in NCBI at https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13992.²³ ChIP-seq data of H3K4me1 that support the findings of this study are openly available in NCBI at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mol-cel.2013.07.022.²⁴ Satellite cell RNA-seq data that support the findings of this study are openly available in NCBI at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mol-cel.2013.07.022.²⁴ Satellite cell RNA-seq data that support the findings of this study are openly available in NCBI at https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00220.³

ORCID

Xiaolong Qi D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1579-2939 Weiya Zhang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8458-3957 Yunxia Zhao D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2253-2484

REFERENCES

- Yin H, Price F, Rudnicki MA. Satellite cells and the muscle stem cell niche. *Physiol Rev.* 2013;93(1):23-67.
- Morrison SJ, Spradling AC. Stem cells and niches: mechanisms that promote stem cell maintenance throughout life. *Cell*. 2008;132(4):598-611.
- Zhang WY, Xu YY, Zhang L, et al. Synergistic effects of TGF beta 2, WNT9a, and FGFR4 signals attenuate satellite cell differentiation during skeletal muscle development. *Aging Cell*. 2018;17(4):e12788.
- Sabourin LA, Rudnicki MA. The molecular regulation of myogenesis. Clin Genet. 2000;57(1):16-25.
- Perdiguero E, Sousa-Victor P, Ballestar E, Munoz-Canoves P. Epigenetic regulation of myogenesis. *Epigenetics*. 2009;4(8):541-550.

- Zhou L, Sun K, Zhao YU, et al. Linc-YY1 promotes myogenic differentiation and muscle regeneration through an interaction with the transcription factor YY1. Nat Commun. 2015;6:10026.
- Musaro A, Cusella De Angelis MG, Germani A, Ciccarelli C, Molinaro M, Zani BM. Enhanced expression of myogenic regulatory genes in aging skeletal muscle. *Exp Cell Res.* 1995;221(1):241-248.
- Bigot A, Jacquemin V, Debacq-Chainiaux F, et al. Replicative aging down-regulates the myogenic regulatory factors in human myoblasts. *Biol Cell*. 2008;100(3):189-199.
- 9. Buas MF, Kabak S, Kadesch T. Inhibition of myogenesis by Notch: evidence for multiple pathways. *J Cell Physiol*. 2009;218(1):84-93.
- Fukada S-I, Yamaguchi M, Kokubo H, et al. Hesr1 and Hesr3 are essential to generate undifferentiated quiescent satellite cells and to maintain satellite cell numbers. *Development*. 2011;138(21):4609-4619.
- Li Y, Chen X, Sun H, Wang H. Long non-coding RNAs in the regulation of skeletal myogenesis and muscle diseases. *Cancer Lett.* 2018;417:58-64.
- Ballarino M, Cazzella V, D'Andrea D, et al. Novel long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) in myogenesis: a miR-31 overlapping IncRNA transcript controls myoblast differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2015;35(4):728-736.
- Cesana M, Cacchiarelli D, Legnini I, et al. A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *Cell*. 2011;147(2):358-369.
- Legnini I, Morlando M, Mangiavacchi A, Fatica A, Bozzoni I. A feedforward regulatory loop between HuR and the long noncoding RNA linc-MD1 controls early phases of myogenesis. *Mol Cell*. 2014;53(3):506-514.
- Gong C, Li Z, Ramanujan K, et al. A long non-coding RNA, LncMyoD, regulates skeletal muscle differentiation by blocking IMP2mediated mRNA translation. *Dev Cell*. 2015;34(2):181-191.
- Grossi E, Sanchez Y, Huarte M. Expanding the p53 regulatory network: LncRNAs take up the challenge. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2016;1859(1):200-208.
- 17. Wu G, Cai J, Han YU, et al. LincRNA-p21 regulates neointima formation, vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation,

Cell Proliferation

WILFY

apoptosis, and atherosclerosis by enhancing p53 activity. *Circulation*. 2014;130(17):1452-1465.

- Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25(9):1105-1111.
- Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 2015;31(2):166-169.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(1):139-140.
- Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ. ATAC-seq: a method for assaying chromatin accessibility genome-wide. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol.* 2015;109:21-29.
- 22. Corces MR, Trevino AE, Hamilton EG, et al. An improved ATAC-seq protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of frozen tissues. *Nat Methods*. 2017;14(10):959-962.
- 23. The Mouse ENCODE Consortium, Yue F, Cheng Y, et al. A comparative encyclopedia of DNA elements in the mouse genome. *Nature*. 2014;515(7527):355-364.
- Mousavi K, Zare H, Dell'Orso S, et al. eRNAs promote transcription by establishing chromatin accessibility at defined genomic loci. *Mol Cell*. 2013;51(5):606-617.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9(4):357-359.
- Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9(9):R137.
- 27. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(6):841-842.
- Ramírez F, Ryan DP, Grüning B, et al. deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(W1):W160-W165.
- Bolstad BM. preprocessCore: a collection of pre-processing functions. R package version. 2013;1(0).
- Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell*. 2010;38(4):576-589.
- Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9:559.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005;102(43):15545-15550.
- 33. Flynn RA, Chang HY. Active chromatin and noncoding RNAs: an intimate relationship. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2012;22(2):172-178.
- Armand A-S, Bourajjaj M, Martínez-Martínez S, et al. Cooperative synergy between NFAT and MyoD regulates myogenin expression and myogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(43):29004-29010.
- Kim M-S, Fielitz J, McAnally J, et al. Protein kinase D1 stimulates MEF2 activity in skeletal muscle and enhances muscle performance. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2008;28(11):3600-3609.
- Noguchi Y-T, Nakamura M, Hino N, et al. Cell-autonomous and redundant roles of Hey1 and HeyL in muscle stem cells: HeyL requires Hes1 to bind diverse DNA sites. *Development*. 2019;146(4):163618.
- Potthoff MJ, Arnold MA, McAnally J, Richardson JA, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN. Regulation of skeletal muscle sarcomere integrity and postnatal muscle function by Mef2c. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2007;27(23):8143-8151.
- Maier MM, Gessler M. Comparative analysis of the human and mouse Hey1 promoter: Hey genes are new notch target genes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2000;275(2):652-660.
- Wu SC, Kallin EM, Zhang Y. Role of H3K27 methylation in the regulation of IncRNA expression. *Cell Res.* 2010;20(10):1109-1116.

- Marques AC, Hughes J, Graham B, Kowalczyk MS, Higgs DR, Ponting CP. Chromatin signatures at transcriptional start sites separate two equally populated yet distinct classes of intergenic long noncoding RNAs. *Genome Biol.* 2013;14(11):R131.
- 41. Guttman M, Amit I, Garber M, et al. Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large non-coding RNAs in mammals. *Nature*. 2009;458(7235):223-227.
- 42. Wang X, Blagden C, Fan J, et al. Runx1 prevents wasting, myofibrillar disorganization, and autophagy of skeletal muscle. *Genes Dev.* 2005;19(14):1715-1722.
- 43. Fernández-Verdejo R, Vanwynsberghe AM, Essaghir A, et al. Activating transcription factor 3 attenuates chemokine and cytokine expression in mouse skeletal muscle after exercise and facilitates molecular adaptation to endurance training. FASEB J. 2017;31(2):840-851.
- 44. Splinter E, Heath H, Kooren J, et al. CTCF mediates long-range chromatin looping and local histone modification in the beta-globin locus. *Genes Dev.* 2006;20(17):2349-2354.
- Hanssen LLP, Kassouf MT, Oudelaar AM, et al. Tissue-specific CTCF-cohesin-mediated chromatin architecture delimits enhancer interactions and function in vivo. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2017;19(8):952-961.
- Nakahashi H, Kwon K-R, Resch W, et al. A genome-wide map of CTCF multivalency redefines the CTCF code. *Cell Rep.* 2013;3(5):1678-1689.
- 47. Rudnicki MA, Schnegelsberg PNJ, Stead RH, Braun T, Arnold HH, Jaenisch R. Myod or Myf-5 is required for the formation of skeletal-muscle. *Cell*. 1993;75(7):1351-1359.
- 48. Weintraub H. The Myod family and myogenesis redundancy, networks, and thresholds. *Cell*. 1993;75(7):1241-1244.
- Zetser A, Gredinger E, Bengal E. p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway promotes skeletal muscle differentiation – participation of the MEF2C transcription factor. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(8):5193-5200.
- 50. Kallen A, Zhou X-B, Xu J, et al. The imprinted H19 LncRNA antagonizes Let-7 microRNAs. *Mol Cell*. 2013;52(1):101-112.
- Han XR, Yang F, Cao HQ, Liang ZC. Malat1 regulates serum response factor through miR-133 as a competing endogenous RNA in myogenesis. FASEB J. 2015;29(7):3054-3064.
- Anderson D, Anderson K, Chang C-L, et al. A micropeptide encoded by a putative long noncoding RNA regulates muscle performance. *Cell*. 2015;160(4):595-606.
- Chen Z, Bu N, Qiao X, et al. Forkhead box M1 transcriptionally regulates the expression of long noncoding RNAs Snhg8 and Gm26917 to promote proliferation and survival of muscle satellite cells. *Stem Cells.* 2018;36(7):1097-1108.
- 54. Meng S-S, Xu X-P, Chang W, et al. LincRNA-p21 promotes mesenchymal stem cell migration capacity and survival through hypoxic preconditioning. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2018;9:280.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Qi X, Hu M, Xiang Y, et al. LncRNAs are regulated by chromatin states and affect the skeletal muscle cell differentiation. *Cell Prolif.* 2020;53:e12879. <u>https://</u>doi.org/10.1111/cpr.12879